# Inevitability of disease recurrence after liver transplantation for NAFLD cirrhosis



François Villeret,<sup>1,2</sup> Sébastien Dharancy,<sup>3</sup> Domitille Erard,<sup>1</sup> Armand Abergel,<sup>4</sup> Louise Barbier,<sup>5</sup> Camille Besch,<sup>6</sup> Olivier Boillot,<sup>7</sup> Karim Boudjema,<sup>8</sup> Audrey Coilly,<sup>9</sup> Filomena Conti,<sup>10</sup> Christophe Corpechot,<sup>11</sup> Christophe Duvoux,<sup>12</sup> François Faitot,<sup>6</sup> Stéphanie Faure,<sup>13</sup> Claire Francoz,<sup>14</sup> Emiliano Giostra,<sup>15</sup> Jean Gugenheim,<sup>16</sup> Jean Hardwigsen,<sup>17</sup> Marie-Noëlle Hilleret,<sup>18</sup> Jean-Baptiste Hiriart,<sup>19</sup> Pauline Houssel-Debry,<sup>20</sup> Nassim Kamar,<sup>21</sup> Guillaume Lassailly,<sup>3</sup> Marianne Latournerie,<sup>22</sup> Georges-Philippe Pageaux,<sup>13</sup> Didier Samuel,<sup>9</sup> Claire Vanlemmens,<sup>23</sup> Faouzi Saliba,<sup>9</sup> Jérôme Dumortier<sup>2,7,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Service d'Hépatologie et de transplantation hépatique, Institut d'Hépatologie de Lyon, Hôpital de la Croix Rousse, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; <sup>2</sup>Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France; <sup>3</sup>Service des Maladies de l'Appareil Digestif, Hôpital Claude Huriez, CHRU Lille, Lille, France; <sup>4</sup>Département de Médecine digestive, CHU Estaing, Clermont-Ferrand, France; <sup>5</sup>Service de chirurgie digestive, oncologique et Transplantation hépatique, Hôpital Trousseau, CHU Tours, Tours, France; <sup>6</sup>Service de chirurgie hépato-bilio-pancréatique et transplantation hépatique, CHRU Hautepierre, Strasbourg, France; <sup>7</sup>Fédération des Spécialités Digestives, Institut d'Hépatologie de Lyon, Hôpital Edouard Herriot, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; <sup>8</sup>Service de chirurgie hépatobiliaire et digestive, Hôpital Universitaire de Pontchaillou, Rennes, France; <sup>9</sup>Centre Hépato-Biliaire, Hôpital Paul Brousse, Université Paris Saclay, Unité Inserm 1193, AP-HP, Villejuif, France; <sup>10</sup>Sorbonne Université, CRSA, APHP, Unité Médicale de Transplantation Hépatique, Service d'Hépatogastroentérologie, Hôpital Pitié Salpêtrière, Paris, France; 11 Service d'Hépatologie, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, CHU Saint-Antoine, APHP, Paris, France; <sup>12</sup>Service d'Hépatologie, Hôpital Henri Mondor, APHP, Créteil, France; <sup>13</sup>Service d'Hépato-gastroentérologie et transplantation hépatique, CHU Saint-Eloi, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France; <sup>14</sup>Service d'Hépatologie et Transplantation Hépatique, Hôpital Beaujon, APHP, Clichy, France; <sup>15</sup>Service de Gastroentérologie et Hépatologie. Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Genève, Suisse: <sup>16</sup>Service de Chirurgie Digestive et Centre de Transplantation Hépatique, Université Côte d'Azur, CHU l'Archet, Nice, France; <sup>17</sup>Service chirurgie générale et transplantation hépatique, Hôpital La Timone, APHM, Marseille, France; <sup>18</sup>Service d'hépato-gastro-entérologie, CHU Grenoble-Alpes, Grenoble, France; <sup>19</sup>Service d'Hépatologie et de transplantation hépatique, CHU Haut-Lévêque, Pessac, France; <sup>20</sup>Service des maladies du Foie, Hôpital Universitaire de Pontchaillou, CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France; <sup>21</sup>Département de Néphrologie et Transplantation d'Organes, CHU Rangueil, Toulouse, France; <sup>22</sup>Service d'hépatologie et de gastroentérologie, CHU Dijon-Bourgogne, Dijon, France; <sup>23</sup>Service d'Hépatologie et Soins Intensifs Digestifs, CHU Jean Minjoz, Besançon

#### JHEP Reports 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2022.100668

**Background & Aims:** Liver transplantation (LT) is the only available treatment for end-stage non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) (related decompensated cirrhosis and/or hepatocellular carcinoma). The aim of our study was to evaluate the risk of disease recurrence after LT and the factors influencing it.

**Method:** This retrospective multicenter study included adults transplanted for NAFLD cirrhosis between 2000 and 2019 in 20 participating French-speaking centers. Disease recurrence (steatosis, steatohepatitis and fibrosis) was diagnosed from liver graft biopsies.

**Results:** We analyzed 150 patients with at least one graft liver biopsy available  $\geq 6$  months after transplantation, among 361 patients transplanted for NAFLD. The median (IQR) age at LT was 61.3 (54.4-64.6) years. The median follow-up after LT was 4.7 (2.8-8.1) years. The cumulative recurrence rates of steatosis and steatohepatitis at 5 years were 80.0% and 60.3%, respectively. Significant risk factors for steatohepatitis recurrence in multivariate analysis were recipient age at LT <65 years (odds ratio [OR] 4.214; p = 0.044), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol <1.15 mmol/L after LT (OR 3.463; p = 0.013) and grade  $\geq 2$  steatosis on the graft at 1 year after LT (OR 10.196; p = 0.001). The cumulative incidence of advanced fibrosis (F3–F4) was 20.0% at 5 years after LT and significant risk factors from multivariate analysis were metabolic syndrome before LT (OR 8.550; p = 0.038), long-term use of cyclosporine (OR 11.388; p = 0.031) and grade  $\geq 2$  steatosis at 1 year after LT (OR 10.720; p = 0.049). No re-LT was performed for NAFLD cirrhosis recurrence.

**Conclusion:** Our results strongly suggest that recurrence of initial disease after LT for NAFLD is inevitable and progressive in a large proportion of patients; the means to prevent it remain to be further evaluated.

**Impact and implications:** Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a growing indication for liver transplantation, but the analysis of disease recurrence, based on graft liver biopsies, has been poorly studied. Cumulative incidences of steatosis, steatohepatitis and NAFLD-related significant fibrosis recurrence at 5 years were 85.0%, 60.3% and 48.0%, respectively. Grade

E-mail address: jerome.dumortier@chu-lyon.fr (J. Dumortier).

<sup>03,</sup> Lyon, France; Tel.: (33) 4 72 11 01 11; fax: (33) 4 72 11 01 47.





Keywords: liver transplantation; NASH; metabolic syndrome; NAFLD recurrence; Bariatric surgery.

Received 23 July 2022; received in revised form 16 November 2022; accepted 7 December 2022; available online 3 January 2023

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Address: Pavillons L, Hôpital Edouard Herriot, 69437 Cedex

 $\geq$ 2 steatosis on graft biopsy at 1 year (present in 25% of patients) is highly predictive of recurrence of steatohepatitis and advanced fibrosis: bariatric surgery should be discussed in these patients specifically. © 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is now the most frequent chronic liver disease in the world, with a prevalence of nearly 24.1% in the USA adult population and 23.7% in Europe with many disparities: NAFLD affects an estimated 18.2% of the French population.<sup>1,2</sup> NAFLD is a spectrum of disease that ranges from non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL) to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) characterized by steatosis, inflammation, hepatocyte ballooning, and varying degrees of hepatic fibrosis, which may progress to cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease.<sup>3</sup> Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) can develop on a cirrhotic or noncirrhotic liver. Currently, no specific treatment is available for NAFLD and the only effective treatment is weight lost, for instance after bariatric surgery (BS).<sup>4</sup> Liver transplantation (LT) may be indicated in case of decompensated NAFLD-related cirrhosis and/or HCC. LT for NAFLD is a growing indication worldwide: it is the second leading cause of LT in the USA but remains less frequent in Europe.<sup>5,6</sup> In 2019, NAFLD represented 12% and 7.9% of all LTs in the UK and France, respectively.<sup>7,8</sup> Patient survival at 5 years after LT for NAFLD without HCC has been reported to be 75.4% in Europe compared to 75% for alcoholassociated liver disease and 80% for HBV-related disease.<sup>5</sup>

Few studies have analyzed the recurrence of NAFLD after LT, especially based on liver biopsy.<sup>9–12</sup> The persistence of metabolic syndrome (MS) factors after LT, and even its aggravation because of immunosuppressive treatment, may suggest a recurrence of the initial disease on the graft. NAFLD recurrence rates 5 years after LT are therefore estimated to be more than 80%. There is a need to better assess the prevalence of recurrence of NAFLD on the graft, its evolution and associated risk factors.

The aim of the present study, based on a large retrospective cohort, was to describe the recurrence of initial disease (steatosis, NASH and fibrosis) on the graft after LT for NAFLD, based on liver biopsies, and to identify the factors influencing it.

#### **Patients and methods**

#### **Study population**

We included all adult patients transplanted in all French LT centers and in Geneva (Switzerland), based on the national database of the French Agence de la Biomédecine (ABM) and local databases (the "NASH" item did not exist in the ABM thesaurus before January the 1<sup>st</sup> 2018). We first selected all patients transplanted between January the 1<sup>st</sup> 2000 to 31 December 2019 for "other causes of cirrhosis", "cirrhosis of unknown cause", "metabolic disease" or "HCC" and "NASH" disease after January 2018 in the ABM database. All medical records were reviewed and patients were finally included after histopathological examination of an available liver biopsy before LT, if there native liver was compatible with NAFLD cirrhosis, they had metabolic risk factors (diabetes, obesity or overweight, arterial hypertension) and no other confounding etiology (alcohol consumption >10 g per week, autoimmune disease, viral hepatitis, Wilson's disease or hemochromatosis). The aim of this study was to investigate the recurrence of the initial disease based on graft biopsies performed  $\geq 6$  months after LT: the population is defined as all transplanted patients with a graft biopsy  $\geq 6$  months after LT.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. According to French law (*Loi Jardé*), retrospective studies do not require Institutional Review Board approval.

#### Clinical and biological characteristics at the time of listing

Cirrhosis characteristics at the LT registration time were specified including model for end-stage liver disease score, Child-Pugh score and cirrhosis complications. Metabolic characteristics were recorded: dry weight at LT listing (without ascites or after paracentesis), height and highest lifetime BMI were collected. BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated from these height and weight values. Lipid profile, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and diabetes treatment history were collected. MS was defined according to the American Heart Association, replacing waist circumference with BMI over 30 kg/m<sup>2.13</sup> Data on cardiovascular (CV) events, CV check-up and pulmonary disease before LT were collected.

All patients received grafts from cadaveric or living donors. Donor characteristics (age, weight and BMI) were collected.

#### Follow-up after LT

Initial immunosuppressive regimen was based on a calcineurin inhibitor (CNI): cyclosporine (CYA) or tacrolimus. Induction therapy by polyclonal antibodies or anti-interleukin-2 receptor antibodies was mainly administered in case of acute kidney injury. Starting on postoperative day 1, methylprednisolone was tapered to reach a maintenance dose of 0 to 5 mg/day at 6 months post-transplantation. Azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) or sirolimus/everolimus (mTOR inhibitor [mTORi]) was either administered as part of an initial triple immunosuppressive regimen or introduced during follow-up as a maintenance immunosuppressive agent. Outpatient follow-up visits were usually conducted once a week during the first month after discharge from the hospital, twice a month during the second and third months, monthly for the rest of the first year, and every 3 or 12 months thereafter, regardless of the length of the observation period after LT. Additional visits were made when necessary. A complete laboratory investigation, including hematology, liver parameters, coagulation, electrolytes, total protein, renal parameters, fasting blood glucose, a lipid profile, and blood calcineurin inhibitor trough levels or mTOR-i levels, was conducted at each visit.

The prevalence of arterial hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia and specific associated drugs were recorded. CV events after LT (defined by coronary heart disease, stroke or cardiac arrhythmia, and cardiorespiratory arrest) were recorded. The presence of steatosis on non-invasive imaging exams (ultrasound or CT scan, MRI, liver stiffness measurement) was specified.

Biological standards are considered abnormal if they are above or below the laboratory standard. The end of follow-up corresponded to death, the last medical examination or date of loss to follow-up. All data were retrospectively collected until June 30<sup>th</sup> 2020.

