



Published in final edited form as:

Psychiatry Res. 2021 May ; 299: 113855. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2021.113855.

Psychological Impacts of the COVID-19 Global Pandemic on U.S. Sexual and Gender Minority Young Adults

Kanika Kamal^{†,a}, Jason J. Li^{†,a}, Hyeouk Chris Hahm, Ph.D^b, Cindy H. Liu, PhD^{*,c}

^aHarvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

^bSchool of Social Work, Boston University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

^cDepartments of Pediatric Newborn and Psychiatry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused unprecedented isolation and mental health effects; few studies have characterized this in sexual and gender (SGM) minority young people, a particularly vulnerable population. This cross-sectional study sought to analyze the mental health outcomes of SGM young people (18–30 years) during the early stages of the pandemic in the United States (April 13-June 18, 2020) and explore how factors related to SGM identity impact mental health, such as lifetime discrimination, family support, and pre-existing mental health conditions. An online survey collected socio-demographic information and assessed for both mental health (depression (PHQ-8), anxiety (GAD-7), PTSD (PCL-C)) and COVID-19-related outcomes (COVID-19-related worries and COVID-19-related grief). Out of 981 participants, 320 (32.6%) identified as SGM and had significantly higher levels of depression and PTSD symptoms as well as COVID-19-related worries and grief, even after controlling for family support, lifetime discrimination, and pre-existing mental health diagnoses. These findings suggest that not only has COVID-19 disproportionately impacted SGM mental health, but also that minority stress factors cannot fully explain this impact. Thus, clinicians and societal stakeholders (schools, employers, policymakers) must think beyond traditional minority stress factors (family support, discrimination) and pre-pandemic disparities to support this vulnerable population as the pandemic progresses.

Keywords

LGBT; Mental Health; Family Support; Minority Stress; Policy and Advocacy; College Health

*Corresponding author: Cindy H. Liu, PhD Departments of Pediatric Newborn Medicine and Psychiatry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 221 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA, Tel: 617-525-4131, Fax: 617-582-6026, chliu@bwh.harvard.edu.

[†]Kanika Kamal and Jason Li served as co-first authors, each with equal contribution to the manuscript

Declaration of Interests: None

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

Since March 2020, the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has disrupted the lives of people worldwide; universities sent their students home, non-essential businesses closed, and daily life came to a standstill. Such abrupt disconnection and isolation from family and friends has contributed to higher rates of depression, anxiety, PTSD, and loneliness in young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic (Fitzpatrick et al., 2020; Hyun et al. 2021; Liu et al., 2020).

Some populations are more vulnerable to COVID-19 and its social repercussions, notably racial and ethnic minority communities (Laurencin and McClinton, 2020; Bibbins-Domingo, 2020). However, few studies have investigated how sexual and gender minorities (SGM), defined as non-cisgender, non-heterosexual people, have been affected by COVID-19. SGM individuals may face disproportionate COVID-related mental health issues given their increased mental health risk in pre-pandemic conditions. For example, compared with non-SGM peers, SGM people are significantly more likely to report depression, anxiety, and substance use issues as well as decreased social and family support (Ryan et al., 2010; Baams et al., 2018). Thus far, studies have largely corroborated this prediction. In Hong Kong and India, SGM individuals reported increased depression and anxiety symptoms related to both COVID-19 and specific SGM-related stressors (Sharma and Subramanyam, 2020; Suen et al., 2020). Similarly, a global sample of men who have sex with men demonstrated elevated depression and anxiety symptoms related to COVID-19's impact on accessing HIV care (Santos et al., 2020). However, this may not be the case for all SGM individuals, as demonstrated by a study in Taiwan noting that SGM individuals felt less worried about COVID-19 than non-SGM individuals (Ko et al., 2020).

SGM young adults (ages 18–30) warrant special attention in mental health research during COVID-19. In pre-pandemic conditions, 67% of SGM youth reported facing family rejection, 77% reported feeling depressed in the last week, and 95% reported trouble sleeping (Human Rights Campaign, 2018). Given that the pandemic has caused widespread social changes, such as school closures, layoffs, and quarantine orders (Conrad et al., 2021), negative mental health outcomes may be elevated among SGM young people as they become disconnected from social and mental health support at school, work, or in socialization (Liu et al., 2020c). In the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, Gonzales *et al.* and Fish *et al.* found that SGM young adults in the United States also struggled with returning to unsupportive homes, reporting adverse mental health outcomes because of COVID-19 (Fish et al., 2020; Gonzales et al., 2020). These findings underscore the need to better characterize the unique and significant stressors SGM young adults are confronted with during the pandemic.

