Supplemental Online Content

Zhang Shenghong, Chen B, Wang B, et al. Effect of induction therapy with olamkicept vs placebo on clinical response in patients with active ulcerative colitis: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. doi:10.1001/jama.2023.1084

eAppendix 1. List of Participating Institutions

eAppendix 2. Supplementary Methods

eAppendix 3. Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, And Immunogenicity

eTable 1. Efficacy Outcomes in Full Analysis Set

eTable 2. Summary of Biomarker Changes from Baseline in Each Dose Group

eTable 3. Summary of Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Olamkicept in Serum (PKS)

eTable 4. Summary of Development of Anti-Drug Antibodies in Patients Receiving Olamkicept

eFigure 1. Study Schema

eFigure 2. Efficacy of Olamkicept 600 mg and Placebo by Subgroups

eFigure 3. Change from Baseline in Biomarkers Over Time

eFigure 4. Lipid Profiles, Platelet and Neutrophil Count Over Time

eFigure 5. Olamkicept Plasma Concentrations Over Time

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eAppendix 1. List of Participating Institutions

Mackay Memorial Hospital E-Da Hospital Wanfang Hospital Chang Gung Memorial Hospital-Linkou National Taiwan University Hospital The Catholic University of Korea Seoul St. Mary's Hospital Inje University Haeundae Paik Hospital CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University Yeungnam University Medical Center The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (leader institution) West China Hospital of Sichuan University Peking University First Hospital Hebei General Hospital The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital Zhejiang University, School of Medicine Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Shanghai Changhai Hospital Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University The First Bethune Hospital of Jilin University Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University Hainan General Hospital Zhongda Hospital Southeast University First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University Beijing Friendship Hospital Affiliated to the Capital University of Medical Sciences The Military General Hospital of Beijing PLA Tianjin Medical University General Hospital Xin Hua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University Jiangsu Province Hospital Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, The Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University Medical School The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University

eAppendix 2. Supplementary Methods

Other eligibility criteria

We excluded patients who had a history of enterostomy, colectomy or hemicolectomy or who were likely to receive surgery for UC within 1 month based on investigator's evaluation. Patients with a history of malignancy other than a successfully treated non-metastatic cutaneous squamous cell or basal cell carcinoma and/or localized carcinoma *in situ* of the cervix, or who had dysplasia or a malignancy on colonoscopy at screening were also ineligible. Patients with infections including active or latent tuberculosis were also excluded.

Definitions

Clinical response was defined as $a \ge 3$ and $\ge 30\%$ decrease from baseline total Mayo score, including $a \ge 1$ decrease from baseline in rectal bleeding subscore or ≤ 1 in rectal bleeding subscore. Clinical remission per total Mayo score was defined as a total Mayo score ≤ 2 , no individual subscore > 1, and rectal bleeding subscore = 0. Remission per modified Mayo score was defined as per modified Mayo score (total Mayo score excluding Physician's Global Assessment subscore), stool frequency subscore ≤ 1 , rectal bleeding subscore = 0, and endoscopy subscore = 0 or 1. Mucosal healing was defined as Mayo endoscopic subscore = 0 or 1. Clinical remission per partial Mayo score (total Mayo score excluding endoscopy subscore = 0, rectal bleeding subscore = 0, and 9-point partial Mayo score (total Mayo score excluding endoscopy subscore) ≤ 1 . Clinical response per partial Mayo score was defined as decrease from baseline in 9-point partial Mayo score ≥ 2 and $\ge 30\%$, including decrease from baseline in rectal bleeding subscore ≤ 1 or rectal bleeding subscore ≤ 1

eAppendix 3. Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics, And Immunogenicity

Other secondary outcomes included AUC_{inf}, AUC₀-t, AUC_{τ}, maximum concentration (C_{ma}), time to C_{max} (T_{max}), clearance (CL), apparent volume of distribution (Vz), half-life (t¹/₂), and mean residence time (MRT) in pharmacokinetics subgroup and olamkicept trough concentration (C_{trough}) in all subjects and change from baseline at Weeks 4, 8, and 12 in exploratory biomarkers (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein, IL-6, s-IL6R, IL-6/sIL-6R complex, neutrophil and platelet count, fecal calprotectin).