#### Diagnosis of disease recurrence on liver graft

Histopathological data were collected from available liver graft biopsies. Depending on local center policy, protocol liver biopsy was performed after LT at 1, 2, 3, 5, 10 and 15 years in some. The liver pathology team at each LT center reviewed all biopsy samples. Steatosis was graded on a 0-3 semi-quantitative scale: (0) steatosis absent or in <5% of hepatocytes;<sup>1</sup> steatosis in up to one-third of hepatocytes;<sup>2</sup> steatosis in one to two-thirds of hepatocytes; and<sup>3</sup> steatosis in more than two-thirds of hepatocytes. The grading of NASH (inflammation and hepatocyte ballooning) was performed according to the SAF (steatosis, activity and fibrosis) scoring system.<sup>14</sup> The diagnosis of NASH was defined as a SAF activity score (presence of steatosis, ballooning and lobular inflammation according to the flip algorithm)  $\geq 2.^{14}$  Liver fibrosis was scored by NASH Clinical Research Network on a five-stage scale: (0) no fibrosis;<sup>1</sup> perisinusoidal zone 3 or periportal fibrosis (1A: mild, zone 3, perisinusoidal; 1B: moderate, zone 3, perisinusoidal; 1C: Portal/periportal);<sup>2</sup> perisinusoidal fibrosis with portal or periportal fibrosis;<sup>3</sup> perisinusoidal fibrosis with portal or periportal fibrosis with focal or porto-central bridging fibrosis; and<sup>4</sup> cirrhosis.<sup>15</sup> Significant and advanced fibrosis were defined as a liver fibrosis stage  $\geq$ F2 and  $\geq$ F3, respectively. For the analysis of fibrosis recurrence, we excluded possible factors that could aggravate fibrosis lesions such as cell rejection, or biliary obstacle. We excluded patients with post-LT alcohol consumption >10 g per week from the analysis of recurrence.

#### Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Data were described in their totality using median (IQR) or mean (SD) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables. Categorical variables were compared with the Chi-square or Fischer's exact tests and quantitative variables were compared using the Student's *t* test or non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney or Kruskall-Wallis tests) when appropriate.

The main event of interest was NAFLD recurrence. Steatosis, NASH and fibrosis recurrence rates were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Determination of the risk factors for NASH recurrence was performed using log-rank analysis or Mann-Whitney analysis (for quantitative variables). All significant variables in the univariate analysis with a level set at p < 0.1 were incorporated into multivariate models analyzed with binary logistic Cox regression. Logistic regression analyses (univariate and multivariate) were used to calculate the risk for liver steatosis, liver fibrosis and various parameters.

#### Results

## Study population and metabolic characteristics at time of listing for LT

One hundred and fifty patients underwent liver biopsy  $\geq 6$  months after LT, among our global cohort of 361 patients transplanted from all 19 French LT centers and Geneva (Switzerland) (*i.e.* 20 French-speaking centers). These patients with available liver biopsy do not differ from (except for the duration of post-LT follow-up) patients without graft biopsy regarding pre- and post-LT characteristics (Table 1). Patients were transplanted between January 2001 and June 2018. Median (IQR) follow-up after LT was 4.7 (2.8-8.1) years (range 0.7-18.7 years). More than half of the patients had MS when listed for LT. The median BMI at time of LT was 30.9 (26.7-33.9) kg/m<sup>2</sup> but the

median highest lifetime BMI was 35.0 (30.9-39.3) kg/m<sup>2</sup>. In patients transplanted for HCC, the median BMI at time of LT was 30.3 (26.8-34.5) kg/m<sup>2</sup> compared to 31.0 (27.3-33.3) kg/m<sup>2</sup> in patients transplanted for end-stage liver disease (ESLD: not statistically significant; p = 0.522). Thirty patients (20.0%) had at least one CV event before LT. Five patients (3.33%) had pre-LT angioplasty.

#### Metabolic syndrome after LT (Table 1 and Table S1)

After LT, 94.0% of patients had hypertension, with 24 cases of *de novo* arterial hypertension (representing 85.7% of patients at risk). *De novo* diabetes developed in 28.9% of patients at risk after LT: 74.0% of patients had diabetes in the LT follow-up. After LT, 78.0% had dyslipidemia or were receiving anti-lipid therapy. *De novo* obstructive sleep apnea syndrome was diagnosed in 10.7% of patients after LT.

The cumulative incidence of MS after LT at 1, 5 and 10 years was 73.5%, 86.2% and 92.5%, respectively (Fig. 1); 73.1% of patients had a BMI over 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 59.3% were obese during follow-up. The median [IQR] BMI at 1 year and 5 years after LT was 29.5 (26.6-33.6) kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 32.3 (28.2-35.5) kg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Five patients (3.3%) had a history of BS: three before LT and two after LT. The median time between BS and LT was 11.0 (8.2-11.8) years and the median weight loss after BS was 20.0 (10.0-35.0) kg. No complication of BS was reported in these patients, including ESLD secondary to BS. Two (1.3%) patients underwent a BS after LT, with the median time between LT and BS of 3.4 (3.2-3.5) years. Sleeve gastrectomy was the only type of BS performed after LT. A patient with NASH recurrence histologically proven at 1 year after LT underwent a sleeve gastrectomy at 3 years after LT, allowing for an improvement of the hepatic histology with complete regression of steatosis, NASH resolution and reduction of fibrosis stage from 3 to 2 on the liver biopsy 5 years after LT. A BS was under discussion for 10 (6.7%) patients at the end of follow-up.

Eight patients (5.3%) entered an intensive nutrition program (defined by specialized rehabilitation services) after LT.

#### NAFLD recurrence after LT and associated risk factors

One hundred and fifty patients underwent at least one liver graft biopsy after LT (222 liver biopsies in total, 94.3% performed as protocol biopsy). In centers performing protocol biopsies after LT, a liver graft biopsy was available at 1 year for 103 patients (out of 110 patients with  $\geq$ 12 months follow-up, *i.e* 93.6%), 25 at 5 years (out of 28 patients with  $\geq$ 5 years follow-up, *i.e.* 89.3%) and 12 at 10 years (out of 13 patients  $\geq$ 10 years follow-up, *i.e.* 92.3%) (Table S2). Cumulative incidence rates of steatosis, NASH and advanced fibrosis did not significantly differ when comparing the entire cohort and centers performing protocol biopsies (Fig. 2).

#### Steatosis recurrence on the graft (Fig. 2A-C and Table 2)

The cumulative recurrence of steatosis ( $\geq 5\%$  *i.e.*, all stages) was 68% at 1 year and 85.0% at 5 years (Fig. 2A). The cumulative incidence of grade 2 steatosis recurrence at 1 and 5 years after LT was 27.8% and 64.5%, respectively; one-third of recipients developed at least grade 2 steatosis (Fig. 2B). The cumulative incidence of grade 3 steatosis is shown in Fig. 2C. In multivariate analysis, only the BMI  $\geq$  31 kg/m<sup>2</sup> at time of LT (OR 2.727; 95% CI

Table 1. Characteristics of patients with liver biopsy before and after LT (on patient alive at 1-year n = 286).

	Whole cohort alive at 12 months after LT		Centers w prot	ith biopsy cocol			
	Patient with liver biopsy after LT (n = 150)	Patient without liver biopsy after LT (n = 136)	With liver biopsy (n = 103)	Without liver biopsy (n = 19)	<b>p</b> *	<b>p</b> **	<b>p</b> ***
Sex (M/F)	98/52	102/34	63/40	16/3	0.076	0.010	0.499
Median age at LT (years) (IQR)	61.3 (54.4-64.6)	61.9 (57.9-65.7)	61.1 (57.5-65.5)	62.7 (57.7-65.8)	0.066	0.178	0.770
HCC	77 (51.3%)	75 (55.1%)	45 (43.7%)	12 (63.2%)	0.519	0.464	0.233
Median MELD score at LT listing (IQR)	13.1 (9.1-21.1)	14.6 (8.1-21.6)	14.3 (9.7-21.0)	12.6 (6.0-23.0)	0.912	0.583	0.848
Median Child-Pugh score at LT listing (IQR)	B9 (B7–C12)	B9 (A6-C12)	C10 (B8-C12)	C10 (B7–C12)	0.448	0.587	0.498
Median follow-up (years) (IQR)	4.9 (3.0-8.3)	3.5 (2.3-6.2)	4.5 (2.9-7.4)	3.4 (1.7-4.9)	0.001	0.001	0.0001
Characteristics before LT							
BMI at LT time (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (IQR)	30.9 (26.7-33.9)	31.1 (26.8-34.2)	31.0 (27.2-33.9)	34.0 (31.2-37.6)	0.608	0.183	0.721
Metabolic syndrome	77 (51.3%)	84 (61.8%)	52 (50.5%)	15 (78.9%)	0.076	0.143	0.213
Diabetes mellitus	112 (74.7%)	103 (75.7%)	78 (75.7%)	17 (89.5)	0.835	0.077	0.341
Median HbA1c level (%) (IQR)	5.8 (5.1-7.3)	5.7 (5.1-6.7)	5.9 (5.1-6.6)	6.4 (5.6-7.2)	0.423	0.727	0.278
Arterial hypertension	122 (81.3%)	114 (83.8%)	77 (74.8%)	19 (100%)	0.664	0.067	0.146
Statin therapy	20 (13.3%)	16 (11.8%)	15 (14.6%)	4 (21.1%)	0.660	0.854	0.267
Fibrate therapy	4 (2.7%)	3 (2.2%)	3 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0.768	0.220	0.214
Bariatric surgery	5 (3.3%)	3 (2.2%)	4 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0.564	0.540	0.680
Cardiovascular history	30 (20.0%)	30 (22.1%)	20 (19.4%)	6 (31.6%)	0.147	0.549	0.212
Median serum creatinine level (µmol/L) (IQR)	80.5 (67.0-100.0)	85.0 (70.0-107.5)	80.0 (67.0-100.5)	77.0 (64.5-114.5)	0.146	0.434	0.487
Medium glomerular filtration rate (MDRD) (µmol/L) (IQR)	82.1 (60.3-106.7)	77.9 (58.7-100.8)	80.6 (59.8-106.5)	90.3 (60.2-104.7)	0.707	0.988	0.149
Characteristics after LT							
BMI at 1 year after LT (kg/ m <sup>2</sup> ) (IQR)	29.5 (26.6-33.6)	29.4 (26.4-32.0)	29.5 (25.8-33.8)	32.0 (29.9-34.9)	0.519	0.079	0.478
Diabetes post-LT	111 (74.0%)	99 (72.8%)	78 (75.7%)	19 (100%)	0.669	0.038	0.352
Arterial hypertension post-LT	141 (94.0%)	111 (81.6%)	96 (93.2%)	18 (94.7%)	0.245	0.198	0.458
Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs	81 (54.0%)	93 (68.3%)	53 (51.5%)	6 (31.6%)	0.321	0.541	0.254
Metabolic syndrome post- LT	127 (84.7%)	118 (86.8%)	86 (83.5%)	18 (94.7%)	0.180	0.890	0.176
Donor characteristics							
Median age (years) (IQR)	58.5 (43-68.3)	59.0 (47.0-69.0)	57.0 (44.0-69.5)	57.0 (53.0-65.0)	0.452	0.130	0.170
Sex (M/F)	73/48	70/36	45/24	7/4	0.691	0.120	0.240
Median BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (IQR)	24.8 (22.5-29.1)	24.8 (22.6-27.7)	24.9 (22.6-28.9)	24.3 (22.3-27.9)	0.555	0.257	0.360
Grade ≥1 steatosis	66 (44.0%)	42 (30.9%)	49 (47.6%)	9 (47.4%)	0.478	0.875	0.699
Grade ≥2 steatosis	13 (8.7%)	8 (5.9%)	9 (8.7%)	1 (5.3%)	0.247	0.743	0.547
Stage ≥1 fibrosis	36 (24.0%)	25 (18.4%)	16 (15.5%)	3 (15.8%)	0.364	0.788	0.272
Stage ≥2 Fibrosis	3 (2.0)	8 (5.9%)	2 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0.785	0.890	0.450

Univariate analysis was performed using log-rank analysis or Mann-Whitney analysis (for quantitative variables). All significant variables in the univariate analysis with a level set at *p* <0.1 were incorporated into multivariate models analyzed with binary logistic Cox regression. Values in bold are considered significant (<0.005). HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LT, liver transplantation; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease.

\* Comparison in whole cohort between patients with liver biopsy vs. no liver biopsy.

\*\* Comparison of patients in centers performing protocol biopsies (with and without biopsies).

\*\*\* Comparison of patients with liver biopsy in whole cohort with patients with liver biopsy in centers performing protocol biopsies.

1.048-7.098; p = 0.040) was significantly associated with the risk of steatosis recurrence. There was a trend for patients with unmanaged diabetes (defined as HbA1c ≥8%). We found no relationship with weight gain or BMI at 1 year after LT. At 1 and 5 years after LT, in patients with grade ≥1 steatosis on biopsy, 33.6% and 75.0%, respectively, had steatosis on ultrasound (performed at the same time as the liver biopsy). At 1 and 5 years after LT, in patients with grade ≥2 steatosis on liver biopsy, 63.2% and 100%, respectively, had steatosis on ultrasound (performed at the same time as the liver biopsy). Seven patients (4.7%) had non-invasive assessment of steatosis by controlled-attenuation parameter after LT.

NASH recurrence on the graft (Fig. 2D and Table 2)

The cumulative incidence of NASH recurrence was 14.9% at 1 year and 60.3% at 5 years post-LT (Fig. 2D). The median [IQR] delay between the LT and NASH recurrence was 2.07 (1.1-4.8) years. Of the 43 cases of NASH recurrence (28.7%), 33 patients (76.7%) had steatosis on ultrasound or CT scan.

