



Published in final edited form as:

*J Neurosci.* 2010 January 6; 30(1): 304–315. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4256-09.2010.

## Reduction of adult hippocampal neurogenesis confers vulnerability in an animal model of cocaine addiction

Michele A. Noonan<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Bulin<sup>1</sup>, Dwain C. Fuller<sup>2</sup>, and Amelia J. Eisch<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-9070

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Toxicology, Veterans Affairs North Texas Health Center, Dallas, Texas 75216

### Abstract

Drugs of abuse dynamically regulate adult neurogenesis, which appears important for some types of learning and memory. Interestingly, a major site of adult neurogenesis - the hippocampus - is important in the formation of drug-context associations and in the mediation of drug-taking and drug-seeking behaviors in animal models of addiction. Correlative evidence suggests an inverse relationship between hippocampal neurogenesis and drug-taking or drug-seeking behaviors, but the lack of a causative link has made the relationship between adult-generated neurons and addiction unclear. We used rat i.v. cocaine self-administration in rodents, a clinically-relevant animal model of addiction, to test the hypothesis that suppression of adult hippocampal neurogenesis enhances vulnerability to addiction and relapse. Suppression of adult hippocampal neurogenesis via cranial irradiation before drug-taking significantly increased cocaine self-administration on both fixed-ratio and progressive-ratio schedules, as well as induced a vertical shift in the dose-response curve. This was not a general enhancement of learning, motivation or locomotion, as sucrose self-administration and locomotor activity were unchanged in irradiated rats. Suppression of adult hippocampal neurogenesis after drug-taking significantly enhanced resistance to extinction of drug-seeking behavior. These studies identify reduced adult hippocampal neurogenesis as a novel risk factor for addiction-related behaviors in an animal model of cocaine addiction. Further, they suggest that therapeutics to specifically increase or stabilize adult hippocampal neurogenesis could aid in preventing initial addiction as well as future relapse.

### Keywords

dentate gyrus; hippocampus; subgranular zone; SGZ; irradiation; self-administration

### INTRODUCTION

Drug addiction is an enormous problem, with 4.9% of the world population abusing drugs annually (UNODC, 2008). Addiction is exceptionally challenging to prevent and treat, as underscored by the high propensity of addicts to relapse to drug-taking. For example, one study found 44% of cocaine users relapsed on average 72 days after treatment (Hubbard and Marsden, 1986). A promising approach for addiction prevention and treatment is the identification of vulnerability factors. For example, rodent models of drug abuse have identified that stress (Miczek and Mutschler, 1996), high locomotor response to novelty (Piazza et al., 2000), and

high levels of cocaine self-administration (Edwards et al., 2007) are addiction vulnerability factors, each meeting the criteria of producing a vertical shift in the dose-response curve and enhancing drug-seeking (Piazza et al., 2000). However, as addiction is a complex disorder, much work is needed to comprehensively identify additional vulnerability factors.

The hippocampus is increasingly recognized as having a potential role in addiction, and is implicated in drug-context memory (Meyers et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2006; Hernandez-Rabaza et al., 2008) and relapse to drug-seeking (Vorel et al., 2001; Fuchs et al., 2005). One aspect of hippocampal plasticity that has not been thoroughly considered as a potential vulnerability factor for addiction is neurogenesis, or the ability of the dentate gyrus subgranular zone (SGZ) to give rise to new neurons throughout life (Eisch, 2002; Abrous et al., 2005). Clinically relevant self-administration studies reveal dynamic regulation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis by myriad drugs of abuse, including cocaine (Eisch et al., 2000; Abrous et al., 2002; Mandyam et al., 2008; Noonan et al., 2008). Interestingly, levels of adult hippocampal neurogenesis are negatively correlated with drug-taking and -seeking behaviors: manipulations that increase adult hippocampal neurogenesis – environmental enrichment, chronic treatment with antidepressants, exercise (van Praag et al., 1999; Malberg et al., 2000; Brown et al., 2003) – are associated with decreased drug-taking and relapse (Kanarek et al., 1995; Baker et al., 2001; Green et al., 2002; Stairs et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008), while those that decrease neurogenesis – stress, schizophrenia (Mirescu and Gould, 2006; Reif et al., 2006) – are associated with increased drug-taking and relapse (Covington and Miczek, 2005; Erb et al., 1996; Chambers and Self, 2002). Taken together with data that reduced adult neurogenesis can lead to cognitive deficits (Snyder et al., 2005; Saxe et al., 2006; Imayoshi et al., 2008), these correlative findings suggest the untested hypothesis that reduced hippocampal neurogenesis is a vulnerability factor for addiction.

Using published protocols to reduce hippocampal neurogenesis in the adult rat via cranial irradiation (Snyder et al., 2005; Winocur et al., 2006) and assess vulnerability to addiction and propensity for relapse (Graham et al., 2007), we tested the hypothesis that reduced adult hippocampal neurogenesis would lead to increased drug-taking and drug-seeking behaviors. We report that reduction of adult hippocampal neurogenesis increases motivation for drug-taking and drug-seeking and enhances resistance to extinction in the drug-taking environment. Thus, diminished hippocampal neurogenesis is a risk factor in an animal model of cocaine addiction.

## METHODS

### Animals

One hundred and two adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, Kingston, NY) were individually housed in a climate-controlled environment on a 12 hour light-dark cycle (lights on at 0700 hours). Rats were acclimated to vivarium conditions for at least one week prior to experimentation. All experiments were performed in accordance with the National Institutes of Health *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* in an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care approved facility at UT Southwestern Medical Center. All steps were taken to minimize the number of rats used as well as the pain and suffering of the rats.

### Experimental overview

As shown in Figure 1 and as described in detail below, rats used for this study were divided among three experiments. First, to examine the effect of focused cranial irradiation (IRR) on cocaine self-administration (CSA), rats received either IRR or sham irradiation prior to CSA (IRR-CSA n=21; Sham-CSA n=18; Figure 1A). Second, to examine the effect of IRR on a

natural reward, rats received either IRR or sham irradiation prior to sucrose self-administration (IRR-SSA n=21; Sham-SSA n=18; Figure 1B). Finally, to examine the effect of irradiation on reinstatement behaviors, rats received either IRR or sham irradiation after CSA and four weeks of withdrawal (CSA-WD-IRR n=15; CSA-WD/Sham n=9; Figure 1C).

### Cranial irradiation

We used a cranial irradiation paradigm previously shown to be optimal for irreversibly suppressing adult hippocampal neurogenesis in the rat (Snyder et al., 2005; Winocur et al., 2006). For two consecutive days, rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, intraperitoneal (i.p.)) and exposed to either 0 Gy (Sham rats) or 10 Gy of irradiation (IRR rats; 1.08 Gy/min, 250 kV, 15 mA) via an X-RAD 320 self-contained irradiation system (Precision X-ray Inc, North Branford, CT). For IRR rats, irradiation was focused in a 1 cm diameter circle over the hippocampus (interaural 8.00 mm to -2.00 mm; (Paxinos and Watson, 1997) to minimize irradiation of other brain regions (e.g. olfactory bulb, rostral migratory stream, cerebellum). As shown in Figure 1, rats were exposed to sham or cranial IRR either at 37 days of age (IRR-CSA, Sham-CSA; IRR-SSA, Sham-SSA) or 98 days of age (CSA-WD/IRR, CSA-WD/Sham) and allowed four weeks of recovery in the home cage prior to additional experimentation.

**Food training and intravenous catheter surgery**—To facilitate acquisition of cocaine self-administration for the four groups of CSA rats (IRR-CSA, Sham-CSA, CSA-WD/IRR, and CSA-WD/Sham; Figure 1A, 1C), these rats were placed on a restricted diet (~15 g of chow per day (Edwards et al., 2007)). For the next 3 days, rats were placed in operant chambers (Med Associates, Georgia, VT) where they learned to press the active left lever for 45 mg sucrose pellets (Bio-serv, Frenchtown, NJ). All rats used for the CSA studies reported here reached acquisition criterion, which was 100 pellets/day for 3 consecutive days. After food training, rats were fed *ad libitum* at least 1 day prior to implantation with a chronic indwelling intravenous (i.v.) catheter as described previously (Noonan et al., 2008). Cocaine self-administration via the i.v. catheter began after one week of recovery from the surgery.

### Cocaine self-administration

After food training and one week after i.v. catheter surgery, IRR-CSA, Sham-CSA, CSA-WD/IRR, and CSA-WD/Sham rats self-administered cocaine i.v. in 4-hour daily sessions for 15 days (Figure 1A, 1C). Cocaine hydrochloride was provided by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (Baltimore, MD). During CSA, the i.v. infusions of cocaine were always a) paired with the active/left lever, b) accompanied by illumination of the cue light above the left lever, c) delivered in a 0.1 mL volume over 5 sec, and d) followed by a 15 second (sec) time-out during which the cue and house lights were off for the last 10 sec. The response requirement for cocaine infusions was steadily increased to facilitate drug-seeking during later reinstatement testing (Keiflin et al., 2008). For example, for days 1-6 of CSA (acquisition phase), rats self-administered cocaine on a fixed-ratio reinforcement schedule (FR1): one active/left lever press led to a 0.5 mg/kg i.v. infusion of cocaine. For days 7-8 of CSA, the schedule increased to FR3, requiring three lever presses for an infusion. For days 9-15 of CSA, the schedule increased to FR5, requiring five lever presses for an infusion. As consistent with addiction literature (Richardson and Roberts, 1996; Lu et al., 2003), these are defined as fixed – rather than progressive-ratio – schedules since there is no “breakpoint” that is reached by the rats during these self-administration sessions, as rats press at evenly-spaced intervals after the initial loading period.

