

Supporting Information for

Tunable Heteroassembly of a Plant Pseudoenzyme—Enzyme Complex

AUTHORS

Irina V. Novikova^{1*}, Mowei Zhou^{1*}, Chen Du^{2,3}, Marcelina Parra⁴, Doo Nam Kim⁵, Zachary L. VanAernum^{2,3}, Jared B. Shaw¹, Hanjo Hellmann⁴, Vicki H. Wysocki^{2,3} and James E. Evans^{1,4*}

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

² Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

³ Resource for Native Mass Spectrometry Guided Structural Biology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA.

⁴ School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, 99164, USA

⁵ Biological Science Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

Corresponding Author E-mail: james.evans@pnnl.gov,

irina.novikova@pnnl.gov, mowei.zhou@pnnl.gov

Contents: Supplementary Figures 1-20 and Supplementary Tables 1-3

```
>tagged PDX1.2
MDYKDHDGDYKDHDIDYKDDDDKLAGGGGSGGGGSADQAMTDQDQGAVTLYSGTAITDAKKNHPFSVKVGLAQVLRGGAIIVEVSSV
NQAKLAESAGACSVIVSDPVRSRGGVRRMPDPVLIKEVKRAVSVPMARARVGHFVEAQIILESLAVDYIDSEIISVADDDHFINK
HNFSPFICGCRDTGEALRRIREGAAMIRIQGDLTATGNIAETVKNVRSMLGVEVRVLNNMDDDEVFTFAKKISAPYDLVAQTKQMG
RVPVVQFASGGITTPADAALMMQLGCDGVFVGSSEVFDGPDFFKKLRSIVQAVQHYNDPHVLAEMSSGLENAMESLNVRGDRIQDFG
QGSV*
```


```
> tagged PDX1.3
MDYKDHDGDYKDHDIDYKDDDDKLAGGGGSEGTGVVAVYNGAITEAKKSPFSVKVGLAQMLRGGVIMDVVNAEQARIAEEAGACA
VMALERVPADIRAQGGVARMSDPQMIKEIKQAVTIPVMAKARIGHFVEAQILEAIGIDYIDSEVLTLADEDHHINKHNFRIPFVC
GCRNLGEALRRIREGAAMIRTKGEAGTGNIEAVRHVRSVNGDIRVLRNMDDDEVFTFAKKLAAPYDLVMQTKQLGRLPVVQFAAG
GVATPADAALMMQLGCDGVFVGSIGIFKSGDPARRARAIQAVTHYSDPEMLVEVSCGLGEAMVGINLNDEKVERFANRSE*
```

```
> tag-free PDX1.1
MAGTGVVAVYGEAMTETKQKSPFSVKVGLAQMLRGGVIMDVVNAEQARIAEEAGACAVMALERVPADIRAQGGVARMSDPEMIKE
IKNAVTIPVMAKARIGHFVEAQILEAIGVDYVDESEVLTLADEDNHINKHNFKIPFVCGCRNLGEALRRIREGAAMIRTKGEAGTG
NVVEAVRHVRSVNGAIRLLRSMDDDEVFTYAKKIAAPYDLVVQTKELGRLPVVQFAAGGVATPADAALMMQLGCDGVFVGSVFKS
GDPVKRAKAIQAVTNYRDAAVLAEVSCGLGEAMVGLNLDKVERFASRSE*
```


```
>tag-free PDX1.2
MADQAMTDQDQGAVTLYSGTAITDAKKNHPFSVKVGLAQVLRGGAIIVEVSSVNQAKLAESAGACSVIVSDPVRSRGGVRRMPDPVL
IKEVKRAVSVPMARARVGHFVEAQIILESLAVDYIDSEIISVADDDHFINKHNFSPFICGCRDTGEALRRIREGAAMIRIQGDL
TATGNIAETVKNVRSMLGVEVRVLNNMDDDEVFTFAKKISAPYDLVAQTKQMGRRVPVVQFASGGITTPADAALMMQLGCDGVFVGSSE
VFDGPDFFKKLRSIVQAVQHYNDPHVLAEMSSGLENAMESLNVRGDRIQDFGQGSV*
```

```
> tag-free PDX1.3
MEGTGVVAVYNGAITEAKKSPFSVKVGLAQMLRGGVIMDVVNAEQARIAEEAGACAVMALERVPADIRAQGGVARMSDPQMIKEI
KQAVTIPVMAKARIGHFVEAQILEAIGIDYIDSEVLTLADEDHHINKHNFRIPFVCGCRNLGEALRRIREGAAMIRTKGEAGTGN
IEAVRHVRSVNGDIRVLRNMDDDEVFTFAKKLAAPYDLVMQTKQLGRLPVVQFAAGGVATPADAALMMQLGCDGVFVGSIGIFKSG
DPARRARAIQAVTHYSDPEMLVEVSCGLGEAMVGINLNDEKVERFANRSE*
```

