Published in final edited form as: Leukemia. 2018 May ; 32(5): 1258–1263. doi:10.1038/s41375-018-0043-y.

# **Genomic Profiling Reveals Spatial Intra-Tumor Heterogeneity in Follicular Lymphoma**

**Shamzah Araf**#1,2, **Jun Wang**#3, **Koorosh Korfi**1, **Celine Pangault**4, **Eleni Kotsiou**1, **Ana Rio-Machin**1, **Tahrima Rahim**1, **James Heward**1, **Andrew Clear**1, **Sameena Iqbal**1, **Jeff K. Davies**1, **Peter Johnson**5, **Maria Calaminici**1, **Silvia Montoto**1, **Rebecca Auer**1, **Claude Chelala**3, **John G. Gribben**1, **Trevor Graham**6, **Thierry Fest**4, **Jude Fitzgibbon**1, and **Jessica Okosun**<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Haemato-Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute, London, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Genomic Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>Centre for Molecular Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute, London, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup>UMR INSERM 1236, Université de Rennes 1, EFS de Bretagne, CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France

<sup>5</sup>Cancer Sciences Unit, Cancer Research UK Centre, Southampton, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup>Evolution and Cancer Laboratory, Barts Cancer Institute, London, United Kingdom

# These authors contributed equally to this work.

Follicular lymphoma (FL) is an incurable B-cell malignancy characterized by advanced stage disease and a heterogeneous clinical course, with high risk groups including those that transform to an aggressive lymphoma, or progress early (within 2 years) following treatment. Recent sequencing studies have established the diverse genomic landscape and the temporal clonal dynamics of FL1–7, however our understanding of the degree of spatial or intra-tumor heterogeneity (ITH) that exists within an individual patient is limited. In contrast, multi-site profiling in solid organ malignancies has demonstrated profound ITH impacting mechanisms of drug resistance and compromising precision-medicine based strategies to care8. In FL, the rise in trials adopting targeted therapies such as EZH2, PI3K

#### **Authorship Contributions**

#### **Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest**

The authors have declared no conflict of interests.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:[http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial\\_policies/license.html#terms](http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms)

**Corresponding Authors:** Jessica Okosun, Centre for Haemato-Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, John Vane Science Centre, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, United Kingdom. Phone: +442078823804; Fax: +442078825958, j.okosun@qmul.ac.uk; Shamzah Araf, Centre for Haemato-Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, John Vane Science Centre, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, United Kingdom. Phone: +442078823804; Fax: +442078825958, s.araf@qmul.ac.uk.

Contribution: J.O conceived the study. S.A, J.F, J.W, and J.O designed the study, S.A, J.W, K.K, J.F, J.O wrote the manuscript, C.P, J.D, P.J, S.M, R.A, J.G, T.H identified patients for the study and collected clinical information, E.H, S.I, A.C prepared DNA samples, M.C performed pathological review of specimens, J.W, C.C, T.G performed the bioinformatic analysis, S.A, K.K, C.P, E.K, T.R, A.R.M, J.H performed experiments, S.A, J.W, K.K, E.K, T.R, J.H, A.R.M, J.O analyzed the data. All authors read, critically reviewed and approved the manuscript.

and BTK inhibitors reflects this paradigm shift in cancer care and with the development of biomarker-driven studies highlights the need to accurately define genomic alterations with clinical relevance. As most FL patients manifest disseminated tumor involvement, we sought to uncover the extent and clinical importance of spatial heterogeneity in FL by using a combination of whole exome and targeted deep sequencing (supplementary methods).