On day 16, most IRR-CSA (n=14) and Sham-CSA (n=13) and all CSA-WD/IRR and CSA-WD/Sham rats underwent within-session dose-response testing. Four doses of cocaine (1, 0.33, 0.10, 0.03 mg/kg) were made available in descending order, as previously described (Graham

et al., 2007). The remaining rats from the IRR-CSA (n=7) and Sham-CSA (n=5) groups did not undergo dose-response testing but rather progressive-ratio testing on days 16-21 as previously described (Figure 1A), operationally defined as the escalation of response requirement until the subject will no longer respond, having reached a breakpoint. The rats that underwent progressive-ratio testing self-administered 1 of 3 doses of cocaine (0.1, 0.25, 0.75 mg/kg) in two consecutive daily sessions in counterbalanced dose order over a total of 6 days. The testing followed the progressive-ratio schedule previously described (Richardson and Roberts, 1996), where each successive infusion required progressively higher lever pressing according to the following series: 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 20, 25, 32, 40, 50, 62, etc. according to response requirement =  $[5e^{(\text{injection} \# \times 0.2)}] - 5$ . The “break point”, or highest ratio of responses/infusions completed before a 1-hour lapse in earning infusions, was analyzed using data from the second test at each dose (Graham et al., 2007).

Immediately after the dose-response testing or the last day of progressive-ratio testing, all rats were tested for catheter patency with sodium methohexital (0.1 mg in 0.1 mL). IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats that underwent progressive-ratio testing were sacrificed the following day for immunohistochemical analyses. However, to enable reinstatement testing in the remaining IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats and in all CSA-WD/IRR and CSA-WD/Sham rats, these rats received four weeks of withdrawal in their home cage after dose-response testing (Figure 1A, 1C). Rats were handled every three days during this period.

### Reinstatement testing

After CSA and four weeks of withdrawal in the home cage, the remaining IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA and all the CSA-WD/IRR and CSA-WD/Sham rats went through 6 days of reinstatement testing (Graham et al., 2007) followed by 1 day of locomotor testing. Reinstatement testing involved exposing the rat to stimuli known to induce relapse to drug seeking (the context or cue light previously paired with CSA, the drug itself, or a stressful stimuli). Lever presses were recorded during the context-, cue-, drug-, and stress-induced reinstatement testing, but presses on the formerly drug-paired left lever were not reinforced with cues or cocaine infusion. Left lever presses were used as a measure of drug seeking. On day 1, contextual reinstatement was tested in a 4-hour session. For the entire 4-hour session, rats were placed in the self-administration chambers where they had previously received cocaine. On day 2, cue-induced reinstatement was tested in a 4-hour session. After 3 hours in the self-administration chamber, the cue light above the formerly drug-paired left lever was illuminated for 5 sec every min over a span of 30 min. On days 3-5, rats were tested for cocaine-induced reinstatement in 2-hour daily sessions. After 1 hour in the self-administration chamber, rats received an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 0 mg/kg cocaine on day 3, and 5 or 15 mg/kg cocaine on days 4 and 5 in counterbalanced order. On day 6, stress-induced reinstatement was tested in a 2-hour session. After 1 hour in the self-administration chamber, mild foot shocks were delivered intermittently for 30 min (1.0 mA in 0.5 sec with random intervals averaging 30 sec). On day 7, locomotor activity was recorded 90 min prior to and after an injection of cocaine (15 mg/kg i.p.) in a circular test chamber as reported previously (Edwards et al., 2007). All rats were sacrificed the following day for immunohistochemical analyses.

### Sucrose self-administration and reinstatement testing

To assess the impact of cranial irradiation on a non-drug reward, rats in the IRR-SSA and Sham-SSA groups self-administered sucrose pellets (Figure 1B) in a manner as similar as possible to cocaine administration in the IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA groups (Figure 1A). IRR-SSA and Sham-SSA rats received cranial or sham irradiation as described above, and left in home cage for 4 weeks. Rats were handled every 3 days during this period, and were placed on food restriction to encourage sucrose pellet self-administration one day prior to food training (the last day of the four weeks in home cage). Four weeks after irradiation, IRR-SSA and Sham-

SSA rats underwent food training on an FR1 schedule to reach an acquisition criterion of 100 pellets/day for three consecutive days. Two days after acquisition, and almost 5 weeks after IRR, locomotor activity was measured for 90 min as previously described (Edwards et al., 2007) to determine if irradiated rats showed signs of sickness that might impair their ability or motivation to seek food. After food training and locomotor testing, rats self-administered sucrose pellets in 30-min daily sessions for 15 days following the escalating FR schedule used for the CSA groups (days 1-6 FR1, days 7-8 FR3, Days 9-15 FR5; 15 sec timeout after each reinforcement).

While every effort was made to keep the cocaine self-administration and sucrose self-administration studies as similar as possible, we analyzed 4-hour cocaine sessions and only 30-minute sucrose sessions in order to account for the distinct patterns and length of time animals will press for cocaine versus sucrose. Standard sucrose tests are 30 minutes or less because rats are sated after 30 minutes or 100 pellets (even with food restriction) and thus will respond infrequently for food after that period. In contrast, standard cocaine-self administration will result in discrete phases of intake (loading followed by regulatory phase) (e.g. Tornatzky and Miczek, 2000; Specio et al., 2008). Note that rats responded for about 100 pellets in that 30 minutes, whereas in cocaine self-administration, it takes 2 to 4 hours to receive that many rewards using the 0.5 mg/kg dose due to titration of desired cocaine blood levels. The dynamics of the first 10-20 minutes of cocaine self-administration are thus different from the rest of the 4 hr session, and thus data from the first 30 minutes of cocaine self-administration is not equivalent to data from the 30 minutes of sucrose self-administration. However, as described in the next section, progressive ratio was used with both CSA and SSA groups in order to reduce any constraint of satiety on operant conditioning.

After SSA, rats were run for one week on progressive-ratio testing (Figure 1B). Rats were examined in both food-restricted and sated states (Schmelzeis and Mittleman, 1996) to assess potential alterations in the motivation for food or sensitivity to reward devaluation, respectively. On days 1-3 food-restricted progressive-ratio testing occurred. Rats were then fed *ad libitum* on day 4, and on days 5-7 sated progressive-ratio testing occurred. Rats were then food restricted on day 8 and placed back on the FR5 timeout 15 sec schedule of reinforcement for days 9-11 to restabilize lever pressing before reinstatement testing.

After progressive-ratio, rats were assessed for reinstatement testing for 1 week (Figure 1B). Reinstatement testing involved exposing the rat to stimuli known to induce relapse to sucrose-seeking (the context or cue light previously paired with SSA, or sucrose pellets). Lever presses were recorded during the context-, cue-, and sucrose-induced reinstatement testing, but presses on the formerly drug-paired left lever were not reinforced with cues or sucrose pellet administration. Left lever presses were used as a measure of sucrose-seeking. On day 1, contextual reinstatement of sucrose-seeking was tested during the 4-hour session. Rats were placed in the operant chamber, and left lever presses were recorded but the cue light did not illuminate and no sucrose pellet was delivered. On day 2, cue reinstatement was tested in a 4-hour session. After 3 hours in the self-administration chamber, the cue light above the formerly sucrose-paired left lever was illuminated for 5 sec every min over a span of 30 min. On days 3-5, rats were placed in the self-administration chambers for one hour to extinguish lever pressing. Together with days 1, 2, and 6, this allowed a total of 6 within-session extinction in both the SSA and CSA reinstatement studies (compare Figure 1A and 1B). On day 6, sucrose reinstatement was tested in a 4-hour session. After 3 hours, non-contingent presentation of 2 sucrose pellets occurred, followed by 1 sucrose pellet released every min for 30 min. Stress-induced reinstatement was not performed, as stress does not reinstate sucrose-seeking (Buczek et al., 1999).



### Cocaine brain levels assay

To determine if irradiation altered the blood brain barrier and thus potentially bioavailability of cocaine, a subset of IRR-SSA (n=8) and Sham-SSA (n=8) rats were injected with 20 mg/kg of cocaine i.p. two days after the sucrose reinstatement session (10 weeks after irradiation), and decapitated 15 min later. For cocaine extraction and level determination via mass spectroscopy, brains were removed from the skull, the cerebellum was removed, and the forebrain frozen in ice-cold isopentane. The brains were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until assay of cocaine levels, as previously published (Edwards et al., 2007). 1 ml of the 1:4 diluted homogenate (0.25 g tissue) from each brain was assayed as follows: 200 ng of deuterated cocaine (Cerilliant, Round Rock, TX) was added as an internal standard, and the pH was adjusted to 9.3 with ammonium chloride buffer. Cocaine was extracted into 4 ml of n-butyl chloride (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA), and subsequently back-extracted into 0.5 ml of 0.1N sulfuric acid (Fisher Scientific). The pH was adjusted back to 9.3 with ammonium chloride buffer and the cocaine was extracted into 2 ml of n-butyl chloride. The dried residue was reconstituted with 30  $\mu\text{l}$  of n-butyl chloride. Extracts were quantified on an Agilent 5973N GC-MS (Agilent Technologies, Wilmington, DE) in selected ion mode, and results corrected for deuterated cocaine recovery.