To our knowledge, no investigations in the United States have measured COVID-19-related worries (worries related to food, employment, and financial security) and COVID-19-related grief (concerns about missing out on significant life events or friendships) as mental health outcomes among SGM young adults during the pandemic. Yet, these measures are crucial to understanding how and which basic social needs can be tangibly and rapidly addressed with policymaking and social programs. Given the breadth of literature documenting mental

health and social support disparities pre-pandemic, we predicted that SGM young adults may be particularly vulnerable to the effects of COVID-19-induced isolation, school and employment changes, and the health uncertainties inherent to a global pandemic. Thus, the main goals of this study are to 1) explore the relationships between SGM identity and psychiatric symptoms (depression, anxiety, and PTSD), COVID-19-related worries, and COVID-19-related grief during the pandemic, 2) analyze whether these relationships are explained by factors previously found to be related to SGM identity, such as lifetime discrimination, family support, pre-existing mental health conditions (diagnosed before the pandemic began), and 3) analyze whether these factors interact with SGM identity in explaining outcomes. Understanding the burden that SGM young adults experience during the pandemic is crucial to inform clinicians, university administrators, employers, and families how to best care for this vulnerable population as the pandemic continues.

2. Method

2.1 Study Population

To track young adult (ages 18–30) experiences in the U.S., we launched the COVID-19 Adult Resilience Experiences Study (CARES 2020), a longitudinal cohort study, on April 13, 2020, one month after the U.S. state of emergency declaration. Preliminary study data was obtained via the online survey during Wave 1 (N = 981) from April 13, 2020 to June 18, 2020. Recruitment occurred online via university newsletters, email listservs, social media, and word of mouth (e.g., listservs and Facebook and Instagram pages for churches, school organizations and clubs, college dorms, and community centers). Recruitment was initially focused on schools and organizations in the New England area before additional outreach targeted all areas of the U.S. (Midwest, South, and West). Those who lived or studied in the United States and were between the ages 18 to 30 were eligible to complete the survey, and informed consent was obtained for all participants. The online survey took approximately 30 minutes to complete and asked about participants' experiences during the beginning stages of COVID-19, including, but not limited to, physical and mental health outcomes, resilience, social support, and perceived COVID-19 risk. Human verification and attention checks were implemented throughout the survey to ensure data integrity. Further, research staff conducted weekly quality assurance checks of the data to exclude any response irregularities indicative of bots. One in 10 participants were compensated with a \$25 gift card. This study was approved by the Boston University Institutional Review Board.

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Demographic information—The CARES 2020 survey collected demographic information, including age, race, and income using both multiple choice and free response. Additionally, we collected gender identity (male, female, transgender man, transgender woman, or other) and sexual orientation (gay, lesbian, bisexual, asexual, questioning, or other). For “other,” participants could write-in their gender and sexual orientation identity. Participants who identified as heterosexual and cisgender (male or female) were included in the non-SGM group. All others identifying as non-cisgender or non-heterosexual were included in the SGM group.

We also controlled for the number of days between the survey administration and the declaration of a national emergency (March 15th, 2020) to account for time, a possible covariate given the dynamic nature of the pandemic. Lastly, participants were asked to indicate whether they had ever been diagnosed with any of the following nine clinical disorders prior to the pandemic: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD); generalized anxiety disorder; depression; insomnia; obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD); panic disorder; post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); substance abuse or addiction (alcohol or other drugs); and other mental health condition. For each disorder, participants could select “No”; “Suspected, but not diagnosed”; “Yes, diagnosed but not treated”; or “Yes, diagnosed and treated.” Participants who previously received a diagnosis before the COVID-19 pandemic began, regardless of treatment, were counted as having a pre-existing mental health diagnosis.

2.2.2 Risk and Protective Factors—This study assessed perceived social support with the 12-item Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) (Zimet et al., 1990). Participants rated their perceived emotional support from family, friends, and partners on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (“very strongly disagree”) to 7 (“very strongly agree”).

Lifetime discrimination was assessed using the 11-item Lifetime Discrimination Scale (Williams et al., 1997). Participants rated on a scale of 0–3 how many times they have faced unfair treatment at school, work, or when receiving financial or other services throughout their lifetime. Zero was None, 1 was 1–2 times, and 2 was 3–4 times, and 3 was 5 or more times.

Sum scores for both of these factors were used as continuous predictors, with higher scores meaning less social support and more lifetime discrimination, respectively.