Supplementary Figure 1. Amino acid sequences of synthesized PDX1 proteins. The cleavable 3XFLAG sequence (used as a purification tag) is in green; the amino acid linker is in blue. Different linker lengths were employed for PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 to create a larger molecular weight difference between the two proteins to aid native mass spectrometry analysis.




Supplementary Figure 2. Additional gel electrophoresis data for PDX1.2—PDX1.3 and PDX1.2—PDX1.1 pseudoenzyme—enzyme complexes. The fluorescent bands were detected by Typhoon FLA 9500 from GE Healthcare, set for Alexa488 dye excitation and emission settings. **a)** Native PAGE conducted on the same samples as in Figure 1, panel c but after 48 hours incubation at 4°C. No observable degradation of complexes was detected compared to Figure 1. The last lane shows the individually expressed homomeric PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 proteins mixed post-translationally and incubated for 48 hours. The PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 remained homomeric in the mixture and no formation of intermixed complexes were observed as shown by distinct bands matching to the two individual proteins. **b)** PAGE data on the coexpression of PDX1.2 and PDX1.1 proteins in the crude wheat germ mixture. Coexpressed conditions are denoted as 18:1, 9:1, 3:1, 1:1, 1:3 and 1:9, where these numbers correspond to DNA template ratio of PDX1.2 to PDX1.1. PAGE data include a denaturing SDS-PAGE gel on the left and Native PAGE on the right. F-tRNA stands for fluorophore-labeled lysine-charged tRNA. Note that a similar trend in complexation is seen for PDX1.2-PDX1.3 pair, as shown in panel **(a)** and Figure 1, panel c. **c)** PAGE data on the coexpression of tag-free PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 proteins. Their assembly and mobility behavior on the gels appear identical to the tagged PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 proteins (Figure 1, panel c).




Supplementary Figure 3. Analysis of purified PDX complexes. **a)** Denaturing Bioanalyzer Protein 80 electropherogram of purified PDX protein samples. **b)** Native PAGE, stained with Bio-Safe Coomassie Stain. Ladder stands for NativeMark Protein Standard (ThermoFisher). Note that coexpressed samples have wider bands, consistent with Figure1, panel c.


>AT3G16050.1 | Symbols: A37, ATPDX1.2, PDX1.2 | pyridoxine biosynthesis 1.2 with purification tag




>AT5G01410.1 | Symbols: PDX1, ATPDX1.3, RSR4, PDX1.3, ATPDX1 | Aldolase-type TIM barrel family protein with purification tag



Supplementary Figure 4. Peptide mapping coverage map for cell-free expressed (a) PDX1.2 and (b) PDX1.3. Green horizontal lines along the protein sequences show the peptides detected. Protein residues colored in black are the regions where no peptides were detected (too short to be detected). Red segments along the sequences are modified residues (primarily methionine oxidation and protein N-terminal oxidation), induced by the sample preparation.