### Tissue preparation

All rats except those used in the assay of cocaine brain levels were sacrificed via chloral hydrate anesthesia and intracardial perfusion with 0.1 M PBS (5 min with 10 ml/min flow rate) and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (20 min) as previously described (Noonan et al., 2008). After perfusion, brains were removed and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS for 24 hours at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Brains were cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS with 0.1%  $\text{NaN}_3$  at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  until coronal sectioning on a freezing microtome (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) at 30  $\mu\text{m}$  through the entire hippocampus ( $-1.80$  to  $-7.64$  mm from Bregma; Paxinos and Watson, 1997) and the olfactory bulb (Noonan et al., 2008). Sections were stored in 0.1%  $\text{NaN}_3$  in 0.1 M PBS at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  until processed for immunohistochemistry (IHC).

### IHC and quantification of immunopositive (+) cells

IHC was performed as previously described (Noonan et al., 2008). Briefly, every ninth section of the hippocampus was mounted on glass slides (Superfrost/Plus; Fisher Scientific) and dried overnight. Slides were coded prior to IHC and the code was not broken until after microscopic and data analyses were complete. To verify previous work that this irradiation paradigm suppressed indices of hippocampal neurogenesis (e.g. Snyder et al., 2005; Winocur et al., 2006; Wojtowicz and Kee, 2006; Airan et al., 2007; Wojtowicz et al., 2008) and produced modest transient inflammation (Wojtowicz and Kee, 2006; Airan et al., 2007), separate series of hippocampal sections were stained with antibodies against the immature neuron marker, doublecortin (DCX; Brown et al., 2003) and the activated microglia marker, ED1/CD68 (Rola et al., 2006; Borders et al., 2007). For DCX and ED1/CD-68 IHC, antigen unmasking (0.01M citric acid, pH 6.0,  $95^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 15 min) and quenching of endogenous peroxidases (0.3%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 30 min) were performed, and sections were placed into blocking solution for 1 hour (3% serum) followed by primary antibody incubation (goat anti-doublecortin Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, 1:3000; mouse anti-ED1, AbD Serotec, Raleigh, NC, 1:500) overnight at room temperature. Sections were then incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody (horse anti-goat for DCX, donkey anti-mouse for ED1, Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA; 1:200) followed by incubation with avidin-biotin (ABC Elite, Vector Labs; 1:50). Immunoreactive (+) cells were visualized via FITC tyramide signal amplification (Perkin-Elmer, Norton, OH) and DAPI was used as a nuclear counterstain (1:5000, Roche, Nutley, NJ). Sections underwent rapid dehydration in ethanols and defatting in Citrosolv (Fischer Scientific) prior to coverslipping with DPX (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). While these DCX and ED-1 antibodies are widely used and

have been shown to lack staining in knockout animals and provide a single band via immunoblotting, specificity of staining was also ensured by lack of signal after omission of primary antibody and by observation of expected subcellular localization and cellular populations.

Using the optical fractionator method, DCX+ cells in the SGZ were counted at 400× magnification with an Olympus BX-51 microscope while continually adjusting the focal plane through the depth of the section (Noonan et al., 2008). An observer blind to treatment group performed all cell counts. Exhaustive counts were collected from every ninth hippocampal section throughout the anterior-posterior extent of the hippocampus (−1.80 to −7.64 mm from Bregma; (Paxinos and Watson, 1997)). Resulting cell counts were multiplied by the fraction of the hippocampus examined (e.g. 9; (Noonan et al., 2008) and are reported as total number of cells in the dentate gyrus. ED1/CD-68 stained sections were examined by an observer blind to treatment group in order to gain qualitative information on the presence or absence of activated microglia (Figure 2).

### Statistical analyses and presentation

Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M. Statistical analyses employed SPSS version 11.0 for Mac (Chicago, IL). Self-administration and extinction data were analyzed using two-factor ANOVA with repeated measures on test session. Reinstatement data were analyzed using two- or three-factor ANOVA with repeated measures on dose or test session and lever. DCX+ cell counts were analyzed with two-factor ANOVA. Main effect analyses were followed with Bonferroni post hoc tests. For analyses with one variable, such as latency to extinction, a t-test was used. Outlier analysis on cocaine brain levels was performed via the Grubb's outlier test, but no data points were removed since results were negative. Statistical significance for main effects, interactions, and t-tests was defined as  $p < 0.05$ . Images were imported into Photoshop version 9.0.2 (Adobe Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) and the only adjustments made were via gamma in the Levels function.

## RESULTS

### Cranial irradiation produced long-term ablation of adult hippocampal neurogenesis without changing brain levels of cocaine

We explored the role of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in cocaine self-administration by giving rats cranial or sham irradiation 5 weeks before self-administration (Figure 1). As shown previously (Snyder et al., 2005), two consecutive sessions of 10 Gy of cranial irradiation directed over the hippocampus was sufficient to robustly decrease adult hippocampal neurogenesis, as measured by DCX+ cell number in the SGZ (Figure 2A). The cranial irradiation-induced reduction in neurogenesis was long-lasting, as rats examined between 5 and 13 weeks after radiation all showed at least a 70% reduction in DCX+ SGZ cell number as compared to controls (main effect of treatment,  $F_{1,33} = 213.05$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Figure 2B), with almost complete ablation in the dorsal and anterior dentate gyrus and a robust decrease in the ventral and posterior dentate gyrus (Figure 2C). While some activated microglia (labeled by ED1/CD-68 antibody) were present in the dentate gyrus of irradiated rats when examined three weeks later, this inflammatory effect was modest and transient (Figure 2E). This is consistent with prior reports that this irradiation paradigm resulting in minimal or at most transient inflammation (Wojtowicz and Kee, 2006; Airan et al., 2007).

To assess whether irradiation changed brain bioavailability of cocaine, we used mass spectrometry to measure brain levels of cocaine after a single cocaine injection given 10 weeks after irradiation. Brain levels of cocaine (ng/gram of brain) were similar between control and irradiated rats (Sham =  $5956.38 \pm 1526.27$ , IRR =  $7365.00 \pm 974.87$ ;  $p = 0.45$ ; Figure 2F). These

data suggest that the blood brain barrier and the bioavailability of cocaine are not grossly influenced by cranial irradiation, and that the cocaine self-administration experiments are not confounded by differences in uptake of cocaine into the brain.

### **Irradiation before cocaine self-administration increased motivation for cocaine**

To address the hypothesis that reduced adult hippocampal neurogenesis is a vulnerability factor for addiction, rats were irradiated (IRR-CSA) or sham-irradiated (Sham-CSA) prior to cocaine self-administration (Figure 1A). IRR-CSA rats did not differ from Sham-CSA rats in food training, as the latency to acquire lever pressing for 100 sucrose pellets across sessions was similar (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,111} = 0.038$ ,  $p=0.846$ ; Figure 3A). These data suggest irradiation did not disrupt basic operant learning. However, IRR-CSA rats self-administered more cocaine across 15 daily 4-hour sessions (main effect of treatment,  $F_{1,518} = 8.35$ ,  $p<0.01$ ; Figure 3B). These data show that cranial irradiation, which is accompanied by a robust decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis (Figure 2), leads to increased self-administration of cocaine.

Since lesions of the hippocampus produce hyperactivity (Emerich and Walsh, 1990; Tani et al., 2001; Hernandez-Rabaza et al., 2008) as well as increase perseverative lever pressing for both water and cocaine self-administration (Rabe and Haddad, 1968; Chambers and Self, 2002), we addressed the possibility that, like a hippocampal lesion, reduced neurogenesis via cranial irradiation might lead to hyperactivity and perseverative responding. Indeed, IRR-CSA rats pressed significantly more on the drug-paired left lever during the 15 sec timeout in the first two self-administration sessions (interaction of treatment and day,  $F_{14,518} = 1.72$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; Figure 4A), suggesting greater cocaine intake during the first and second self-administration sessions is likely due to perseveration. Perseveration did not occur on the subsequent 13 daily self-administration sessions, and there was no difference between IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats in inactive right lever pressing on any of the 15 daily sessions (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,518} = 0.27$ ,  $p=0.61$ ; Figure 4B). Together these data suggest that the overall increase in cocaine intake was not due to hyperactivity or perseverative behavior. Taken together with the fact that irradiation did not alter bioavailability of cocaine in the brain, we then explored other hypotheses as to why irradiated rats self-administered more cocaine.

First, we tested the hypothesis that cranial irradiation led to greater cocaine self-administration because the rats were more sensitive to cocaine's reinforcing effects. To this end, rats underwent a within-session dose-response test the day after the 15th self-administration session. IRR-CSA rats had a vertical shift in the dose-response curve (interaction of treatment and dose,  $F_{3,75} = 3.14$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; Figure 3C). This vertical shift is indicative of increased sensitivity to cocaine and is considered a standard measure of the "addicted" phenotype in laboratory animals (Piazza et al., 2000). When the number of infusions is multiplied by the amount of cocaine infused, IRR-CSA rats took more cocaine at several doses (interaction of treatment and dose,  $F_{3,75} = 3.76$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; Figure 3D).

Second, we extended our exploration of the impact of cranial irradiation on the reinforcing aspects of cocaine via progressive-ratio testing, which assesses how many lever presses a rat is willing to perform for a single infusion of cocaine (Richardson and Roberts, 1996). To this end, a subset of IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats received progressive-ratio testing instead of a dose-response testing. IRR-CSA rats worked harder than Sham-CSA rats to receive their last infusion of cocaine (main effect of treatment,  $F_{1,20} = 5.51$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; Figure 3E). These data indicate cocaine was more reinforcing for irradiated rats, and rule out the possibility that rats took more cocaine because they were less sensitive to cocaine. Interestingly, we found a significant correlation between DCX+ cell number in IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats and breakpoints on the progressive ratio testing at 0.75 mg/kg (Table 1). As progressive-ratio testing is a sensitive assay for motivation, this suggests a direct effect of levels of neurogenesis on motivation for cocaine reward.