Blood samples for the pharmacokinetics set were collected at the 1st dose (pre-dose and 0.5, 1, 2 (end of infusion), 6, 48, 144, and 240 h post-dose), 2nd to the 5th dose (pre-dose and at the end of infusion), and the 6th dose (pre-dose and 0.5, 1, 2, 6, 48, 144, 240, 336, 480, and 840 h post-dose). Pharmacokinetics parameters were calculated using a non-compartmental model (NCA) with the Phoenix WinNonlin 8.3.1 software. Single-dose pharmacokinetics parameters included, C_{max} , T_{max} , $AUC_{0-14day}$, AUC_{0-t} , AUC_{0-inf} , CL, V_z , $t_{1/2}$ and MRT_{inf} and multiple dose parameters included C_{max} at steady state ($C_{max,ss}$), time to $C_{max,ss}$, C_{trough} , AUC_{τ} , AUC_{0-t} , CL, V_z , $t_{1/2}$, accumulation ratio calculated from C_{max} ($R_{ac,Cmax}$) and AUC ($R_{ac,AUC}$) and MRT_{inf}. Multiple comparison based on the mixed model of C_{trough} was performed for the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th consecutive doses of olamkicept in each dose group to evaluate whether olamkicept concentration had reached the steady state.

The pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics set included patients who received olamkicept and had at least one measurable post-dose blood sample. For pharmacokinetics analysis, single-dose and repeated-dose pharmacokinetics parameters were statistically summarized by dose group. Dose linearity was evaluated using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) after log-transformation of dose-corrected pharmacokinetics parameters (all doses were standardized to 100 mg), and plasma trough concentrations in each dose group were analyzed for olamkicept steady-state assessment using a mixed model with multiple comparisons for repeated measures.

In addition, blood samples were collected pre-dose within 1 h prior to dosing on days 0, 14, 28 and 56, and on days 84 and 105 for analysis of anti-olamkicept antibodies. The immunogenicity analysis set (IS) included all patients who underwent randomization and had received at least one dose of TJ301and had measurable immunogenicity data after administration. Exploratory biomarkers as well as their changes in Week 4, 8 and 12 from baseline were summarized using descriptive statistics. A mixed-effects model for repeated measures (MMRM) was used for inter-group comparison. Anti-olamkicept antibody levels were also summarized using descriptive statistics.

In addition, there was no significant difference in changes from baseline in Physician's Global Assessment subscore at all visits among the groups (P>0.10).

Supplement eTables:

eTable 1. Efficacy Outcomes in Full Analysis Set ^a								
Outcomes	Olam	kicept	Placebo	Difference	vs Placebo			
	600 mg Q2W (n=29)	300 mg Q2W (n=30)	(n=29)	600 mg Q2W	300 mg Q2W			
Primary outcome								
Clinical response at week 12	17 (58.6)	13 (43.3)	10 (34.5)	$26.6 (6.2, 47.1)^{c}$ 26.6 (2.3, 51.0) ^d P = 0.032	$8.3 (-12.6, 29.1)^{c} 8.3 (-16.7, 33.2)^{d} P = 0.516$			
Secondary Outcomes								
Clinical remission at week 12	6 (20.7)	2 (6.7)	0	$19.9 (12.5, 27.3)^{c}$ $19.9 (11.1, 28.7)^{d}$ $P < 0.001$	$6.1 (-0.8, 12.9)^{c}$ $6.1 (-2.1, 14.3)^{d}$ P = 0.143			
Remission per modified	7 (24.1)	3 (10.0)	1 (3.4)	$(10, 2, 22, 2)^{\circ}$	$57(46161)^{\circ}$			
Mayo score at week 12	,		- ()	21.2 (10.2, 32.2) 21.2 (8.1, 34.3) ^d P = 0.002	5.7 (-4.6, 10.1) 5.7 (-6.6, 18.1) ^d P = 0.363			
Mucosal healing at week	10 (34.5)	3 (10.0)	1 (3.4)	33.1 (18,3, 47,9)°	$6.0(-4.4, 16.3)^{\circ}$			
12				$33.1 (15.4, 50.7)^{d}$ $P < 0.001$	$6.0 (-6.4, 18.3)^{d}$ P = 0.343			
Total Mayo score change	-3.5 (2.2) [25] ^b	-2.3 (2.6) [27] ^b	-1.8 (2.6) [21] ^b	-1.6 (-2.9,-0.4) ^e	-0.5 (-1.7, 0.7) ^e			
from baseline at week 12	()[]			$-1.6 (-3.1, -0.1)^{t}$ P = 0.032	$-0.5(-1.9, 1.0)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.514			
Modified Mayo score	-2.8 (1.9) [25] ^b	-1.8 (2.0) [27] ^b	-1.3 (2.0) [21] ^b	-1.4 (-2.4, -0.4) ^e	-0.5 (-1.5, 0.5) ^e			
change from baseline at week 12				$-1.4(-2.6, -0.2)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.021	$-0.5(-1.6, 0.7)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.429			
Partial Mayo score change from baseline								
At week 4	-1.7 (1.9) [27] ^b	-1.6 (1.7) [29] ^b	-0.9 (1.7) [27] ^b	-0.7 (-1.5, 0.0) ^e	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.2) ^e			
				$-0.7(-1.6, 0.2)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.114	$-0.6(-1.5, 0.3)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.198			
At week 6	-2.0 (1.7) [27] ^b	-2.1 (1.8) [29] ^b	-1.3 (2.0) [24] ^b	-0.6 (-1.4, 0.2) ^e	-0.7 (-1.5, 0.1) ^e			
				$-0.6(-1.6, 0.4)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.234	$-0.7(-1.7, 0.3)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.156			
At week 8	-2.3 (1.9) [27] ^b	-2.6 (2.0) [29] ^b	-1.5 (2.2) [22] ^b	-1.0 (-1.9, -0.1) ^e	-1.3 (-2.2, -0.4) ^e			
				$-1.0(-2.0, 0.1)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.078	$-1.3(-2.3, -0.2)^{f}$ P = 0.018			
At week 10	-2.7 (1.7) [27] ^b	-2.5 (1.8) [29] ^b	-1.5 (2.4) [23] ^b	-1.2 (-2.0, -0.3) ^e	-1.1 (-1.9, -0.2) ^e			
				$-1.2 (-2.2, -0.2)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.022	$-1.1 (-2.1, -0.1)^{f}$ P = 0.036			
At week 12	-2.6 (1.8) [27] ^b	-2.1 (2.2) [28] ^b	-1.4 (2.1) [23] ^b	-1.2 (-2.1, -0.2) ^e	-0.8 (-1.7, 0.2) ^e			
				$-1.2 (-2.3, -0.1)^{f}$ P = 0.040	$-0.8(-1.9, 0.3)^{\rm f}$ P = 0.173			
Clinical remission per								
partial Mayo score	2 (6 9)	2 (6 7)	0					
Fit week	2 (0.7)	2 (0.7)	v	$6.9 (-0.8, 14.6) 6.9 (-2.3, 16.1)^{d} $	$6.6 (-0.8, 14.1) 6.6 (-2.2, 15.5)^{d} $			
At week 6	6 (20 7)	3 (10 0)	1 (3 4)	P = 0.140	P = 0.141			
At week 0	0 (20.7)	5 (10.0)	1 (5.4)	16.9 (4.5, 29.2) $16.9 (2.1, 31.6)^{d}$	7.9 (-1.4, 17.3) 7.9 (-3.2, 19.1) ^d			
At week 8	4 (13.8)	3 (10.0)	1 (3.4)	P = 0.025 13 4 (2 0 24 8) ^c	P = 0.164 7 9 (-1 4 17 3) ^c			

