Cell Genomics, Volume 2

Supplemental information

Multi-hallmark long noncoding RNA maps

reveal non-small cell lung cancer vulnerabilities

Roberta Esposito, Taisia Polidori, Dominik F. Meise, Carlos Pulido-Quetglas, Panagiotis Chouvardas, Stefan Forster, Paulina Schaerer, Andrea Kobel, Juliette Schlatter, Erik Kerkhof, Michaela Roemmele, Emily S. Rice, Lina Zhu, Andrés Lanzós, Hugo A. Guillen-Ramirez, Giulia Basile, Irene Carrozzo, Adrienne Vancura, Sebastian Ullrich, Alvaro Andrades, Dylan Harvey, Pedro P. Medina, Patrick C. Ma, Simon Haefliger, Xin Wang, Ivan Martinez, Adrian F. Ochsenbein, Carsten Riether, and Rory Johnson

Figure S1









Figure S1. libDECKO-NSCLC1 library creation. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Library design pipeline. LncRNAs from indicated annotations are merged and filtered by TSS proximity to protein-coding genes (<2 kb excluded) and by expression in A549 (<0.1 FPKM excluded). TSS are clustered together if closer than 300bp, then selected based on three evidence sources: CAGE, ChromHMM and DNAsel hypersensitivity. TSS candidates are targeted by 10 paired guide RNA (pgRNA) designs using CRISPETa.

(B) Expression of targeted IncRNAs in A549 cells.

(C) Library cloning. Oligonucleotide library of pgRNAs is amplified by PCR. Two steps of cloning to insert the amplified fragment and the constant part into the pDECKO backbone. Coverage of clones to library sequences was estimated to be >60x. The plasmid library is packaged into viral particles.

(D) Number of independent annotated genes' TSS/promoters targeted by each candidate region. 95.2% of the library targets single lncRNA genes, 4.8% of candidate regions contain two or three independent TSS. Note that classical "bidirectional promoters" comprise TSS from two independent lncRNA genes (green), while a small number of targeted regions (3, blue) contain TSS from three independent lncRNA genes.

(E) Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) was used to sort stable Cas9expressing H460 cells based on expression of a Blue Fluorescent Protein (BFP) marker. The box indicates the sorted cell populations used in screens.

(F) Library representation. Upper panel: y-axis: Number of reads per pgRNA sequence; x-axis: ranked pgRNAs from library. Less than 0.5% of the total pgRNAs constructs dropped out with no reads. The ratio of 10th – 90th percentile is 4.6-fold. Lower panel: Lorenz curve, depicting library read coverage. Equality is represented by the diagonal.

(G) DNMBP-AS1 locus was targeted by CRISPR-deletion in A549 and H460 cells with multiple pgRNAs. The gene locus as well as the genotyping PCR primers (F, R) are shown in Figure 1E. Agarose gels showing template genomic DNA (gDNA) from cells transfected with non-targeting pgRNA (Control) or DNMBP-AS1 TSS pgRNAs (KO).

(H) Figure depicts pgRNAs targeting lncRNA candidates defined as hits (A549 dropout, FDR<0.2). y-axis: log2 FC (fold change) in abundance; x-axis: sum of individual sgRNA scores for each pair from RuleSet2 algorithm. Significance estimated using linear model.

(I) as for (H), but separating pgRNAs according to the orientation of their individual sgRNAs.

(J) as for (H), but now for the genomic distance (bp) between the sgRNAs of each pgRNA.

Figure S2



Figure S2. Assessing screen accuracy. Related to Figure 2.

(A) LncRNA candidates (black) correlation between biological replicates of the dropout screen in H460 cells. Data presented as Z-score transformed log2 FC values. T3: time point three weeks. T0: time point zero. Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(B) LncRNA candidates (black) correlation distinct from the positive controls (red) correlation in negative dropout and positive CFSE screens in H460 cells. Data presented as Z-score transformed log2 FC values. Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(C) (Left) Cisplatin dose-response curves in A549 and H460 cells. Error bars: standard deviation. (Right) Cell doublings calculated at indicated cisplatin concentrations. Error bars: standard deviation.