Together the fixed-ratio, dose-response, and progressive-ratio data suggest that rats that have been cranially irradiated and have reduced neurogenesis are more motivated to take cocaine as well as seek cocaine, and thus can be defined as more vulnerable to drug addiction (Piazza et al., 2000).

### **Irradiation before sucrose self-administration does not change motivation for sucrose**

To address whether suppression of adult hippocampal neurogenesis increased motivation for all reinforcers or only for drugs of abuse, we used a natural reward: sucrose self-administration. Rats were irradiated (IRR-SSA) or sham-irradiated (Sham-SSA) prior to sucrose self-administration (Figure 1B). Latency to acquire lever pressing for 100 sucrose pellets was not different between groups (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,111} = 0.38$ ,  $p=0.54$ ; Figure 5A), confirming data from the CSA group that irradiation does not interfere with learning of an operant task. Furthermore, locomotor activity assessed 5 weeks after irradiation was not different between groups (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,629} = 0.05$ ,  $p=0.82$ ; Figure 5B), suggesting irradiation studies were not confounded by locomotor impairments. Sucrose self-administration on an FR1, FR3, or FR5 schedule with a 15 sec timeout did not differ between groups (no main effect of treatment;  $F_{1,518} = 2.04$ ,  $p=0.16$ ; Figure 5C). Both IRR-SSA and Sham-SSA rats were similar in left lever pressing during the 15 sec timeout (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,518} = 1.87$ ,  $p=0.18$ ; Figure 4C) and pressing on the right inactive lever (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,518} = 2.61$ ,  $p=0.12$ ; Figure 4D), suggesting their general activity was the same. These data suggest that self-administration of food, a natural reward, was not altered by irradiation, and irradiated rats do not suffer from lack of appetite or motor impairment.

To confirm that irradiation did not alter motivation for food, we used a more sensitive assay that would be less influenced by satiety, progressive-ratio testing, while on and off food restriction. IRR-SSA rats worked as hard as Sham-SSA rats to receive their last sucrose pellet reward (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,74} = 0.10$ ,  $p=0.75$ ; Figure 5D), suggesting sucrose was equally reinforcing for both groups. When food restriction was removed, both groups were less willing to work for their last sucrose pellet reward than when they were food restricted (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,74} = 0.10$ ,  $p=0.75$ ; Figure 5E), suggesting equal sensitivity to reward devaluation. Together with the fixed-ratio data, these data suggest that irradiated rats do not have altered motivation for sucrose.

We next tested the ability of irradiated rats to learn that pressing on the left lever no longer was reinforced with sucrose in a series of extinction tests, as well as their ability to relapse to sucrose-seeking when presented with sucrose-paired cues or sucrose itself. Both IRR-SSA and Sham-SSA rats extinguished pressing on the formerly sucrose-paired lever (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,185} = 0.15$ ,  $p=0.70$ ; Figure 5F). There was no difference between groups in pressing on the formerly sucrose-paired lever in response to presentation of sucrose-paired cues (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,37} = 0.20$ ,  $p=0.66$ ; Figure 5G) or sucrose pellets (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,37} = 0.22$ ,  $p=0.65$ ; Figure 5H).

In summary, irradiated rats with reduced hippocampal neurogenesis have normal motivation for natural reward, as rats show no difference in self-administration of sucrose, extinction of sucrose-seeking, or relapse to sucrose-seeking after re-exposure to sucrose-related cues or sucrose itself. In addition, these experiments addressed possible confounds of the irradiation prior to cocaine-self administration experiment. Irradiated rats did not show perseveration, general increased activity, or general learning enhancement when response requirements are increased (i.e. an increase from FR3 to FR5 schedule or the progressive-ratio task), and were able to learn to extinguish responding when reinforcement was withheld, suggesting any changes seen in motivation for reward after irradiation are not a result of experimental confounds.

### Irradiation after cocaine self-administration enhanced resistance to extinction

Having identified that rats with suppressed adult neurogenesis are more vulnerable to acquisition of drug addiction, we next addressed the hypothesis that suppression of adult neurogenesis during withdrawal increases vulnerability to relapse to drug-seeking. To this end, rats were irradiated (CSA-WD/IRR) or sham-irradiated (CSA-WD/Sham) after acquisition of cocaine self-administration (Figure 1C). As with irradiation prior to CSA (Figure 2C), irradiation after CSA led to ablation of DCX+ cells in the dorsal and anterior dentate gyrus and a robust decrease in DCX+ cell number in the ventral and posterior dentate gyrus (Figure 2D).

CSA-WD/Sham and CSA-WD/IRR rats were assigned to these groups by balanced cocaine self-administration (no main effect of treatment,  $F_{1,308} = 0.05$ ,  $p=0.83$ ; Figure 6A). After a one-month withdrawal period, rats went through reinstatement testing to assess their vulnerability to relapse to drug-seeking. CSA-WD/IRR rats pressed more on the formerly drug-paired lever when they were re-exposed to the former drug-taking context each day (interaction of treatment and session,  $F_{5,18} = 2.99$ ,  $p<0.05$ ; Figure 6B), and took more trials to extinguish their drug-seeking ( $p<0.01$ , Figure 6C). Both groups were similar in lever pressing in response to formerly drug-paired cues (no main effect of treatment;  $F_{1,22} = 3.79$ ,  $p=0.06$ ; Figure 6D) and low dose cocaine injections (no main effect of treatment;  $F_{1,44} = 0.28$ ,  $p=0.60$ ; Figure 6E), although there were trends towards significance for both cue- and drug-induced (5 mg/kg) reinstatement. CSA-WD/IRR rats did not differ from sham rats in lever pressing in response to footshock stress (no main effect of treatment;  $F_{1,22} = 1.26$ ,  $p=0.27$ ; Figure 6F), suggesting that irradiation does not alter the HPA axis and response to stress in this paradigm. Both groups had similar basal and cocaine-induced locomotion (no main effect of treatment,  $F_{1,374} = 0.23$ ,  $p=0.88$ ; Figure 6G), suggesting increased extinction responding was not due to hyperactivity. These data suggest decreased neurogenesis increases vulnerability to relapse after exposure to the former drug-taking environment.

Interestingly, while we found no correlation between DCX+ cell number and responding on a variety of measures, including the cue-induced reinstatement test in IRR-CSA and Sham-CSA rats (Table 1), we did find a significant correlation between DCX+ cell number in CSA-WD/IRR and CSA-WD/Sham rats and responding on the cue-induced reinstatement test (Table 1). This suggests that the timing of neurogenesis modulation as well as the levels of neurogenesis are important for response to drug-associated cues. It is not surprising that levels of hippocampal neurogenesis correlates with cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking, as the hippocampus has been shown to be necessary for the task (Rogers and See, 2007).

### Rats irradiated before cocaine self-administration did not have altered extinction or reinstatement responding

We next addressed the hypothesis that reduced hippocampal neurogenesis during both cocaine self-administration and withdrawal would increase relapse to drug-seeking. Rats were irradiated or sham-irradiated one month before initial cocaine self-administration and went through reinstatement testing after one month of abstinence (Figure 1A). Because rats that have increased cocaine intake also have greater relapse to drug-seeking (Sutton et al., 2000; Edwards et al., 2007), and rats irradiated after cocaine self-administration (CSA-WD/IRR) had increased resistance to extinction (Figure 6B, 6C), we expected IRR-CSA rats to show increased extinction and reinstatement test responding. However, irradiated rats did not differ in extinction of pressing on the formerly drug-paired lever (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,125} = 0.85$ ,  $p=0.36$ ; Figure 7A). Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in lever pressing in response to formerly drug-paired cues (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,25} = 0.33$ ,  $p=0.57$ ; Figure 7B) or in response to low dose cocaine injections (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,50} = 0.63$ ,  $p=0.43$ ; Figure 7C). Additionally, irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in lever pressing in response to

footshock stress (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,25} = 1.82$ ,  $p=0.19$ ; Figure 7D). Finally, irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in basal locomotion or cocaine-induced hyperlocomotion (no effect of treatment,  $F_{1,425} = 0.01$ ,  $p=0.89$ ; Figure 7E), suggesting that the lack of differences in drug-seeking in irradiated rats is not due to nonspecific side effects of irradiation. These data suggest that reduced neurogenesis prior to cocaine self-administration does not increase later drug-seeking, despite increasing cocaine intake during self-administration prior to the withdrawal period (Figure 3B).

## DISCUSSION

Our first notable finding is that cranial irradiation prior to drug-taking enhanced vulnerability in an animal model of cocaine addiction. Irradiated rats (IRR-CSA) had increased cocaine self-administration under fixed- and progressive-ratio schedules compared to controls. This increase was not caused by irradiation-induced changes in response to a novel environment or operant learning, increased locomotion, perseveration of active lever pressing, or unequal brain levels of cocaine between sham and irradiated rats. Intriguingly, sucrose self-administration was not changed after irradiation (IRR-SSA). Given that addictive drugs as diverse as opiates, ethanol, and stimulants result in common neuroadaptations and behaviors (Nestler, 2005), it will be important to explore whether suppression of hippocampal neurogenesis enhances vulnerability to self-administration of other drugs of abuse.