© 2023 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

				$13.4 (-0.1, 27.0)^{d}$ P = 0.053	$7.9(-3.2, 19.1)^{d}$ P = 0.164
At week 10	5 (17.2)	4 (13.3)	2 (6.9)	14.8 (2.3, 27.4) [°]	9.2 (-1.4, 19.9) [°]
				$14.8 (-0.1, 29.8)^{d}$ P = 0.052	$9.2 (-3.5, 22.0)^{d}$ P = 0.155
At week 12	7 (24.1)	5 (16.7)	1 (3.4)	23.8 (9.9, 37.6) [°]	14.6 (3.2, 26.0) [°]
				$23.8(7.3, 40.2)^{d}$ P = 0.005	$14.6 (1.0, 28.1)^{d}$ P = 0.035
Clinical response per partial Mayo score					
At week 4	12 (41.4)	11 (36.7)	7 (24.1)	16.8 (-2.3, 35.8) ^c	13.9 (-5.8, 33.6) ^c
				$16.8 (-5.9, 39.4)^{d}$ P = 0.148	$13.9 (-9.5, 37.4)^{d}$ P = 0.245
At week 6	14 (48.3)	16 (53.3)	6 (20.7)	29.1 (10.7, 47.4) ^c	36.0 (16.9, 55.2) ^c
				$29.1 (7.2, 51.0)^{d}$ P = 0.009	$36.0 (13.2, 58.8)^{d}$ P = 0.002
At week 8	17 (58.6)	18 (60.0)	8 (27.6)	31.9 (12.4, 51.4) ^c	35.2 (15.1, 55.2) [°]
				$31.9 (8.7, 55.2)^{d}$ P = 0.007	$35.2 (11.3, 59.0)^{d}$ P = 0.004
At week 10	18 (62.1)	18 (60.0)	6 (20.7)	42.9 (24.6, 61.1) ^c	39.4 (20.3, 58.4) [°]
				$42.9 (21.2, 64.6)^{d}$ P < 0.001	$39.4 (16.6, 62.1)^{d}$ P < 0.001
At week 12	18 (62.1)	16 (53.3)	10 (34.5)	27.9 (7.8, 48.0) [°]	16.0 (-4.2, 36.1) ^c
				$27.9 (3.9, 51.8)^{d}$ P = 0.023	$16.0 (-8.0, 39.9)^{d}$ P = 0.192
PGA Change from baseline					
At week 4	-0.6 (0.8) [27] ^b	-0.4 (0.5) [29] ^b	-0.4 (0.6) [27] ^b	-0.2 (-0.4, 0.1) ^e	-0.0 (-0.3, 0.2) ^e
				$-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1)^{^{\text{I}}}$ P = 0.276	$-0.0(-0.3, 0.2)^{^{\mathrm{I}}}$ P = 0.763
At week 6	-0.5 (0.6) [27] ^b	-0.7 (0.7) [29] ^b	-0.6 (0.9) [24] ^b	-0.0 (-0.3, 0.3) ^e	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.2) ^e
				$-0.0(-0.4, 0.3)^{^{\text{I}}}$ P = 0.968	$-0.1 (-0.5, 0.2)^{^{\text{T}}}$ P = 0.488
At week 8	-0.7 (0.7) [27] ^b	-0.7 (0.8) [29] ^b	-0.6 (0.9) [22] ^b	-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1) ^e	-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1) ^e
				$-0.2 (-0.5, 0.2)^{^{\text{I}}}$ P = 0.320	$-0.2 (-0.6, 0.1)^{^{\text{I}}}$ P = 0.177
At week 10	-0.7 (0.7) [27] ^b	-0.7 (0.8) [29] ^b	-0.7 (0.9) [23] ^b	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.2) ^e	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.2) ^e
				$-0.1 (-0.5, 0.2)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.495	$-0.1 (-0.4, 0.3)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.656
At week 12	-0.7 (0.5) [27] ^b	-0.5 (0.9) [28] ^b	-0.6 (0.7) [23] ^b	-0.2 (-0.5, 0.1) ^e	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.3) ^e
				$-0.2 (-0.6, 0.2)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.275	$-0.1 (-0.4, 0.3)^{\text{f}}$ P = 0.780

^a n (%), i.e. number and percentage of patients achieving the outcome unless otherwise noted.
^b Mean (SD) [No. of patients].
^c Adjusted percentage difference (90% CI).
^d Adjusted percentage difference (95% CI).
^e LS mean (90% CI).
^f LS mean (95% CI).

eTable 2. Summary of Biomarker Changes From Baseline in Each Dose Group							
Biomarkers (units)	Visit cycle	Statistics	600 mg olamkicept (N=29)	300 mg olamkicept (N=30)	Placebo group (N=29)		
	Deceline ender	n	29	30	29		
	Baseline value	Mean±SD	304.79±131.83	330.27±101.93	256.62±76.76		
	Changes from	n	27	29	26		
	baseline at Week 4	Mean±SD	-9.26±62.94	-12.83±35.70	5.23±40.54		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-4.89 (-25.40, 15.62)	-4.09 (-24.59, 16.41)			
	Week 4	P value	0.693	0.741			
	Changes from	n	27	29	22		
Platelet count (*10 ⁹ /L)	Week 8	Mean±SD	-18.48±55.53	-15.69±44.53	-3.64±46.46		
(Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-5.94 (-25.24, 13.35)	2.86 (-16.52, 22.24)			
	Week 8	P value	0.610	0.807			
	Changes from	n	25	28	21		
	Week 12	Mean±SD	-5.16±52.42	0.25±60.50	4.19±48.32		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-0.64 (-25.54, 24.27)	10.23 (-14.47, 34.93)			
	Week 12	P value	0.966	0.493			
	Baseline value	n	29	30	29		
		Mean±SD	4.29±1.92	4.56±2.16	4.41±2.09		
	baseline at Week 4	n Mean±SD	-0.03±1.66	-0.37±1.74	0.04±1.54		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-0.13 (-0.74, 0.48)	-0.35 (-0.96, 0.25)			
	Week 4	P value	0.723	0.331			
NT / 111	Changes from	n	27	29	22		
count	Week 8	Mean±SD	-0.22±1.56	-0.40±1.98	-0.04±1.69		
(*10 ⁹ /L)	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-0.20 (-0.80, 0.39)	-0.29 (-0.87, 0.30)			
	Week 8	P value	0.570	0.417			
	Changes from baseline at	n Mean+SD	25	28	21		
	Week 12 Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	1.96 (-1.24, 5.16)	0.81 (-2.31, 3.93)	-0.31±1.77		
	Week 12	P value	0.311	0.667			
	Baseline voluo	n	27	28	24		
	Dasenne value	Mean±SD	12.54±23.00	8.48±8.05	8.19±12.28		
a i	Changes from	n	23	24	21		
C-reactive protein	baseline at Week 4	Mean±SD	-7.43±14.54	-0.08±6.50	-1.78±15.49		
(mg/L)	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-3.80 (-7.75, 0.14)	0.88 (-2.92, 4.68)			
	Week 4	P value	0.113	0.700			