The median values of alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase and gamma-glutamyltransferase at the diagnosis of NASH recurrence were respectively 35 (20-56) IU/L, 32.0 (22-44) IU/L and 43 (28-87) IU/L. Twenty-six (60.5%) patients had gamma-glutamyltransferase above the upper limit, 12 (27.9%) alanine aminotransferase above



Fig. 1. Cumulative incidence of metabolic syndrome after liver transplantation. The cumulative incidence of metabolic syndrome at 1, 5 and 10 years was 73.5%, 86.2% and 92.5%, respectively. There was no difference between patients with and without liver biopsy (p = 0.896). Kaplan-Meier analysis.

the upper limit and 10 (23.3%) aspartate aminotransferase above the upper limit at the time of diagnosis of NASH recurrence.

In multivariate analysis, risk factors for NASH recurrence were an age at the time of LT less than 65 years (OR 4.214; 95% CI 1.038-17.108; p = 0.044), a post-LT high-density lipoproteincholesterol level less than 1.15 mmol/L (OR 3.463; 95% CI 1.304-18.780; p = 0.019), grade 1 steatosis on the graft at 1 year after LT (OR 10.521; 95% Cl 2.127-52.046; p = 0.004), or grade 2 steatosis (OR 10.196; 95% Cl 3.553-29.257; p = 0.001). Donor characteristics (age, BMI or steatosis on the graft) were not associated with NASH recurrence..

# Recurrence of NAFLD-related fibrosis on the graft (Fig. 2E,F and Table 3)

The cumulative incidence of significant fibrosis (stage  $\geq 2$ ) after LT was 18.2% at 1 year, 48.0% at 5 years and 65.0% at 10 years (Fig. 2E). There was no significant difference between the entire cohort and the protocol centers in which biopsies were not clinically directed (p = 0.333). In multivariate analysis, risk factors for NAFLD-related significant fibrosis included a recipient age at time of LT <60 years (OR 2.368; 95% Cl 1.125-4.986; p = 0.023), an initial graft steatosis over grade 2 (OR 2.837; 95% Cl 1.266-6.358; p = 0.011) and the presence at 1 year after LT of grade  $\geq 1$  steatosis (OR 5.373; 95% Cl 1.693-18.121; p = 0.007), grade  $\geq 2$  steatosis (OR 4.596; 95% Cl 1.605-7.917; p = 0.002), grade  $\geq 3$  steatosis (OR 4.596; 95% Cl 2.013-13.519; p = 0.004) or NASH recurrence (OR 5.217; 95% Cl 2.013-13.519; p = 0.001).

Cumulative incidence of advanced fibrosis (F3–F4) was 20.0% at 5 years and 48.0% at 10 years (Fig. 2F). Six patients (4.0%) had recurrent NAFLD cirrhosis after LT after a median delay of 7.1 years; one patient presented decompensated cirrhosis 15 years after LT. Concerning NAFLD-related advanced fibrosis, risk factors identified in multivariate analysis were MS before LT (OR 8.550; 95% Cl 1.125-64.983; p = 0.038), long-term use of CYA (OR 11.388;

95% Cl 1.257-103.209; p = 0.031) and grade 2 steatosis at 1 year (OR 10.720; 95% Cl 1.006-114.259; p = 0.049). Initial graft steatosis does not affect the occurrence of advanced fibrosis. The age of the recipient did not influence the occurrence of advanced fibrosis.

Non-invasive evaluation of fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement was performed in nine patients (6.0%) during followup. Fig. 3 shows the cumulative incidence of advanced NAFLDrelated fibrosis according to the presence of at least one risk factor; without any risk factor, the risk of advanced fibrosis was estimated at 5.3% at 5 years compared to 58.3% with at list one risk factor (p = 0.001).

#### Discussion

We report herein the largest available cohort studying recurrence of initial disease after LT for NAFLD, including more than 150 patients with available post-LT liver biopsy. Previous singlecenter series reported only a few dozen cases.<sup>9–11,16</sup> We found that recurrence of NAFLD was observed in almost all patients 10 years after LT, that NASH recurrence occurred in more than half of the patients at 5 years, and that NAFLD-related significant and advanced fibrosis occurred in 48.0% and 20.0% at 5 years, respectively. The median follow-up of our patients was 4.7 years: this is because most LTs were performed after 2015 and protocol graft biopsies are usually planned at 1, 5 and 10 years. Our results, despite a median follow-up time of less than 5 years, strongly support a highly frequent recurrence of the initial disease. We previously reported that the survival of the overall cohort was 79.8% at 5 years.<sup>17</sup>

Since most of our patients had MS at time of LT, our results are not surprising. BMI at time of LT was high in our population: we tried where possible to use the dry, non-ascites weights of these patients. The impact of ascites seems minimal as there is no difference between patients transplanted for HCC and those transplanted for ESLD. The relatively low median Child-Pugh score may explain the small impact of ascites on body weight and BMI before LT. Estimation of MS in patients with ESLD may be underestimated due to possible disappearance of MS components in the advanced stages of liver disease. MS not only usually persists, but may worsen and occur de novo after LT. The aggravation of MS is mainly related to post-LT dyslipidemia: 62.6% of all patients developed de novo dyslipidemia after LT, probably favored by immunosuppressive drugs. In the context of LT (all etiologies of initial liver disease considered) MS incidence after LT is estimated to range between 44-58%.<sup>18</sup> Recurrence of MS after LT for NAFLD was estimated to be 62.5% at 5.4 years after LT in a US study, which is lower than our data.<sup>19</sup> This high proportion of MS recurrence/occurrence after LT can be explained by the pre-existing MS before LT, associated with immunosuppressive treatment, including CNI, mTOR-i and corticosteroids, which promote diabetes, dyslipidemia, arterial hypertension and weight gain.<sup>20</sup> The second explanation could be the very low proportion of patients who have undergone BS or a specialized nutritional rehabilitation program in our cohort.

Herein, we confirm the impact of MS on the recurrence of steatosis, NASH and fibrosis. Available studies come from the US, with only one European study investigating the recurrence of NAFLD on the graft, in 11 patients.<sup>11</sup> The largest available study included 34 patients, and disclosed that NAFLD and NASH