Our second notable finding is that cranial irradiation during the forced abstinence period after acquisition of cocaine self-administration (CSA-WD/IRR) increased the propensity for context-dependent relapse. This deficit in extinction fits with other recent work showing that ablation of neurogenesis disrupts extinction learning of spatial preference and contextual fear (Deng et al., 2009), and highlights the novel role uncovered here for adult-generated neurons in addictive processes. While care must be taken in comparing extinction from drug self-administration versus from context learning, there are helpful principles from the latter that help interpret the present results. For example, of the three aspects of extinction – acquisition, consolidation, and retrieval (Quirk and Mueller, 2008) – it is unlikely that CSA-WD-IRR rats have a deficit in acquisition since they were able to reduce responding within extinction sessions and their latency to the last lever press was not different from sham rats (data not shown). The hippocampus plays a role in extinction consolidation and retrieval (Cammarota et al., 2005; Corcoran et al., 2005), impeding distinction of which aspect is altered in the present study. However, by assessing discrete behavioral features of extinction (Ji and Maren, 2007), future studies can dissect whether contextual stimuli elicited higher levels of responding in CSA-WD/IRR than sham rats due to deficits in retrieval or consolidation.

Previously we found that CSA-WD increased SGZ immature neuron number (Noonan et al., 2008), and we hypothesized that adult-generated hippocampal neurons contributed to drug-craving or relapse. However, our CSA-WD/IRR data shows reduced hippocampal neurogenesis enhanced relapse to drug-seeking. Thus, the CSA-WD-induced increase in immature neurons appears beneficial. This clarified interpretation of our previous results also fits with our finding that suppression of adult-hippocampal neurogenesis is a vulnerability factor in this animal model of addiction. As manipulations that enhance neurogenesis can diminish the positive-reinforcing effects of cocaine (e.g. Smith et al., 2008) and suppression of neurogenesis can impair extinction outside of the context of addiction (e.g. Deng et al., 2009), it will be interesting to examine if manipulations that further increase neurogenesis during the withdrawal period facilitate extinction of CSA.

While suppression of neurogenesis after cocaine self-administration (CSA-WD/IRR) enhanced drug-seeking, and thus produced extinction deficits, cranial irradiation prior to cocaine self-administration (IRR-CSA) did not increase cocaine-seeking in the former drug-taking context

after abstinence. This was surprising since rats that self-administer more cocaine have increased drug-seeking (Sutton et al., 2003; Edwards et al., 2007), but is consistent with recent work that reduction of neurogenesis does not influence extinction (Ko et al., 2009). Why is extinction altered when neurogenesis is reduced after – but not before – CSA? Adult-generated neurons encode part of the long-term contextual memory (Snyder et al., 2001; Imayoshi et al., 2008), and cells in distinct stages of adult neurogenesis support discrete aspects of learning and memory (e.g. Dupret et al., 2007). Therefore it is likely meaningful that IRR-CSA rats lacked adult-generated neurons during both acquisition and the withdrawal period, while CSA-WD/IRR rats only lacked them during withdrawal. Also, IRR-CSA rats lacked adult-generated neurons for a longer period of time, so perhaps were able to compensate for the loss of the “plasticity reserve” proposed to be provided by adult-generated neurons (Kempermann, 2008).

### **Irradiation-induced suppression of hippocampal neurogenesis, and not other side effects, mediates altered cocaine-taking and cocaine-seeking**

There are several reasons we used cranial irradiation for this study. Cranial irradiation reduces hippocampal neurogenesis to as great or greater extent, in a shorter period of time, and with equal or greater consistency as compared to alternative methods (e.g. Dupret et al., 2005; Saxe et al., 2006; Imayoshi et al., 2008). Our focused cranial irradiation paradigm provides a relatively restricted suppression hippocampal neurogenesis. Mitotic inhibitors and most transgenic approaches also suppress neurogenesis in the olfactory bulb, which could independently increase sensitivity to psychostimulants (Holmes et al., 2002; Slattery et al., 2007). Finally, focused cranial irradiation did not reduce locomotion, induce sickness, or require additional minipump surgery (Dupret et al., 2005; Saxe et al., 2006). These factors made cranial irradiation the preferred method to launch these fundamental studies on the relationship between adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cocaine self-administration.

Based on our study and many others that use similar irradiation paradigms to decrease rat hippocampal neurogenesis (e.g. Snyder et al., 2005; Winocur et al., 2006; Wojtowicz and Kee, 2006; Airan et al., 2007; Wojtowicz et al., 2008), this paradigm maintains the integrity of mature hippocampal neurons while ablating neural progenitors. It did not grossly damage the dentate gyrus, as global hippocampal morphology and locomotion were not affected (Emerich and Walsh, 1990; Tani et al., 2001; Hernandez-Rabaza et al., 2008). This paradigm does not alter electrophysiological properties of mature hippocampal neurons or network dynamics (Snyder et al., 2005; Airan et al., 2007), suggesting that irradiation-induced alterations in hippocampal function are primarily due to the loss of adult-generated neurons (Wojtowicz, 2006). Irradiation decreased the weight gain of rats in all groups but did not cause weight loss, consistent with previous reports (Snyder et al., 2005) suggesting rats maintained their food intake after irradiation. Gross alteration in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis function, which enhances vulnerability to addiction (Deroche et al., 1997), is also unlikely as irradiated rats did not have altered footshock stress-induced reinstatement, which is influenced by HPA function (Erb et al., 1998). Increased drug-taking and drug-seeking is likely not secondary to the development of anxiety or other symptoms relevant to mood disorders, as rats were normal on many activity measures and irradiation-induced reduction of adult hippocampal neurogenesis does not induce symptoms of depression (Santarelli et al., 2003; Airan et al., 2007; Surget et al., 2008). Irradiation did not grossly compromise the vasculature, since brain levels of cocaine were not different between sham and irradiated rats, and testing began 4 weeks after irradiation, a period after which inflammation is minimal (data not shown and Snyder et al., 2005; Wojtowicz, 2006; Airan et al., 2007). Future studies irradiating alternative or “control” brain regions would help rule out remaining concerns, including the impact of residual inflammation or factors secreted from activated microglia (Kohl et al., 2007). However, taken together with previous work on irradiation, our data suggest that

irradiation-induced reduction of adult hippocampal neurogenesis – and not side effects of irradiation – are responsible for increased drug-taking and drug-seeking.

### **Possible mechanism of control over cocaine-taking and cocaine-seeking by adult hippocampal neurogenesis**

Increased drug-taking and drug-seeking in irradiated rats with reduced adult hippocampal neurogenesis fits with the role of the dentate gyrus as an inhibitory filter for hippocampal activity (e.g. Hsu, 2007). Activating or inactivating the subiculum, which provides the glutamatergic projections from the hippocampus to the NAc and other limbic regions (Kelley and Domesick, 1982; Pitkanen et al., 2000; Herman and Mueller, 2006), increases or decreases drug-taking and drug-seeking, respectively (Caine et al., 2001; Vorel et al., 2001; Fuchs et al., 2005). If adult-generated neurons are indeed functionally similar to embryonic-generated ones (Laplagne et al., 2006), it possible that decreasing the number of adult-generated dentate gyrus neurons results in hippocampal disinhibition leading to increased activation of hippocampus-accumbens-ventral pallidum-ventral tegmental area circuitry (Floresco et al., 2001). This can increase dopamine release from the VTA in response to cocaine or the cocaine context, and may underlie behavioral sensitization to stimulants (Lodge and Grace, 2008) and raises a reasonable hypothesis: ablation of adult neurogenesis may disinhibit neural circuitry responsible for cocaine-taking.

While this hypothesis implies that adult-generated dentate gyrus neurons function similarly to embryonic- or postnatally-generated neurons in regards to drug-taking and drug-seeking, they may also be functionally distinct. Temporary inactivation of the hippocampus blocks context-induced, cue-induced and cocaine-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking (Fuchs et al., 2005; Rogers and See, 2007), which is opposite to our results in which irradiation after cocaine withdrawal enhanced context-induced reinstatement. Thus, adult-generated hippocampal neurons may have a different and an unappreciated role in specific addiction-relevant behaviors relative to older granule cell neurons. Functional studies comparing recruitment of adult-generated versus embryonic or early postnatally-generated neurons into addiction circuitry will be necessary to determine if this is the case.

### **Possible clinical relevance**

These studies suggest reduced adult hippocampal neurogenesis may enhance the transition from recreation to addiction and facilitate drug relapse, especially when faced with a former drug-taking environment. Treatments that increase adult neurogenesis may prevent addiction before it starts, which would be especially important for patients treated with potentially addictive medications. Additionally, treatments that increase adult neurogenesis during abstinence may prevent relapse.

### **Acknowledgments**

Authors were funded by the National Institute of Drug Abuse (F31DA021045 to MAN and K02DA023555, R01DA01676505 to AJE). We thank Chandana Ravikumar for excellent technical assistance, Dr. Erin Larson for excellent advice, and Amy Arguello, Nathan DeCarolis, and Drs. Diane Lagace and Madeleine Johnson for very helpful discussions of this paper. We are grateful to NIDA for providing the cocaine used in these studies.