© 2023 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

eTable 2. Summary of Biomarker Changes From Baseline in Each Dose Group							
Biomarkers (units)	Visit cycle	Statistics	600 mg olamkicept (N=29)	300 mg olamkicept (N=30)	Placebo group (N=29)		
	Changes from	n	24	25	18		
	baseline at Week 8	Mean±SD	-5.93±14.14	-1.24±8.89	-0.82±16.63		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-6.13 (-11.30, -0.97)	-4.91 (-9.93, 0.10)			
	Week 8	P value	0.052	0.107			
	Changes from	n	20	21	16		
	Week 12	Mean±SD	-4.04±15.23	0.62±9.07	-1.13±9.59		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-5.08 (-10.58, 0.42)	-2.38 (-7.77, 3.01)			
	Week 12	P value	0.128	0.464	20		
	Baseline value	n Maru I SD	28	29	29		
	Changes from	Mean±SD	20.2±22.94	30.2±24.47	18.2±20.88		
	baseline at Week 4	Mean±SD	-1.9±14.63	-2.3±14.99	0.5±9.77		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-2.09 (-7.87, 3.69)	0.40 (-5.31, 6.10)			
	Week 4	P value	0.549	0.909			
_	Changes from	n	25	28	22		
Erythrocyte sedimentation	baseline at Week 8	Mean±SD	-2.3±24.25	-5.9±19.03	-1.3±14.36		
rate (mm/h)	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-1.51 (-8.80, 5.78)	-2.32 (-9.56, 4.93)			
	Week 8	P value	0.731	0.596			
	Changes from	n	25	27	21		
	baseline at Week 12	Mean±SD	-2.1±25.65	0.6±24.49	1.0±12.21		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-4.13 (-13.15, 4.88)	0.96 (-8.00, 9.92)			
	Week 12	P value	0.448	0.859	20		
	Baseline value	n M + CD	26	30	28		
	Changes from	Mean±SD	4139.03±4211.14	4145.96±5477.21	5680.05±9073.43		
	baseline at	Mean±SD	1327.66±7828.19	1736.76±6717.39	-107.93±12784.03		
Fecal	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	320.18 (-3680.04, 4320.41)	623.73 (-3203.04, 4450.50)			
calprotectin	Week 4	P value	0.894	0.787			
(mg/Kg)	Changes from baseline at Week 8	n Mean±SD	-1137.36±5034.62	29 644.83±6387.06	21 3234.91±17301.11		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-4786.54 (-9508.07, -65.00)	-3156.07 (-7650.13, 1337.99)			
	Week 8	P value	0.095	0.246			
		n	22	26	19		