## **Research article**





#### Table 2. Risk factors for steatosis and NASH recurrence.

Universite         Multivariate analysis         Interactive         Multivariate analysis           Clinical characteristics before IT         0.269         0.534           Sex (M/M)         0.239         0.534           Age at DT time         0.015         0.034           Age 250 years         0.155         0.235           Age 250 years         0.275         0.004           Age 250 years         0.333         0.035           Age 250 years         0.337         0.237 (0.55-0.393);         0.337           Age 250 years         0.333         0.023         0.237 (0.55-0.593);         0.044'           Boll at T time         0.148         0.023         0.237 (0.55-0.593);         0.044'           Sign?*         0.032         0.237 (0.55-0.593);         0.044'         0.335           Sign?*         0.032         0.237 (0.55-0.593);         0.046'         0.035           Sign?*         0.032         0.272 (1.045-7.285);         0.040         0.0001         1.071 (7.273-5.835);         0.046'           Sign?*         0.022         2.277 (1.045-7.285);         0.040         0.0001         1.056 (0.25-0.287);         0.203         3.75 (1.16-30.277);         0.056'           Sign?*         0.020			Steatosis recurrence		NASH recurrence			
Other produce         OR (95% Cl)         produce         Oracle Action           Clinical characteristics before LT         0.029         0.034           Age al: 11 frame         0.012         0.004           Age al: 11 frame         0.043         0.073*           Age al: 11 frame         0.049         0.073*           Distart 11 frame         0.012         0.026           220 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.015         0.021           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.016         0.021           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.020         1.766 (0.764-257)*         0.021           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.015         0.021         0.021         0.021           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.015         0.021         0.021         0.021           25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.026         0.021         0.021         0.021           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.033		University	Multivariate ana	lysis	University	Multivariate analysis		
Official characteristics before LT         0.012         0.004           Age X1 Urine         0.012         0.004           Age X50 years         0.176         0.285           Age X50 years         0.377         0.088         1.470 (0.542-3988)"         0.429'           Age X50 years         0.377         0.089         1.673 (0.716-3.1838)"         0.237'           Age X50 years         0.377         0.089         0.377'         0.037'         0.037'           Mar IT Time         0.149         0.056         0.099         0.554         0.237'         0.056'           220 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.060         1.578 (1100-28.857)**         0.056'         0.0001         5.188 (1000-28.857)**         0.056''           231 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.000         1.566 (0.726-4.297)         0.210         0.0001         5.757 (116-28.270)*''         0.366''           232 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0001         1.578 (0.164 0.225)         0.040         0.221         0.575         0.116 - 22.70(''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''		<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	
Sex (MP)         0.269         0.634           Age at LT frame         0.145         0.235           Age So years         0.452         0.008         1.470 (0.542-3.988); 0.449           Age So years         0.452         0.008         1.470 (0.542-3.988); 0.237         0.237           Age So years         0.307         0.145-1.080; 0.237         0.237         0.237         0.237           Age So years         0.451         0.026         0.237 (0.145-1.080; 0.027         0.237         0.237           BMI at T time         0.169         0.237 (0.054-0.504)         0.021         0.237         0.0561         0.237 (0.054-0.504)         0.044         0.237 (0.054-0.504)         0.0561         0.237 (0.057         0.238         0.237 (0.057         0.238 (0.072         0.0561         0.238 (0.072         0.0561         0.0561         0.0361         0.0	Clinical characteristics before LT							
Age at 10 time         0.012         0.004           Age at 50 years         0.276         0.288         1.470 (0.542-398)"         0.449"           Age at 50 years         0.307         0.002         0.377 (1.076-3.183)"         0.247"           Age at 50 years         0.307         0.002         0.377 (1.076-3.183)"         0.247"           Age at 50 years         0.307         0.002         0.377 (1.076-3.183)"         0.027"           Age at 50 years         0.309         0.001         0.327 (1.058-0.694)         0.044"           Age at 50 years         0.309         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.001         0.005"         2.251 kg/m"         0.002         0.277 (1.078-58.354)"         0.005"         2.251 kg/m"         0.003         1.076 (0.726-4.297)"         0.210         0.001         5.755 (1.116-29.270)"         0.035"         2.351 kg/m"         0.003         1.066 (0.226-4.297)"         0.210         0.223         1.017 (2.073-58.354)"         0.035"         2.251 kg/m"         0.002         1.107 (2.073-58.354)"         0.035"         0.275         1.251 kg/m"         0.035         0.275         1.251 kg/m"         0.035         0.275         1.251 kg/m"         0.035         0.275         1.251 kg/m"         0.035         0.275<	Sex (M/F)	0.269			0.634			
Age 25 years       0.145       0.285         Age 25 years       0.452       0.049       1.673 (0.754-3.98);       0.233;         Age 26 years       0.383       0.035       0.037 (0.154-1.89);       0.023         Age 26 years       0.383       0.035       0.237 (0.154-1.89);       0.024         Age 26 years       0.387       0.041       0.035       0.237 (0.154-1.99);       0.044         BM at IT imm       0.490       0.0001       5.086 (1.000-28.857)**       0.005**         230 lgm?*       0.002       2.77 (1.048-7.098);       0.0001       5.086 (1.000-28.857)**       0.005**         321 lgm?*       0.002       2.77 (1.048-7.098);       0.0001       5.075 (1.116-29.20)**       0.005**         321 lgm?*       0.002       2.77 (1.048-7.098);       0.0001       5.715 (1.116-29.20)**       0.005**         323 lgm?*       0.002       1.766 (0.726-4.27)*       0.20       0.001       5.715 (1.116-29.20)**       0.005**         324 lgm?       0.001       1.766 (0.726-4.27)*       0.046       0.775 (0.286-2.08)       0.616         Activarianting betterini       0.416 (0.832-2.584)       0.185       0.575       0.516         Activarianting betterini       0.497       0.226       0.331	Age at LT time	0.012			0.004			
Age 25 years         0.276         0.098         1.470 (0.273-0.398);         0.449°           Age 26 years         0.307         0.002         0.377 (0.275-3.198);         0.049°           Age 26 years         0.337         0.002         0.377 (0.275-3.198);         0.0449°           Age 26 years         0.337         0.0	Age ≥50 years	0.145			0.285			
Age 26 by ears       0.452       0.040       1.073 (0716-3193)"       0.235'         Age 262 years       0.337       0.035       0.237 (0058-0.064)"       0.044'         200 kg/m²       0.051       0.054       0.054       0.054         200 kg/m²       0.051       0.054       0.054       0.054         200 kg/m²       0.051       0.056       0.000       5.086 (1200-28.857)"       0.056"         200 kg/m²       0.001       5.086 (1200-28.857)"       0.056"       0.056"         230 kg/m²       0.002       2.77 (1.048-7.095)"       0.040       0.0001       5.086 (1200-28.857)"       0.056"         232 kg/m²       0.002       1.766 (0.726-4.297)"       0.210       0.001       5.086 (1200-28.857)"       0.056"         Pre-LT fick flashin therapy       0.15       0.75 (0.286-2.098)       0.056       0.75 (0.286-2.098)       0.056         Pre-LT farctal phyterission       0.411       1.029 (0.501-2.115)       0.937       0.046       0.775 (0.286-2.098)       0.616         Pre-LT farctal phyterission       0.411       0.29 (0.501-2.115)       0.937       0.046       0.775 (0.286-2.098)       0.616         Pre-LT farctal phyterission       0.411       0.29 (0.501-2.115)       0.937       0.046	Age ≥55 years	0.276			0.098	1.470 (0.542-3.988)*	0.449*	
Age 26 Jyears         0.307         0.002         0.377 (0.058-10.89)*         0.073*           BMI at IT imme         0.149         0.020         0.237 (0.058-0.064)*         0.044*           225 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.051         0.217 (0.058-0.064)*         0.044*         0.020           225 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.051         0.215         0.051*         0.052*         0.051*         0.055*           253 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.062         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.000*         1.577.5 (1.16.92.7.0)**         0.005**           253 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.062         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.000**         0.015***         0.000***           253 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.062         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.030***         0.000***           254 (g/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.051         0.056         0.051         0.016***********************************	Age ≥60 years	0.452			0.040	1.673 (0.716-3.193)*	0.235*	
Age 26 years         0.383         0.035         0.237 (0.058-0.964)''         0.044           20 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.049         0.564         0.564         0.0001         5.086 (1.000-28.857)''         0.050''           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.017         0.028         0.0001         5.086 (1.000-28.857)''         0.005''           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.001         1.76 (0.726-4287)'         0.210         0.001         1.017 (2.073-85.38)''         0.005''           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.001         1.76 (0.726-4287)''         0.210         0.001         5.75 (1.116-29.270)''         0.005''           23 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.013         1.029 (0.501-2115)         0.837         0.836         0.616           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.115         0.237         0.936         0.775 (0.286-2.08)         0.616           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.131         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.08)         0.616           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.937         0.946         0.775 (0.286-2.08)         0.616         0.837           24 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.937         0.947         0.237         0.286-2.083         0.616           25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.437         0.333         0.57         0.57         0.57	Age ≥62 years	0.307			0.002	0.397 (0.145-1.089)*	0.073*	
Dath at L Ime         0.49         0.029           22b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.057         0.215         0.215           22b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.057         0.215         0.215           23b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.057         0.000         1.075 (0.022.857)**         0.005**           23b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.001         1.076 (0.726-4.257)*         0.040         0.001         1.57.15 (1.116-22.73)**         0.005**           23b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.018         0.020         0.021         0.016**         0.025**           23b (g)m <sup>2</sup> 0.018         0.020         0.021         0.016**         0.021           Pre-LT Inschin therapy         0.15         0.025         0.021         Pre-LT inschin therapy         0.15         0.026         0.75 (0.286-2.088)         0.616           Active synchron         0.43         1.025 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.466         0.775 (0.286-2.088)         0.616           Active synchron         0.431         1.025 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.466         0.775 (0.286-2.088)         0.616           Active synchron         0.431         1.025 (0.501-2.115)         0.575         0.277 (0.286-2.088)         0.616           Active synchron         0.421         1.027 (0.286-2.088)	Age ≥65 years	0.383			0.035	0.237 (0.058-0.964)*	0.044*	
220 kg/m²         0.809         0.964         0.964           230 kg/m²         0.062         0.075         0.0601         11.007 (2.073-56.538)*         0.056**           231 kg/m²         0.002         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.001         11.007 (2.073-56.538)*         0.035**           231 kg/m²         0.002         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.001         11.007 (2.073-56.538)**         0.035**           231 kg/m²         0.002         1.756 (0.726-4.237)*         0.210         0.001         11.007 (2.073-56.358)**         0.035**           235 kg/m²         0.028         0.575         0.661         1.029         0.051         1.029         0.040         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           240 kg/m²         0.043         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.837         0.836         1.029         0.631         1.029         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           240 kg/m²         0.943         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.837         0.334         1.029         0.334         1.029         0.351         1.029         0.351         1.029         1.029         0.351         1.029         1.029         0.351         1.029         1.037         1.039 <td< td=""><td>BMI at LI time</td><td>0.149</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.020</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	BMI at LI time	0.149			0.020			
223 kg/m²         0.0317         0.040         0.0001         1.007 (2.073-85.358)***         0.036**           231 kg/m²         0.002         2.2727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.0001         1.107 (2.073-85.358)***         0.036**           235 kg/m²         0.021         1.766 (0.726-4.237)*         0.210         0.001         1.076 (0.726-4.237)**         0.036**           235 kg/m²         0.201         0.210         0.021         0.233         0.757 (0.286-2.098)         0.036**           Pre-L1 Hick 27%         0.040         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before IT         0.810         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before IT         0.810         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Age 50 years         0.021         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575         1.046         0.575         0.267         0.575         0.277 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Age 50 years         0.021         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575         0.036***         2.159 year         0.026         0.051	$\geq 20 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.809			0.564			
Sol (g)n         0.002         2.727 (1.048-7.098)*         0.040         0.001         11.007 (207-8.85.39)**         0.030**           23 kg/m²         0.003         1.766 (0.726-4.237)*         0.210         0.001         11.007 (207-8.85.39)**         0.035**           23 kg/m²         0.003         1.766 (0.726-4.237)*         0.210         0.001         5.715 (1.116-29.270)**         0.035**           23 kg/m²         0.021         0.201         0.203         0.035**         0.035**           Pre-L1 field/interapy         0.15         0.227         0.448         0.832           Pre-L1 field/interapy         0.15         0.237         0.948         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active sunking before 1         0.810         0.337         0.948         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active sunking before 1         0.810         0.320         0.338         0.757 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active sunking before 1         0.810         0.320         0.338         0.331         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active sunking before 1         0.820         0.338         0.333         0.331         0.333         0.331         0.333         0.331         0.333         0.333         0.333	$225 \text{ Kg/III}^{-}$	0.817			0.215	E 006 (1000 20 0E7)**	0.050**	
2.3 g htt         0.003         2.7 ( 10.87 A.39)         0.001         5.7 (5 ( 1.116 - 29.276)**         0.036**           23 g htt         0.031         1.766 (0.726 - 4.257)         0.10         0.291         5.715 ( 1.116 - 29.276)**         0.036**           23 g htt         0.031         0.291         0.293         0.293         0.293         0.293           Pre-II field ress         0.052         0.297         0.297         0.297         0.297           Pre-II field respondence         0.043         1.029 (0.501 - 2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286 - 2.098)         0.616           Active synchronic synch	$250 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.050	2 727 (1 0/18-7 008)*	0.040	0.0001	5.080 (1.000-28.857) 11 017 (2 072-58 528)**	0.050	
ass beint         0.201         1.000 (0.120-12.01)         0.203         0.001         0.003           Pre-LT Hablets         0.038         0.8367         0.8367         0.8367           Pre-LT Hablets         0.038         0.8367         0.8367         0.8367           Pre-LT Hablets         0.0413         0.021         0.439         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.815         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking LT         0.421         0.436         0.936         0.775 (0.286-1.216)************************************	$>32 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.002	1766 (0726_4 297)*	0.040	0.0001	5 715 (1116-29 270)**	0.005	
pre-IT dick         0.538         0.856           Pre-IT dikets         0.002         0.621           Pre-IT insulin therapy         0.115         0.227           Pre-IT insulin therapy         0.115         0.439           Pre-IT insulin therapy         0.115         0.439           Pre-IT insulin therapy         0.116         0.439           Pre-IT insulin therapy         0.110         0.937         0.046           After snoking before IT         0.810         0.836         0.615           Donor characteristics	$>35 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.201	1.700 (0.720-4.297)	0.210	0.293	5.715 (1.110-25.270)	0.050	
pre-II Halves         0.108         0.892           Pre-II Insulin therapy         0.115         0.227           Pre-II matabolic syndrome         0.411         0.439           Pre-II matabolic syndrome         0.411         0.836           Domor characteristis         0.810         0.836           Active snoking before LT         0.810         0.836           Domor characteristis         0.810         0.757 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Domor characteristis         0.810         0.836         0.757 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Domor dearacteristis         0.821         0.810         0.575         1.661         0.836         0.757 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Domor dearacteristis         0.821         0.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.521         1.59 (0.994)         0.926         1.59 (0.994)         1.50 (0.994)         1.51 (0.994)         0.616         1.51 (0.994)         1.51 (0.994)         1.51 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         0.51 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994)         1.52 (0.994	Pre-IT HCC	0.538			0.856			
Pre-LT HAAL 27%         0.602         0.271           Pre-LT insuith herapy         0.115         0.272           Pre-LT arteilal hypertension         0.411         0.836           Pre-LT metal hypertension         0.411         0.836           Pre-LT metal-biokic symdrome         0.433         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.836           Domor Characteristics         0.836         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Age of the donor (years)         0.497         0.185         0.575           Age 270 years         0.821         0.651         0.51           Donor MU (kg/m?)         0.378         0.926         0.333           Craft statosis (25%)         0.162         0.937         0.054           Sig/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)'         0.955         0.001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.189***           Sig/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0002         1.313 (0.623-2.766)'         0.474         0.227         0.89***         0.199***           Sig/m <sup>2</sup> 0.002         1.313 (0.623-2.766)'         0.474         0.227         0.89***           Veight difference 1-year port-LT (kg)         0.418         0.527         0.019***         0.19****           Sig/m <sup>2</sup> 0.021	Pre-LT diabetes	0.108			0.892			
Pre-LT insulin therapy         0.115         0.272           Pre-LT metabolic syndrome         0.441         0.439           Pre-LT metabolic syndrome         0.431         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.120         0.120           Age 360 years         0.091         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575           Age 260 years         0.260         0.926         1.210         1.210           Onor age + recipient age (years)         0.185         0.373         0.926         1.313           2135 years         0.260         0.924         0.934         1.019         1.019           Graft steatosis (25%)         0.162         0.191         0.378         0.037         0.065         1.019 <td>Pre-LT HbA1c ≥7%</td> <td>0.602</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.621</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Pre-LT HbA1c ≥7%	0.602			0.621			
Pre-LT arterial hyperfension         0.411         0.403         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Pre-LT metabolic syndromo         0.443         1.029 (0.501-2.115)         0.937         0.836           Donor Characteristics          0.120         0.836         0.836           Age of the donor (years)         0.497         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575           Age 270 years         0.821         0.851         0.51           Donor MR (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.378         0.333         0.651           Donor MR (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.378         0.333         0.654         0.517           Onor MR (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.378         0.054         0.054         0.054           320 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.000         0.976 (0.426-2.240)'         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           320 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)'         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           320 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0002         1.137 (0.654-2.191)''         0.660         0.080         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           325 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0002         1.137 (0.652-2.01)'	Pre-LT insulin therapy	0.115			0.272			
Pre-Lin metabolic syndrome         0.031         0.046         0.775 (0.286-2.098)         0.616           Attive smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.836           Attive smoking before LT         0.810         0.836         0.836           Age of the donor (years)         0.497         .         0.120         .         .           Age 20 years         0.891         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575         .         .           2120 years         0.829         0.996         .         .         .         .           2120 years         0.282         0.994         .         .         .         .         .           2120 years         0.282         0.994         .         .         .         .         .         .         .           2135 years         0.829         0.095         .	Pre-LT arterial hypertension	0.411			0.439			
Active smoking before LT         0.810         0.836           Age af0 years         0.497         0.120           Age af0 years         0.891         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575           Age af0 years         0.821         0.651         0.575           Donor age + recipient age (years)         0.185         0.575         0.575           2120 years         0.260         0.926         0.333           Onor BMI (kg/m²)         0.378         0.333         0.575           Donor SMI (kg/m²)         0.378         0.333         0.571           Graft statosis (25%)         0.162         0.191         0.561           Orade 2.23 teatosis (25%)         0.162         0.191         0.561           Statosis (25%)         0.162         0.054         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           230 kg/m²         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.199***           235 kg/m²         0.0021         1.131 (0.623-2.2766)**         0.635         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199****           235 kg/m²         0.023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.635         0.511 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199****           101bere	Pre-LT metabolic syndrome	0.043	1.029 (0.501-2.115)	0.937	0.046	0.775 (0.286-2.098)	0.616	