Supplementary Figure 5. Deconvoluted mass spectra of denatured intact PDX proteins for (a) PDX 1.2, (b) PDX 1.3, (c) heterocomplex 3:1, (d) heterocomplex 1:1, and (e) heterocomplex 1:3. About half of the protein show additional peak due to acetylation at the protein N-terminus. In all homomeric PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 samples and all three co-expressed samples, roughly half of the protein population was acetylated. These modifications, which are incorporated in the protein during its synthesis by ribosome-bound N-terminal acetyltransferases (NATs), are the most abundant, especially in higher eukaryotes (*Arnesen, T. Towards a functional understanding of protein. N-terminal acetylation. PLoS Biol 9, e1001074, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001074 (2011)*). In general, such acetyl modifications are associated with various protein degradation and stabilization mechanisms inside the cell (*Hwang, C. S., Shemorry, A. & Varshavsky, A. N-terminal acetylation of cellular proteins creates specific degradation signals. Science 327, 973-977, doi:10.1126/science.1183147 (2010)*). Since no preferential acetylation profile was found across the samples, these modifications are likely irrelevant to PLP regulation itself.




Supplementary Figure 6. Annotated MS² spectra of acetylated N-terminal peptide for (a) PDX1.2 and (b) PDX1.3. Fragmentation spectra suggest that acetylations are localized at the N termini of the proteins. In the previous study (Mocand, C. et al. *The pseudoenzyme PDX1.2 boosts vitamin B6 biosynthesis under heat and oxidative stress in Arabidopsis*. *J Biol Chem* 289, 8203-8216, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.540526 (2014)), *E. coli* expressed PDX1.2 was found to carry an acetyl group on the alanine (A5). Such modification was not detected in the wheat germ cell-free produced PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 shown here. N-terminal acetyl groups were detected and located on the first methionine residue, preceding the exogenous protein tag.


Full Spectrum

Zoom-in spectrum of 12mer







Supplementary Figure 7. (a, c, e, g, i) Full range and (b, d, f, h, j) zoomed native mass spectra of PDX1.2, PDX1.3 and heterocomplexes 3:1, 1:1 and 1:3. Spectra were generated using UniDec (<https://github.com/michaelmarty/UniDec>).




Supplementary Figure 8. Native PAGE (stained with Bio-Safe Coomassie Stain) of PDX complexes after buffer exchange to 200 mM ammonium acetate. The gel was run only for 70 min on the 4-15% gradient to make sure that monomer and dimer species can be captured. No monomers or dimers are observed on the gel, suggesting that all homo-complexes and hetero-complexes remain folded in this buffer solution but fell apart during electrospray or in the gas-phase.




Supplementary Figure 9. Cryo-EM single particle analysis workflow for PDX1.2 homocomplex. **a)** All processing was performed in cryoSPARC v2. Movies were first corrected for beam motion, and CTF parameters were determined. The positions of particles were identified using a template-based autopicking algorithm and corrected for local motion. The final pool of 787,982 particles was subjected to three rounds of reference-free 2D classification (with 150 classes each round). The curated pool of 265,224 particles was then used for *ab initio* model generation, which was further used for homogenous refinement. The final structure shows a 3.2 Å resolution. **b)** A representative image of the PDX1.2 complexes in vitreous ice. **c)** Representative 2D classes. **d)** The Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve and **(e)** Euler angle distribution of refined map. **f)** PDX1.2 reconstructed map sharpened and colored according to local resolution in Angstroms.







Supplementary Figure 10. Atomic model of PDX1.2 dodecamer fitted in the sharpened cryo-EM map (green mesh). An asymmetric unit (representing a single PDX1.2 subunit) is shown in yellow.