Two 6-item scales, that have been used in previous published work, assessed the severity of COVID-19-related worries and COVID-19-related grief (Liu et al., 2020a; 2020b). The COVID-19-related worries scale measured concerns surrounding food stability, keeping in touch with loved ones in quarantine, maintaining financial stability, and accessing COVID-19 testing and treatment. The COVID-19-related grief scale, adapted from the Inventory of Complicated Grief (Prigerson et al., 1996), measured concerns surrounding missing out on significant life events and feelings of emptiness or bitterness because of loss of daily routine. Participants rated their concern about each item on a scale from 1 (COVID-19-related worries: “not worried at all”; COVID-19-related grief: “strongly disagree”) to 5 (COVID-19-related worries: “very worried”; COVID-19-related grief: “strongly agree”). Scores represented the sum of the ratings from each question and were used as continuous variables. The range of possible scores for both scales is from 6 to 30. Cronbach’s alpha for measured items indicated good reliability (COVID-19-related worries = .70, COVID-19-related grief = .79).

2.2.3 Mental Health Outcomes—An 8-item version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-8) was used to assess symptoms of depression. The PHQ-8 asked participants to rate the frequency of depressive symptoms in the past two weeks from 0 (“not at all”) to 3 (“nearly every day”).

A 7-item version of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), a commonly utilized scale, was used to assess anxiety symptoms. Participants were asked to rate the frequency of anxiety symptoms in the past two weeks from 0 (“not at all”) to 3 (“nearly every day”).

A 17-item version of the PTSD Checklist—Civilian Version (PCL-C) was used to assess PTSD symptoms. Respondents indicated how much they were bothered by problems and experiences in response to stressful life events in the past month, with 1 as “not at all” and 5 as “extremely.”

Each scale’s sum score was used as continuous variables.

2.3 Statistical Analyses

Chi-Square analyses were used to indicate statistically significant differences in the proportions between SGM and non-SGM groups. We conducted multiple regression analyses to examine SGM status as a predictor for mental health and COVID-19-related outcomes, primary mental health outcomes (depression, anxiety, PTSD symptoms), and COVID-19-related worries and grief. We regressed these outcomes on sociodemographic characteristics (Block 1), pre-existing mental health diagnoses before COVID-19 began (Block 2), lifetime discrimination (Block 3), family support (Block 4), and SGM identity (Block 5). Sociodemographic characteristics incorporated into the analyses included age, race, whether or not they were a student, and days since the pandemic was declared a national emergency to account for time effects. We used SPSS 26.0 to perform these analyses.

3. Results

Table 1 depicts descriptive data on demographic characteristics as well as predictors and outcomes of our study population, broken down by SGM status. Our study cohort consisted of 60.8% White, 20.9% Asian, 4.8% Black, 5.8% Hispanic/Latinx, 6.3 % mixed race, and 1.5% “other” race participants. In addition to racial and ethnic diversity, the gender identity of our sample varied, with 83.2% identifying as cisgender women, 12.6% identifying as cisgender men, and 4.2% identifying as other gender identities. The mean age of our sample was 24 and the majority (63.9%) are students and earn an income of <\$25,000 a year (47.1%). Nearly half of our cohort (45.1%) has at least one previous mental health diagnosis. Finally, out of 981 respondents, 320 (32.6%) identified as SGM. Out of these 320 participants, 11.7% were lesbian, 10.2% gay, 43.2% bisexual, 8.6% asexual, 6.8% questioning, 11.7% identified as “self-identified” SGM status.

Two-tailed independent samples *t-test* analyses revealed significant differences between the SGM and non-SGM group in gender, race, income, and rates of previous mental health diagnoses ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1). Notably, SGM young adults scored higher in lifetime discrimination on average ($M = 2.00$ vs. $M = 1.38$, $p = .001$) and lower in family support ($M = 4.77$ vs. $M = 5.24$, $p < .001$). All of these factors, except for income, were included in the subsequent regression analyses. Income was omitted as a covariate as our largely student population, the majority of whom reported zero income or less than \$25,000 a year, may not be reflective of true household wealth.

ANOVA analyses controlling for age, race, student status, days since the pandemic, pre-existing mental health conditions (diagnosed prior to the pandemic), lifetime discrimination, and family support demonstrate significantly elevated levels of depression ($F(1, 931) = 9.05, p = .003$), PTSD ($F(1, 931) = 6.17, p = .013$), COVID-19-related worries ($F(1, 931) = 16.15, p < .000$), and COVID-19-related grief ($F(1, 931) = 4.64, p = .032$) among SGM compared to non-SGM young adults (Table 2). There was no significant difference in anxiety symptoms between these two groups ($F(1, 931) = 2.39, p = .122$).

Table 3 provides results from multiple regression models for depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms, adjusting for five different blocks. We found that pre-existing mental health diagnoses, lifetime discrimination, and family support are statistically significant predictors for all mental health outcomes at or below the $p = 0.05$ level. When SGM identity was incorporated into regression models, it was a statistically significant predictor for depression and PTSD symptoms, but not anxiety, after controlling for the above factors.