Donor characteristics         0.497         0.120         0.120           Age of the donor (years)         0.061         0.651         0.651           Age 260 years         0.081         0.661         0.651           Donor age + recipient age (years)         0.185         0.926         0.9364           2120 years         0.280         0.934         0.9964           Donor M((kg/m²)         0.378         0.091         0.976           Craft setaotis (25%)         0.162         0.931         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***           Graft setaotis (25%)         0.000         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.054         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089**           230 kg/m²         0.000         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.000         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.199***           230 kg/m²         0.000         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.50         0.000         0.271 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           230 kg/m²         0.000         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.50         0.000         0.271 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           230 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.605         0.101         0.101           Lipe chart IP         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         <	Active smoking before LT	0.810			0.836			
Age of the donor (years)         0.497         0.120           Age of the donor (years)         0.091         1.66 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575           Age of the donor (years)         0.185         0.651         0.926         0.926           2120 years         0.200         0.926         0.926         0.934           2120 years         0.829         0.333         0.151         0.000           Graft statosis (253)         0.162         0.131         0.137         0.004         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           230 kg/m²         0.000         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           232 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           232 kg/m²         0.002         1.131 (0.654-2.191)*         0.567         0.567         0.199***         0.199***           231 kg/m²         0.002         1.137 (0.654-2.191)*         0.567         0.567         0.150         0.199***           235 kg/m²         0.023         1.131 (0.654-2.191)*         0.567         0.151         0.199***           235 kg/m²         0.023         0.330         0.527<	Donor characteristics							
Age 260 years         0.091         1.466 (0.832-2.584)         0.185         0.575           Donor age + recipient age (years)         0.185         0.651         0.926           ≥120 years         0.260         0.984         0.984           Donor BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )         0.378         0.333         0.162         0.191           Graft statosis         0.121         0.054         0.829         0.0654           Zi organ Statosis         0.121         0.054         0.829         0.084           Zi organ Statosis         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.054         0.089***           Zi organ Statosis         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.0560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           Zi organ Statosis         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           Zi organ Statosis         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.20)***         0.199***           Zi organ Statosis         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)**         0.665         0.199***         0.199***           Diabetes post-LT         0.452         0.577         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.199***	Age of the donor (years)	0.497			0.120			
Age 270 years       0.851         ≥120 years       0.260         ≥135 years       0.226         ≥135 years       0.829         ≥135 years       0.829         Onor BM (kg/m²)       0.378         Graft statosis (25%)       0.162         Oraf E2 Statosis       0.121         Metabolic events after LT       0.054         BM at 1 year after LT       0.002         BM at 1 year after LT       0.002         230 kg/m²       0.002         0.022       1.197 (0.654-2.240)*       0.955         232 kg/m²       0.002       1.197 (0.654-2.240)*       0.560         232 kg/m²       0.002       1.197 (0.654-2.191)*       0.560         Diabeters post-LT (kg)       0.148       0.635       1.197         Velgim²       0.635       0.527       1.197         Diabeters post-LT       0.454       0.566       1.101         Diabeters post-LT       0.454       0.566       1.101         Diabeters post-LT       0.474       0.566       1.101         Diabeters post-LT       0.474       0.566       1.101         Diabeters post-LT       0.363       0.161       1.101         Diabeters post-LT <td>Age ≥60 years</td> <td>0.091</td> <td>1.466 (0.832-2.584)</td> <td>0.185</td> <td>0.575</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age ≥60 years	0.091	1.466 (0.832-2.584)	0.185	0.575			
Donor age + recipient age (years)         0.185           ≥120 years         0.260         0.926           ≥135 years         0.829         0.934           Donor BMI (kg/m²)         0.378         0.333           Graft steatosis (>5.5%)         0.162         0.191           Graft steatosis (>5.5%)         0.121         0.037           Metabolic events after LT         0.008         0.0076 (0.426-2.240)*         0.055         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           ≥30 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.189***           ≥32 kg/m²         0.022         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           ≥32 kg/m²         0.022         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.277         0.286-1216)***         0.189***           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.560         0.008         0.527         1.197           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.587         0.519         1.197         1.197           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.561         0.519         1.197         1.197           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.563 </td <td>Age ≥70 years</td> <td>0.821</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.651</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Age ≥70 years	0.821			0.651			
≥120 years       0.260         ≥135 years       0.829         Donor BMI (kg/m²)       0.378         Craft steatosis (≥5%)       0.162         Grade ≥2 steatosis       0.121         Metabolic events after LT       0.137         BMI at 1 year after LT       0.008         S20 kg/m²       0.0001         0.023       1.313 (0.623-2.240)*       0.955         232 kg/m²       0.0023       1.313 (0.623-2.766)*       0.008         ≥35 kg/m²       0.002       1.97 (0.624-2.91)*       0.560       0.008         ≥35 kg/m²       0.002       1.97 (0.624-2.91)*       0.560       0.008       0.521 (0.193-1.409)***       0.199***         ≥35 kg/m²       0.002       1.97 (0.624-2.91)*       0.560       0.008       0.521 (0.193-1.409)***       0.199***         Diabetes post-LT       0.474       0.265       0.567       0.571       0.474       0.265         DiL-< <td>2.370 mmol/L post-LT       0.474       0.560       0.463 (1.301-9.20)       0.013         HbAte ≥37       0.333       0.300       0.330       0.330       0.330         HbAte ≥7%       0.333       0.331       0.59       0.519       0.519         IbAte ≥7%       0.337&lt;</td> <td>Donor age + recipient age (years)</td> <td>0.185</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2.370 mmol/L post-LT       0.474       0.560       0.463 (1.301-9.20)       0.013         HbAte ≥37       0.333       0.300       0.330       0.330       0.330         HbAte ≥7%       0.333       0.331       0.59       0.519       0.519         IbAte ≥7%       0.337<	Donor age + recipient age (years)	0.185					
2135 years       0.829       0.984         Conor BMI (kg/m²)       0.378       0.333         Graft steatosis (25%)       0.162       0.191         Gradt 22 steatosis       0.121       0.137         Metabolic events after LT       0.008       0.054         S30 kg/m²       0.000       0.976 (0.426-2.240)*       0.955       0.0001       0.277 (0.286-1.216)***       0.089***         232 kg/m²       0.002       1.197 (0.654-2.191)*       0.560       0.008       0.521 (0.193-1.409)***       0.199***         235 kg/m²       0.002       1.131 (0.623-2.766)*       0.474       0.227       0.199***         Veight difference 1-year post-LT       0.974       0.635       0.635       1.101       0.635         Jaterial hypertension post-LT       0.474       0.527       0.537       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.013       0.330       1.013       1.012       1.012       1.013       1.012       0.527       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.012       1.0133       1.0133       1.0133<	≥120 years	0.260			0.926			
Donor DMI (kg/m²)         0.378         0.333           Graft steators:         0.137           Graft steators:         0.121         0.137           Metabolic events after LT         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           ≥30 kg/m²         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.26)***         0.089***           ≥35 kg/m²         0.0023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227         0.023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.265           Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.635         0.587         0.561         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.565         0.561         0.561         1.010         0.561           DL-c ≥3.70 mmol/L post-LT         0.474         0.566         0.150         1.676 (0.939-2.990)         0.080         0.194           HbAit c ≥7%         0.333         0.330         0.463         1.301         0.629           CYA         0.375         0.934         1.576 (0.939-2.990)         0.665         1.57           Immosuppressive regimen         0.629 <t< td=""><td><math>\geq</math>135 years</td><td>0.829</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.984</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	$\geq$ 135 years	0.829			0.984			
Charl Statusis (20.5)         0.102         0.137           Metabolic events after LT         .0.0054         .0.054           BM at 1 year after LT         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           230 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           232 kg/m²         0.002         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227	Donor BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.378			0.333			
Minket 22 Markands         0.121         0.127           BMI at 1 year after LT         0.008         0.054           230 kg/m²         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           232 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           235 kg/m²         0.002         1.131 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227         0.028         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           Veight differnce 1-year post-LT (kg)         0.148         0.635	Grade >2 steatosis	0.162			0.191			
BMI at 1 year after LT         0.008         0.054         0.054           ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           ≥32 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.002         1.137 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193 - 1.409)***         0.199***           ≥35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> 0.023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227         0.77 (0.286-1.216)***         0.099***           Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.805         0.587         1.110         1.110         1.110         1.110         1.110         1.1111         1.111         1.111         1.111         1.111         1.111         1.111         1.111         1.1111         1.1111         1.1111         1.1111	Metabolic events after LT	0.121			0.137			
≥30 kg/m²         0.0001         0.976 (0.426-2.240)*         0.955         0.0001         0.277 (0.286-1.216)***         0.089***           ≥32 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           ≥35 kg/m²         0.002         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227         0.210 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           Weight difference 1-year post-LT (kg)         0.148         0.805         0.635         0.635           Diabetes post-LT         0.474         0.527         0.587         0.777 (0.286-1.216)***         0.19***           Arterial hypertension post-LT         0.474         0.527         0.587         0.527         0.587           LDL-c 23.70 mmol/L post-LT         0.474         0.526         0.566         0.013         0.013           HbAt c 25.5%         0.205         0.050         3.463 (1.301-9.20)         0.013         0.161           HbAt c 25.5%         0.205         0.330         0.330         0.150         1.197         0.655           Immuosuppressive regimen         1         0.629         0.571         0.675         0.571         0.571         0.571         0.571         0.573         0.571         0.573         <	BMI at 1 year after LT	0.008			0.054			
≥32 kg/m²         0.002         1.197 (0.654-2.191)*         0.560         0.008         0.521 (0.193-1.409)***         0.199***           ≥35 kg/m²         0.023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227         0.474         0.237           Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.635         0.635         0.635         0.635           Arterial hypertension post-LT         0.452         0.587         0.566         0.008         0.463 (1.301-9.20)         0.013           HDL-c <1.15 mmol/L post-LT	≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.0001	0.976 (0.426-2.240)*	0.955	0.0001	0.277 (0.286-1.216)***	0.089***	
235 kg/m²         0.023         1.313 (0.623-2.766)*         0.474         0.227           Weight difference 1-year post-LT (kg)         0.148         0.805           Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.635           Arterial hypertension post-LT         0.452         0.587           IDL-c 23.70 mmol/L post-LT         0.447         0.506           HDL-c <1.15 mmol/L	$\geq 32 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.002	1.197 (0.654-2.191)*	0.560	0.008	0.521 (0.193-1.409)***	0.199***	
Weight difference 1-year post-LT (kg)         0.148         0.805           Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.635           Arterial hypertension post-LT         0.452         0.527           TG ≥1.7 mmol/L post-LT         0.474         0.566           HDL-c >3.70 mmol/L post-LT         0.474         0.566           HDL-c >1.5 mmol/L         0.402         0.050 <b>3.463 (1.301-9.220) 0.013</b> HbAt ≥ 6.5%         0.205         0.150	≥35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.023	1.313 (0.623-2.766)*	0.474	0.227			
Diabetes post-LT         0.974         0.635           Arterial hypertension post-LT         0.452         0.587           TG ≥1.7 mmol/L post-LT         0.248         0.527           LD_L c ≥3.70 mmol/L post-LT         0.474         0.566           HDL-c <1.15 mmol/L	Weight difference 1-year post-LT (kg)	0.148			0.805			
Arterial hypertension post-LT $0.452$ $0.587$ TG ≥ 1.7 mmol/L post-LT $0.248$ $0.527$ LDL-c > 2.70 mmol/L post-LT $0.474$ $0.566$ HDL-c < 1.15 mmol/L	Diabetes post-LT	0.974			0.635			
TG ≥1.7 mmol/L post-LT       0.248       0.527         LDL-c ≥3.70 mmol/L post-LT       0.474       0.566         HDL-c <1.15 mmol/L	Arterial hypertension post-LT	0.452			0.587			
LDL-c ≥3.70 mm0/L post-LT       0.474       0.566         HDL-c <1.15 mm0/L	TG ≥1.7 mmol/L post-LT	0.248			0.527			
HDL<       0.402       0.050       3.463 (1.301-3.220)       0.013         HbA1c ≥6.5%       0.205       0.150       0.150       0.150         HbA1c ≥8%       0.052       1.676 (0.939-2.990)       0.080       0.194       0.055         Immunosupressive regimen       0.655       0.055       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.098       0.0052       0.011       0.001	LDL-c $\geq$ 3.70 mmol/L post-LT	0.474			0.566	2 462 (1 201 0 220)	0.012	
HbA1c $\geq 5.\%$ 0.205       0.150         HbA1c $\geq 7\%$ 0.333       0.330         HbA1c $\geq 8\%$ 0.052       1.676 (0.939-2.990)       0.080       0.194         Metabolic syndrome post-LT       0.363       0.655       0.655         Immunosuppressive regimen       0.101       0.629       0.77         TAcrolimus       0.101       0.629       0.675         CYA       0.375       0.934       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       5       0.673       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       0.329       0.573       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       0.329       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       0.329       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       0.329       0.377       0.573       0.914       0.910         Complications after LT       0.573       0.573       0.573       0.910       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047 <td< td=""><td>HDL-C <math>&lt; 1.15 \text{ mmol/L}</math></td><td>0.402</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.050</td><td>3.463 (1.301-9.220)</td><td>0.013</td></td<>	HDL-C $< 1.15 \text{ mmol/L}$	0.402			0.050	3.463 (1.301-9.220)	0.013	
HbA1c 27%       0.052       1.676 (0.939-2.990)       0.080       0.194         Metabolic syndrome post-LT       0.363       0.655         Immunosuppressive regimen       0.163       0.098         MMF       0.101       0.629         CYA       0.375       0.934         mTOR-i       0.930       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       54tin therapy       0.985       0.519         Fibrate therapy       0.329       0.377       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       0.057       0.573       0.573       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047       1.831 (0.883-3.795)       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       U       0.573       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.050       0.764 (0.444-1.313)       0.330       0.420         OSAS       0.056       1.130 (0.605-2.109)       0.701       0.363         Acute rejection       0.627       0.587       0.587	HDA1C $\geq 0.5\%$	0.205			0.150			
Instructions       0.002       Instructions       0.003         Metabolic syndrome post-LT       0.363       0.655         Immunosuppressive regimen       0.098         MMF       0.101       0.629         CYA       0.375       0.934         mTOR-i       0.930       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT       5       0.519       0.573         Statin therapy       0.985       0.573       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047       1.831 (0.883-3.795)       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       U       U       U       U       U         CV events       0.050       0.764 (0.444-1.313)       0.330       0.420       0.363         Acute rejection       0.627       0.587       0.587       0.587	HbA1c $\geq 7\%$	0.555	1676 (0939-2990)	0.080	0.330			
Immunosuppressive regimen         0.008           Tacrolimus         0.163         0.098           MMF         0.101         0.629           CYA         0.375         0.934           mTOR-i         0.930         0.675           CST         0.071         0.337 (0.074-1.547)         0.162         0.307           AZA         0.377         0.673         0.673           Specifics therapies after LT           Statin therapy         0.985         0.519           Fibrate therapy         0.329         0.377           Insulin therap         0.157         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         U         U         U           Cvevents         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363	Metabolic syndrome post-LT	0.363	1.070 (0.555 2.550)	0.000	0.655			
Tacrolimus       0.163       0.098         MMF       0.101       0.629         CYA       0.375       0.934         mTOR-i       0.930       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT         Statin therapy       0.985       0.519         Fibrate therapy       0.329       0.377         Insulin therap       0.157       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047       1.831 (0.883-3.795)       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       U       U       U       U       U         SAS       0.056       1.130 (0.605-2.109)       0.701       0.363         Acute rejection       0.627       0.587       0.587	Immunosuppressive regimen	0.000			0.000			
MMF       0.101       0.629         CYA       0.375       0.934         mTOR-i       0.930       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT         Statin therapy       0.985       0.519         Fibrate therapy       0.329       0.377         Insulin therap       0.157       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047       1.831 (0.883-3.795)       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       U       U       U       U       U         SAS       0.056       1.130 (0.605-2.109)       0.701       0.363         Acute rejection       0.627       0.587       0.587	Tacrolimus	0.163			0.098			
CYA         0.375         0.934           mTOR-i         0.930         0.675           CST         0.071         0.337 (0.074-1.547)         0.162         0.307           AZA         0.377         0.673         0.673           Specifics therapies after LT         0         0.519         0.519           Fibrate therapy         0.329         0.377         0.162         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         Complications after LT           CV events         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587         0.587	MMF	0.101			0.629			
mTOR-i       0.930       0.675         CST       0.071       0.337 (0.074-1.547)       0.162       0.307         AZA       0.377       0.673       0.673         Specifics therapies after LT         Statin therapy       0.985       0.519         Fibrate therapy       0.329       0.377         Insulin therap       0.157       0.573         Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs       0.047       1.831 (0.883-3.795)       0.104       0.910         Complications after LT       U       U       U       U       U         Stati n therap       0.050       0.764 (0.444-1.313)       0.330       0.420       U         OSAS       0.056       1.130 (0.605-2.109)       0.701       0.363       Acute rejection       0.627       U       0.587	СҮА	0.375			0.934			
CST         0.071         0.337 (0.074-1.547)         0.162         0.307           AZA         0.377         0.673         0.673           Specifics therapies after LT         5         0.519         0.519           Statin therapy         0.329         0.377         0.377           Insulin therap         0.157         0.573         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         CV events         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363         Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	mTOR-i	0.930			0.675			
AZA         0.377         0.673           Specifics therapies after LT	CST	0.071	0.337 (0.074-1.547)	0.162	0.307			
Specifics therapies after LT         0.519           Statin therapy         0.329         0.377           Insulin therap         0.157         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT           CV events         0.056         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         587         0.587	AZA	0.377			0.673			
Statin therapy         0.985         0.519           Fibrate therapy         0.329         0.377           Insulin therap         0.157         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         CV events         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         587         0.587	Specifics therapies after LT							
ribrate therapy         0.329         0.377           Insulin therap         0.157         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT           CV events         0.056         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587         0.587	Statin therapy	0.985			0.519			
Insum merap         0.157         0.573           Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.883-3.795)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         USSON         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	Fibrate therapy	0.329			0.377			
Dysnpriceme of npric drugs         0.047         1.831 (0.863-5.793)         0.104         0.910           Complications after LT         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	Insuin therap Dyslinidemia or linid drugs	0.15/	1 821 (0 902 2 70F)	0 10 4	0.573			
CV events         0.050         0.764 (0.444-1.313)         0.330         0.420           OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	Complications after IT	0.04/	1.031 (0.003-3./93)	0.104	0.910			
OSAS         0.056         1.130 (0.605-2.109)         0.701         0.363           Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	CV events	0.050	0 764 (0 444-1 313)	0330	0.420			
Acute rejection         0.627         0.587	OSAS	0.056	1.130 (0.605-2.109)	0.701	0.363			
	Acute rejection	0.627			0.587			