Our study cohort comprised 9 patients (SP1-SP9) each with two spatially-separated synchronous biopsies including 2 patients (SP3 and SP4) with spatial samples at 2 timepoints (FL and transformation) yielding a total of 22 tumor samples (Table S1). To improve the sensitivity for variant detection, fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) was performed on cell suspensions where available (15 of 22 tumors) (supplementary methods and Table S2, S3). Exome sequencing of both the tumor and paired germline DNA was performed (median depth 131x) (Table S3) and we identified between 35 and 130 nonsynonymous somatic variants (SNVs) per sample corresponding to 659 coding genes comprising missense (81%), indels (10%), nonsense (7%), and splice site (2%) changes (Table S4,5). We verified 195/198 (98%) SNVs using an orthogonal platform (Haloplex HS), with a high concordance of variant allele frequencies (VAF) (r=0.91) (Table S6). The tumor purity was predicted across samples using the mclust algorithm (supplementary methods and Figure S1) demonstrating a mean purity of 92% in FACS-sorted and 66% in non-sorted samples.

Although the spatially-separated tumors shared identical BCL2-IGH breakpoints, we observed variable degrees of ITH, with on average 82% (range 50%-99%) of variants shared between sites. To quantify this heterogeneity, we calculated the Jaccard Similarity Coefficient (JSC)9 for each patient, which represents the ratio of shared to total (shared and discordant) variants for two samples, with values closer to 1 representing greater similarity between samples. This demonstrated a range of JSCs with the highest JSC observed in SP3  $(0.92)$  and the lowest in SP8 (JSC = 0.41) where a higher proportion of variants were confined to only one spatial biopsy (Figure 1A, S2). Furthermore, the majority of our cases consisted of paired nodal/extra-nodal sites, and the extent of genetic heterogeneity may be more profound if additional nodal and extra-nodal sites of disease were profiled. The higher levels of genetic ITH in our study did not translate to a more adverse outcome nor was it associated with a specific clinical phenotype, although this can only be addressed with a larger series.

To understand the clonal substructure of these spatially-separated tumors, PyClone, a modelbased clustering algorithm (supplementary methods) was used to derive pairwise sub(clonal) clusters and reconstruct clonal phylogenies for each case (Figure 1B-C, S3). This demonstrated tumors consisting of multiple subclones (mean 3, range 2-6), with the proportion of variants comprising the major clone (Figure 1B) ranging from 6-68% (mean 40%). The non-linear distribution of subclones on the mean cluster cellular prevalence plots suggests differential subclonal dominance between spatial sites (Figure 1B) and was best exemplified in SP2 where tumor cells from both compartments were FACS-purified. In this case, a variant cluster (Cluster 1) that included mutations in  $ATP6VIB2$  (p.R400Q), BCL2  $(p.G47V)$  and  $KMT2D(p.P867fs)$ , were clonal in the bone marrow but sub-clonal in the lymph node, whereas the reverse was true for Cluster 2 consisting of mutations in KMT2D

Araf et al. Page 3

(p.G1387D and p.R5501\*). We could also resolve a third cluster, including an EZH2 mutation (p.Y646S) with corrected VAFs ranging from 21-31% in the LN and 0.6-2.6% in the BM (Figure 1C).