Regression results for COVID-19-related worries and grief are displayed on Table 4, with the same five blocks as the previous regression models in Table 3. While lifetime discrimination and family support were statistically significant predictors for COVID-19-related worries and grief, pre-existing mental health conditions were significant predictors only for COVID-19-related grief, and not for COVID-19-related worries when SGM status was incorporated. Similarly to the mental health outcomes, SGM identity was a statistically significant predictor for COVID-19-related worries ($B = .130, p < 0.001$) and grief ($B = .068, p < 0.05$) after incorporating pre-existing mental health conditions, lifetime discrimination, and family support.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first quantitative survey examining the major psychiatric challenges faced by SGM compared to non-SGM young adults during the initial period of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. We present several key findings.

First, consistent with previous literature in non-pandemic conditions, the SGM young adults in our sample had significantly higher baseline rates of previous mental health diagnoses (Semlyen et al., 2016), lower levels of family support (Ryan et al., 2010), and higher levels of lifetime discrimination compared to their non-SGM counterparts (Human Rights Campaign, 2018). As anticipated, SGM young adults are a population particularly vulnerable to the societal impacts of the pandemic.

Second, we found that SGM compared to non-SGM young adults reported significantly elevated mean levels of depression and PTSD symptoms and COVID-19-related worries and grief. Our mental health findings are consistent with Suen *et al.*, Sharma and Subramanyam, and Santos *et al.*, who found elevated depressive and anxiety symptoms during COVID-19 among LGB people in Hong Kong, India, and in a global sample (Santos et al., 2020; Sharma and Subramanyam, 2020; Suen et al., 2020). We note that our SGM sample's mean depression and anxiety scores approached the clinical threshold (scores of greater than 10), and therefore represents the highest mental health symptoms measured out of the

aforementioned SGM COVID-19 studies utilizing the same clinical scales.(Sharma and Subramanyam, 2020; Suen et al., 2020) Our elevated COVID-19-related worries and grief may be a result of greater levels of rumination, which has been previously reported among SGM communities (Lewis et al., 2016; Sarno et al., 2020). As rumination has been described as fixating on problems and negative feelings, this may be analogous to fixating on worries and grief surrounding the pandemic.

Third, SGM identity predicted depression and PTSD symptoms and COVID-19 related worries and grief even after controlling for sociodemographic factors, pre-existing mental health conditions (diagnosed prior to the pandemic), family support, and lifetime discrimination. Controlling for these potential cofounders allowed us to identify the extent to which SGM identity alone accounted for our outcomes. Our findings suggest that baseline SGM mental health disparities, family support, and lifetime discrimination—which in previous literature have often been utilized to explain SGM mental health disparities—cannot fully explain why mental health outcomes were elevated among SGM young people during the pandemic (Russell and Fish, 2016). In particular, by controlling for mental health conditions diagnosed prior to the pandemic, our findings demonstrate that SGM identity is still significantly associated with current mental health symptoms even after controlling and accounting for the well-documented baseline disparities in mental health conditions among SGM communities in pre-pandemic times. Further, interactions between SGM and the above factors showed no significant effects on our measured outcomes. These findings contrast previous research on SGM young adult mental health. For example, Ryan *et al.* found that family rejection predicted increased depression among SGM young adults (2009). Critically, factors like family acceptance and strong social support have been protective against adverse mental health issues in young adults (Kibirik et al., 2019; Ryan et al., 2009; McConnell et al., 2015). In the case of COVID-19-related and mental health outcomes, our findings suggest a more complicated picture with SGM identity, which likely represent an interconnection of minority stress factors (e.g., lifetime experiences, stigma) that together affect how SGM young people are uniquely experiencing acute stressors during this pandemic (White Hughto et al., 2015; Phillips et al., 2020).

There are several hypotheses for why SGM young people may be experiencing the stressors of COVID-19 differently than non-SGM young adults outside of the above factors. Given their significantly higher levels of PTSD symptoms ($p < .001$), an unprecedented social isolation mandate can feel re-traumatizing for SGM young adults, who commonly have histories of victimization and rejection.(Livingston et al., 2020) Furthermore, many young people have returned to their parents homes during the pandemic. This may have prevented them from receiving routine support (e.g., therapy), even virtually, due to worries about privacy, “outness,” and family rejection. This is particularly concerning given that COVID-19 implies an entirely new set of social and emotional stressors not normally seen in day to day life (Fish et al., 2020). Lastly, given the demonstrated burden of COVID-19’s on SGM young adults, they may not be able to give or receive the same caliber of support from their SGM peers as before the pandemic. Loss of connection to and participation in LGBTQ + communities, which has been shown to be a stronger protective factor for SGM compared to non-SGM mental health, could explain the disparity in COVID-19-related worry and grief

seen in SGM young people (Toomey et al., 2011; Mereish and Poteat, 2015; Poteat et al., 2016).

