Supporting Information for ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Bilayer hydrogel dressing with lysozyme-enhanced photothermal therapy for biofilm eradication and accelerated chronic wound repair

Yizhen Wang^{a,†}, Qijun Lv^{a,†}, You Chen^b, Langtao Xu^b, Miao Feng^b, Zhiyong Xiong^a, Jiajun Li^a, Jie Ren^{c,*}, Jie Liu^{b,*}, Bo Liu^{a,*}

^aDepartment of General Surgery, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510630, China

^bSchool of Biomedical Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China

^cDepartment of Ultrasound, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510630, China

Received 29 January 2022; received in revised form 24 February 2022; accepted 15 March 2022

*Corresponding authors. Tel./fax: +86 20 82179243 (Jie Ren); +86 20 39332145 (Jie Liu); +86 20 82179742 (Bo Liu).

E-mail addresses: renj@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Jie Ren), liujie56@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Jie Liu), liubo3@mail.sysu.edu.cn (Bo Liu).

[†]These authors made equal contributions to this work.



Figure S1 (A) Hydrodynamic size distributions of MPDA nanoparticles and MPDA-LZM nanoparticles. (B) Zeta potentials of MPDA, LZM, and MPDA-LZM (n=3). (C) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (D) pore size distribution of MPDA nanoparticles.



Figure S2 (A) Survival percentages of *E. coli* treated with different concentrations of MPDA-LZM nanoparticles (*n*=3). (B) Relative activity of lysozyme after incubation for 2 h at different temperatures (37, 50 and 70 °C) (*n*=3, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ns: not significant).



Figure S3 Cell viability of NIH-3T3 cells treated with different concentrations of MPDA-LZM nanoparticles (n=3).



11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1.0 -1.5 -2.0 11 (period)

Figure S4 ¹H-NMR spectra of gelatin and GelMA. The characteristic peaks of GelMA were labelled as a and b.



Figure S5 (A) Photographs of the photo-crosslinking process of different concentrations of GelMA. (B) Photographs of the thermo-reversible gel-sol transition of different concentrations of gelatin.



Figure S6 Swelling ratio of GelMA-EGF hydrogel with different concentrations of GelMA (*n*=3).



Figure S7 (A,B) Compressive stress-strain curves and compressive modulus of GelMA-EGF hydrogel with different concentrations of GelMA (n=3).



Figure S8 (A) Rheological properties of different hydrogel dependent on temperature.(B) Degradation behavior of GelMA-EGF hydrogel (*n*=3).



Figure S9 Release curves of (A) MPDA-LZM nanoparticles and (B) EGF loaded in GelMA-EGF/Gelatin-MPDA-LZM bilayer hydrogel (*n*=3).



Figure S10 Cell viability of NIH-3T3 cells treated with different temperature pre-treated EGF (n=3).



Figure S11 (A,B) SEM images of wound tissues before PTT (scale bar: 10 μm; 2 μm). (C,D) SEM images of wound tissues after PTT (scale bar: 10 μm; 2 μm).



Figure S12 (A) Representative Masson's trichrome staining images and (B) Giemsa staining images of wound skin tissues (scale bar: 500 μ m for Masson's trichrome staining, 100 μ m for Giemsa staining). Red arrows represent bacteria. (C) Immunohistochemical staining images of Ki-67 in wound tissues (scale bar: 100 μ m).