Strikingly, in cases SP3 and SP4, where spatially-separated biopsies were profiled at two timepoints (at FL and transformation), the spatial biopsies displayed strong genetic concordance pre-transformation; however the degree of spatial heterogeneity markedly increased at transformation, with the JSC reducing from 0.92 to 0.61 and 0.68 to 0.50 in SP3 and SP4, respectively. Patient SP3 was treated with chemo-immunotherapy at diagnosis and relapsed three years later with transformed disease. Here, all four biopsies (spatial and temporal) shared mutations in ARID1A, CREBBP, KMT2D, 1p36 loss and 17p gain (Figure 2A, S4, Table S7). There was evidence of devolution of specific genetic alterations at progression, with previously identified mutations in ATP6V1B2 and TNFRSF14 not observed, indicating that the transformed biopsies expanded from an ancestral population rather than directly from the dominant diagnostic clone. At transformation, shared temporal changes included acquisition of REL amplification, an EZH2 mutation and clonal expansion of a CD79A mutation that was present as a rare subclone at diagnosis. Spatial heterogeneity at transformation was illustrated by specific alterations in the transformed LN (tLN) including 6p copy neutral loss-of-heterozygosity (cnLOH) (encompassing the region encoding HLA genes) and mutations in *TNFAIP3*, PRKCB (p.R22H) and *DDX3X*. Following the same pattern as SP3, SP4 exhibited a core set of ubiquitous mutations in all biopsies (CREBBP, EP300, KMT2D, TNFRSF14) with temporal loss of subclonal mutations in PIK3CD and RRAGC. There was a clear increase in ITH at transformation with both sitespecific CNAs (Figure S4, Table S7) and mutations in *EBF1, S1PR2, CCND3* (tLN) and SPIB (transformed skin (tSK)) (Figure 2B). Interestingly, targeted sequencing of 13 selected variants in the circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) sample at transformation detected mutations that were clonal and shared between the spatial biopsies (CREBBP, KMT2D, EP300 and TNFRSF14), but failed to recover all the site-specific variants in the tLN (for example: EBF1 and S1PR2 corrected VAFs: 21.7% and 38.7% respectively), indicating that different tumor subpopulations dynamically circulate in the plasma and that ctDNA may not invariably capture the entire genetic spectrum, and warrants further exploration (Figure S5).

To determine the clinical relevance of this spatial heterogeneity, we focused on known recurrently altered genes with putative biological, prognostic or therapeutic relevance in FL (Figure 2C). Notably, CREBBP was mutated in all 9 patients, accompanied by cnLOH (7 cases) and was clonally maintained throughout spatially-separated biopsies. This is in keeping with previous reports2 and reaffirms CREBBP mutations as early events in the pathogenesis of FL. KMT2D was also affected by mutations or cnLOH in all cases, with a tendency for patients to possess multiple mutations with variations in clonality and evidence of genetic convergence with distinct mutations across spatial sites (Figure 2C). In addition,  $CXCRA$  (SP5, SP9),  $STAT6$  (SP1, SP8) and  $VMA21$  (SP4, SP6, SP7, SP9) mutations were always spatially concordant. Aside from these genes, all others demonstrated spatial discordance in at least one case, with notable examples including, site-specific mutations in TNFAIP3 (SP3 and SP9), TNFRSF14 (SP1), PIK3CD (SP4), EP300 (SP9), XBP1 (SP9) and copy number loss of PTEN (SP8) (Figure 2C, S6). Of note, most discordant mutations were detected at a subclonal level (mean corrected VAF 27%; range 3.4%-89%). We verified the

Araf et al. Page 4

site- and temporal-specific nature of these driver mutations identified from our exome data by performing ultra-deep sequencing of 25 selected variants (mean coverage 8000x; Table S8, Figure S7). All variants were confirmed to be truly spatially discordant at VAF sensitivities approaching 0.4%, apart from CBX8 (SP5) confirming their bona fide sitespecific nature.

Importantly, even accounting for the rarity of spatial sampling, reflecting the seldom nature spatially-involved tumors are procured in routine clinical practice, the subclonal diversity and spatial heterogeneity observed in our case series has potential clinically relevant ramifications for the development of precision-based strategies, particularly in the context of emergent prognostic and predictive biomarkers. This is illustrated by examples of spatiallydiscordant mutations in genes such as  $EZH2$  and  $EP300$  that are integral to the m7-FLIPI prognostic scoring model10. Furthermore, the heterogeneity of actionable driver events between sites may mean patients are precluded from adopting the relevant targeted therapy due to failure in the detection of the corresponding predictive biomarker in the solitary tumor biopsy profiled. A potentially attractive treatment paradigm is one whereby we specifically target highly recurrent and truncal gene mutations, such as CREBBP and  $KMT2D$ , particularly given their role in FL pathogenesis  $11-14$ , as they may indeed prove to be the Achilles' heel of these tumors.