Future research around understanding the SGM identity in COVID-19 may need to develop more detailed survey questions and incorporate qualitative analyses. This will allow us to delve deeper (as well as beyond) the well-described constructs of family support, resilience, and discrimination in explaining the SGM experience.

4.1 Limitations

The present study has several limitations. First, as a cross-sectional cohort recruited through convenience sampling, our sample is majority white female students from similar socioeconomic backgrounds, concentrated in the northeast of the United States. Thus, one must use discretion when drawing cause-and-effect conclusions and generalizing to the U.S. young adult population. Second, our survey relies on self-report, which may be prone to bias and misinterpretation. Third, while our survey had various options for gender identity, we did not explicitly ask for sex assigned at birth, which may have been important in identifying gender minorities. Finally, our survey did not assess whether respondents relocated to their family members' homes, which could further clarify how family support affects mental health. Future analyses with qualitative interviews and longitudinal follow-up data will mitigate these limitations and better characterize the SGM experience during the pandemic.

4.2 Conclusions

SGM young people are a particularly vulnerable and often overlooked community affected by the pandemic, with less family support and more baseline mental health diagnoses and lifetime discrimination than their non-SGM peers. University administrators and employers must consider the unique impacts of closing work spaces and campus housing and potentially forcing SGM young adults to engage with unsupportive family members and act accordingly. This includes, but is not limited to, offering tangible resources regarding housing and employment security or health education and risk management regarding COVID-19. Clinically, because previous diagnoses do not entirely predict mental health symptoms during the pandemic, providers must tailor their treatment to consider how SGM patient's mental health may be uniquely affected by the pandemic's disruptions to society and daily life. Attention should be given to how SGM young people access mental health care while maintaining confidentiality and privacy, especially because their families may be unaware or unsupportive of their identities.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the CARES 2020 project team for their work in survey recruitment, data acquisition and curation, and project administration.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation [2027553] award to C.H.L. and H.C.H, as well as a Mary A. Tynan Faculty Fellowship and a NIMH K23 [MH 107714-01 A1] award to C.H.L.

References

- 2018 LGBTQ Youth Report | Human Rights Campaign, 2018. URL <https://www.hrc.org/resources/2018-lgbtq-youth-report> (accessed 7.10.20).
- Baams L, De Luca SM, Brownson C, 2018. Use of mental health services among college students by sexual orientation. *LGBT Health* 5, 421–430. [PubMed: 30280997]
- Bibbins-Domingo K, 2020. This Time Must Be Different: Disparities During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 173, 233–234. [PubMed: 32343767]
- Conrad RC, Koire A, Pinder-Amaker S, & Liu CH (2021). College student mental health risks during the COVID-19 pandemic: Implications of campus relocation. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 136, 117–126. [PubMed: 33588225]
- Fish JN, McInroy LB, Pacey MS, Williams ND, Henderson S, Levine DS, Edsall RN, 2020. “I’m Kinda Stuck at Home With Unsupportive Parents Right Now”: LGBTQ Youths’ Experiences With COVID-19 and the Importance of Online Support. *The Journal of Adolescent Health* 67, 450–452. [PubMed: 32591304]
- Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G, 2020. Fear of COVID-19 and the mental health consequences in America. *Psychological trauma: theory, research, practice and policy* 12, S17–S21.
- Gonzales G, Loret de Mola E, Gavulic KA, McKay T, Purcell C, 2020. Mental Health Needs Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender College Students During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *The Journal of Adolescent Health*.
- Hyun S, Hahm HC, Wong GTF, Zhang E, & Liu CH (2021). Psychological correlates of poor sleep quality among US young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sleep Medicine*, 78, 51–56. [PubMed: 33385779]
- Kibrik EL, Cohen N, Stolorowicz-Melman D, Levy A, Boruchovitz-Zamir R, Diamond GM, 2019. Measuring adult children’s perceptions of their parents’ acceptance and rejection of their sexual orientation: initial development of the parental acceptance and rejection of sexual orientation scale (PARSOS). *Journal of homosexuality* 66, 1513–1534. [PubMed: 30142289]
- Ko N-Y, Lu W-H, Chen Y-L, Li D-J, Chang Y-P, Wang P-W, Yen C-F, 2020. Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Constructs of COVID-19 Health Beliefs: A Comparison Between Sexual Minority and Heterosexual Individuals in Taiwan. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17.
- Laurencin CT, McClinton A, 2020. The COVID-19 Pandemic: a Call to Action to Identify and Address Racial and Ethnic Disparities. *Journal of racial and ethnic health disparities* 7, 398–402. [PubMed: 32306369]
- Lewis RJ, Mason TB, Winstead BA, Gaskins M, Irons LB, 2016. Pathways to hazardous drinking among racially and socioeconomically diverse lesbian women: sexual minority stress, rumination, social isolation, and drinking to cope. *Psychology of women quarterly* 40, 564–581. [PubMed: 28138208]
- Liu CH, Zhang E, Wong GTF, Hyun S, Hahm HC, 2020a. Factors associated with depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptomatology during the COVID-19 pandemic: Clinical implications for U.S. young adult mental health. *Psychiatry Research* 290, 113172.
- Liu CH, Stevens C, Conrad RC, Hahm HC, 2020b. Evidence for elevated psychiatric distress, poor sleep, and quality of life concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic among U.S. young adults with suspected and reported psychiatric diagnoses. *Psychiatry Research*, 292, 113345.
- Liu CH, Pinder-Amaker S, Hahm HC, & Chen JA (2020c). Priorities for addressing the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on college student mental health. *Journal of American College Health*, 1–3.
- Livingston NA, Berke D, Scholl J, Ruben M, Shepherd JC, 2020. Addressing diversity in PTSD treatment: clinical considerations and guidance for the treatment of PTSD in LGBTQ populations. *Current treatment options in psychiatry* 1–17.
- McConnell EA, Birkett MA, Mustanski B, 2015. Typologies of Social Support and Associations with Mental Health Outcomes Among LGBT Youth. *LGBT Health* 2, 55–61. [PubMed: 26790019]
- Mereish EH, Poteat VP, 2015. The Conditions under which Growth-Fostering Relationships Promote Resilience and Alleviate Psychological Distress among Sexual Minorities: Applications of