(continued on next page)

#### Table 2 (continued)

	St	Steatosis recurrence			NASH recurrence				
	Univariate	Multivariate analysis		Univariate	Multivariate analysis				
	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value			
Disease recurrence on the graft at 1	year								
Grade ≥1 steatosis				0.002	10.521 (2.127-52.046)****	0.004****			
Grade ≥2 steatosis				0.0001	10.196 (3.553-29.257)****	0.001****			
Grade 3 steatosis				0.0001	2.729 (0.845-8.815)****	0.093****			

Each variable was tested in univariate analysis. All variables with a *p* value <0.010 were retained for the multivariate model. Univariate analysis was performed using log-rank analysis or Mann-Whitney analysis (for quantitative variables). All significant variables in the univariate analysis with a level set at *p* <0.1 were incorporated into multivariate models analyzed with binary logistic Cox regression. Values in bold are considered significant (<0.005). AZA, azathioprine; CNI, calcineurin inhibitor; CST, corticosteroids; CYA, cyclosporine A; CV, cardiovascular; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LT, liver transplantation; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; mTOR-i, mTOR inhibitor; TG, trichweride

triglyceride. \* Because these variables are not independent, different multivariate analysis models were tested.

#### Table 3. Risk factors for significant and advanced fibrosis recurrence.

	Significant fibrosis (grade ≥2)				Advanced fibrosis (grade 3-4)	
	Univariate	Multivariate and	alysis	Univariate	Multivariate analysis	
	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value
Clinical characteristics before LT						
Sex (M/F)	0.850			0.691		
Age at LT time	0.034			0.008		
Age ≥50 years	0.105			0.0001	6.436 (0.316-103.912)*	0.226*
Age ≥55 years	0.160			0.001	2.083(0.249-17.411)*	0.498*
Age ≥60 years	0.068	0.422 (0.201-0.889)	0.023	0.022	0.492 (0.076-3.186)*	0.457*
Age ≥62 years	0.101			0.066	0.965 (0.153-6.069)*	0.970*
Age ≥65 years	0.214			0.225		
BMI at LT time	0.121			0.068		
≥20 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.530			0.873		
≥25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.628			0.059		
≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.080	1.161 (0.417-3.233)*	0.775*	0.005	4.546 (0.154-112.25)**	0.952**
≥31 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.013	1.569 (0.580-4.243)*	0.375*	0.006	3.848 (0.268-55.191)**	0.321**
$\geq$ 32 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.007	1.738 (0.657-4.594)*	0.265*	0.005	1.591 (0.001-2486)**	0.902**
≥35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	0.289			0.688		
HCC pre-LT	0.285			0.016	0.175 (0.016-1.896)	0.152
Pre-LT diabetes	0.378			0.413		
Pre-LT HbA1c ≥7%	0.246			0.826		
Pre-LT insulin therapy	0.600			0.646		
Pre-LT arterial hypertension	0.733			0.187		
Pre-LT metabolic syndrome	0.425			0.069	8.550 (1.125-64.983)	0.038
Active smoking before LT	0.677			0.447		
Donor characteristics	=.					
Age of the donor (years)	0.074			0.552		
Age ≥60 years	0.713			0.828		
Age ≥70 years	0.648			0.868		
Donor age + recipients age (years)	0.016			0.099		
≥120 years	0.376			0.476		
$\geq$ 135 years	0.648			0.452		
Donor BIVII (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.170	1 004 (0 015 2 022)**	0.000**	0.161	4 454 (1 257 102 200)	0.120
Grade 22 steatosis	0.005	1.894 (0.915-3.923)	0.080	0.099	4.454 (1.257-103.209)	0.126
Glade 22 Stealosis	0.028	2.837 (1.200-0.338)	0.011	0.005		
Metabolic events alter Li	0.121			0.010		
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n$	0.121	1100 (0 442 2 240)***	0 702***	0.019	2 578 (0 002 6102)	0 727
$250 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.007	1.198 (0.445-5.240)	0.725	0.001	5.578 (0.002-0102)	0.757
$252 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.013	1.547 (0.679-5.525)	0.299	0.059		
255 Kg/III Weight difference 1 year post IT (kg)	0.130			0.100		
Diabetes post-IT	0.325			0.438		
Arterial hypertension post_IT	0.459			0.539		
TC >17 mmol/L nost-LT	0.003			0.054		
$IDI_c > 3.70 \text{ mmol/L post-LT}$	0.244			0.890		
HDI-c $<115$ mmol/I	0.726			0.030		
HbA1c $> 6.5\%$	0.127			0.324		
	0.127			0.021		

(continued on next page)

#### Table 3 (continued)

	Significant fibrosis (grade ≥2)				Advanced fibrosis (grade 3-4		
-	Inivariate	Multivariate ana	lysis	Univariate	Multivariate analysis		
	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	<i>p</i> value	OR (95% CI)	p value	
HbA1c ≥7%	0.190			0.606			
HbA1c ≥8%	0.249			0.109			
Insulin therapy	0.901			0.420			
Metabolic syndrome post-LT	0.641			0.739			
Immunosuppressive regimen							
Tacrolimus	0.370			0.756			
MMF	0.738			0.971			
СҮА	0.990			0.005	11.388 (1.257-103.209)	0.031	
mTOR-i	0.554			0.466			
CST	0.277			0.934			
AZA	0.681			0.873			
CNI-free therapy	0.370			0.756			
Specifics therapies after LT							
Statin therapy	0.290			0.840			
Fibrate therapy	0.733			0.769			
Insulin therapy	0.901			0.420			
Dyslipidemia or lipid drugs	0.681			0.680			
Complications after LT							
OSAS	0.650			0.954			
Acute rejection	0.973			0.656			
Disease recurrence on the graft at 1 year							
Grade ≥1 steatosis	0.0001	5.373 (1.593-18.121)****	0.007****	0.103			
Grade ≥2 steatosis	0.0001	3.564 (1.605-7.917)****	0.002****	0.001	10.720 (1.006-114.259)	0.049	
Grade 3 steatosis	0.001	4.596 (1.630-12.961)****	0.004****	0.109			
NASH recurrence	0.0001	5.217 (2.013-13.519)****	0.001****	0.131			

Univariate analysis was performed using log-rank analysis or Mann-Whitney analysis (for quantitative variables). All significant variables in the univariate analysis with a level set at p < 0.1 were incorporated into multivariate models analyzed with binary logistic Cox regression. Values in bold are considered significant (<0.005).

Each variable was tested in univariate analysis. All variables with a p value < 0.010 were retained for the multivariate model.

AZA, azathioprine; CNI, calcineurin inhibitor; CST, corticosteroids; CYA, cyclosporine A; CV, cardiovascular; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HDLc, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LT, liver transplantation; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; mTOR-i, mTOR inhibitor; OSAS, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome; TG, triglyceride.

\* Because these variables are not independent, different multivariate analysis models were tested.

recurrence occurred in 88% and 44% of patients, respectively, after a median follow-up of 47 months after LT.<sup>10</sup> Our study is based on 150 patients with at least one liver biopsy performed after LT: recurrence of steatosis was frequent and early, since the recurrence rate of NASH was 60.3% at 5 years after LT. Not all patients from the global cohort had a liver biopsy, and one of the limitations of our work could be a selection bias regarding the indication for liver biopsies (disturbed liver tests, steatosis on imaging or clinical arguments). Nevertheless, there was no difference between patients who had a biopsy and those who did not, except that the median follow-up was a little bit longer for patients with available biopsy (4.9 vs. 3.5 months). In addition, liver biopsies were in most cases (>90%) protocol biopsies at 1, 5 and 10 years, according to different centers strategy: this high proportion of protocol biopsy reduces the risk of a possible selection bias. There was no difference in recurrence of steatosis, NASH and advanced fibrosis between the whole population with graft biopsy and patients with protocol biopsy after LT (Fig. 2 and Table S2). Finally, recurrence of initial graft disease was diagnosed on liver biopsies interpreted at each of the LT centers. All the biopsies were not reviewed centrally, but histological lesions were graded (and reported) according to standard validated scores. In addition, less than 10 patients underwent non-invasive investigation of steatosis by controlled-attenuation parameter and fibrosis by liver stiffness measurement or blood tests: these tests are not validated after LT<sup>21,22</sup> and the small number of patients did not allow for statistical analyses.