### **Abbreviations**

BrdU	bromodeoxyuridine
CSA	cocaine self-administration
DCX	doublecortin



FR	fixed ratio
IRR	irradiation
i.v.	intravenous
PR	progressive ratio
S.E.M.	standard error of the mean
SGZ	subgranular zone
SSA	sucrose self-administration
WD	withdrawal

## References


- Abrous DN, Koehl M, Le Moal M. Adult neurogenesis: from precursors to network and physiology. *Physiol Rev* 2005;85:523–569. [PubMed: 15788705]
- Abrous DN, Adriani W, Montaron MF, Aurousseau C, Rougon G, Le Moal M, Piazza PV. Nicotine self-administration impairs hippocampal plasticity. *J Neurosci* 2002;22:3656–3662. [PubMed: 11978841]
- Airan RD, Meltzer LA, Roy M, Gong Y, Chen H, Deisseroth K. High-speed imaging reveals neurophysiological links to behavior in an animal model of depression. *Science* 2007;317:819–823. [PubMed: 17615305]
- Baker DA, Tran-Nguyen TL, Fuchs RA, Neisewander JL. Influence of individual differences and chronic fluoxetine treatment on cocaine-seeking behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2001;155:18–26. [PubMed: 11374332]
- Borders AS, Getchell ML, Etscheidt JT, van Rooijen N, Cohen DA, Getchell TV. Macrophage depletion in the murine olfactory epithelium leads to increased neuronal death and decreased neurogenesis. *J Comp Neurol* 2007;501:206–218. [PubMed: 17226772]
- Brown J, Cooper-Kuhn CM, Kempermann G, Van Praag H, Winkler J, Gage FH, Kuhn HG. Enriched environment and physical activity stimulate hippocampal but not olfactory bulb neurogenesis. *Eur J Neurosci* 2003;17:2042–2046. [PubMed: 12786970]
- Buczek Y, Le AD, Wang A, Stewart J, Shaham Y. Stress reinstates nicotine seeking but not sucrose solution seeking in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1999;144:183–188. [PubMed: 10395000]
- Caine SB, Humby T, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. Behavioral effects of psychomotor stimulants in rats with dorsal or ventral subiculum lesions: locomotion, cocaine self-administration, and prepulse inhibition of startle. *Behav Neurosci* 2001;115:880–894. [PubMed: 11508727]
- Cammarota M, Bevilaqua LR, Barros DM, Vianna MR, Izquierdo LA, Medina JH, Izquierdo I. Retrieval and the extinction of memory. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* 2005;25:465–474. [PubMed: 16075375]
- Chambers RA, Self DW. Motivational responses to natural and drug rewards in rats with neonatal ventral hippocampal lesions: an animal model of dual diagnosis schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2002;27:889–905. [PubMed: 12464446]
- Corcoran KA, Desmond TJ, Frey KA, Maren S. Hippocampal inactivation disrupts the acquisition and contextual encoding of fear extinction. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:8978–8987. [PubMed: 16192388]
- Deng W, Saxe MD, Gallina IS, Gage FH. Adult-born hippocampal dentate granule cells undergoing maturation modulate learning and memory in the brain. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:13532–13542. [PubMed: 19864566]
- Deroche V, Marinelli M, Le Moal M, Piazza PV. Glucocorticoids and behavioral effects of psychostimulants. II: cocaine intravenous self-administration and reinstatement depend on glucocorticoid levels. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1997;281:1401–1407. [PubMed: 9190876]
- Dupret D, Montaron MF, Drapeau E, Aurousseau C, Le Moal M, Piazza PV, Abrous DN. Methylazoxymethanol acetate does not fully block cell genesis in the young and aged dentate gyrus. *Eur J Neurosci* 2005;22:778–783. [PubMed: 16101760]

- Dupret D, Fabre A, Dobrossy MD, Panatier A, Rodriguez JJ, Lamarque S, Lemaire V, Oliet SH, Piazza PV, Abrous DN. Spatial Learning Depends on Both the Addition and Removal of New Hippocampal Neurons. *PLoS Biol* 2007;5:e214. [PubMed: 17683201]
- Edwards S, Whisler KN, Fuller DC, Orsulak PJ, Self DW. Addiction-related alterations in d(1) and d(2) dopamine receptor behavioral responses following chronic cocaine self-administration. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2007;32:354–366. [PubMed: 16541082]
- Eisch AJ. Adult neurogenesis: implications for psychiatry. *Prog Brain Res* 2002;138:315–342. [PubMed: 12432777]
- Eisch AJ, Barrot M, Schad CA, Self DW, Nestler EJ. Opiates inhibit neurogenesis in the adult rat hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:7579–7584. [PubMed: 10840056]
- Emerich DF, Walsh TJ. Hyperactivity following intradentate injection of colchicine: a role for dopamine systems in the nucleus accumbens. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1990;37:149–154. [PubMed: 21247111]
- Erb S, Shaham Y, Stewart J. The role of corticotropin-releasing factor and corticosterone in stress- and cocaine-induced relapse to cocaine seeking in rats. *J Neurosci* 1998;18:5529–5536. [PubMed: 9651233]
- Floresco SB, Todd CL, Grace AA. Glutamatergic afferents from the hippocampus to the nucleus accumbens regulate activity of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *J Neurosci* 2001;21:4915–4922. [PubMed: 11425919]
- Fuchs RA, Evans KA, Ledford CC, Parker MP, Case JM, Mehta RH, See RE. The role of the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex, basolateral amygdala, and dorsal hippocampus in contextual reinstatement of cocaine seeking in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2005;30:296–309. [PubMed: 15483559]
- Graham DL, Edwards S, Bachtell RK, Dileone RJ, Rios M, Self DW. Dynamic BDNF activity in nucleus accumbens with cocaine use increases self-administration and relapse. *Nat Neurosci* 2007;10:1029–1037. [PubMed: 17618281]
- Green TA, Gehrke BJ, Bardo MT. Environmental enrichment decreases intravenous amphetamine self-administration in rats: dose-response functions for fixed- and progressive-ratio schedules. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2002;162:373–378. [PubMed: 12172690]
- Herman JP, Mueller NK. Role of the ventral subiculum in stress integration. *Behav Brain Res* 2006;174:215–224. [PubMed: 16876265]
- Hernandez-Rabaza V, Hontecillas-Prieto L, Velazquez-Sanchez C, Ferragud A, Perez-Villaba A, Arcusa A, Barcia JA, Trejo JL, Canales JJ. The hippocampal dentate gyrus is essential for generating contextual memories of fear and drug-induced reward. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. 2008
- Holmes PV, Masini CV, Primeaux SD, Garrett JL, Zellner A, Stogner KS, Duncan AA, Crystal JD. Intravenous self-administration of amphetamine is increased in a rat model of depression. *Synapse* 2002;46:4–10. [PubMed: 12211093]
- Hsu D. The dentate gyrus as a filter or gate: a look back and a look ahead. *Prog Brain Res* 2007;163:601–613. [PubMed: 17765740]
- Hubbard RL, Marsden ME. Relapse to use of heroin, cocaine, and other drugs in the first year after treatment. *NIDA Res Monogr* 1986;72:157–166. [PubMed: 3099204]
- Imayoshi I, Sakamoto M, Ohtsuka T, Takao K, Miyakawa T, Yamaguchi M, Mori K, Ikeda T, Itohara S, Kageyama R. Roles of continuous neurogenesis in the structural and functional integrity of the adult forebrain. *Nat Neurosci*. 2008
- Ji J, Maren S. Hippocampal involvement in contextual modulation of fear extinction. *Hippocampus* 2007;17:749–758. [PubMed: 17604353]
- Kanarek RB, Marks-Kaufman R, D'Anci KE, Przypek J. Exercise attenuates oral intake of amphetamine in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1995;51:725–729. [PubMed: 7675851]
- Keiflin R, Vouillac C, Cador M. Level of operant training rather than cocaine intake predicts level of reinstatement. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2008;197:247–261. [PubMed: 18159572]
- Kelley AE, Domesick VB. The distribution of the projection from the hippocampal formation to the nucleus accumbens in the rat: an anterograde- and retrograde-horseradish peroxidase study. *Neuroscience* 1982;7:2321–2335. [PubMed: 6817161]
- Kempermann G. The neurogenic reserve hypothesis: what is adult hippocampal neurogenesis good for? *Trends Neurosci* 2008;31:163–169. [PubMed: 18329110]

