HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 May 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2022 May 04; 31(5): 1103–1110.

doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-21-0875.

B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) subtype risk associated with autoimmune conditions and polygenic risk scores (PRS)

Sophia S. Wang[1,+], **Claire M. Vajdic**[2], **Martha S. Linet**[3], **Susan L. Slager**[4], **Jenna Voutsinas**[1], **Alexandra Nieters**[5], **Delphine Casabonne**[6,7], **James R. Cerhan**[4], **Wendy Cozen**[8], **Graciela Alarcón**[9], **Otoniel Martinez-Maza**[10], **Elizabeth E. Brown**[11], **Paige M. Bracci**[12], **Jennifer Turner**[13,14], **Henrik Hjalgrim**[15], **Parveen Bhatti**[16], **Yawei Zhang**[17], **Brenda M. Birmann**[18], **Christopher R. Flowers**[19], **Ora Paltiel**[20], **Elizabeth A. Holly**[12], **Eleanor Kane**[21], **Dennis D. Weisenburger**[22], **Marc Maynadie**[23], **Pierluigi Cocco**[24], **Lenka Foretova**[25], **Elizabeth C. Breen**[26], **Qing Lan**[3], **Angela Brooks-Wilson**[27], **Anneclaire J. De Roos**[28], **Martyn T Smith**[29], **Eve Roman**[21], **Paolo Boffetta**[30,31], **Anne Kricker**[32], **Tongzhang Zheng**[33], **Christine F. Skibola**[19], **Jacqueline Clavel**[34], **Alain Monnereau**[34,35], **Stephen J. Chanock**[3], **Nathaniel Rothman**[3], **Yolanda Benavente**[6,7], **Patricia Hartge**[3], **Karin E. Smedby**[36]

[1]Division of Health Analytics, Department of Computational and Quantitative Medicine, Beckman Research Institute and the City of Hope, Monrovia, California (Wang, Voutsinas)

[2]Centre for Big Data Research in Health, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia (Vajdic)

[3]Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD (Linet, Hartge, Lan, Rothman, Chanock)

[4]Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (Slager, Cerhan)

[5]Institute for Immunodeficiency, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany (Nieters)

[6]Unit of Infections and Cancer, Cancer Epidemiology Research Programme, IDIBELL, Institut Català d' Oncologia / IDIBELL, Barcelona, Spain (Benavente, Casabonne)

[7]The Biomedical Research Centre Network for Epidemiology and Public Health (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain (Benavente, Casabonne)

[8]Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA (Cozen)

[9]Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology - Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (Alarcon)

[10]Departments of Microbiology, Immunology & Molecular Genetics and Obstetrics & Gynecology, David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, –Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA (Martinez-Maza)

[+] *To whom correspondence should be addressed:* Sophia S. Wang, Ph.D., Division of Health Analytics, Department of Computational and Quantitative Medicine, Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, 1218 Fifth Street, Monrovia, CA 910106, Phone: (626) 471-7316, Fax: (626) 471-7308, sowang@coh.org.

Conflict of Interest Statement/Disclosure:
Yolanda Benavente Morena has received sponsorship for grants from Merck & Co., Roche, GSK, iDT, and Hologic Seegene.

[11]Department of Pathology, School of Medicine and O'Neal Comprehensive Cancer Center, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (Brown)

[12]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California–San Francisco, San Francisco, CA (Bracci, Holly)

[13]Department of Histopathology, Douglass Hanly Moir Pathology, Sydney, Australia (Turner)

[14]Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia (Turner)

[15]Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark (Hjalgrim)

[16]British Columbia Cancer Research Center, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (Bhatti)

[17]Department of Cancer Prevention and Control at the National Cancer Center, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College (Zhang)

[18]Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA (Birmann)

[19]Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, GA (Flowers, Skibola)

[20]School of Public Health and Hematology Dept., Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel (Paltiel)

[21]Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, United Kingdom (Kane, Roman)

[22]Department of Pathology, City of Hope, Duarte, California (Weisenburger)