Supplementary Figure 11. Cryo-EM single particle analysis workflow for heterocomplex 3:1. **a)** All processing (except the sharpening) was performed in cryoSPARC v2. Movies were first corrected for beam motion, and CTF parameters were determined. The positions of particles were identified using a template-based autopicking algorithm and corrected for local motion. The final pool of 510,660 particles was subjected to three rounds of reference-free 2D classification (with 150 classes each round). The curated pool of 286,642 particles was then used in the ab initio procedure to generate an initial model, which was used for global Non-Uniform alignment. The refined structure was resolved to a 3.2 Å resolution. The sharpening of the final volume was done in PHENIX. **b)** A representative image of heterocomplex 3:1 in vitreous ice. **c)** Representative 2D classes. **d)** The Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve and **e)** Euler angle distribution of refined map. **f)** Reconstructed map sharpened and colored according to local resolution in Angstroms.




Supplementary Figure 12. Atomic modeling of PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 amino acid sequences into the cryo-EM 3D map for hetero-complex 3:1. **a**) Overall dodecameric fits of PDX1.2 (yellow) and PDX1.3 (magenta) proteins in the hetero-complex cryo-EM map (green mesh). Within each subunit, PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 should differ the most in the boxed areas 1 and 2 due to insertion/deletions between the two homologs. **b**) Box 1 shows the region, spanning the $\alpha 2'$ helix for PDX1.3. Box 2 is zoomed-in area on $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 6$ loop. Major structural differences across two proteins are defined by presence/absence of $\alpha 2'$ helix and a $\beta 6$ - $\alpha 6$ loop kink.




Supplementary Figure 13. Native MS of the heterocomplex 1:3 (a) without and (b) with the solution additive of triethylammonium acetate (TEAA). TEAA reduced the charge of all detected species. The most abundant charge state of the 12mer was shifted from 47+ to 35+. SID spectra of a select heteromer with the stoichiometry of 3:9 (PDX1.2:PDX1.3) (c) without and (d) with TEAA. The 1mer+11mer products were prevalent for 12mer precursor with high charge (47+), but they were not detected for lower charged precursor (35+) after TEAA addition. The dissociation pathway for generating 1mer+11mer has been associated with gas phase unfolding. Reducing the charge has been shown to suppress gas phase unfolding and allow SID to yield more structural informative products such as the 6mers (*Zhou, M., Dagan, S. & Wysocki, V. H. Impact of charge state on gas-phase behaviors of noncovalent protein complexes in collision induced and surface induced dissociation. Analyst 138, 1353-1362, doi:10.1039/c2an36525a (2013)*). Therefore, all SID experiments reported in this study were performed with reduced charge by adding TEAA, following the protocol described in the Methods section.


20190820PDXco11_9370HCD300 #1 RT: 0.10 AV: 1 NL: 8.94E4
 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 9370.0000@hcd300.00 [1000.0000-30000.0000]





20190823teaa_pdxco11_new 12581hcd300 #1 RT: 0.04 AV: 1 NL: 2.40E5
 T: FTMS + p NSI Full ms2 12594.0000@hcd300.00 [1000.0000-30000.0000]





Supplementary Figure 14. HCD spectra of a select 12mer with the stoichiometry of 3:9 (PDX1.2:PDX1.3) (a) without and (b) with TEAA. Without TEAA, the 12mer dissociated into 1mer+11mer, which is the typical 1mer stripping behavior for gas phase protein dissociation upon neutral gas collision. Such behavior is generally believed to be correlated with charge directed protein unfolding in the gas phase. With TEAA, protein unfolding can be suppressed, but dissociation was also remarkably suppressed as shown by (b). Even at the maximum collision voltage of 300 V, HCD was not able to generate significant amounts of product for charge reduced 12mer. In contrast, SID was able to yield 6mers for the charge reduced 12mer as shown in Fig. S13.