(D) LncRNA candidates (black) correlation between biological replicates of the death screen in A549 cells. Data presented as Z-score transformed log2 FC values. Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(E) LncRNA candidates (black) correlation between biological replicates of the migration screen in A549 cells. Data presented as Z-score transformed log2 FC values. Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(F) Replicates correlation is shown at three levels (raw reads: invariably display high correlations but provide little insight into replicability; pgRNA: typically display lower correlation and may be affected by stochastic effects when library coverage is low; gene levels: integrate the fold changes from the multiple individual pgRNAs. The latter is the most biologically-meaningful readout where technical noise may be overcome through sgRNA integration. (Left) Summary of the screens' correlation. (Right) Zhu et al. 2016⁴⁹ deletion screen correlation. Only IncRNA candidates are taken into account. (G) Migration screen set-up. A549 cells (0.5M cells/well) were seeded onto transwell inserts and allowed to migrate for the indicated times. After crystal violet staining, cells that migrated through the membrane counted in five randomly selected fields. Results are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n=2).

(H) Genomic locus of Candidate 507, 508 and 509. i) Assaying genomic deletion with pgRNAs for Candidate 205: figure shows agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR product with primers (Supplementary File 5) amplifying the Candidate 205 target region.

(J) RT-qPCR with primers for Candidate 509 RNA (Supplementary File 5). Error bars: standard deviation.

(K) Competition assay with an additional pgRNA targeting Candidate 205. The plot shows the percentage of mCherry+ or GFP+ cells at indicated times (n=3 biological replicates; error bar: standard deviation; two-tailed Student's *t* test).

(I) Cell viability assay with the two pgRNAs targeting Candidate 205 (n=3 biological replicates; error bar: standard deviation; two-tailed Student's t test). The cell viability was measured by using the CellTiter-Glo® 2D.

(M) Cell viability in 3D spheroids grown from H441 cells upon ASO knockdown of *LINC00115*. The viability was measured with CellTiter-Glo® 3D, seven days after ASO transfection (n=4 biological replicates; error bars: standard deviation; statistical significance: one-tailed Student's *t* test).

Figure S3



Figure S3. Validation of the method, TPP quality assessment and CNV analysis. Related to figure 3.

(A) Randomly picked pgRNAs show intended genomic deletion and expression changes in A549-Cas9-BFP. The genomic DNA (gDNA) and RNA were extracted 7 days after transfection. (Top) PCR products from the template genomic DNA (gDNA) of cells transfected with non-targeting pgRNA (Control) or targeting pgRNAs (KO). The expected lengths for Control, and deletion amplicons are indicated. (Bottom) RT-qPCR measurements of the selected genes. 7/12 downregulated genes (left), 3/12 genes with no change in expression (centre), 2/12 upregulated (right).

(B) (Left) Cell viability assay with the three pgRNAs targeting *CHiLL1*, and two pgRNAs targeting *GCAWKR* in H460-Cas9-BFP cells (n=2 biological replicates; error bar: standard deviation). Cells were transfected, and selected for 7 days with puromycin, then plated for the assay. The cell viability was measured by using the CellTiter-Glo® 2D. (Right) RT-qPCR measurements of the *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* expression upon CRISPR-KO with different pgRNAs. RNA extracted 24h after the start of the viability assay.

(C) (Left) Enrichment of positive controls in the top of the TPP ranking results by gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). The running sum (blue) is calculated by iterating through the ranks, increasing with positive controls, decreasing otherwise. The maximum absolute value of the running sum is the Enrichment Score (ES). Black ticks on the x-axis represent the location of positive controls. The significance of the enrichment is nominally evaluated by simulating 10,000 perturbations of the labelling of the genes. (Right) The same analysis with neutral controls.

(D) The intersection of hits identified by Target Prioritisation Pipeline (TPP) in the indicated datasets. Pro: proliferation; Cis: cisplatin; Mig: migration; Pan: pan-hallmarks, integration of all the screens together.

(E) Copy number status in TCGA-LUAD samples for pan-hallmark IncRNA hits. For each candidate or control, the log2 (ratio segment means) of each TCGA-LUAD sample was retrieved for the library target region. Then, the log2 ratio segment means across TCGA-LUAD were averaged for each candidate or control. Red dots and lines display the mean and the standard deviation, respectively. Statistical significance: Wilcoxon test

(F) Relationship between pan-hallmark TPP scores (uncorrected P-values) (y-axis) and copy number status (x-axis) in A549 or H460. Copy number status of the library

target regions was retrieved from the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE). Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.