[23]Registry of Hematological Malignancies of Cote d'Or, INSERM U1231, Burgundy University and University Hospital, Dijon, France (Maynadie)

[24]Department of Public Health, Occupational Health Section, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy (Cocco)

[25]Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, Masaryk Memorial Cancer Institute, Brno, Czech Republic (Foretova)

[26]Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California–Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA (Breen)

[27]Department of Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology, Faculty of Science, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (Brooks-Wilson)

[28]Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, School of Public Health, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA (De Roos)

[29]Division of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA (Smith)

[30]Stony Brook Cancer Center, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY (Boffetta)

[31]Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy (Boffetta)

[32]Sydney School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia (Kricker)

[33]Department of Epidemiology, Brown University, Providence, RI (Zheng)

[34]CRESS, UMR1153, INSERM, Université de Paris, Vilejuif, France (Clavel, Monnereau)

[35]Registre des hémopathies malignes de la Gironde, Institut Bergonié, University of Bordeaux, Inserm, Team EPICENE, UMR 1219, France (Monnereau)

[36]Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (Smedby)

Abstract

Background: A previous International Lymphoma Epidemiology (InterLymph) Consortium evaluation of joint associations between five immune gene variants and autoimmune conditions reported interactions between B-cell response-mediated autoimmune conditions and the rs1800629 genotype on risk of B-cell NHL subtypes. Here, we extend that evaluation using NHL subtype-specific polygenic risk scores (PRS) constructed from loci identified in genome-wide association studies of three common B-cell NHL subtypes.

Methods: In a pooled analysis of NHL cases and controls of Caucasian descent from 14 participating InterLymph studies, we evaluated joint associations between B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions and tertile (T) of PRS for risk of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL, n=1914), follicular lymphoma (FL, n=1733) and marginal zone lymphoma (MZL, n=407), using unconditional logistic regression.

Results: We demonstrated a positive association of DLBCL PRS with DLBCL risk (T2 vs T1: odds ratio, OR=1.24, 95% confidence interval, CI=1.08–1.43; T3 vs T1: OR=1.81, 95% CI=1.59–2.07; *P*-trend<0.0001). DLBCL risk also increased with increasing PRS tertile among those with an autoimmune condition, being highest for those with a B-cell mediated autoimmune condition and a T3 PRS (OR=6.46 vs no autoimmune condition and a T1 PRS, *P*-trend<0.0001, p-interaction=0.49). FL and MZL risk demonstrated no evidence of joint associations or significant p-interaction.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that PRS constructed from currently known subtype-specific loci may not necessarily capture biological pathways shared with autoimmune conditions.

Impact: Targeted genetic (PRS) screening among population subsets with autoimmune conditions may offer opportunities for identifying those at highest risk for (and early detection from) DLBCL.

Keywords

gene; interaction; lymphoma; autoimmune; non-Hodgkin; human leukocyte antigen; tumor necrosis factor

INTRODUCTION

Since its inception in 2001, the International Lymphoma Epidemiology (InterLymph) Consortium has sought to identify genetic and non-genetic risk factors for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and its heterogenous subtypes by conducting pooled analyses comprising thousands of patients and controls from case-control epidemiologic studies across multiple countries (https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/interlymph). Consortium members have

demonstrated statistical associations between autoimmune conditions and NHL subtypes (1–3), most notably between B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) (4, 5). Although autoimmune conditions are generally rare, their individual associations with NHL subtypes are among the most robust and strongest (e.g., over 2-fold) in NHL etiology (1). In contrast, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified statistically significant associations with NHL subtypes for multiple common genetic susceptibility loci, but their associations have largely exhibited modest magnitudes of risk (e.g., less than 2-fold) (6–11), as is typical for genetic associations studies.