Supplementary Figure 15. Deconvoluted mass distribution (left column) and raw spectra (right column) for SID of all stoichiometry-isolated PDX 12mers (identities shown on the left) using “Oligomer and Mass Tool” in UniDec. In the deconvoluted mass distribution, major identified species are labeled with colored symbols. The keys to the symbols are shown in the inset tables, with the intensity, peak area, and assignment noted. The assignment shows the number of PDX1.2 and PDX1.3 subunits. The stoichiometry of the 12mer precursors and the 6mer products are labeled on top of the peaks (number of PDX1.2:PDX1.3). The unlabeled species at low abundance are deconvolution artifacts (bad fit with Dscore < 30% in UniDec or matched mass is lower than sequence mass). Some of the spectra contained other 12mer species at low intensity due to co-isolation. In the raw spectra (right column), peaks matching to charge state distributions are labeled with the same set of symbols. Fitted charge state distributions are also overlaid below the experimental data (black trace) and colored in the same way as the symbols. The undissociated 12mers (including charge reduced 12mers following the precursor in m/z 13000 and above with high intensity) are not labeled/shown to better display the low intensity products. The fitted charge state distributions for the 6mer species are also labeled with their assigned stoichiometry as pointed by the arrows.

a. Theoretical: cleavage into 6mers + random assembly

	0:12	1:11	2:10	3:9	4:8	5:7	6:6	7:5	8:4	9:3	10:2	11:1	12:0
6:0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.020	0.067	0.222	0.417	1.000	1.000
5:1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.020	0.090	0.300	0.533	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
4:2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.067	0.300	0.563	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.417	0.000	0.000
3:3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.222	0.533	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.533	0.222	0.000	0.000	0.000
2:4	0.000	0.000	0.417	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.563	0.300	0.067	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1:5	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.533	0.300	0.090	0.020	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0:6	1.000	1.000	0.417	0.222	0.067	0.020	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

b. Theoretical: cleavage into 6mer rings + complete lateral symmetry

	0:12	1:11	2:10	3:9	4:8	5:7	6:6	7:5	8:4	9:3	10:2	11:1	12:0
6:0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000
5:1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000
4:2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3:3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2:4	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1:5	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0:6	1.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000



c. Trimmed experiment data - 6mers mostly from major pathway

	0:12	1:11	2:10	3:9	4:8	5:7	6:6	7:5	8:4	9:3	10:2	11:1	12:0
6:0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135	1.000	1.000
5:1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	0.951	0.000
4:2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.113	1.000	1.000	0.420	0.000	0.000	0.000
3:3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.056	0.813	1.000	0.907	0.188	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2:4	0.000	0.000	0.107	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1:5	0.000	0.070	1.000	0.998	0.140	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0:6	1.000	1.000	0.134	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000


d. Raw experiment data - 6mers from both major and minor pathways

	0:12	1:11	2:10	3:9	4:8	5:7	6:6	7:5	8:4	9:3	10:2	11:1	12:0
6:0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135	1.000	1.000
5:1	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.156	1.000	1.000	0.951	0.000
4:2	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.247	1.000	1.000	0.420	0.000	0.000	0.000
3:3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.289	0.859	1.000	0.937	0.331	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2:4	0.000	0.000	0.320	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.162	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1:5	0.000	0.297	1.000	0.998	0.353	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
0:6	1.000	1.000	0.340	0.127	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Supplementary Figure 16. Relative abundance of the 6mer species from different 12mers based on (a) theoretical random assembly, (b) theoretical assembly with complete lateral symmetry, (c) trimmed experimental data for the 6mers from the major pathway, and (d) raw experimental data for the 6mers from all pathways. The numbers in the first columns and first rows are the PDX1.2: PDX1.3 stoichiometry of the 6mers and 12mers, respectively (similar to the format in Fig 3d). The relative abundance for (a) was calculated by counting the numbers of combinations for placing a given number (0-12) of subunits into 12 positions in a random manner. The abundances of the 6mers from the major pathway in (c) were calculated by trimming the estimated abundances of the minor pathway (described in the methods section) from raw experimental data. Relative abundance of un-trimmed data is shown in (d). Qualitatively, the raw experimental data fit between the random assembly model and the lateral symmetry model. We believe the small contribution of 6mers from the minor pathways broadened the distributions. After subtracting the contribution of the minor pathways, the “trimmed” data in (c) better fit the lateral symmetry model. Quantitatively differentiate the pathways of releasing 6mers in the experimental data require further instrument and method development. More in-depth analysis of these low abundance products will be performed in the future and will reveal additional information on the hetero-complex assembly.




