



Neocortical synaptic engrams for remote contextual memories

In the format provided by the
authors and unedited

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary Table 1 Summary of statistical analysis: t-test*

Data	t-test	Response variable	Groups	DF**	t value	P value
Fig. 1c	Unpaired	Freezing time	HC (10 mice) CFC (11 mice)	17	-1.05	0.308
Fig. 1e	Unpaired	tdTomato+ cell density	HC (8 mice) CFC (7 mice)	6	-3.04	0.023
Fig. 1e	Unpaired	c-Fos+ cell density	HC (8 mice) CFC (7 mice)	12	-1.43	0.179
Fig. 1e	Unpaired	Fos+ proportion among tdT+ cells	HC (8 mice) CFC (7 mice)	12	-6.13	< 0.001
Fig. 1g	Unpaired	tdTomato+ cell density	HC (6 mice) CFC (7 mice)	8	-2.51	0.036
Fig. 1g	Unpaired	c-Fos+ cell density	HC (6 mice) CFC (7 mice)	9	-1.68	0.128
Fig. 1g	Unpaired	Fos+ proportion among tdT+ cells	HC (6 mice) CFC (7 mice)	9	-5.35	< 0.001
Fig. 3f	Unpaired	Freezing time	eYFP (13 mice) MutCREB (15 mice)	25	2.42	0.023
Fig. 3j	Unpaired	AMPAR EPSC	E-E synapses (26 cells) E-NE synapses (24 cells)	47	-1.11	0.273
Fig. 3j	Unpaired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	E-E synapses (32 cells) E-NE synapses (28 cells)	57	-0.88	0.384
Fig. 4i	Paired	qEPSC amplitude	tdT- vs tdT+ mPFC neurons (16 pairs of neurons)	15	0.29	0.776
Fig. 4j	Unpaired	qEPSC (E-E) - qEPSC (E-NE)	7 days after CFC (16 pairs) 28 days after CFC (27 pairs)	32	-2.30	0.028
Fig. 5c	Unpaired	tdTomato+ cell density	Casp3- (11 mice) Casp3+ (10 mice)	18	4.18	< 0.001
Fig. 5e	Unpaired	Fos+ proportion among tdT+ cells	Casp3- (8 mice) Casp3+ (9 mice)	13	3.32	0.006
Fig. 5f	Unpaired	Fos+ proportion among tdT+ cells	Casp3- (8 mice) Casp3+ (9 mice)	13	5.09	< 0.001
Fig. 5h	Unpaired	Freezing time	Casp3- (4 mice) Casp3+ (5 mice)	3	7.53	0.005
Fig. 5j	Paired	qEPSC amplitude (DG: Casp3+ group)	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (20 pairs of neurons)	19	-0.04	0.972
Fig. 5j	Paired	qEPSC amplitude (DG: Casp3- group)	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (16 pairs of neurons)	15	-2.92	0.011
Fig. 6k	Unpaired	Freezing time	dCA1: Casp3- (6 mice) dCA1: Casp3+ (6 mice)	6	3.14	0.020
Fig. 6k	Unpaired	Freezing time	RSC: Casp3- (9 mice) RSC: Casp3+ (10 mice)	16	3.41	0.004
Fig. 7e	Unpaired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	E-E synapses (20 cells) E-NE synapses (19 cells)	31	-3.64	0.001