In a previous InterLymph Consortium evaluation of joint associations between autoimmune conditions and five putative susceptibility loci among genes potentially linked with immune function, we demonstrated a statistically significant interaction between *TNF −308G-A* (rs1800629) and B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions for DLBCL risk (3). Since that publication, several other NHL GWASs have yielded multiple highly significant susceptibility loci; notably, the growing list of implicated putative loci differs by NHL subtype. Here, we construct polygenic risk scores (PRS) derived from NHL subtype-specific GWAS results for three common NHL subtypes, including DLBCL, follicular lymphoma (FL), and MZL, and evaluate the joint associations between these subtype-specific PRS and autoimmune conditions to determine whether autoimmune conditions and genetic susceptibility to these NHL subtypes share common biological underpinnings.

METHODS

Study population

The present analysis included data from 14 case-control studies that previously participated in an InterLymph Consortium https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/interlymph/) pooled analysis of autoimmune conditions in relation to NHL risk (Supplemental Table 1) (1–3; 12–25). As previously described, NHL cases and controls from the 14 studies were eligible if they were: age 17 years or older, not known to be human immunodeficiency virus-positive, and had no history of organ transplantation (3). Among the eligible participants, inclusion further required genotype data from NHL GWAS studies published from 2014–15 (6–8). To minimize confounding by race, the GWAS studies were conducted among Caucasians. Specifically, ancestry was assessed using the Genotyping Library and Utilities (GLU- http://code.google.com/p/glu-genetics/) struct.admix module based on the method by Pritchard *et al.* (26) and participants with <80% European ancestry were excluded (6). The final study sample for the present analysis thus reflects a subset of those from previously published studies who also had DNA and passed quality control for GWAS analyses. A comparison of select demographic characteristics of participants included in this analysis and those in our previous publication is summarized in Supplemental Table 2 (3). The final analytic dataset comprised 5,886 cases and 5,687 controls.

Exposure assessment

As previously described, harmonization of autoimmune conditions was conducted previously as part of a consortium-wide effort and detailed in the resulting publications

(1, 3). Briefly, self-reported history of autoimmune conditions was collected in each participating study using structured questionnaires during in-person or telephone interviews. In most studies (70%), respondents were asked whether any autoimmune condition had been diagnosed by a physician. Consistent with the original InterLymph Consortium study on autoimmune conditions (1), we included the following: primary Sjögren syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic sclerosis or scleroderma, poly- or dermatomyositis, immune thrombocytopenic purpura, type 1 diabetes (defined as diabetes diagnosed at age ≤30 years), pernicious anemia, multiple sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, celiac disease, psoriasis, sarcoidosis, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, autoimmune hemolytic anemia, and Hashimoto's thyroiditis. No imputation was conducted for missing data; studies and individuals with missing data were excluded from each of the respective analyses. Based on this harmonization, autoimmune conditions were then categorized on the basis of the type of primary immune response involved in mediating autoimmunity: specifically, predominance of B-cell activation versus predominance of T-cell activation, based on a consensus panel comprised of rheumatologists, immunologists, and hematologist-oncologists (3). Autoimmune conditions were also categorized by organ involvement as multiple-organ-targeted versus primarily single-organ-targeted, with further organ-specific evaluations for pancreatic, gastrointestinal/hepatobiliary, dermatological, hematological, neurological, and endocrine organs. B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions included autoimmune hemolytic anemia, Hashimoto thyroiditis, primary Sjögren syndrome, SLE, RA, pernicious anemia and myasthenia gravis. T-cell mediated autoimmune conditions included systemic sclerosis or scleroderma, poly- or dermatomyositis, immune thrombocytopenic purpura, type-1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis, celiac disease, psoriasis, sarcoidosis, Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis. These categorizations were based on the type of primary immune response believed to be involved in mediating autoimmunity. Categorizations by organ involvement (multiple organ versus primarily single organ-targeted) were also evaluated (Supplemental Table 3) (3). Because the focus of the present manuscript is on the three major B-cell lymphoma subtypes, our analyses and results are focused on the B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions for which prior associations were reported (3).

