

## Supplemental Appendix

Table S1. Comparison between Analytic Sample and Round 3 Sample Dropped in the COVID-19 Survey

	Analytic sample	Round 3 dropped in COVID-19 survey	Difference
N	2622	2127	
Age	68.24(9.98)	62.79 (12.66)	
Female	55.68%	52.59%	*
Non-white	21.25%	31.23%	***
Education			
< <i>High school</i>	7.48%	15.64%	***
<i>High school</i>	21.04%	26.31%	***
<i>Some college</i>	36.09%	37.32%	
<i>College</i>	35.39%	20.73%	***
Marital status			
<i>Married</i>	71.78%	54.68%	***
<i>Cohabiting</i>	2.83%	5.54%	***
<i>Separated/divorced</i>	13.07%	19.20%	***
<i>Widowed</i>	7.93%	12.91%	***
<i>Never married</i>	4.39%	7.66%	***

\*\*\* p<0.001, \* p<0.05: t-tests comparing continuous variables, tests of proportions comparing categorical variables

Table S2. Estimated Odds Ratios for Pre-pandemic Confidant Network Predicting Needed Pandemic Help/Support (1 = Yes, 0 = No) from Logistic Models (N=2,622)

	Need Help	Need Support
<i>Confidant Networks</i>		
Size	1.16** (0.06)	1.21*** (0.05)
Density	2.36** (0.66)	1.55* (0.29)
% female confidant	1.11 (0.28)	1.30 (0.30)
% kin confidant	0.98 (0.24)	1.01 (0.17)
<i>Demographics</i>		
Female	1.66*** (0.20)	1.49*** (0.13)
Age	1.06*** (0.01)	1.00 (0.01)
Non-white	1.85*** (0.28)	1.61*** (0.18)
Education (ref: < high school)		
<i>High school</i>	0.54** (0.12)	0.87 (0.15)
<i>Some college</i>	0.54** (0.12)	1.19 (0.23)
<i>College graduate</i>	0.46*** (0.10)	1.53* (0.28)
Marital status (ref: married)		
<i>Cohabiting</i>	1.75+ (0.56)	1.91* (0.50)
<i>Divorced/separated</i>	2.26*** (0.45)	1.74*** (0.23)
<i>Widowed</i>	1.70** (0.29)	1.58*** (0.21)
<i>Never Married</i>	2.54** (0.82)	1.63* (0.38)
Self-rated physical health	0.63*** (0.04)	0.89+ (0.05)
Self-rated mental health	1.00 (0.08)	0.82** (0.05)
Rely on family	0.90 (0.07)	0.99 (0.07)
Rely on friends	0.88 (0.07)	1.12 (0.08)
Interview month (ref: 9/2020)		
10/2020	1.02 (0.16)	0.93 (0.13)
11/2020	1.32 (0.22)	1.07 (0.15)
12/2020	1.32 (0.30)	1.15 (0.19)
01/2021	0.69 (0.18)	0.67* (0.12)

Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

Table S3. Estimated Relative Risk Ratios for Pre-pandemic Confidant Network Predicting Pandemic Support from Multinomial Logistic Models with Recoded Race (1= white, 0 = non-white) (N=2,622)

	Help		Help Frequency		Support		Support Frequency	
	Yes vs. no need	Unable to get vs. no need	Less often vs. same	More often vs. same	Yes vs. no need	Unable to get vs. no need	Less often vs. same	More often vs same
<i>Confidant Networks</i>								
Size	1.39** (0.15)	0.77+ (0.12)	0.93 (0.10)	1.38* (0.18)	1.10 (0.09)	0.92 (0.14)	1.03 (0.10)	1.19+ (0.12)
Size X white	0.86 (0.11)	1.08 (0.23)	1.17 (0.16)	0.80 (0.13)	1.13 (0.10)	1.77* (0.41)	0.97 (0.11)	0.96 (0.12)
Density	1.19 (0.57)	0.75 (0.65)	2.07 (1.06)	1.39 (0.85)	0.73 (0.29)	0.37 (0.29)	1.28 (0.61)	0.79 (0.42)
Density X white	2.92+ (1.75)	2.92 (3.74)	0.30+ (0.20)	1.34 (0.95)	2.74* (1.32)	3.72 (4.30)	0.69 (0.48)	2.06 (1.35)
% female confidant	0.96 (0.43)	1.13 (0.77)	0.79 (0.32)	1.10 (0.58)	1.13 (0.40)	1.12 (0.99)	0.38+ (0.20)	1.12 (0.60)
% female confidant X white	1.12 (0.58)	1.71 (1.97)	1.08 (0.54)	0.83 (0.52)	1.19 (0.41)	2.21 (2.26)	2.50 (1.60)	0.72 (0.50)
% kin confidant	2.24+ (1.01)	1.43 (1.30)	0.84 (0.44)	1.90 (0.93)	1.90 (0.84)	1.94 (2.55)	1.08 (0.57)	1.62 (0.84)
% kin confidant X white	0.37* (0.18)	0.25 (0.29)	2.08 (1.36)	0.45 (0.27)	0.45 (0.23)	0.39 (0.59)	0.97 (0.57)	0.57 (0.35)
white	0.54*** (0.08)	0.56 (0.21)	0.56*** (0.09)	0.49*** (0.10)	0.65*** (0.07)	0.49+ (0.18)	0.79 (0.13)	0.74+ (0.13)

Standard errors in parentheses. \*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, + p<0.10

All models controlled for gender, age, education, current marital status as well as Round 3 measures of self-rated physical health, self-rated mental health, rely on family and rely on friends.