In summary, this proof of principle study answers an important clinical question that a sole biopsy inadequately captures a patient's genetic heterogeneity and prompts us to consider integrating multimodal genomic strategies (multi-region, ctDNA and temporal profiling) into prospective clinical trials, as is currently being performed in the TRACERx study in lung cancer15, especially as we begin to consider current and future actionable biomarkers.

### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are indebted to the patients for donating tumor specimens as part of this study. The authors thank: The Centre de Ressources Biologiques (CRB)-Santé of Rennes (BB-0033-00056) for patient samples, Queen Mary University of London Genome Centre for Illumina Miseq sequencing and the support by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London for Illumina Hiseq sequencing. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. This work was supported by grants from the Kay Kendall Leukaemia Fund (KKL 757 awarded to J.O), Cancer Research UK (22742 awarded to J.O, 15968 awarded to J.F, Clinical Research Fellowship awarded to S.A), Bloodwise through funding of the Precision Medicine for Aggressive Lymphoma (PMAL) consortium, Centre for Genomic Health, Queen Mary University of London, Carte d'Identité des Tumeurs (CIT), Ligue National contre le Cancer, Pôle de biologie hospital universitaire de Rennes, CRB-Santé of Rennes (BB-0033-00056) and CeVi/Carnot program.

## **References**

1. Green MR, Gentles AJ, Nair RV, Irish JM, Kihira S, Liu CL, et al. Hierarchy in somatic mutations arising during genomic evolution and progression of follicular lymphoma. Blood. 2013 Feb; 121(9): 1604–1611. [PubMed: 23297126]

- 2. Green MR, Kihira S, Liu CL, Nair RV, Salari R, Gentles AJ, et al. Mutations in early follicular lymphoma progenitors are associated with suppressed antigen presentation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 Mar; 112(10):E1116–1125. [PubMed: 25713363]
- 3. Kridel R, Chan FC, Mottok A, Boyle M, Farinha P, Tan K, et al. Histological Transformation and Progression in Follicular Lymphoma: A Clonal Evolution Study. PLoS Med. 2016 Dec.13(12) e1002197.
- 4. Li H, Kaminski MS, Li Y, Yildiz M, Ouillette P, Jones S, et al. Mutations in linker histone genes HIST1H1 B C, D and E; OCT2 (POU2F2); IRF8; and ARID1A underlying the pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma. Blood. 2014 Mar; 123(10):1487–1498. [PubMed: 24435047]
- 5. Okosun J, Bödör C, Wang J, Araf S, Yang CY, Pan C, et al. Integrated genomic analysis identifies recurrent mutations and evolution patterns driving the initiation and progression of follicular lymphoma. Nat Genet. 2014 Feb; 46(2):176–181. [PubMed: 24362818]
- 6. Okosun J, Wolfson RL, Wang J, Araf S, Wilkins L, Castellano BM, et al. Recurrent mTORC1 activating RRAGC mutations in follicular lymphoma. Nat Genet. 2016 Feb; 48(2):183–188. [PubMed: 26691987]
- 7. Pasqualucci L, Khiabanian H, Fangazio M, Vasishtha M, Messina M, Holmes AB, et al. Genetics of follicular lymphoma transformation. Cell Rep. 2014 Jan; 6(1):130–140. [PubMed: 24388756]
- 8. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, Gronroos E, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. N Engl J Med. 2012 Mar; 366(10):883–892. [PubMed: 22397650]
- 9. Makohon-Moore AP, Zhang M, Reiter JG, Bozic I, Allen B, Kundu D, et al. Limited heterogeneity of known driver gene mutations among the metastases of individual patients with pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet. 2017 Mar; 49(3):358–366. [PubMed: 28092682]
- 10. Pastore A, Jurinovic V, Kridel R, Hoster E, Staiger AM, Szczepanowski M, et al. Integration of gene mutations in risk prognostication for patients receiving first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma: a retrospective analysis of a prospective clinical trial and validation in a population-based registry. Lancet Oncol. 2015 Aug.
- 11. Jiang Y, Ortega-Molina A, Geng H, Ying HY, Hatzi K, Parsa S, et al. CREBBP Inactivation Promotes the Development of HDAC3-Dependent Lymphomas. Cancer Discov. 2017 Jan; 7(1): 38–53. [PubMed: 27733359]
- 12. Zhang J, Vlasevska S, Wells VA, Nataraj S, Holmes AB, Duval R, et al. The CREBBP Acetyltransferase Is a Haploinsufficient Tumor Suppressor in B-cell Lymphoma. Cancer Discov. 2017 Mar; 7(3):322–337. [PubMed: 28069569]
- 13. Ortega-Molina A, Boss IW, Canela A, Pan H, Jiang Y, Zhao C, et al. The histone lysine methyltransferase KMT2D sustains a gene expression program that represses B cell lymphoma development. Nat Med. 2015 Oct; 21(10):1199–1208. [PubMed: 26366710]
- 14. Zhang J, Dominguez-Sola D, Hussein S, Lee JE, Holmes AB, Bansal M, et al. Disruption of KMT2D perturbs germinal center B cell development and promotes lymphomagenesis. Nat Med. 2015 Oct; 21(10):1190–1198. [PubMed: 26366712]
- 15. Jamal-Hanjani M, Wilson GA, McGranahan N, Birkbak NJ, Watkins TBK, Veeriah S, et al. Tracking the Evolution of Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2017 Jun; 376(22):2109– 2121. [PubMed: 28445112]