Figure S4. Tier 2 candidates and cancer hallmarks. Related to Figure 4.

(A) (Left) Experimental strategy to test ASO knockdown effectiveness. (Right) Measured gene knockdown by RT-qPCR in response to ASOs.

(B) RT-qPCR of Tier 2 candidates in A549 cells treated with cisplatin IC30 (left) and IC80 (right panel) for 72 h. The control represents the reference expression of the genes in untreated conditions. (n=3, error bars: standard deviation; statistical significance: one-tailed Student's *t* test).

(C) Dose response curves in H460 (top) and A549 (bottom) in cells transfected with ASO control and the best performing ASOs for *CHiLL1* (left) and *GCAWKR* (right). Error bars: standard deviation.

(D) Coding Potential Scores (CPS) of *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* transcripts using the algorithm Coding Potential Calculator¹²⁰. *GAPDH* and *MALAT1* transcripts were used as reference for the protein-coding and non-protein coding, respectively.

(E) *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* knockdown efficiency measured by RT-qPCR, using two independent ASOs.

(F) The effect of *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* ASOs in additional NSCLC cell lines. Mutational status is indicated on the right. Columns: time points for the cell viability measurements. Values reflect the mean log2 FC in viability following ASO transfection, with respect to a control non-targeting ASO, from at least two independent biological replicates. One-tailed Student's *t* test. * indicate P< 0.05; ** indicate P<0.01.

(G) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of *CHiLL1*. Analysis was performed using GEPIA2 tool with default settings¹²¹, including all the tumours types available in the TCGA dataset.

(H) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of *GCAWKR* in TCGA data within transcriptional subtypes. Proximal-inflammatory (PI), proximal-proliferative (PP) and terminal respiratory unit (TRU).

(I) *GCAWKR* expression in transcriptional sub-types in TCGA dataset (n=513, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests). Proximal-inflammatory (PI), proximal-proliferative (PP) and terminal respiratory unit (TRU).

(J) Knockdown efficiency measured by RT-qPCR in 3D spheroids with *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* ASOs relative to ASO control. Error bars: standard deviation. On the right: representative pictures of spheroids transfected with green fluorescent-labelled ASOs.
(K) Knockdown efficiency of *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* measured in the cocktail experiment in A549 cells with *CHiLL1* and *GCAWKR* ASOs relative to ASO control

(n=3 biological replicates; error bars: standard deviation; statistical significance: twotailed Student's *t* test).

(L) Growth of MRC5-SV1 cells in response to indicate ASO transfections (n=4 biological replicates; error bars: standard deviation; statistical significance: one-tailed Student's t test).



Inversion on the mouse chromosome

AlignSlice Legend Gene Legend Protein Coding

- merged Ensembl/Havana

RNA gene

Non-Protein Coding

Figure S5. Further information on CHiLL1 and GCAWKR. Related to Figure 5.

(A) Tracks to support for CHiLL1 gene structure, and the lack of evidence for read through transcription between CHiLL1 and TNFRSF10B, from expressed sequence tags (ESTs).

(B) Searching for evidence of read through transcription between *CHiLL1* and *TNFRSF10B*. (Left) Agarose gel electrophoresis of RT-qPCR products using indicated primers with A549 cDNA. *CHiLL1* + *TNFRSF10B* indicates a PCR performed with the forward primer (F3 in the figure) in the lncRNA exon1 and reverse primer (R1) in the second exon of TNFRSF10B. (Right) Expression of RNA from CHiLL1 locus, and primers used.

(C) Rescue experiment. Cell viability after transfection with CHiLL1 transgene + *ChiLL1* ASO, and GCAWKR + GCAWKR ASO. n=3.

(D) GCAWKR genomic locus. The GCAWKR annotated TSS is supported by multiple evidence, including FANTOM CAGE, and it is shown the annotation of the full-length transcript with Capture Long-Read Sequencing¹²².

(E) Genomic elements in the CHiLL1 locus. GENCODE v36 exons were merged into a single transcript and queried against a set of genome-wide element annotations using ezTracks⁹⁴.