Supplementary Figure 17. Alignment of PDX1.2 sequences across plants and mapping of conserved amino acids within the PDX1.2 pseudoenzyme family. The UniProtKB database was searched for proteins under the name of “pyridoxal 5'-phosphate synthase-like subunit”. Sequences were retrieved and aligned using Clustal Omega. The most conserved residues (in red and orange) were mapped on the cryo-EM structure of PDX1.2 monomer. The amino acids residues in yellow are the least conserved.



Interface	No. of interface residues	Interface area (Å ²)	No. of salt bridges	No. of disulfide bonds	No. of hydrogen bonds	No. of non-bonded contacts
12:0 (PDX1.2 dodecamer)						
I1=I1' (due to symmetry)	17:19 (24:23)	822:851 (av. 828.7)	3 (5)	0 (0)	2 (7)	58
I2	6:6 (10:10)	420:420 (av. 422.1)	4 (4)	0 (0)	4 (6)	8
I3	12:12 (23:23)	852:851 (av. 842.3)	3 (5)	0 (0)	2 (8)	52
0:12 (PDX1.3 dodecamer 5lnr)						
I1=I1' (due to symmetry)	22:18 (25:25)	931:924 (av. 925.4)	4 (10)	0 (0)	9 (10)	104
I2	7:7 (11:11)	441:443 (av. 437.9)	4 (4)	0 (0)	6 (7)	37
I3	14:14 (23:23)	909:908 (av. 842.3)	2 (4)	0 (0)	6 (7)	72
11:1 (co-complex)						
I1	17:19 (25:26)	920:927 (925.3)	4 (6)	0 (0)	5 (9)	128
I1'	16:16 (25:26)	817:856 (844.7)	4 (7)	0 (0)	4 (7)	84
I2	6:7 (10:11)	434:416 (416.3)	4 (4)	0 (0)	5 (6)	26
I3	13:12 (24:23)	873:886 (875.4)	2 (4)	0 (0)	6 (6)	60

Supplementary Figure 18. Protein-protein interactions analysis by PDBsum (in black) and PISA (in blue) servers (Laskowski, R.A. et al. *PDBsum: Structural summaries of PDB entries. Protein Sci* 27, 129-134, doi:10.1002/pro.3289 (2018); Krissinel E. & Henrick, K. *Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J Mol Biol* 371, 774-797 (2007)). **I1**, **I1'**, **I2** and **I3** denote interfaces 1, 1', 2 and 3 respectively. The hypothetical hetero-complex was constructed by structure editing via Chimera using the cryo-EM PDX1.2 atomic model and the crystal structure of PDX1.3 (PDB:5lnr).



Supplementary Figure 19. Amino acid hydrophobicity plots. Purple color represents polar residues, tan color shows the most hydrophobic. Note the overall similarity in hydrophobicity while the electrostatic potential (in Fig. 4b) for these same proteins show significant differences.

