nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): NPJVACCINES-02501R1

Last updated by author(s): Jan 19, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a	Confirmed	
☐	☒	The exact sample size (*n*) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
☐	☒	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
☐	☒	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided *Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.*
☐	☒	A description of all covariates tested
☐	☒	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
☐	☒	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
☒	☐	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. *F*, *t*, *r*) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and *P* value noted *Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.*
☒	☐	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
☒	☐	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
☒	☐	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's *d*, Pearson's *r*), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	*Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to collect the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.*
Data analysis	*Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to analyse the data in this study, specifying the version used OR state that no software was used.*

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Data generated or analyzed during this study that are critical to the reported findings are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files.

Additional supporting data are available from the corresponding authors without undue reservation.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	*Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data where this information has been collected, and consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected. Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.*
Population characteristics	*Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."*
Recruitment	*Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.*
Ethics oversight	*Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.*

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

☒ Life sciences ☐ Behavioural & social sciences ☐ Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Based on previous experiments, the maximum difference in viral titer shedding between the aerosol and I.N. groups was 2 log10, with a standard deviation of 1 log10. Using these values in the power and sample size calculation for a one-way ANOVA in Minitab 17, a group size of six will detect this difference in viral shedding with 80% power and 5% significance.
Data exclusions	No data were excluded from the analyses
Replication	To verify the reproducibility of the findings the ELISA, neutralization, BAL flow cytometry and plaque assays were performed twice, with similar results obtained on both occasions.
Randomization	The pigs were randomly assigned to groups, computationally using a program in excel.
Blinding	The pathologist was blinded to the samples when they assessed lung gross -and histo- pathology. The laboratory workers knew the pig number, but not to which group the pigs are assigned.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☒ Antibodies
☐	☐ Eukaryotic cell lines
☐	☐ Palaeontology and archaeology
☐	☒ Animals and other organisms
☐	☐ Clinical data
☐	☐ Dual use research of concern

Methods

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ ChIP-seq
☐	☒ Flow cytometry
☐	☐ MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used: The antibodies used for flow cytometry were the following:
CD4-PerCP-Cy5.5 (IgG2b, BD Biosciences, 74-12-4), CD8b-FITC (IgG1, Bio-rad, PPT23), TNF-BV421 (IgG1, Biolegend, MAb11), IFN-APC (IgG1, BD Biosciences, P2G10), IL2 (IgG2a, ThermoFisher, A150D 3F1 2H2), IgG2a-PE-Cy7 (Rat-anti-mouse, IgG2a, BioLegend)

Validation: The antibodies used were either pig specific or cross reactive for the pig, as indicated by the manufacturers. All antibodies prior to use were titrated to identify the optimal concentration prior to the flow cytometry studies.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research

Cell line source(s): *State the source of each cell line used and the sex of all primary cell lines and cells derived from human participants or vertebrate models.*

Authentication: *Describe the authentication procedures for each cell line used OR declare that none of the cell lines used were authenticated.*

Mycoplasma contamination: *Confirm that all cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination OR describe the results of the testing for mycoplasma contamination OR declare that the cell lines were not tested for mycoplasma contamination.*

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register): *Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.*

Palaeontology and Archaeology

Specimen provenance: *Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information). Permits should encompass collection and, where applicable, export.*

Specimen deposition: *Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.*

Dating methods: *If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.*

☐ Tick this box to confirm that the raw and calibrated dates are available in the paper or in Supplementary Information.

Ethics oversight: *Identify the organization(s) that approved or provided guidance on the study protocol, OR state that no ethical approval or guidance was required and explain why not.*

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals: Six-week-old female Landrace x Large white pigs obtained from a commercial high-health status herd were used in the studies.

Wild animals: The study did not involve wild animals.

Reporting on sex: We used female pigs. The experiments were 3 months long and the animals start reaching sexual maturity, which could lead to high levels of aggression and fighting if males were used. Therefore for welfare reasons we used female pigs only.

Field-collected samples: The study did not involve samples collected from the field.