In the available literature, studies on NAFLD recurrence need to be interpreted with caution: some studies included cryptogenic cirrhosis and/or assessment of fibrosis/steatosis on the graft by imaging methods, identifying therefore only steatosis (not NASH), with a significant lack of sensitivity. Our study finds a poor correlation between histologically proven steatosis and imaging, especially for grade 1 steatosis. Few studies (and only some patients included in each study) have analyzed results of liver graft biopsies.<sup>9,10,23,24</sup> In a meta-analysis including 17 studies (2,378 patients), the cumulative incidence of recurrent NAFLD and NASH was 82% and 38%, respectively, more than 5 years after LT.<sup>12</sup> Recurrence of steatosis and NASH has an impact on graft fibrosis. After elimination of other causes of fibrosis, the cumulative incidence of significant fibrosis was 48.0% at 5 years and 65.0% at 10 years in our study. In the study from Bhati et al., NALFD-significant fibrosis recurrence was estimated to be 42.2% at 47 months, and this is consistent with our results.<sup>9</sup> No re-transplantation for recurrence of the initial disease was performed in our cohort. This low rate of re-LT is consistent with the literature: in a study of 1,295 patients, only one re-LT was performed and none in the study by Bhati et al..<sup>9,25</sup> Recurrence of cirrhosis occurred a median of 7 years after LT in our study, and therefore probably occurs in elderly transplanted patients, over 70 years old, who are generally considered too old for a second LT.

Our study did not aim to compare patients with NAFLD to a non-NAFLD population. However, in the literature, few studies have analyzed recurrence *vs.* development of *de novo* NAFLD on the graft (*i.e.* in patients transplanted for non-NAFLD cirrhosis).<sup>11</sup>

## **Research article**



**Fig. 3. Cumulative incidence of advanced fibrosis according to the presence of risk factors (based on 150 liver biopsies).** Risk factors considered for analysis: Pre-LT metabolic syndrome, grade ≥2 steatosis at 1 year after LT and long-term use of cyclosporine. In the group with risk factors, incidence of advanced fibrosis was 5.3% at 1 year after LT, 58.3% at 5 years and 77.7% at 10 years. In the group without risk factors, incidence of advanced fibrosis was 0.0% at 1 year, 5.3% at 5 years and 48.4% at 10 years after LT. The difference is significant between the two groups of patients (p = 0.001). Kaplan-Meier analysis. LT, liver transplantation.

In a retrospective study, 80 patients, transplanted for non-NAFLD cirrhosis (alcohol-related disease with no relapse after LT, HBV or HCV infection) and presenting *de novo* NAFLD after LT, were compared to 11 patients with recurrent NAFLD. Advanced fibrosis (71.4% vs. 12.5%) and NASH (71.4% vs. 17.2%) were more frequent and faster in patients with recurrent NAFLD compared to *de novo* NAFLD. These data were confirmed by a meta-analysis: at 5 years after LT, NASH recurrence was estimated at 38% vs. 17% for *de novo* NASH.<sup>12</sup> A recent study confirmed that the development of recurrent NASH is earlier than *de novo* NASH (2.8 years vs. 4.8 years, p = 0.02).<sup>26</sup>

Since our study shows a rapid and frequent recurrence of the initial disease on the graft and an evolution towards advanced fibrosis, it is of great relevance to identify risk factors in order to limit disease recurrence and/or progression. Few studies, which evaluated disease recurrence of NAFLD, are summarized in Table S3: only some of them have identified risk factors for recurrence of steatosis or fibrosis. Factors associated with recurrence of the initial disease on the graft can be separated into three categories: components of the MS (BMI before and after LT, dyslipidemia and diabetes), factors related to immunosuppressive drugs (CYA or corticosteroids) and demographic factors (such as the age at transplantation). Patient

factors are not modifiable, and it is therefore necessary to try to manage the other factors to decrease the risk of disease recurrence on the graft. Regarding MS, intensive nutritional management is required along with treatment of diabetes, dyslipidemia and hypertension. The benefit of BS has been demonstrated in non-transplanted patients: in a recent French study, at 5 years after BS, NASH had resolved in 84% of patients with an improvement of liver fibrosis in 76% of patients.<sup>4</sup> Several small studies in patients who had received LT are available-though the timing for BS and type of BS has to be defined.<sup>27-29</sup> BS could probably be discussed in a majority of patients after LT. Current data suggest that sleeve gastrectomy could be performed 6 to 12 months after LT: this BS type allows access to the bile ducts and a good absorption of immunosuppressants. In our study, only five patients had history of BS, including only two patients after LT. According to the French Haute Autorité de Santé criteria, BS is indicated in cases of a BMI greater than 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, or 35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with metabolic complications (NASH, hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, type 2 diabetes, disabling osteoarticular diseases).<sup>30</sup> Taking these criteria, 41 (27.3%) of our patients met these criteria at 1 year after LT (personal data): from whom 21 have grade 2 steatosis on liver biopsy at 12 months. One of the explanations for the low proportion of BS in our population is probably the relatively old age of the patients: the expected benefit of BS is validated in patients with an age below 65 years.<sup>31</sup> Nevertheless, several recent studies have suggested that BS could be performed in patients over 65 years of age, with follow-up of up to 6 years and good efficacy on weight, physical activity and biological parameters.<sup>32–34</sup> Another possibility needing further evaluation in these "elderly" patients would be endoscopic BS that could also be an alternative approach.<sup>35</sup> Another explanation may be reluctance on the part of the patient or surgeon to perform a BS after a LT. Nevertheless, current data, based on series of small numbers, show good results of BS after LT.<sup>27,29</sup> The second modifiable factor after LT is immunosuppressive treatments: all maintenance immunosuppressive drug classes (CNI, mTOR-i and corticosteroids) have a deleterious metabolic profile except antimetabolites (MMF and azathioprine). MMF monotherapy is possible but only in selected patients a long time after LT and can therefore not be generally recommended.<sup>36</sup> Avoiding steroids and minimizing CNI and/or mTOR-i is feasible but probably has minimal impact. We believe it is essential to reduce the dosage of immunosuppressive drugs to a minimum, and to perform a liver biopsy at 12 months after LT to determine steatosis. Recurrence of grade 2 steatosis on the graft at 12 months seems to be strongly associated with both recurrence of NASH and advanced fibrosis: we suggest discussing BS in these patients, who represent about a quarter of patients transplanted for NAFLD cirrhosis in our population.

In conclusion, we report data on the largest cohort of patients with NAFLD recurrence after LT for whom liver biopsies were available. The recurrence of steatosis and steatohepatitis on the graft was rapid and very frequent. Several associated factors have been identified and need to be confirmed in other large studies. The performance of a protocol biopsy at 12 months after LT appears to be key to identify patients at risk of progression to NASH or advanced fibrosis. In these patients with grade 2 steatosis, representing about 25% of patients, BS should be discussed. The management of metabolic factors and the place of BS need to be evaluated to reduce the risk of recurrence of the initial disease in this exponentially growing population of LT patients.

#### Abbreviations

ABM, Agence de la Biomédecine; BS, bariatric surgery; CNI, calcineurin inhibitor; CST, corticosteroid; CV, cardiovascular; CYA, cyclosporine; ESLD, end-stage liver disease; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; LT, liver transplantation; MS, metabolic syndrome; mTOR-i, mTOR inhibitor; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

#### **Financial support**

Bourse d'appels offres à recherche 2018-Agence de la Biomédecine.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest that pertain to this work. Please refer to the accompanying ICMJE disclosure forms for further details.

#### **Authors' contributions**

Jérôme Dumortier had the idea of the project and participated in analysis and interpretation of data. François Villeret collected the data. François Villeret and Domitille Erard performed statistical analysis. François Villeret and Jérôme Dumortier participated in writing of the manuscript. François Villeret Sébastien Dharancy, Domitille Erard, Armand Abergel, Louise Barbier, Camille Besch, Olivier Boillot, Karim Boudjema, Audrey Coilly, Filomena Conti, Christophe Corpechot, Christophe Duvoux, François Faitot, Stéphanie Faure, Claire Francoz, Emiliano Giostra, Jean Gugenheim, Jean Hardwigsen, Marie-Noëlle Hilleret Jean-Baptiste Hiriart, Pauline Houssel-Debry, Nassim Kamar, Guillaume Lassailly, Marianne Latournerie, Georges-Philippe Pageaux, Didier Samuel, Claire Vanlemmens, Faouzi Saliba and Jérôme Dumortier were involved in medical care of the patients and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Data availability statement

Due to the sensitive nature of the questions asked in this study, survey respondents were assured raw data would remain confidential and would not be shared.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank all LT teams that participated in this study.

#### Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/1 0.1016/j.jhepr.2022.100668.

#### References

- Paik JM, Golabi P, Younossi Y, Mishra A, Younossi ZM. Changes in the global burden of chronic liver diseases from 2012 to 2017: the growing impact of NAFLD. Hepatol Baltim Md 2020;72:1605–1616.
- [2] Nabi O, Lacombe K, Boursier J, Mathurin P, Zins M, Serfaty L. Prevalence and risk factors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and advanced fibrosis in general population: the French nationwide NASH-CO study. Gastroenterology 2020;159:791–793.e2.
- [3] Sheka AC, Adeyi O, Thompson J, Hameed B, Crawford PA, Ikramuddin S. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a Review. JAMA 2020;323:1175–1183.
- [4] Lassailly G, Caiazzo R, Ntandja-Wandji L-C, Gnemmi V, Baud G, Verkindt H, et al. Bariatric surgery provides long-term resolution of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and regression of fibrosis. Gastroenterology 2020;159:1290–1301.e5.
- [5] Haldar D, Kern B, Hodson J, Armstrong MJ, Adam R, Berlakovich G, et al. Outcomes of liver transplantation for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: a European Liver Transplant Registry study. J Hepatol 2019;71:313–322.

- [6] Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Ong J, Trimble G, AlQahtani S, Younossi I, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Is the Most Rapidly Increasing Indication for Liver Transplantation in the United States. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol Off Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterol Assoc 2021;19:580–589.e5.
- [7] National Health Service Blood and Transplant. Annual report on liver transplantation. 2020.
- [8] Agence de la Biomédecine. Le rapport médical et scientifique 2020 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://rams.agence-biomedecine.fr/.
- [9] Bhati C, Idowu MO, Sanyal AJ, Rivera M, Driscoll C, Stravitz RT, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients undergoing liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis-related cirrhosis. Transplantation 2017;101:1867–1874.
- [10] Bhagat V, Mindikoglu AL, Nudo CG, Schiff ER, Tzakis A, Regev A. Outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis versus patients with cirrhosis due to alcoholic liver disease. Liver Transpl Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transpl Soc 2009;15:1814–1820.
- [11] Vallin M, Guillaud O, Boillot O, Hervieu V, Scoazec J-Y, Dumortier J. Recurrent or de novo nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after liver transplantation: natural history based on liver biopsy analysis. Liver Transpl Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transpl Soc 2014;20:1064–1071.
- [12] Saeed N, Glass L, Sharma P, Shannon C, Sonnenday CJ, Tincopa MA. Incidence and Risks for Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Steatohepatitis Post-liver Transplant: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Transplantation 2019;103:e345–e354.
- [13] Alberti KGMM, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the international diabetes federation task force on epidemiology and prevention; national heart, lung, and blood institute; American heart association; world heart federation; international atherosclerosis society; and international association for the study of obesity. Circulation 2009;120:1640–1645.
- [14] Bedossa P, Poitou C, Veyrie N, Bouillot J-L, Basdevant A, Paradis V, et al. Histopathological algorithm and scoring system for evaluation of liver lesions in morbidly obese patients. Hepatol Baltim Md 2012;56:1751–1759.
- [15] Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol Baltim Md 2005;41:1313–1321.
- [16] Malik SM, deVera ME, Fontes P, Shaikh O, Ahmad J. Outcome after liver transplantation for NASH cirrhosis. Am J Transpl Off. J. Am. Soc. Transpl Am. Soc. Transpl. Surg 2009;9:782–793.
- [17] Villeret F, Dharancy S, Erard D, Abergel A, Barbier L, Besch C, et al. Liver transplantation for NAFLD cirrhosis: Age and recent coronary angioplasty are major determinants of survival. Liver Int Off J Int Assoc Study Liver 2022;42:2428–2441.
- [18] Siddiqui MS, Sterling RK. Posttransplant metabolic syndrome. Int J Hepatol 2012;2012:891516.
- [19] Malik SM, deVera ME, Fontes P, Shaikh O, Sasatomi E, Ahmad J. Recurrent disease following liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis cirrhosis. Liver Transpl 2009;15:1843–1851.
- [20] Carter D, Dieterich DT, Chang C. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in liver transplantation. Clin Liver Dis 2018;22:213–227.
- [21] Siddiqui MS, Idowu MO, Stromberg K, Sima A, Lee E, Patel S, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Vibration-Controlled Transient Elastography in Liver Transplant Recipients. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol Off Clin Pract J Am Gastroenterol Assoc 2021;19:367–374.
- [22] Bhat M, Tazari M, Sebastiani G. Performance of transient elastography and serum fibrosis biomarkers for non-invasive evaluation of recurrent fibrosis after liver transplantation: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12:e0185192.
- [23] Yalamanchili K, Saadeh S, Klintmalm GB, Jennings LW, Davis GL. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after liver transplantation for cryptogenic cirrhosis or nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Liver Transpl Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transpl Soc 2010;16:431–439.