eTable 2. Summary of Biomarker Changes From Baseline in Each Dose Group							
Biomarkers (units)	Visit cycle	Statistics	600 mg olamkicept (N=29)	300 mg olamkicept (N=30)	Placebo group (N=29)		
	Changes from baseline at Week 12	Mean±SD	-1933.48±4685.84	-112.96±7818.99	4423.76±8673.50		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-7362.89 (-11138.25, - 3587.53)	-6070.70 (-9720.69, - 2420.70)			
	Week 12	P value	0.002	0.007	11		
	Baseline value	Mean±SD	12.78±12.01	7.27±4.07	8.80±6.71		
	Changes from baseline at	n Mean±SD	12 -5.30±9.57	13 0.02±4.52	9 -1.52±4.46		
	Week 4 Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	-0.46 (-3.81, 2.89)	1.27 (-1.98, 4.51)			
	Week 4	P value	0.817	0.513	2		
	baseline at	n	11	8	3		
IL-6 (pg/mL)	Week 8	Mean±SD	-4.91±9.41	0.16±3.31	-8.45±2.75		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	1.10 (-2.56, 4.75)	4.00 (0.08, 7.92)			
	Week 8	P value	0.614	0.094			
	baseline at Week 12	n Mean±SD	-4.13±12.89	9 1.34±7.31	-2.92±3.75		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	0.20 (-3.87, 4.27)	4.07 (-0.06, 8.20)			
	Week 12	P value	0.934	0.105			
	Baseline value	n	2	8	3		
	Changes from	mean±SD	364.63±24.49	482.95±612.72	226.93±16.56		
	baseline at Week 4	Mean±SD	68.21±100.94	-230.98±430.57	-23.89±49.96		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	246.79 (-273.37, 766.96)	201.70 (-234.01, 637.42)			
	Week 4	P value	0.414	0.425			
	Changes from	n	2	5	3		
IL-6/sIL-6R complex	Week 8	Mean±SD	-25.52±72.97	-316.82±738.31	-35.35±56.66		
(pg/mL)	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	167.63 (36.61, 298.65)	144.55 (31.08, 258.02)			
	Week 8	P value	0.042	0.042			
	Changes from	n	2	6	3		
	Week 12	Mean±SD	-59.57±104.75	-252.03±761.71	-34.74±36.91		
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	132.97 (-118.87, 384.81)	127.95 (-70.52, 326.41)			
	Week 12	P value	0.365	0.273			
	Baseline value	n	29	30	29		

© 2023 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

eTable 2. Summary of Biomarker Changes From Baseline in Each Dose Group								
Biomarkers (units)	Visit cycleStatistics600 mg olamkicept (N=29)300 mg olamkicept (N=30)		Placebo group (N=29)					
		Mean±SD	34252.1±7633.93	35531.9±10173.42	35406.9±9320.21			
	Changes from	n	27	28	26			
	baseline at Week 4	Mean±SD	1817.3±3295.43	-858.4±3877.49	-604.9±4637.79			
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	2153.75 (507.35, 3800.14)	-333.61 (-1961.75, 1294.53)				
	Week 4	P value	0.032	0.734				
	Changes from	n	27	28	22			
sIL-6R	baseline at Week 8	Mean±SD	960.3±3329.72	-1231.0±3141.48	15.7±3783.23			
(pg/mL)	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	1175.58 (-272.26, 2623.42)	-811.89 (-2246.15, 622.36)				
	Week 8	P value	0.180	0.349				
	Changes from	n	25	28	21			
	baseline at Week 12	Mean±SD	375.8±3395.95	1684.0±3745.86	455.3±3809.24			
	Changes as compared to placebo at	LSMean Difference (90%CI)	49.31 (-1686.69, 1785.30)	1623.19 (-72.95, 3319.33)				
	Week 12	P value	0.962	0.115				

eTable 3. Summary of Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Olamkicept in Serum (PKS)								
PK Parameters	Statistics	First dose		PK Parameters	Statistics	6 th dose		
(Unit)	Statistics	600 mg (N=4)	300 mg (N=1)	(Unit)	Statistics	600 mg (N=3)		
T _{max} (h)	Mean(SD)	2.0 (0.0)	2.68	T _{max,ss} (h)	Mean(SD)	2.0 (0.1)		
	Mean(SD)	163.5 (43.1)	77.8		Mean(SD)	185.0 (59.0)		
$C_{max} \left(\mu g / mL \right)$	GeoMean (%CV _b)	159.7 (24.8)	77.8	C _{max,ss} (µg/mL)	GeoMean (%CV _b)	178.4 (34.5)		
AUC _{0-t}	Mean(SD)	4189.5 (1706.9)	1487.3	Ctrough	Mean(SD)	1.1 (0.4)		
(µg minL)	GeoMean (%CV _b)	3973.4 (37.2)	1487.3	(µg/IIIL)	GeoMean (%CV _b)	1.1(46.0)		
AUC _{0-inf} (µg*h/mL)	Mean(SD)	4287.6 (1740.1)	1556.9		Mean(SD)	4804.9 (921.8)		
	GeoMean (%CV _b)	4066.9 (37.2)	1556.9	(μg*h/mL)	GeoMean (%CV _b)	4748.3 (18.9)		
CL (L/b)	Mean(SD)	0.2 (0.0)	0.2	AUC _{0-t}	Mean(SD)	4842.9 (985.1)		
	GeoMean (%CV _b)	0.1 (37.2)	0.2	(µg*n/mL)	GeoMean (%CV _b)	4779.1 (20.0)		
V _z (L)	Mean(SD)	16.5 (4.4)	8.0	CL _{ss} (L/h)	Mean(SD)	0.1 (0.0)		
	GeoMean (%CV _b)	16.0 (32.6)	8.0		GeoMean (%CV _b)	0.1 (18.9)		
t1/2 (h)	Mean(SD)	75.3 (6.8)	28.9	V _{ss} (L)	Mean(SD)	14.8 (2.5)		
	GeoMean (%CV _b)	75.1 (9.5)	28.9		GeoMean (%CV _b)	14.7 (17.8)		
MRT _{inf} (h)	Mean(SD)	62.6 (8.6)	39.6	t _{1/2} (h)	Mean(SD)	82.2 (20.6)		
	GeoMean (%CV _b)	62.1 (15.0)	39.6		GeoMean (%CV _b)	80.5 (25.4)		
				Rac,Cmax	Mean(SD)	1.1 (0.2)		
				$R_{ac,AUC}$	Mean(SD)	1.2 (0.3)		