Data	t-test	Response variable	Groups	DF**	t value	P value
Fig. 8g	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- vs tdT+ mPFC neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	-5.00	< 0.001
Ext. Data Fig. 1b	Unpaired	Freezing time	Same context (9 mice) Different context (9 mice)	15	1.95	0.070
Ext. Data Fig. 2e	Paired	AMPA EPSC amplitude	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	-2.24	0.043
Ext. Data Fig. 2e	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (14 pairs of neurons)	13	-2.68	0.019
Ext. Data Fig. 2h	Paired	AMPA EPSC amplitude	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (14 pairs of neurons)	13	-1.09	0.295
Ext. Data Fig. 2h	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (15 pairs of neurons)	14	-3.82	0.002
Ext. Data Fig. 2k	Paired	AMPA EPSC amplitude	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (12 pairs of neurons)	11	-0.15	0.886
Ext. Data Fig. 2k	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (12 pairs of neurons)	11	0.06	0.954
Ext. Data Fig. 3d	Unpaired	Freezing time	mCherry (9 mice) hM4Di (9 mice)	15	2.68	0.017
Ext. Data Fig. 3e	Unpaired	Freezing time	mCherry (13 mice) hM4Di (10 mice)	20	-0.06	0.952
Ext. Data Fig. 4d	Paired	AMPA EPSC amplitude	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (11 pairs of neurons)	10	-1.11	0.293
Ext. Data Fig. 4d	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (12 pairs of neurons)	11	-1.31	0.219
Ext. Data Fig. 4e	Unpaired	AN (E-E) - AN (E-NE)	Engram inputs (30 pairs) Nonengram inputs (12 pairs)	37	2.92	0.006
Ext. Data Fig. 5e	Paired	AMPAR EPSC	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (16 pairs of neurons)	15	-3.52	0.003
Ext. Data Fig. 5e	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	-4.31	0.001
Ext. Data Fig. 5j	Paired	AMPAR EPSC	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	-1.52	0.155
Ext. Data Fig. 5j	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	0.00	0.996
Ext. Data Fig. 6d	Paired	qEPSC amplitude	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (20 pairs of neurons)	19	-3.37	0.003
Ext. Data Fig. 6f	Paired	mEPSC amplitude	tdT- vs tdT+ neurons (11 pairs of neurons)	10	-0.42	0.681
Ext. Data Fig. 6i	Paired	qEPSC amplitude	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (14 pairs of neurons)	13	-0.17	0.870
Ext. Data Fig. 7d	Paired	qIPSC amplitude	tdT- vs tdT+ mPFC neurons (24 pairs of neurons)	23	3.35	0.003
Ext. Data Fig. 8c	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- (NE-NE) vs tdT+ (NE-E) neurons (13 pairs of neurons)	12	-0.20	0.848
Ext. Data Fig. 8g	Paired	qEPSC amplitude	tdT- (E-NE) vs tdT+ (E-E) neurons (20 pairs of neurons)	19	-0.57	0.574

Data	t-test	Response variable	Groups	DF**	t value	P value
Ext. Data Fig. 8h	Unpaired	tdTomato+ cell density	Casp3- (5 mice) Casp3+ (6 mice)	4	5.01	0.007
Ext. Data Fig. 8i	Unpaired	tdTomato+ cell density	Casp3- (7 mice) Casp3+ (9 mice)	7	7.78	< 0.001
Ext. Data Fig. 9f	Unpaired	Freezing time	eYFP (11 mice) PSAM4 (9 mice)	17	2.13	0.048
Ext. Data Fig. 9g	Unpaired	Freezing time	eYFP (6 mice) PSAM4 (8 mice)	11	-0.20	0.845
Ext. Data Fig. 9j	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- vs tdT+ BLA neurons (12 pairs of neurons)	11	-3.99	0.002
Ext. Data Fig. 9k	Paired	AMPA/NMDA ratio	tdT- vs tdT+ BLA neurons (16 pairs of neurons)	15	-0.73	0.478

* All t-tests were two-sided.

** DF: degree of freedom

Supplementary Table 2 Summary of statistical analysis: ANOVA

Data	ANOVA	Response variable	Factors	DF*	F value	P value
Fig. 1I	Repeated measures two-way	Freezing time (%)	Groups ChR2 (13 mice) eYFP (9 mice) Behavioral session Laser on Laser off Subject Interaction (group x behavioral session)	(1,20) (1,20) (20,20) (1,20)	2.74 4.91 7.18 9.14	0.114 0.038 < 0.001 0.007
			** Post hoc comparisons ChR2: laser on versus laser off: P = 0.003 eYFP: laser on versus laser off: P = 1.000 ChR2 / laser on versus eYFP / laser on: P = 0.021			
Fig. 2e, 2h	Two-way	AMPAR EPSC	Test day 28 days after CFC (Fig. 2e) 7 days after CFC (Fig. 2h) Synapses E-E synapses E-NE synapses Interaction (test day x synapses)	(1,92) (1,92) (1,92)	17.17 8.44 4.09	< 0.001 0.005 0.046
			** Post hoc comparisons 28 days after CFC: E-E versus E-NE synapses: P < 0.001 7 days after CFC: E-E versus E-NE synapses: P = 1.000 E-E synapses: 28 days versus 7 days after CFC: P < 0.001			
Fig. 2e, 2h	Two-way	AMPA/NMDA ratio	Test day 28 days after CFC (Fig. 2e) 7 days after CFC (Fig. 2h) Synapses E-E synapses E-NE synapses Interaction (test day x synapses)	(1,93) (1,93) (1,93)	0.97 10.08 8.10	0.327 0.002 0.005
			** Post hoc comparisons 28 days after CFC: E-E versus E-NE synapses: P < 0.001 7 days after CFC: E-E versus E-NE synapses: P = 1.000 E-E synapses: 28 days versus 7 days after CFC: P = 0.049			
Fig. 3d	One-way	AMPA/NMDA ratio	Synapses E-NE synapses (18 cells) E-E/MutCREB- synapses (13 cells) E-E/MutCREB+ synapses (18 cells)	(2,46)	10.41	< 0.001
			** Post hoc comparisons E-NE versus E-E/MutCREB- synapses: P < 0.001 E-E/MutCREB- versus E-E/MutCREB+ synapses: P = 0.001 E-NE versus E-E/MutCREB+ synapses: P = 1.000			
Fig. 4e, 4g	Two-way	EPSC amplitude	EPSC qEPSC (Fig. 4e) mEPSC (Fig. 4g) Postsynaptic neurons tdT- neurons tdT+ neurons Cell pairs qEPSC (27 pairs of tdT- / tdT+ neurons) mEPSC (22 pairs of tdT- / tdT+ neurons) Interaction (EPSC x postsynaptic neurons)	(1,47) (1,47) (47,47)	2.14 4.88 3.80	0.148 0.032 < 0.001
			** Post hoc comparisons qEPSC: tdT- (E-NE) versus tdT+ (E-E): P = 0.014 mEPSC: tdT- versus tdT+: P = 1.000			