	PDX1.2 (EMD-23263, PDB 7LB5)	heterocomplex 3:1 (EMD-23264, PDB 7LB6)	
Data collection	FEI Titan Krios	FEI Titan Krios	
Voltage (kV)	300	300	
Spherical aberration (mm)	2.7	2.7	
Total exposure dose (e ⁻ Å ⁻²)	90	100	
Pixel size (Å)	0.2530	0.2531	
Data processing			
Software used	cryosparc v2	cryosparc v2	
Initial number of particles	787,982	510,660	
Final number of particles	265,224	286,642	
Symmetry imposed	D6	D6	
Refinement	Homogeneous	Non-uniform (BETA)	
Map resolution (Å)	3.16	3.16	
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	
3D reference model	<i>ab initio</i>	<i>ab initio</i>	
Validation	RealSpaceRefine for PDX1.2	RealSpaceRefine for PDX1.2	RealSpaceRefine for PDX1.3
CC (volume)	0.82	0.83	0.84
Bonds (RMSD), length (Å)	0.003	0.003	0.004
Bonds (RMSD), angles (°)	0.495	0.478	0.585
Ramachandran favored (%)	98.06	97.67	96.81
Ramachandran allowed (%)	1.94	2.33	3.19
Ramachandran outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.93	0.00	0.00
Cβ outliers (%)	0.78	0.00	0.00
Clashscore	7.12	10.19	10.03
MolProbity score	1.39	1.60	1.72

Supplementary Table 1. Cryo-EM data information and PHENIX refinement statistics for PDX1.2 homomer and heterocomplex 3:1.

Features	PDX1.2	11:1 (PDX1.2: PDX1.3)		PDX1.3			
	Our model	Our model		5nls		5nlr	
Ligand	None	1 PLP	apo	12 R5P	apo	12 PLP	apo
# Total amino acids	3,120	3,127		3,198		3,198	
# Arg, Lys	348	349		360		360	
# Asp, Glu	384	385		396		396	
Net charge	-36	-36		-36		-36	
Fa elec norm*	-2.15	-2.15	-2.14	-2.19	-2.14	-2.15	-2.11
Total_score_norm[^]	-3.66	-3.67	-3.67	-3.93	-3.89	-3.87	-3.86
Interface_delta_X [‡]	N/A (no ligand)	-11.19 (~ -134.28 if 12 PLP)	N/A (no ligand)	-236.33	N/A (no ligand)	-155.41	N/A (no ligand)
Packstat [†]	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.73
(dG_separated/dSASA) x 100 [§]	-2.87	-2.83	-2.87	-3.14	-3.16	-3.11	-3.42

Supplementary Table 2. Computational protein analysis by *Rosetta*. The packstat scores showed that PDX1.3 packs more tightly (0.73 ~ 0.75) than PDX1.2 (0.66). The total score also showed more favorable sum of interaction of PDX1.3 (-3.93 ~ -3.86) than PDX1.2 (~-3.66). The interface stabilization (dG_separated/dSASAx100) between 12 subunits (submodels) also appears stronger in PDX1.3 (-3.42 ~ -3.11) than in PDX1.2 (-2.87). *Energy of interaction between two non-bonded charged atoms separated by distance, d. Lower values represent the more favorable energy. We present average value after normalization by the number of total amino acids. [^]The lower the score value negatively, the protein has more stabilizing interactions. [‡]Subtracted separated energy from complex energy. The lower the interface_delta_X, the more favorable ligand binding is. [†]The higher the packstat (close to 1), the core region of protein better interdigitates leaving smaller void. [§](Separated binding energy per unit interface area) x 100. Lower values represent the stronger complex formation from separated states. To report feature values and analyze effectively, we used *RosettaScripts* (Fleishman, S. J. et al. *RosettaScripts: a scripting language interface to the Rosetta macromolecular modeling suite. PLoS One* 6, e20161, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020161 (2011)).

	Lens	Actual potential (V)
SID lens	Entrance 1 and 3	-10
	Entrance 2	-2
	Front top	-17.5
	Front bottom	-3.5
	Surface	-160
	Middle bottom	-230
	Back top	-274
	Back bottom	-210
	Exit2	-150
	Exit 1 and 3	-170
C-trap lens	Entrance lens - inject	-149
	Exit lens -inject	-140
	C-trap offset (upon ion injection)	-155

Supplementary Table 3. Voltages used on the SID device in the custom modified Thermo Q-Exactive UHMR as described in previous report (*Analytical Chemistry*, 91, 3611. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05605).