Note: N represents the number of subjects in each group. In addition, since there was only 1 subject in the 300 mg dose group, SD and $%CV_b$ of the parameter statistics could not be calculated.

eTable 4. Summary of Development of Anti-Drug Antibodies in Patients Receiving Olamkicept							
Test time	Classification	600 mg Olamkicept (N=30) (%)	300 mg Olamkicept (N=31) (%)	Overall (N = 61) (%)			
Baseline	Negative	30 (100)	30 (97)	60 (98)			
	Positive	0	1 (3)	1 (2)			
	Total	30 (100)	31 (100)	61 (100)			
Week 2	Negative	26 (87)	30 (97)	56 (92)			
	Positive	0	0	0			
	Total	26 (87)	30 (97)	56 (92)			
Week 4	Negative	27 (90)	28 (90)	55 (90)			
	Positive	0	1 (3)	1 (2)			
	Total	27 (90)	29 (94)	56 (92)			
Week 8	Negative	27 (90)	29 (94)	56 (92)			
	Positive	0	0	0			
	Total	27 (90)	29 (94)	56 (92)			
Week 12	Negative	24 (80)	24 (77)	48 (79)			
	Positive	1 (3)	4 (13)	5 (8)			
	Total	25 (83)	28 (90)	53 (87)			
Safety Follow-up Visit	Negative	28 (93)	26 (84)	54 (89)			
	Positive	1 (3)	1 (3)	2 (3)			
	Total	29 (97)	27 (87)	56 (92)			
Overall	Negative	29 (97)	26 (84)	55 (90)			
	Positive	1 (3)	5 (16)	6 (10)			
	Total	30 (100)	31 (100)	61 (100)			

Supplement eFigures





eFigure 2. Efficacy of Olamkicept 600 mg and Placebo by Subgroups.