Araf et al. Page 6



## **Figure 1. Patterns of intra-tumor heterogeneity in spatially-separated tumors**

(A) Proportion of shared and site-specific somatic SNVs in each case. The Jaccard Similarity Coefficient (JSC) is given above each bar. Site 1 is LN and site 2 BM with the following exceptions: SP1 site 2: skin (SK), SP4 site 1: LN1, site 2: LN2, SP4 -T site 2: skin, SP5 site 2: pleural effusion (PE), SP6 site 1: ascites (AS), site 2: spleen (SP). (T: transformed) (B) Pairwise mean cluster cellular prevalence plots. Derived mutation clusters represent the mean cellular prevalence of all mutations within a cluster. Each cluster is denoted by a circle with the size of the circle equivalent to the number of mutations within the cluster. The letter in each circle relates to the specific cluster within the clonal phylogenies in Figure S3. Mutations in known FL-associated genes are highlighted to show their locations within clusters. ^ site specific variant, although the mean cluster cellular prevalence is reported as marginally subclonal. (Ci) Variant allele frequency (VAF) plot of all somatic mutations in case SP2. VAFs for selected mutations from three highlighted subclones in purple, orange and green are shown in the horizontal bar graphs. (Cii) Mean cluster cellular prevalence plot and (Ciii) Clonal phylogeny of SP2 confirming the distinct subclones (purple, orange, green) seen in the VAF plot.



0 denotes discordant mutations in samples with multiple mutations present

#### **Figure 2. Spatial heterogeneity at transformation and in genes with putative biological, prognostic or therapeutic relevance**

(A) Mean cluster cellular prevalence plot for SP3 at diagnosis (top) and transformation (bottom) to DLBCL. (B) Mean cluster cellular prevalence plot for SP4 at FL (top) and transformation (bottom) to DLBCL. ^ site specific variant, although the mean cluster cellular prevalence is reported as marginally subclonal. (C) Heatmap demonstrating degree of spatial heterogeneity (mutations and copy number changes) in driver genes. At the top, alterations such as those in CREBBP and KMT2D are found in all cases. Gene names listed in green

Araf et al. Page 8

always had spatially concordant variants whilst genes listed in blue demonstrate at least one instance of spatial discordance.