- Ko HG, Jang DJ, Son J, Kwak C, Choi JH, Ji YH, Lee YS, Son H, Kaang BK. Effect of ablated hippocampal neurogenesis on the formation and extinction of contextual fear memory. *Mol Brain* 2009;2:1. [PubMed: 19138433]
- Kohl Z, Kandasamy M, Winner B, Aigner R, Gross C, Couillard-Despres S, Bogdahn U, Aigner L, Winkler J. Physical activity fails to rescue hippocampal neurogenesis deficits in the R6/2 mouse model of Huntington's disease. *Brain Res* 2007;1155:24–33. [PubMed: 17512917]
- Laplagne DA, Esposito MS, Piatti VC, Morgenstern NA, Zhao C, van Praag H, Gage FH, Schinder AF. Functional convergence of neurons generated in the developing and adult hippocampus. *PLoS Biol* 2006;4:e409. [PubMed: 17121455]
- Lodge DJ, Grace AA. Amphetamine activation of hippocampal drive of mesolimbic dopamine neurons: a mechanism of behavioral sensitization. *J Neurosci* 2008;28:7876–7882. [PubMed: 18667619]
- Lu L, Shepard JD, Hall FS, Shaham Y. Effect of environmental stressors on opiate and psychostimulant reinforcement, reinstatement and discrimination in rats: a review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2003;27:457–491. [PubMed: 14505687]
- Malberg JE, Eisch AJ, Nestler EJ, Duman RS. Chronic antidepressant treatment increases neurogenesis in adult rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 2000;20:9104–9110. [PubMed: 11124987]
- Mandyam CD, Wee S, Crawford EF, Eisch AJ, Richardson HN, Koob GF. Varied access to intravenous methamphetamine self-administration differentially alters adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;64:958–965. [PubMed: 18490002]
- Meyers RA, Zavala AR, Speer CM, Neisewander JL. Dorsal hippocampus inhibition disrupts acquisition and expression, but not consolidation, of cocaine conditioned place preference. *Behav Neurosci* 2006;120:401–412. [PubMed: 16719704]
- Miczek KA, Mutschler NH. Activational effects of social stress on IV cocaine self-administration in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 1996;128:256–264. [PubMed: 8972545]
- Mirescu C, Gould E. Stress and adult neurogenesis. *Hippocampus* 2006;16:233–238. [PubMed: 16411244]
- Nestler EJ. Is there a common molecular pathway for addiction? *Nat Neurosci* 2005;8:1445–1449. [PubMed: 16251986]
- Noonan MA, Choi KH, Self DW, Eisch AJ. Withdrawal from cocaine self-administration normalizes deficits in proliferation and enhances maturity of adult-generated hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci* 2008;28:2516–2526. [PubMed: 18322096]
- Paxinos, GT.; Watson, C. *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates Compact*. Third Edition. Academic Press; New York: 1997.
- Piazza PV, Deroche-Gamonet V, Rouge-Pont F, Le Moal M. Vertical shifts in self-administration dose-response functions predict a drug-vulnerable phenotype predisposed to addiction. *J Neurosci* 2000;20:4226–4232. [PubMed: 10818158]
- Pitkanen A, Pikkariainen M, Nurminen N, Ylinen A. Reciprocal connections between the amygdala and the hippocampal formation, perirhinal cortex, and postrhinal cortex in rat. A review. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000;911:369–391. [PubMed: 10911886]
- Quirk GJ, Mueller D. Neural mechanisms of extinction learning and retrieval. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2008;33:56–72. [PubMed: 17882236]
- Rabe A, Haddad RK. Effect of selective hippocampal lesions in the rat on acquisition, performance, and extinction of bar pressing on a fixed ratio schedule. *Exp Brain Res* 1968;5:259–266.
- Reif A, Fritzen S, Finger M, Strobel A, Lauer M, Schmitt A, Lesch KP. Neural stem cell proliferation is decreased in schizophrenia, but not in depression. *Mol Psychiatry* 2006;11:514–522. [PubMed: 16415915]
- Richardson NR, Roberts DC. Progressive ratio schedules in drug self-administration studies in rats: a method to evaluate reinforcing efficacy. *J Neurosci Methods* 1996;66:1–11. [PubMed: 8794935]
- Rogers JL, See RE. Selective inactivation of the ventral hippocampus attenuates cue-induced and cocaine-primed reinstatement of drug-seeking in rats. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* 2007
- Rola R, Mizumatsu S, Otsuka S, Morhardt DR, Noble-Haeusslein LJ, Fishman K, Potts MB, Fike JR. Alterations in hippocampal neurogenesis following traumatic brain injury in mice. *Exp Neurol* 2006;202:189–199. [PubMed: 16876159]

- Santarelli L, Saxe M, Gross C, Surget A, Battaglia F, Dulawa S, Weisstaub N, Lee J, Duman R, Arancio O, Belzung C, Hen R. Requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science* 2003;301:805–809. [PubMed: 12907793]
- Saxe MD, Battaglia F, Wang JW, Malleret G, David DJ, Monckton JE, Garcia AD, Sofroniew MV, Kandel ER, Santarelli L, Hen R, Drew MR. Ablation of hippocampal neurogenesis impairs contextual fear conditioning and synaptic plasticity in the dentate gyrus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:17501–17506. [PubMed: 17088541]
- Schmelzeis MC, Mittleman G. The hippocampus and reward: effects of hippocampal lesions on progressive-ratio responding. *Behav Neurosci* 1996;110:1049–1066. [PubMed: 8919008]
- Shen F, Meredith GE, Napier TC. Amphetamine-induced place preference and conditioned motor sensitization requires activation of tyrosine kinase receptors in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:11041–11051. [PubMed: 17065446]
- Slattery DA, Markou A, Cryan JF. Evaluation of reward processes in an animal model of depression. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2007;190:555–568. [PubMed: 17177055]
- Smith MA, Schmidt KT, Jordanou JC, Mustroph ML. Aerobic exercise decreases the positive-reinforcing effects of cocaine. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2008;98:129–135. [PubMed: 18585870]
- Snyder JS, Kee N, Wojtowicz JM. Effects of adult neurogenesis on synaptic plasticity in the rat dentate gyrus. *J Neurophysiol* 2001;85:2423–2431. [PubMed: 11387388]
- Snyder JS, Hong NS, McDonald RJ, Wojtowicz JM. A role for adult neurogenesis in spatial long-term memory. *Neuroscience* 2005;130:843–852. [PubMed: 15652983]
- Specio SE, Wee S, O'Dell LE, Boutrel B, Zorrilla EP, Koob GF. CRF(1) receptor antagonists attenuate escalated cocaine self-administration in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2008;196:473–482. [PubMed: 17965976]
- Stairs DJ, Klein ED, Bardo MT. Effects of environmental enrichment on extinction and reinstatement of amphetamine self-administration and sucrose-maintained responding. *Behav Pharmacol* 2006;17:597–604. [PubMed: 17021392]
- Surget A, Saxe M, Leman S, Ibarguen-Vargas Y, Chalon S, Griebel G, Hen R, Belzung C. Drug-dependent requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis in a model of depression and of antidepressant reversal. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;64:293–301. [PubMed: 18406399]
- Sutton MA, Karanian DA, Self DW. Factors that determine a propensity for cocaine-seeking behavior during abstinence in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000;22:626–641. [PubMed: 10788762]
- Sutton MA, Schmidt EF, Choi KH, Schad CA, Whisler K, Simmons D, Karanian DA, Monteggia LM, Neve RL, Self DW. Extinction-induced upregulation in AMPA receptors reduces cocaine-seeking behaviour. *Nature* 2003;421:70–75. [PubMed: 12511956]
- Tani K, Iyo M, Matsumoto H, Kawai M, Suzuki K, Iwata Y, Won T, Tsukamoto T, Sekine Y, Sakanoue M, Hashimoto K, Ohashi Y, Takei N, Mori N. The effects of dentate granule cell destruction on behavioural activity and Fos protein expression induced by systemic methamphetamine in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 2001;134:1411–1418. [PubMed: 11724746]
- Tornatzky W, Miczek KA. Cocaine self-administration “binges”: transition from behavioral and autonomic regulation toward homeostatic dysregulation in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2000;148:289–298. [PubMed: 10755742]
- UNODC. World Drug Report. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime; 2008.
- van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. Running increases cell proliferation and neurogenesis in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. *Nat Neurosci* 1999;2:266–270. [PubMed: 10195220]
- Vorel SR, Liu X, Hayes RJ, Spector JA, Gardner EL. Relapse to cocaine-seeking after hippocampal theta burst stimulation. *Science* 2001;292:1175–1178. [PubMed: 11349151]
- Winocur G, Wojtowicz JM, Sekeres M, Snyder JS, Wang S. Inhibition of neurogenesis interferes with hippocampus-dependent memory function. *Hippocampus* 2006;16:296–304. [PubMed: 16411241]
- Wojtowicz JM. Irradiation as an experimental tool in studies of adult neurogenesis. *Hippocampus* 2006;16:261–266. [PubMed: 16435311]
- Wojtowicz JM, Kee N. BrdU assay for neurogenesis in rodents. *Nat Protoc* 2006;1:1399–1405. [PubMed: 17406427]
- Wojtowicz JM, Askew ML, Winocur G. The effects of running and of inhibiting adult neurogenesis on learning and memory in rats. *Eur J Neurosci* 2008;27:1494–1502. [PubMed: 18364025]

### A Irradiation before cocaine self-administration (CSA)



### B Irradiation before sucrose self-administration (SSA)



### C Irradiation after cocaine self-administration




#### Figure 1. Cranial irradiation decreases adult neurogenesis

**A.** IRR-CSA ( $n=21$ ) and Sham-CSA ( $n=18$ ) rats were habituated to the animal facility for a week, anesthetized (50 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital, i.p.), irradiated, and left in home cage to recover for 4 weeks (with handling every 3 days). Rats completed food training, were implanted with an intravenous (i.v.) catheter, and allowed to recover for several days. Three weeks of cocaine self-administration (CSA) followed. Rats were then split into groups for progressive-ratio testing (PR) or dose response and reinstatement. PR rats had 6 days of testing and then were sacrificed the next day (IRR  $n=7$ , Sham  $n=5$ ). The remaining rats were given a dose-response test on the 16th day of CSA (IRR  $n=14$ , Sham  $n=13$ ), and then were placed in the home cage for 4 weeks of withdrawal (WD). Daily reinstatement testing followed, which consisted of an extinction session for at least one hour followed by reinstatement testing to several variables as described in methods: the context itself, the cue light, saline, i.p. cocaine (5 or 15 mg/kg), and finally footshock stress. A locomotor test (basal and after a 15 mg/kg cocaine injection) was done on the last day. Rats were sacrificed 24 hrs later. **B.** The experimental design for IRR-SSA ( $n=21$ ) and Sham-SSA ( $n=18$ ) rats was similar to Figure 1A, except that rats were not implanted with an i.v. catheter after food training, and locomotor testing occurred after the last day of food training. Rats completed 3 weeks of sucrose pellet self-administration (SSA), followed by PR for sucrose pellets while food restricted for 3 day and while fed *ad libitum* for 3 days. Rats were then food restricted and restabilized on FR5 timeout 15 sec sucrose self-administration for 3 days. Reinstatement testing consisted of extinction of sucrose-seeking, cue-induced and sucrose-induced reinstatement testing. **C.** CSA-




WD/IRR (n=15) and CSA-WD/Sham (n=9) rats were irradiated after 3 weeks of CSA, and after 4 weeks of WD coincident with the recovery period, were then tested for reinstatement. All rats were age-matched to the beginning of CSA or SSA. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Lightning bolt = 2 days of 10 Gy cranial irradiation, in a 1 cm diameter circle on the dorsal surface of the head to target the hippocampus as previously described (e.g. Snyder et al., 2005). CSA = cocaine self-administration, H = habituation, IRR = irradiation, FT/S = food training and i.v. catheter surgery, R = recovery, sac = sacrifice by intracardial perfusion, SSA = sucrose self-administration, Reinstatement = reinstatement testing, PR = progressive-ratio, WD = withdrawal period.