Ethics oversight	Animal studies were approved by the ethical review processes at the Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) and the Pirbright Institute (study numbers PP9878849-2-001 and P47CE0FF2-1-015) in accordance with the UK Government Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	*Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.*
Study protocol	*Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.*
Data collection	*Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.*
Outcomes	*Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.*

Dual use research of concern

Policy information about dual use research of concern

Hazards

Could the accidental, deliberate or reckless misuse of agents or technologies generated in the work, or the application of information presented in the manuscript, pose a threat to:

No	Yes	
☐	☐	Public health
☐	☐	National security
☐	☐	Crops and/or livestock
☐	☐	Ecosystems
☐	☐	Any other significant area

Experiments of concern

Does the work involve any of these experiments of concern:

No	Yes	
☐	☐	Demonstrate how to render a vaccine ineffective
☐	☐	Confer resistance to therapeutically useful antibiotics or antiviral agents
☐	☐	Enhance the virulence of a pathogen or render a nonpathogen virulent
☐	☐	Increase transmissibility of a pathogen
☐	☐	Alter the host range of a pathogen
☐	☐	Enable evasion of diagnostic/detection modalities
☐	☐	Enable the weaponization of a biological agent or toxin
☐	☐	Any other potentially harmful combination of experiments and agents

ChIP-seq

Data deposition

☐ Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

☐ Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links *May remain private before publication.*	*For "Initial submission" or "Revised version" documents, provide reviewer access links. For your "Final submission" document, provide a link to the deposited data.*
Files in database submission	*Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.*
Genome browser session (e.g. UCSC)	*Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for "Initial submission" and "Revised version" documents only, to enable peer review. Write "no longer applicable" for "Final submission" documents.*

Methodology

Replicates	*Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.*
Sequencing depth	*Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.*
Antibodies	*Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.*
Peak calling parameters	*Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.*
Data quality	*Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.*
Software	*Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.*

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:

☒ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

☒ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

☒ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

☒ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation	Cryopreserved cells from BAL were thawed and seeded at 1 x 10^6 cells per well. The cells were stimulated overnight with pH1N1 or H3N2 (MOI=1) or medium as a control at 37 ºC and 5% CO2. Those stimulated with the NP2 or M1 peptide pools (2 µg/ml) were only incubated for one hour before the addition of Brefeldin A (GolgiPlugTM, BD Biosciences) as per manufacturer instructions. In some wells, a cocktail of phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)/Ionomycin (Biolegend) was added as a positive control at the same time as the GolgiPlug. Duplicate wells, each containing 1 x 10^6 cells, were seeded for each stimulation condition. After four hours incubation at 37 ºC, the cells were centrifuged for 4 min, 1500 rpm, washed twice with PBS and analyzed for cytokine production using the antibodies listed above. Briefly, cells were stained with the primary Abs for surface staining and with Near-Infrared Fixable LIVE/DEAD stain (Invitrogen), for identification of live cells. Following a 20 min incubation at 4 ºC cells were washed twice, fixed and permeabilized with BD Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD Biosciences) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 4 ºC with the directly conjugated cytokine antibodies, washed twice and stained with the secondary rat-anti-mouse IgG2a antibody for 20 min at 4 ºC. Finally, the cells were washed twice and re-suspended in PBS, and analyzed using a MACSquant analyzer10 (Miltenyi). The frequency of cytokine production shown is after subtraction of the frequencies found in medium control wells (unstimulated).
Instrument	For measurement/ data collection a MACSquant analyzer10 (Miltenyi) was used.
Software	FlowJo 10.8.0 was used for the analysis of the flow cytometry data
Cell population abundance	*Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.*
Gating strategy	Lymphocytes were gated by light scatter properties (FSC-A/SSC-A) and were further sub-gated for exclusion of doublets (FSC-H/ FSC-A) and dead cells (Near-Infrared Fixable LIVE/DEAD stain). Live cells (Near-Infrared Fixable LIVE/DEAD negative cells) were gated for CD4-PerCP-Cy5.5 positive and CDβ8-FITC positive cells and production of TNF-BV421, IFNγ-APC, TNF/IFNγ and IL-2-PE-Cy7 was determined with the indicated gates in Suppl. Figure 1.

☒ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design

Design type	*Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.*
Design specifications	*Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.*
Behavioral performance measures	*State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used*

Behavioral performance measures

to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).

Acquisition

Imaging type(s)

Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.

Field strength

Specify in Tesla

Sequence & imaging parameters

Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.

Area of acquisition

State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.

Diffusion MRI ☐ Used ☐ Not used

Preprocessing

Preprocessing software

Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).

Normalization

If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.

Normalization template

Describe the template used for normalization/transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.

Noise and artifact removal

Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).

Volume censoring

Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.

Statistical modeling & inference

Model type and settings

Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).

Effect(s) tested

Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.

Specify type of analysis: ☐ Whole brain ☐ ROI-based ☐ Both

Statistic type for inference
(See Eklund et al. 2016)

Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.

Correction

Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).

Models & analysis

n/a	Involved in the study
☐	☐ Functional and/or effective connectivity
☐	☐ Graph analysis
☐	☐ Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis

Functional and/or effective connectivity

Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).

Graph analysis

Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).

Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis

Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.