(F) Recurrently amplified genomic region that encompasses GCAWKR according to Pan-Cancer Analysis of Whole Genomes (PCAWG) Consortium. Ensembl 75 (hg19) annotation was used. For each gene, the longest transcript is shown. GCAWKR locus is highlighted. The copy number gain for the top 20 amplified samples in PCAWG is shown.

(G) Representative confocal microscopy images of RNA-FISH performed with *CHiLL1*, *GCAWKR* probe sets upon treatment with targeting ASOs or non-targeting ASO control in A549 cells. Selected IncRNA foci in the treated sample and in the control are arrowed.

(H) GCAWKR orthology between human annotation (GENCODE 37) and mouse (GENCODE M26).



Figure S6. CHiLL1 and GCAWKR perturbation impacts disease transcriptome.

Related to Figure 6. (A) Response of *CHiLL1* expression to ASO transfection, as measured by RNA-seq. The y-axis represents the normalised expression (counts) per nucleotide and the boxplots show the variance of inference using bootstraps from Kallisto.

(B) Changes in gene expression (log2 FC) with two different *CHiLL1* ASOs in H460.Numbers indicate the differentially expressed genes in each part of the scatter plot.Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(C) as for (B), but comparing effects of the same *CHiLL1* ASO in two cell backgrounds (left: ASO1; right: ASO2).

(D) KEGG pathways enriched for *CHiLL1* target genes, for A549 (left) and H460 (right) cells. Analysis was performed using common differentially expressed genes between the ASO1 and ASO2 knockdown.

(E) Gene ontology analysis performed using the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). Shown are enriched terms between A549 and H460 cells by using the set of common differentially-expressed genes of ASO1&2 for *CHiLL1*. Statistical significance: Pearson correlation.

(F) Binding sites^{115,123} enriched in the intersection of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) from ASO1&2 knockdown of *CHiLL1*.

(G) Expression of *GCAWKR* in H460 in response to *GCAWKR* ASOs. The y-axis represents the normalised expression (counts) per nucleotide and the boxplots show the variance of inference using the bootstraps of Kallisto.

(H) Expression of CHiLL1 (RNA-seq) upon ASO knockdown of *GCAWKR*. The y-axis represents the normalised expression (counts) per nucleotide and the boxplots show the variance of inference using the bootstraps of Kallisto.

Data S4. Related to Star Methods.

	Run1	Run2	Run3		Run4	Run5	Run6	Run7	Run8
-eu	0								
-ed	0								
-du	1000		1200						
-dd	1000		1200						
-si	0.2								0
-t	0,0,0,x,	0,0,1,x,			0,0,5,x,	0,1,5,x,	0,x,x,x,	1,x,x,x,	1,x,x,x,
	x	х			х	х	х	х	x

CRISPETA runs. Related to Methods (Library design).

PCR reaction ssDNA to dsDNA. Related to Methods (Library cloning).

Component	Amount per reaction
5x Buffer	20 ul
dNTPs 10 mM	3 ul
Forward oligo 100uM	2 ul
Reverse oligo 100 uM	2 ul
Template DNA 10ng/ul	2 ul
Phusion Polymerase High Fidelity 2U/ul	2ul
UltraPure water	Up to 100 ul

pDECKO_backbone plasmid digestion. Related to Methods (Library cloning).

Component	Amount per reaction
pDECKO plasmid	5 ug
Tango 10x Buffer	5 ul
DTT (20mM)	2.5 ul
Esp3I (BsmBI)	1 ul
UltraPure H2O	Up to 50 ul

Long oligos to generate pDECKO constant part (HPLC purification). Related to Methods (Library cloning).

Oligos	Sequences (5'-3')
Forward_1	TAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAA
(F1)	AAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTGAACGCTGACGTCATCA
125 bp	ACCCGCTCCAAGGAATCGCGGGCCCAGTGTCACTAG
Forward_2	GCGGGAACACCCAGCGCGCGTGCGCCCTGGCAGGAAGATGGCT
(F2)	GTGAGGGACAGGGGAGTGGCGCCCTGCAATATTTGCATGTCGCT
148 bp	ATGTGTTCTGGGAAATCACCATAAACGTGAAATGTCTTTGGATTT
	GGGAGTCTTATAAGTT
Reverse_1	GCGCACGCGCGCTGGGTGTTCCCGCCTAGTGACACTGGGCCCG
(R1)	CGATTCCTTGGAGCGGGTTGATGACGTCAGCGTTCAAAAAAGCA
146 bp	CCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTAT
	TTTAACTTGCTATT
Reverse_2	ACAGAACTTATAAGACTCCCAAATCCAAAGACATTTCACGTTTATG
(R2)	GTGATTTCCCAGAACACATAGCGACATGCAAATATTGCAGGGCG
127 bp	CCACTCCCTGTCCCTCACAGCCATCTTCCTGCCAGG

Colony PCR primers. Related to Methods (Library cloning).