**Figure 2. Cranial irradiation produces a long-term decrease in adult hippocampal neurogenesis**

**A.** Representative images at 200 $\times$  magnification of doublecortin+ (DCX+) immature neurons in the dorsal/anterior SGZ near the apex of the ventral and dorsal dentate gyrus limbs in Sham-CSA and IRR-CSA rats 13 weeks after irradiation. DCX+ staining was almost completely absent in dorsal dentate gyrus sections in irradiated rats. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m. **B.** Quantitative analysis of DCX+ SGZ cell number at various times after cranial irradiation: cocaine self-administration (CSA) 13 weeks; CSA/progressive-ratio (PR) 9 weeks; sucrose self-administration (SSA) 10 weeks; CSA-withdrawal (WD) 5 weeks. Time line of experiments is provided in Figure 1. Regardless of time after irradiation, the number of DCX+ SGZ cells was significantly reduced relative to control rats, with almost complete ablation of DCX+ cells in the dorsal dentate gyrus (Panel A). **C, D.** Quantitative analysis of DCX+ cell number in relation to distance from Bregma in Sham-CSA and IRR-CSA rats (Panel C) and CSA-WD/Sham and CSA-WD/IRR rats (Panel D). While DCX+ staining was almost completely absent in dorsal dentate gyrus sections (Panel A), this analysis via distance from Bregma reveals that DCX+ staining was more evident in ventral dentate gyrus sections. This likely represents the loss of radiation energy as it passed from the dorsal to ventral regions of the brain. **E.** Representative photomicrographs from ED1/CD-68 stained sections taken from sham and irradiated rats 3 and 4 weeks after irradiation. Arrows indicate ED1/CD-68+ cells in dentate gyrus; inset shows higher magnification of ED1/CD-68+ cell to highlight one of the characteristic ramified shape presented by activated microglia. Consistent with previous publications, this paradigm of irradiation resulted in modest microglial activation at 3 weeks. This activation was transient as only rare positive ED1/CD-68+ cells were observed at 4 weeks after irradiation and none at later time points (data not shown). Scale bar = 20 $\mu$ m **F.** Scatterplot of brain levels of cocaine in rats injected with 20 mg/kg cocaine intraperitoneally. There is no significant difference between the means, and no data points were statistically valid to remove as outliers based on the Grubb's test (GraphPad Prism). Data for B presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. \* $p$ <0.5, \*\* $p$ <0.01, \*\*\* $p$ <0.001.




**Figure 3. Cranial irradiation before cocaine self-administration increases cocaine reward**  
**A.** Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in the time it took to obtain 100 sucrose pellets during acquisition of an FR1 schedule during food training. **B.** Cranial irradiation 4 weeks prior increased the amount of cocaine self-administered at a 0.5 mg/kg infusion dose under both FR1 and FR5 schedules. **C.** Irradiated rats self-administered more cocaine, causing a vertical shift in the dose-response curve. **D.** Dose-response data converted into dose-intake curves by multiplying infusions by dose show irradiated rats took more significantly more cocaine. **E.** Irradiated rats worked harder to get their last infusion of cocaine, suggesting they find cocaine more rewarding. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ .




**Figure 4. Cranial irradiation transiently increases impulsive responding during the timeout period of cocaine self-administration, but does not alter timeout pressing or inactive right lever pressing during sucrose self-administration**

**A, B.** Left/active lever presses (**A**) and right/inactive lever presses (**B**) during the 15-second timeout per daily cocaine self-administration session. Irradiated rats pressed significantly more on the drug-paired lever during the timeout on the first two self-administration sessions, but thereafter did not press significantly more than sham rats (**A**). Irradiated rats did not significantly press more on the inactive lever than sham rats during any session (**B**). **C, D.** Left/active lever presses (**C**) and right/inactive lever presses (**D**) during the 15-second timeout per daily sucrose self-administration session. Irradiated and sham rats pressed the same amount on the food-paired lever (**C**) and the inactive lever (**D**) during the timeout period. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. \* $p < 0.05$ .




**Figure 5. Cranial irradiation before sucrose self-administration does not change natural reward**  
**A.** Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in the time it took to obtain 100 sucrose pellets during acquisition of an FR1 schedule during food training. **B.** Basal locomotion was not different between irradiated and sham rats. **C.** Irradiated rats were not different from sham rats in sucrose pellet self-administration on a FR1, FR3, or FR5 schedule. **D, E.** Sucrose pellet self-administration on a progressive-ratio was not different between irradiated and sham rats when rats were food restricted during testing days (**D**) or when not food restricted (**E**). **F.** Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in their ability to extinguish responding on the formerly sucrose-paired lever. **G.** Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in responding to cues formerly paired with sucrose administration. **H.** Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in responding to presentation of sucrose pellets. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.





**Figure 6. Cranial irradiation after cocaine self-administration enhances resistance to extinction**

**A.** Self-administration of cocaine. Cocaine self-administration in each group prior to irradiation. Rats were then divided to make two statistically similar groups and assigned to CSA-WD/IRR or CSA-WD/Sham groups. **B, C.** Context-induced reinstatement. Irradiation after CSA leads to enhanced context-dependent reinstatement. Irradiated rats pressed more on the formerly drug-paired lever (**B**) and took more sessions to extinguish lever pressing on the formerly drug-paired lever (**C**) when re-exposed daily to the self-administration chamber in the absence of reinforcement after 4 weeks of abstinence. **D.** Cue-induced reinstatement. Irradiated rats had a non-statistical trend towards pressing both the formerly drug-paired lever and the inactive lever more than sham-irradiated rats in response to drug-cues. **E.** Drug-induced reinstatement. Response to pressing the left, formerly drug-paired lever (left-most pair of bars) or right, formerly drug-unpaired lever (right-most pair of bars) after i.p. injection of saline or 5 or 15 mg/kg cocaine in sham and irradiated rats. Irradiated rats had a non-statistical trend towards pressing the formerly drug-paired left lever more in response to low dose (5 mg/kg i.p.) cocaine exposure. Right lever presses are presented but are under five for both irradiated and sham groups at every dose of cocaine. **F.** Footshock stress-induced reinstatement. Lever pressing between irradiated and sham-irradiated rats in response to footshock stress. **G.** Locomotion assessment. Irradiated rats were not impaired in either basal locomotion or cocaine-induced locomotion (15 mg/kg i.p.). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ .



**Figure 7. Cranial irradiation before cocaine self-administration does not change relapse to drug-seeking**

**A.** Context-induced reinstatement. Irradiated rats did not press more than sham rats on the formerly drug-paired lever when re-exposed to the self-administration chamber after 4 weeks of abstinence. **B.** Cue-induced reinstatement. Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in drug-seeking in response to drug cues. **C.** Drug-induced reinstatement. Response to pressing the left, formerly drug-paired lever (left-most pair of bars) or right, formerly drug-unpaired lever (right-most pair of bars) after i.p. injection of saline or 5 or 15 mg/kg cocaine in sham and irradiated rats. Irradiated rats did not differ from sham rats in drug-seeking in response to low dose cocaine injections. Right lever presses are presented but are under five for both irradiated and sham groups at each dose of cocaine. **D.** Footshock stress-induced reinstatement. Lever pressing between irradiated and sham-irradiated rats in response to footshock stress was not significantly different. **E.** Locomotion assessment. Irradiated rats were not impaired in either basal locomotion or cocaine-induced locomotion (15 mg/kg i.p.). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.

**Table 1**

Correlations between DCX+ immature neuron number and behaviors.  $R^2$  are reported separately for correlations between DCX+ immature neuron number and self-administration and reinstatement behaviors. N=24 CSA self-administration, N=12 CSA PR and reinstatement correlations, N=11 all CSA-WD correlations.

	CSA	CSA-WD
<b>Days 1-5 cocaine intake</b>	0.007	
<b>Days 11-15 cocaine intake</b>	0.002	
<b>PR 0.1 mg/kg</b>	0.022	
<b>PR 0.75 mg/kg</b>	<b>0.386 *</b>	
<b>Extinction latency</b>	0.004	0.096
<b>Cue reinstatement</b>	0.111	<b>0.383 *</b>
<b>Cocaine 5 mg/kg reinstatement</b>	0.315	0.002
<b>Footshock reinstatement</b>	0.054	0.009

\*  $p < 0.05$ . CSA = cocaine self-administration, CSA-WD = withdrawal from cocaine self-administration, PR = progressive ratio.