## **Research article**

- [24] Sourianarayanane A, Arikapudi S, McCullough AJ, Humar A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis recurrence and rate of fibrosis progression following liver transplantation. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;29:481–487.
- [25] Agopian VG, Kaldas FM, Hong JC, Whittaker M, Holt C, Rana A, et al. Liver transplantation for nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: the new epidemic. Ann Surg 2012;256:624–633.
- [26] Balitzer D, Tsai J-H, Gill RM. Clinicopathologic features of de novo nonalcoholic steatohepatitis in the post-transplant setting. Diagn Pathol 2022;17:65.
- [27] Serrano OK, Peterson KJ, Vock DM, Berglund D, Kandaswamy R, Lake JR, et al. Clinical Impact of Antecedent Bariatric Surgery on Liver Transplant Outcomes: A Retrospective Matched Case-control Study. Transplantation 2021;105:1280–1284.
- [28] Tsamalaidze L, Stauffer JA, Arasi LC, Villacreses DE, Franco JSS, Bowers S, et al. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for morbid obesity in patients after orthotopic liver transplant: a matched case-control study. Obes Surg 2018;28:444–450.
- [29] Zamora-Valdes D, Watt KD, Kellogg TA, Poterucha JJ, Di Cecco SR, Francisco-Ziller NM, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients undergoing simultaneous liver transplantation and sleeve gastrectomy. Hepatol Baltim Md 2018;68:485–495.
- [30] Haute Autorité de Santé. Obésité:prise en charge chirurgicale chez l'adulte [Internet]. 2009. Available from: https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/ application/pdf/2011-10/reco2clics\_obesite\_adulte\_chirurgie.pdf.

- [31] Mechanick JI, Youdim A, Jones DB, Timothy Garvey W, Hurley DL, Molly McMahon M, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the perioperative nutritional, metabolic, and nonsurgical support of the bariatric surgery patient—2013 update: cosponsored by American association of clinical endocrinologists, the obesity society, and American society for metabolic & bariatric surgery. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2013;9:159–191.
- [32] Cunha JB, Fialho MCMP, Arruda SLM, Nóbrega OT, Camargos EF. Clinical and metabolic improvement after bariatric surgery in older adults: a 6year follow-up. J Nutr Health Aging 2020;24:865–869.
- [33] Susmallian S, Barnea R, Weiss Y, Raziel A. Outcome of bariatric surgery in older patients. Surg Obes Relat Dis Off J Am Soc Bariatr Surg 2018;14:1705–1713.
- [34] Goldberg I, Yang J, Nie L, Bates AT, Docimo S, Pryor AD, et al. Safety of bariatric surgery in patients older than 65 years. Surg Obes Relat Dis Off J Am Soc Bariatr Surg 2019;15:1380–1387.
- [35] Salomone F, Sharaiha RZ, Boškoski I. Endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: evidence and perspectives. Liver Int 2020;40:1262–1268.
- [36] Lassailly G, Dumortier J, Saint-Marcoux F, El Amrani M, Boulanger J, Boleslawski E, et al. Real life experience of mycophenolate mofetil monotherapy in liver transplant patients. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2021;45:101451.

Journal of Hepatology, Volume 5

## Supplemental information

# Inevitability of disease recurrence after liver transplantation for NAFLD cirrhosis

François Villeret, Sébastien Dharancy, Domitille Erard, Armand Abergel, Louise Barbier, Camille Besch, Olivier Boillot, Karim Boudjema, Audrey Coilly, Filomena Conti, Christophe Corpechot, Christophe Duvoux, François Faitot, Stéphanie Faure, Claire Francoz, Emiliano Giostra, Jean Gugenheim, Jean Hardwigsen, Marie-Noëlle Hilleret, Jean-Baptiste Hiriart, Pauline Houssel-Debry, Nassim Kamar, Guillaume Lassailly, Marianne Latournerie, Georges-Philippe Pageaux, Didier Samuel, Claire Vanlemmens, Faouzi Saliba, and Jérôme Dumortier

# Disease recurrence after liver transplantation for NAFLD cirrhosis is ineluctable

François Villeret, Sébastien Dharancy, Domitille Erard, Armand Abergel, Louise Barbier, Camille Besch, Olivier Boillot, Karim Boudjema, Audrey Coilly, Filomena Conti, Christophe Corpechot, Christophe Duvoux, François Faitot, Stéphanie Faure, Claire Francoz, Emiliano Giostra, Jean Gugenheim, Jean Hardwigsen, Marie-Noëlle Hilleret, Jean-Baptiste Hiriart, Pauline Houssel-Debry, Nassim Kamar, Guillaume Lassailly, Marianne Latournerie, Georges-Philippe Pageaux, Didier Samuel, Claire Vanlemmens, Faouzi Saliba, Jérôme Dumortier

Table of contents

Table S1	2
Table S2	3
Table S3	4

# Table S1. Distribution of components of MS before and after LT in patients with liver biopsy in follow-up (n=150).

	Before LT	After LT			
Paramotors	Presence or not	Number of	Presence	Absence	
<u>r arameters</u>	<u>r resence or not</u>	patients			
Arterial hypertension	Presence	122 (81.3%)	117	5	
<u>Antonial hypottonolon</u>	Absence	28 (18.7%)	24	4	
Diabotos	Presence	112 (74.7%)	100	12	
Diabetes	Absence	38 (25.3%)	11	27	
Obesity*	Presence	86 (57.3%)	71	15	
<u>obcony</u>	Absence	64 (42.7%)	18	46	
Dyslipidemia or lipid	Presence	28 (18.7%)	24	4	
drugs **	Absence	122 (81.3%)	94	28	
Metabolic syndromo	Presence	77 (51.3%)	69	8	
inclusione syndrome	Absence	73 (48.7%)	58	15	

LDL-c: Low-Density Lipoproteins; LT: Liver Transplantation; TG: triglycerides.

\*: Defined by a BMI greater than  $30 kg/m^2$ 

\*\*: Defined by the presence of statin or fibrate therapy, or the presence of an increase in LDL-c > 2g/L or/and TG > 1.5G/L

		<u>All pa</u>	<u>tients</u>		Centers with biopsy protocol				
	Number of	Steatosis ≥	NASH	Fibrosis stage	Number of	Steatosis ≥	NASH	Fibrosis stage	
	patients	grade 2		≥F2	patients	grade 2		≥F2	
1 year after LT	126	22 (17.5%)	13 (10.3%)	20 (15.9%)	103	20 (19.4%)	9 (8.7%)	18 (17.4%)	
3 years after LT	43	17 (39.5%)	13 (30.2%)	15 (34.9%)	31	13 (41.9%)	10 (32.3%)	14 (45.2%)	
5 years after LT	36	16 (44.4%)	14 (38.9%)	17 (47.2%)	25	11 (44.0%)	9 (36.0%)	12 (48.0%)	
10 years after LT	17	9 (52.9%)	8 (47.1%)	11 (64.7%)	12	6 (50.0%)	5 (41.7%)	9 (75.0%)	

LT: Liver Transplantation; NASH: Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis

# Table S3. Recurrence of Initial Graft Disease after Liver Transplantation for NAFLD Cirrhosis (Major studies with liver histological evaluation).

<u>Studies</u>	Follow- up after	Steatosis recurrence on	NASH recurrence on	Advances fibrosis	Risk factors recur	s for NAFLD rence	Risk factor recu	rs for NASH rrence	Risk factors fibrosis r	for advanced ecurrence
	LT (years)	liver biopsies	liver biopsies	on liver biopsies	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis	Univariate analysis	Multivariate analysis
Bhagat and al. (2009) <sup>1</sup>	0.5	21/64 (32.8%)*		0/64 (0%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yalamanchili <i>and al.</i> (2010) <sup>2</sup>	1 5 10	3/18 (18%) 8/18 (44%)	0/18 (0%) 1/18 (6%) 3/18 (16%)	0/18 1/18 (5.5%) 2/18 (11.1%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dureja <i>and al</i> . (2011) <sup>3</sup>	0.9	34/88 (38.6%)	9/88 (10.2%)	3/88 (3,4%)	BMI pre-LT BMI post-LT Corticoids Post-LT triglycerides Post-LT Diabetes Arterial hypertension	BMI pre-LT (OR: 1.15) BMI post-LT (OR: 1.22) Post-LT triglycerides (OR: 1.01)	-	-	-	-
Malik <i>and al.</i> (2009) <sup>4</sup>	1.2	55/79 (69.6%)	19/79 (24.1%)	7/79 (7.6%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vallin <i>and al.</i> (2014) <sup>5</sup>	1 5	11/11 (100%) 7/7 (100%)	9/11 (81.8%) 5/7 (71.4%)	2/11 (18.2%) 5/7 (71.4%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sourianarayanane and <i>al.</i> (2017) <sup>6</sup>	1 3 5	7/44 (16%)* 4/18 (22%)* 6/17 (35%)*	3/44 (6.8%) 4/18 (22.2%) 5/17 (29.4%)	1/44 (2.3%) 0/18 (0%) 1/17 (5.9%)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bhati <i>and al.</i> (2017) <sup>7</sup>	3.9	30/34 (88,2%)	14/34(41.2%)	7/34 (20.6%)	Post-LT Diabetes Post-LT triglycerides	-	Post-LT Diabetes Post-LT triglycerides	-	-	-
Villeret <i>and al</i> . (2022)	1 5	81/150 (62.0%)** 104/150 (85.0%)**	10/150 (10.4%)** 34/150 (60.3%)**	0/150 (0.0%)** 10/150 (20.0%)**	BMI at LT Pre-LT MS Donors Age > 60Y BMI at 1 year post LT Post-LT Hb1Ac > 8% lipid drugs	BMI pre-LT (OR: 2.7)	Age at LT BMI pre-LT BMI at 1 year Post-LT HDLc < 1.15mmol/L Steatosis recurrence at 1 year	Age < 65y at LT (OR:4.214) Post-LT HDLc < 1.15mmol/L (OR : 3.463) Grade 2 Steatosis recurrence at 1 year (OR :10.196)	Age at LT BMI pre-LT Pre-LT MS No HCC at LT BMI at 1 year Long term CYA Grade 2 steatosis recurrence at 1 year	Pre-LT MS (OR : 8.6) Long term CYA (OR: 11.4) Grade 2 steatosis recurrence at 1 year (OR : 10.7)

\* Steatosis between 34-66%.

\*\* Cumulative incidence rate

BMI: Body Mass Index; CYA: CYA: cyclosporine A; HCC: Hepatocellular Carcinoma; HDL-c: High-Density Lipoproteins Cholesterol; LDL-c: Low-Density Lipoproteins; LT: Liver

Transplantation; MS: Metabolic Syndrome; OR: Odds ratio.

#### Supplementary references

1. Bhagat V, Mindikoglu AL, Nudo CG, Schiff ER, Tzakis A, Regev A. Outcomes of liver transplantation in patients with cirrhosis due to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis versus patients with cirrhosis due to alcoholic liver disease. Liver Transplant. Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transplant. Soc. 2009;15:1814–1820.

 Yalamanchili K, Saadeh S, Klintmalm GB, Jennings LW, Davis GL. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after liver transplantation for cryptogenic cirrhosis or nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Liver Transplant. Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transplant. Soc. 2010;16:431–439.

3. Dureja P, Mellinger J, Agni R, Chang F, Avey G, Lucey M, et al. NAFLD recurrence in liver transplant recipients. Transplantation. 2011;91:684–689.

4. Malik SM, deVera ME, Fontes P, Shaikh O, Ahmad J. Outcome after liver transplantation for NASH cirrhosis. Am. J. Transplant. Off. J. Am. Soc. Transplant. Am. Soc. Transpl. Surg. 2009;9:782–793.

5. Vallin M, Guillaud O, Boillot O, Hervieu V, Scoazec J-Y, Dumortier J. Recurrent or de novo nonalcoholic fatty liver disease after liver transplantation: natural history based on liver biopsy analysis. Liver Transplant. Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Study Liver Dis. Int. Liver Transplant. Soc. 2014;20:1064–1071.

6. Sourianarayanane A, Arikapudi S, McCullough AJ, Humar A. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis recurrence and rate of fibrosis progression following liver transplantation: Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.2017;29:481–487.

7. Bhati C, Idowu MO, Sanyal AJ, Rivera M, Driscoll C, Stravitz RT, et al. Long-term Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Liver Transplantation for Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis-Related Cirrhosis. Transplantation. 2017;101:1867–1874.