Relational Cultural Theory. *Psychology of sexual orientation and gender diversity* 2, 339–344. [PubMed: 26380836]

- Phillips G, Felt D, Ruprecht MM, Wang X, Xu J, Pérez-Bill E, Bagnarol RM, Roth J, Curry CW, Beach LB, 2020. Addressing the Disproportionate Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Sexual and Gender Minority Populations in the United States: Actions Toward Equity. *LGBT Health*.
- Poteat VP, Calzo JP, Yoshikawa H, 2016. Promoting Youth Agency Through Dimensions of Gay-Straight Alliance Involvement and Conditions that Maximize Associations. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 45, 1438–1451. [PubMed: 26781740]
- Prigerson HG, Bierhals AJ, Kasl SV, Reynolds CF, Shear MK, Newsom JT, Jacobs S, 1996. Complicated grief as a disorder distinct from bereavement-related depression and anxiety: a replication study. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 153, 1484–1486. [PubMed: 8890686]
- Russell ST, Fish JN, 2016. Mental health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 12, 465–487.
- Ryan C, Huebner D, Diaz RM, Sanchez J, 2009. Family rejection as a predictor of negative health outcomes in white and Latino lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. *Pediatrics* 123, 346–352. [PubMed: 19117902]
- Ryan C, Russell ST, Huebner D, Diaz R, Sanchez J, 2010. Family acceptance in adolescence and the health of LGBT young adults. *Journal of child and adolescent psychiatric nursing : official publication of the Association of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nurses, Inc* 23, 205–213.
- Santos G-M, Ackerman B, Rao A, Wallach S, Ayala G, Lamontage E, Garner A, Holloway IW, Arreola S, Silenzio V, Strömdahl S, Yu L, Strong C, Adamson T, Yakusik A, Doan TT, Huang P, Cerasuolo D, Bishop A, Noori T, Howell S, 2020. Economic, Mental Health, HIV Prevention and HIV Treatment Impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 Response on a Global Sample of Cisgender Gay Men and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS and Behavior*.
- Sarno EL, Newcomb ME, Mustanski B, 2020. Rumination longitudinally mediates the association of minority stress and depression in sexual and gender minority individuals. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 129, 355–363. [PubMed: 32027147]
- Semlyen J, King M, Varney J, Hagger-Johnson G, 2016. Sexual orientation and symptoms of common mental disorder or low wellbeing: combined meta-analysis of 12 UK population health surveys. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16, 67. [PubMed: 27009565]
- Sharma AJ, Subramanyam MA, 2020. A cross-sectional study of psychological wellbeing of Indian adults during the Covid-19 lockdown: Different strokes for different folks. *Plos One* 15, e0238761.
- Suen YT, Chan RCH, Wong EMY, 2020. Effects of general and sexual minority-specific COVID-19-related stressors on the mental health of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people in Hong Kong. *Psychiatry Research* 292, 113365.
- Toomey RB, Ryan C, Diaz RM, Russell ST, 2011. High School Gay-Straight Alliances (GSAs) and Young Adult Well-Being: An Examination of GSA Presence, Participation, and Perceived Effectiveness. *Applied developmental science* 15, 175–185. [PubMed: 22102782]
- White Hughto JM, Reisner SL, Pachankis JE, 2015. Transgender stigma and health: A critical review of stigma determinants, mechanisms, and interventions. *Social Science & Medicine* 147, 222–231. [PubMed: 26599625]
- Williams DR, Yan Yu, Jackson JS, Anderson NB, 1997. Racial Differences in Physical and Mental Health: Socio-economic Status, Stress and Discrimination. *Journal of Health Psychology* 2, 335–351. [PubMed: 22013026]
- Zimet GD, Powell SS, Farley GK, Werkman S, Berkoff KA, 1990. Psychometric characteristics of the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support. *Journal of personality assessment* 55, 610–617. [PubMed: 2280326]