Primer	Sequences (5'-3')
Oligo_colony_Fw	GTACAAAATACGTGACGTAG
Oligo_colony_Rv	ATGTCTACTATTCTTTCCCC

Colony PCR reaction. Related to Methods (Library cloning).

95°C 2', 95°C 30", 60°C 40", 72°C 2' for 29 cycles, 72°C 2', 4°C forever				
Component	Amount per reaction			
5x Buffer	10 ul			
dNTPs 10 mM	1 ul			
Oligo_colony_Fw 10 uM	1 ul			
Oligo_colony_Rv 10 uM	1 ul			
Template colony	2 ul			
Go Taq G2 polimerase (Promega)	0.25 ul			
Nuclease-free water	Up to 50 ul			

Primers for the genomic deletion. Related to Methods (PCR amplification from genomic DNA).

gDNA_Candidate_331_For	TTGCAACCCCCAAACAGACT
gDNA_Candidate_331_Rev	GGGGCACCATTTTGGACCTA
gDNA_Candidate_205_For	AGCCTGTCACAAACTGATTCTTA
gDNA_Candidate_205_Rev	TTGTTGACCCGGAAACGGAT

Composition of lung cancer organoids medium. Related to Methods (Patientderived xenograft organoids)

Substances	Final Conc.	Supplier	Catalogue number
DMEM:F-12 HAM medium	-	Sigma-Aldrich	D6421
EGF	50 ng/mL	Thermo Fisher Scientific	PHG6045
FGF	20 ng/mL	Thermo Fisher Scientific	PHG6015
L-Glutamine	2 mM	Sigma-Aldrich	G7513-100mL
OmniPur HEPES	10 mM	Sigma-Aldrich	5320-500GM
Penicillin/Streptomycin	100 U/mL	Sigma-Aldrich	P3032-10MU S6501-25G
N-2 supplement	1x	Thermo Fisher Scientific	17502-048
B-27 supplement	1x	Thermo Fisher Scientific	17504-044
Noggin	100 ng/mL	Prospec	CYT-475
ROCK-inhibitor (Y-27632)	10 µM	Stemcell	72304

Primers RT-qPCR. Related to Methods (RNA isolation and RT-qPCR).

HPRT1_For	ATGACCAGTCAACAGGGGACAT
HPRT1_Rev	CAACACTTCGTGGGGTCCTTTTCA
Candidate_331_For	CAGGGAGCAGGGACTATCAA
Candidate_331_Rev	TGGTCTTCCAACATGGGCTTG
Candidate_205_For (LINC00115)	CCTAGTTCTCTTCACCGTCCG
Candidate_205_Rev (LINC00115)	AAGACAAGCCACATGCCGAA
Candidate_205_For (LINC01128)	AGAGGTTAAAAGTCACAAGGGTGT
Candidate_205_Rev (LINC01128)	GCCTTGACAGCAAGCCTAGA
Candidate_42_For (ENST00000520840.2)	GCAGTGACCCAGAATGAGGAAG
Candidate_42_Rev (ENST00000520840.2)	TACTGAAATTGGAGGCTGTGGA
Candidate_42_For (ENST00000523806.1)	GCAGTGACCCAGAATGAGGT
Candidate_42_Rev (ENST00000523806.1)	GCTCTAGCTTCCAGGTTGGG
Candidate_240_For	CTCACGGCAGCTATGAGACT
Candidate_240_Rev	GCTCCAAGATGCCACTCACA
Candidate_448_For	GAAACCTCCTCGACACTCCG
Candidate_448_Rev	AGTCTTCGAACAGGCTGCAA
Candidate_215_For	GCAATTGTACCTGAGGACCCA
Candidate_215_Rev	TGGCATATGGTGGATGTTCCC
Candidate_489_For	AAGCGCTCATTCAAGGTTGC
Candidate_489_Rev	GGTTCAGTCTGGGCCCTTTT
Candidate_408_For	GCGATGGAAGAAGTTTCGCC
Candidate_408_Rev	GGAACTCAGGTAACAGGAATTTCAC
Candidate_316_For	GACCAACTCCGTTTCCCGAT