A Clinical Response at Week 12					B Clinical Remission at Week 12				
	Placebo n/N (%)	Olamkicept 600 mg n/N (%)	Estimated difference (90%CI)	Estimated difference (90% CI)		Placebo n/N (%)	Olamkicept 600 mg n/N (%)	Estimated difference (90%CI)	Estimated difference (90% CT)
Overall	10/29 (34.5)	17/29 (58.6)	<u>⊢</u>	24.4 (4.2, 44.7)	Overall	0/29	6/29 (20.7)	 - 	22.4 (9.6, 35.2)
Male Female	9/25 (36.0) 1/4 (25.0)	12/22 (54.5) 5/7 (71.4)		19.3 (-3.1, 41.7) 66.7 (35.0, 98.3)	Sez Male Female	0/25 0/4	5/22 (22.7) 1/7 (14.3)		23.1 (8.7, 37.6) 16.7 (-8.4, 41.7)
Age 18 - 40ys 41 - 64ys >=65ys	5/12 (41.7) 5/15 (33.3) 0/2	8/14 (57.1) 8/13 (61.5) 1/2 (50.0)		13.2 (-18.0, 44.3) 28.2 (-3.3, 59.7) 50.0 (-8.2, 108.2)	Age 18 - 40ys 41 - 64ys >=65ys	0/12 0/15 0/2	2/14 (14.3) 3/13 (23.1) 1/2 (50.0)		17.5 (1.0, 34.0) 25.0 (4.4, 45.6) 50.0 (-8.2, 108.2)
UC Initial Diagnosis <7yr >=7yr Partial Mayo Score in Baseline	5/21 (23.8) 5/8 (62.5)	10/20 (50.0) 7/9 (77.8)		26.2 (3.2, 49.3) 15.9 (-21.6, 53.4)	UC Initial Diagnosis <7yr >=7yr Partial Mayo Score in Baseline	0/21 0/8	4/20 (20.0) 2/9 (22.2)		21.3 (8.5, 34.1) 22.7 (0.4, 45.1)
<#7 >7	9/24 (37.5) 1/5 (20.0)	15/25 (60.0) 2/4 (50.0)		22.3 (0.5, 44.2) 16.7 (-44.1, 77.5)	<#7 >7 Put Manufacture Baseline	0/24 0/5	6/25 (24.0) 0/4		25.4 (11.1, 39.8) NA
<=8 >8	3/14 (21.4) 7/15 (46.7)	10/13 (76.9) 7/16 (43.8)		54.7 (28.4, 81.1) -6.6 (-37.8, 24.7)	<=8 >8	0/14 0/15	6/13 (46.2) 0/16		45.9 (22.9, 68.9) NA
2 3	2/14 (14.3) 8/15 (53.3)	10/13 (76.9) 7/16 (43.8)		62.6 (38.5, 86.7) +10.8 (+41.3, 19.6)	Central Endoscopy Score in Baseline	0/14 0/15	4/13 (30.8) 2/16 (12.5)		30.6 (10.0, 51.3) 13.2 (-1.0, 27.4)
Prior Corticosteroids Treatment Yes No Prior Awy Biologic Therapier	2/5 (40.0) 8/24 (33.3)	3/3 (100) 14/26 (53.8)		60.0 (24.0, 96.0) 20.4 (-1.8, 42.5)	Prior Corticosteroids Treatment Yes No Prior Ann Biologic Thermise	0/5 0/24	1/3 (33.3) 5/26 (19.2)	H	50.0 (-8.2, 108.2) 19.2 (6.6, 31.8)
Yes No	0/1 10/28 (35.7)	1/2 (50.0) 16/27 (59.3)	<u> </u>	NA 25.3 (4.4, 46.3)	Yes No	0/1 0/28	0/2 6/27 (22.2)	H	NA 23.2 (9.9, 36.5)
			90 -60 -30 0 30 60 90 12 Placebo Better Olamkicent Better	20				-90 -60 -30 0 30 60 90 1 Placebo Better Olamkicent Better	20

	Placebo n/N (%)	Olamkicept 600 mg n/N (%)	Estimated difference (90%CI)	Estimated difference (90% CI)
Overall	1/29 (3.4)	10/29 (34.5)		30.6 (16.6, 44.7)
Sex				
Male	1/25 (4.0)	7/22 (31.8)		28.7 (13.1, 44.3)
Female	0/4	3/7 (42.9)		33.3 (1.7, 65.0)
Age				
18 - 40ys	0/12	4/14 (28.6)		27.8 (16.5, 39.1)
41 - 64ys	1/15 (6.7)	5/13 (38.5)		34.0 (7.6, 60.4)
>=65ys	0/2	1/2 (50.0)		50.0 (-8.2, 108.2)
UC Initial Diagnosis				
<7yr	1/21 (4.8)	4/20 (20.0)	HH	16.4 (1.4, 31.4)
>=7yr	0/8	6/9 (66.7)		65.9 (41.4, 90.4)
Partial Mayo Score in Baseline				
<=7	1/24 (4.2)	10/25 (40.0)		34.3 (18.3, 50.4)
>7	0/5	0/4		NA
Full Mayo Score in Baseline				
<=8	1/14 (7.1)	7/13 (53.8)		44.0 (19.3, 68.8)
>8	0/15	3/16 (18.8)		13.2 (-1.0, 27.3)
Central Endoscopy Score in Baseline			1.1	
2	1/14 (7.1)	6/13 (46.2)		38.7 (15.5, 61.9)
3	0/15	4/16 (25.0)		19.8 (3.1, 36.5)
Prior Corticosteroids Treatment			[·	
Yes	0/5	3/3 (100)		100.0 (100.0, 100.0)
No	1/24 (4.2)	7/26 (26.9)		22.7 (7.0, 38.4)
Prior Any Biologic Therapies			· ·	
Yes	0/1	1/2 (50.0)		NA
No	1/28 (3.6)	9/27 (33.3)		31.8 (17.2, 46.4)
				T-
		-	90 -60 -30 0 30 60 90 1	20
			30 -00 -30 0 30 00 50 1	
			Pleashe Patter Olembiaant Patter	







eFigure 4. Lipid Profiles, Platelet and Neutrophil Count Over Time