Highlights

- SGM young people showed high mental health symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- SGM young people also had high levels of COVID-19 worry and grief.
- Pre-pandemic SGM mental health disparities do not fully explain these effects.
- Lifetime discrimination and family support also do not explain this effect.
- Clinicians must consider nuanced and innovative therapies to support SGM people.

Table 1.

Descriptive data from Wave I (April 13-June 18, 2020) of the Coronavirus disease 2019 Adult Resilience Experiences Study (N=981), proportions unless otherwise noted.

Factors	Total	Non-SGM (N=624)	SGM (N = 320)	t-test or Chi Square
Age (years)	M=24.37 (SD=3.26)	M= 24.78 (SD = 3.19)	M= 23.59 (SD = 3.28)	$t(942) = 5.36, p < .001$ ***
Gender				
Men	119 (12.6%)	78 (12.5%)	41 (12.8%)	$\chi^2(2, N=981) = 82.19, p < .001$ ***
Women	785 (83.2%)	546 (87.5%)	239 (74.7%)	
Other ¹	40 (4.2%)	---	40 (12.5%)	
Race				
White	574 (60.8%)	367 (58.8%)	207 (64.7%)	$\chi^2(5, N=981) = 20.43, p = .001$ **
Black	45 (4.8%)	23 (3.7%)	22 (6.9%)	
Hispanic or Latinx	55 (5.8%)	39 (6.3%)	16 (5.0%)	
Asian	197 (20.9%)	153 (24.5%)	44 (13.8%)	
Mixed	59 (6.3%)	34 (5.4%)	25 (7.8%)	
Other race	14 (1.5%)	8 (1.3%)	6 (1.9%)	
Income				
No Income	117 (12.4%)	72 (11.5%)	45 (14.1%)	$\chi^2(4, N=981) = 10.87, p = .028$ *
Under 25,000	445 (47.1%)	281 (45.0%)	164 (51.3%)	
25,000 – 49,000	226 (23.9%)	151 (24.2%)	75 (23.4%)	
50,000 –75,000	99 (10.5%)	77 (12.3%)	22 (6.9%)	
Above 75,000	56 (5.9%)	42 (6.7%)	14 (4.4%)	
U.S.-Born				
Yes	823 (87.2%)	554 (88.8%)	279 (87.2%)	$\chi^2(1, N=981) = 0.10, p = .919$
No	121 (12.8%)	80 (11.2%)	41 (12.8%)	
Student				
Yes	603 (63.9%)	388 (62.2%)	215 (67.2%)	$\chi^2(1, N=981) = 2.30, p = .129$
No	341 (36.1%)	236 (37.8%)	105 (32.8%)	
Received a mental health diagnosis				
No	518 (54.9%)	386 (61.9%)	132 (41.3%)	$\chi^2(1, N=981) = 36.28, p < .001$ ***
Yes	426 (45.1%)	238 (38.1%)	188 (58.8%)	
Lifetime discrimination	M= 1.59 (SD= 2.58)	M = 1.38 (SD= 2.20)	M = 2.00 (SD= 3.17)	$t(481.85) = -3.20, p = .001$ **
Family support	M= 5.09 (SD= 1.39)	M = 5.24 (SD= 1.37)	M = 4.77 (SD= 1.35)	$t(942) = 5.05, p < .001$ ***
Days Since the Pandemic Began (March 13, 2020)	M = 44.17 (SD= 13.48)	M = 42.93 (SD= 13.11)	M = 45.59 (SD= 13.89)	$t(611.74) = -3.90, p < .001$ ***
SGM				
Lesbian	38 (11.7%)	--	--	

Factors	Total	Non-SGM (N=624)	SGM (N = 320)	t-test or Chi Square
Gay	33 (10.2%)	--	--	
Bisexual	140 (43.2%)	--	--	
Asexual	28 (8.6%)	--	--	
Questioning	22 (6.8%)	--	--	
Self-Identify ²	38 (11.7%)	--	--	

N = 981

[†]p<0.1

*p<.05

**p<.01

***p<.001

¹ Gender minorities, including transgender man, transgender woman, non-binary, gender nonconforming, gender queer.