Candidate_316_Rev	TCAAGGGCCCAGCCTTATTC	
Candidate_635_For	AATTCCACCCACGCACCTAT	
Candidate_635_Rev	GAGCCACCGTTAATTCAGCC	
Candidate_507_For	TCCTTGCTAACCACACGGAC	
Candidate_507_Rev	ATGTGGGTCCCAGTATCCGA	
Candidate_509_For	TTGGCACACTCAGATGCGAT	
Candidate_509_Rev	AAAACAGTCCCGCTTGGGAT	
GAPDH For	GCACCGTCAAGGCTGAGAAC	
GAPDH Rev	TGGTGAAGACGCCAGTGGA	
 MALAT1 For	GATTGAGGCGTTTTCCAAGA	
MALAT1_Rev	ACTTTCTCCCCCAACTGCTT	

pgRNA guides for CRISPR deletion. Related to Methods (DECKO and lentiviral production).

Gene	Candidate	sgRNA1	sgRNA2
Target			
DNMBP-	331_1	GTAATATTCAGGAAG	GCTCTAAACAAAACTAGA
AS1		GGCAA	GA
DNMBP-	331_2	GCTGGTTTCAGGGAG	GCTCTAAACAAAACTAGA
AS1		ATCAG	GA
DNMBP-	331_3	TGATCTCCCTGAAACC	GCTCTAAACAAAACTAGA
AS1		AGCT	GA
DNMBP-	331_4	ACACTTTGATTACAGT	GCTCTAAACAAAACTAGA
AS1		TGGG	GA
DNMBP-	331_5	GTAATATTCAGGAAG	GAAAACTATGAAACTAGA
AS1		GGCAA	GA
DNMBP-	331_6	GCTGGTTTCAGGGAG	GAAAACTATGAAACTAGA
AS1		ATCAG	GA
DNMBP-	331_7	GTAATATTCAGGAAG	GCTAAAGGTTCAGGGTT
AS1		GGCAA	GGA

DNMBP-	331_9	GTAATATTCAGGAAG	GCACTTGCTAATAACATA
AS1		GGCAA	CA
DNMBP-	331_10	GCTGGTTTCAGGGAG	GCTAAAGGTTCAGGGTT
AS1		ATCAG	GGA
LINC01128;	205_2	CCTCCTCTATATGAAC	GCGGACGGTGAAGAGAA
LINC00115		ТССА	СТА
LINC01128;	205_2	CCTCCTCTATATGAAC	GCAAACCCCACGCTTCG
LINC00115		ТССА	GGG
CHiLL1	42_2	GAGACATTAGTGGAC	GGATGGGTGAGCCAACC
		ATAGA	ТСА
CHiLL1	42_8	ATAGTAAGTCAAAGTA	GAGCAAATTCTCCCTTG
		ATGG	GGAA
CHiLL1	42_10	ATTGTTTGCCCAATGC	GCTTCTGCTGCCTTTCC
		AGAG	CAA
GCAWKR	240_6	GTACCACAGACACTA	TCTGAGCACAGTGATTC
		CAGTT	CGG
GCAWKR	240_8	TGCACTTTCCCAGATA	GCTAGTAAACCCACAGC
		ACAC	GGAC
GCAWKR	240_9	TCGAATGTTCCATTTC	GCTAGTAAACCCACAGC
		СТСА	GGAC
GCAWKR	240_10	TTTGTTAGTTCCCTTT	GCTAGTAAACCCACAGC
		TAGC	GGAC
IncRNA not	509_3		
annotated		ACAGGCGCIIIICIGI	GITACTICTACGCGTICA
GENCODE		TCAG	CGT
AASV1	targeting	ACTCTTTAAGGAAAGA	CCTCAGTCTGAAGAGCA
	control	AGGA	GAGC