* DF: degree of freedom

** Post hoc Bonferroni's simultaneous multiple comparisons

Data	ANOVA	Response variable	Factors	DF*	F value	P value
Fig. 5d	Repeated measures two-way	Freezing time (%)	Groups Casp3- (9 mice) Casp3+ (17 mice) Recall session Recent memory Remote memory Subject Interaction (group x recall session)	(1,24) (1,24) (24,24) (1,24)	28.99 4.88 1.55 25.73	< 0.001 0.037 0.145 < 0.001
			** Post hoc comparisons Recent memory recall: Casp3- versus Casp3+: P = 1.000 Remote memory recall: Casp3- versus Casp3+: P < 0.001			
Fig. 7e	Repeated measures two-way	AMPAR EPSC	BLA neurons tdT- neurons tdT+ neurons Photostimulation intensity 6.3, 13.4, and 20.5 mW/mm ² Cell Interaction (BLA neurons x photostim)	(1,24) (2,24) (27,24) (2,24)	15.30 10.56 7.11 0.41	< 0.001 0.003 < 0.001 0.669
Fig. 7i	Repeated measures two-way	AMPAR EPSC	mPFC neurons mCherry- neurons mCherry+ neurons Photostimulation intensity 2.8, 6.3, 13.4, and 20.5 mW/mm ² Cell Interaction (mPFC neurons x photostim)	(1,60) (3,60) (20,60) (3,60)	0.00 32.33 8.19 0.09	0.997 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.964
Fig. 8g	Repeated measures two-way	AMPAR EPSC	mPFC neurons tdT- neurons tdT+ neurons Photostimulation intensity 6.3, 13.4, and 20.5 mW/mm ² Cell Interaction (mPFC neurons x photostim)	(1,56) (2,56) (28,56) (2,56)	158.02 45.60 30.35 3.23	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.047
			** Post hoc comparisons 6.3 mW/mm ² : tdT- versus tdT+: P < 0.001 13.4 mW/mm ² : tdT- versus tdT+: P < 0.001 20.5 mW/mm ² : tdT- versus tdT+: P < 0.001			
Ext. Data Fig. 3c	Repeated measures two-way	AP firing number	CNO treatment Pre-CNO Post-CNO Current injection 100, 200, 300, and 400 pA Cell (9 cells) Interaction (CNO x current injection)	(1,54) (3,54) (8,54) (3,54)	26.17 17.84 4.89 2.2	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001 0.098
Ext. Data Fig. 10d	Repeated measures two-way	Freezing time (%)	Groups mCherry (8 mice) hM4Di (7 mice) Recall session Recall 1 (+Tam) Recall 2 (+CNO) Subject Interaction (group x recall session)	(1,13) (1,13) (13,13) (1,13)	24.63 59.50 5.71 9.51	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.002 0.009
			** Post hoc comparisons Recall 1 (+Tam): mCherry versus hM4Di: P = 1.000 Recall 2 (+CNO): mCherry versus hM4Di: P < 0.001			
Ext. Data Fig. 10f	Repeated measures two-way	Freezing time (%)	Groups mCherry (9 mice) hM4Di (10 mice) Recall session Recall 1 (+Tam) Recall 2 (+CNO) Subject Interaction (group x recall session)	(1,17) (1,17) (17,17) (1,17)	0.00 4.63 2.19 0.01	0.951 0.046 0.058 0.934