² All those who opted to write-in their sexual orientation, such as non-heterosexual, queer.

SGM = Sexual and Gender Minorities

Table 2.

Univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing mental health and coronavirus disease 2019 related outcomes between sexual and gender minority and non-sexual and gender minority young people

Factors	Non-SGM (N= 624)	SGM (N = 320)	F value, p value
Depression (PHQ-8)	M = 8.70 (SE= .202)	M = 9.79 (SE= .287)	F(1, 931) = 9.05, $p = .003^{**}$
Anxiety (GAD-7)	M = 9.32 (SE= .206)	M = 9.89 (SE= .294)	F(1, 931) = 2.39, $p = .122$
PTSD (PLC-C)	M = 37.72 (SE= .496)	M = 39.92 (SE= .706)	F(1, 931) = 6.17, $p = .013^*$
COVID-19-Related Worries	M = 15.28 (SE= .200)	M = 16.70 (SE= .285)	F(1, 931) = 16.15, $p < .001^{***}$
COVID-19-Related Grief	M = 19.01 (SE= 0.174)	M = 19.68 (SE= .248)	F(1, 931) = 4.64, $p = .032^*$

N = 981

[†]
p<0.1

*
p<.05

**
p<.01

p<.001. Adjusting for age, race, student status, days since pandemic, pre-existing mental health condition (diagnosed prior to the pandemic), lifetime discrimination, and family support

SGM = Sexual and Gender Minorities

COVID = coronavirus disease 2019

Table 3.

Multiple regression analyses predicting depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), based on pre-existing mental health diagnoses (diagnosed prior to the pandemic), lifetime discrimination, family support, and sexual and gender minority status

	Depression (PHQ-8)			Anxiety (GAD-7)			PTSD (PCL-C)		
	<i>B</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>R</i> ²	<i>B</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>R</i> ²	<i>B</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>R</i> ²
<i>Covariates</i>		.030	.030		.037	.037		.045	.045
Age	-.136***			-.126***			-.193***		
Days Since Pandemic Began	.040			.005			.063 [†]		
Student Status	.035			.013			.007		
Race									
Asian	-.092**			-.155***			-.098**		
Black	.011			-.066*			-.003		
Hispanic	.026			-.032			-.019		
Mixed	.008			.035			-.027		
Other	.024			.036			-.002		
<i>Pre-existing mental health diagnosis</i>	.353***	.149	.119	.311***	.130	.093	.350***	.163	.118
<i>Lifetime Discrimination</i>	.173***	.175	.027	.150***	.149	.021	.193***	.195	.032
<i>Family Support</i>	-.261***	.234	.060	-.176***	.175	.028	-.272***	.260	.065
<i>SGM Status</i>	.091**	.241	.007	.048	.177	.002	.074*	.264	.006

N = 981

[†] p<0.1

* p<.05

** p<.01

*** p<.001

PTSD = Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

Table 4.

Multiple regression analyses predicting coronavirus disease 2019 related worries and coronavirus disease 2019 related grief, based on pre-existing mental health diagnoses (diagnosed prior to the pandemic), lifetime discrimination, family support, and sexual and gender minority status

	COVID-19 worries			COVID-19 grief		
	<i>B</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>R</i> ²	<i>B</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>R</i> ²
<i>Covariates</i>						
Age	-.031 ^{***}	.016	.016	-.187 ^{***}	.104	.111
Days Since Pandemic Began	-.084 [*]			.029		
Student Status	.105 ^{**}			.121 ^{***}		
<i>Race</i>						
Asian	.013			-.132 ^{***}		
Black	-.012			-.119 ^{***}		
Hispanic	.013			-.040		
Mixed	-.017			-.050 [†]		
Other	.037			-.011		
<i>Pre-existing mental health diagnosis</i>						
	.133 ^{***}	.032	.016	.111 ^{***}	.130	.027
<i>Lifetime Discrimination</i>						
	.276 ^{***}	.100	.068	.105 ^{***}	.146	.016
<i>Family Support</i>						
	-.147 ^{***}	.118	.018	-.147 ^{***}	.165	.020
<i>SGM Status</i>						
	.130 ^{***}	.132	.014	.068 [*]	.169	.004

N = 981

[†]p<0.1

*p<.05

**p<.01

***p<.001

SGM = Sexual and Gender Minorities