Polygenic Risk Score (PRS)

GWAS data were ascertained through a number of efforts and platforms, including Illumina 317K (*Scandinavian Lymphoma Etiology* [*SCALE]* study), Illumina Human CNV370-Duo BeadChip (University of California, San Francisco), OmniExpress (NCI-SEER, New South Wales, Yale, British Columbia, University of California, San Francisco, UK), Illumina660 (Mayo Clinic), Sequenom MassARRAY® iPLEX (SF1B), Illumina™ GoldenGate and Pyrosequencing™ (EpiLymph). Collectively, we included loci for which call rates were ≥95% and sample completion rates were ≥90% (6–9). To evaluate the genome across studies, we thus imputed all GWAS data using the 1000 Genomes Project (1kGP) v.3 (March 2012 release, http://www.1000genomes.org/) reference panel and IMPUTE2 (http://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/impute/impute_v2.html). The imputation analysis was restricted to common SNPs (cut-off MAF>0.01 with imputation accuracy INFO score >0.3). Wherever possible, either the best directly genotyped SNP or the most significant imputed SNP (with information score >0.8) for the locus was used. We note that genotyping of SNPs by

TaqMan (Applied Biosystems) in a subset of subjects yielded >88.9% concordance with the imputed dosages (median concordance = 99.6%), indicating that imputation accuracy was high. (4, 7, 8).

SNPs included for each PRS comprised those statistically significantly associated with the respective NHL subtype in recently published GWAS that included InterLymph studies. Specifically, we included the following SNPs and corresponding reported odds ratios (OR) to derive subtype-specific PRS: DLBCL (rs116446171 [OR=2.2], rs2523607 [OR=1.32], rs79480871 [OR=1.34]; rs13255292 [OR=1.22]; rs4733601 [OR=1.18]) (6); FL (rs4938573 [OR=1.34], rs4937362 [OR=1.19], rs6444305 [OR=1.21], rs17749561 [OR=1.34], rs13254990 [OR=1.18], rs12195582/rs115374828 [OR=1.44]) (7); and MZL (rs9461741 [OR=2.66], rs2922994/rs116778584 [OR=1.64]) (8). For each SNP, there was no statistically significant heterogeneity by study (6–8).

To construct the PRS, homozygous risk alleles were assigned a value of 2, heterozygotes a value of 1 and homozygous non-risk alleles a value of 0 (27, 28). This value was subsequently multiplied by the log of the published OR, and the resulting values were summed across all SNPs included in each subtype-specific PRS. Subtype-specific PRSs were then categorised into tertiles using the PRS distribution among controls for each subtype. We note that numbers of controls vary for each NHL subtype due to the different numbers of participants with complete data for each of the SNPs required to construct the given PRS.

NHL Classification

NHL subtypes were grouped as per InterLymph Pathology Working Group guidelines (29–31). Results are presented for two NHL subtypes for which associations with several autoimmune conditions have been consistently reported: DLBCL and MZL. We also included FL because we previously observed an excess risk of FL among those with a history of Sjogren syndrome (32) and an association with autoimmune conditions among those with a variant rs1800629 allele (3). Our analytic dataset included 1914 DLBCLs, 1733 FLs, and 407 MZLs.

Statistical Methods

Independent Associations. We first confirmed associations between autoimmune conditions and NHL subtypes in our subset of eligible cases and controls. Unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age as a continuous variable, sex, and geographical region/study center (Supplemental Table 2), were used to calculate ORs and 95% confidence intervals (CI) as estimates of NHL subtype risk. ORs and 95% CIs for each NHL subtype were similarly calculated for each subtype-specific PRS, comparing tertiles (T) 2 and T3 to T1. We calculated *P*-trend using the Wilcoxon Rank Sum test across the ordered categories to measure whether magnitudes of risk across PRS tertiles T2 and T3 yielded a significant trend. For both independent models (e.g., models examining only autoimmune conditions or PRS), we adjusted for age. Potential confounders, such as socioeconomic status, smoking status and family history of hematologic malignancies did not change risk estimates >10% and were thus not retained in any of the models. Heterogeneity was evaluated using χ^2 tests

of interaction between the studies and variables of interest; as there was no strong evidence of heterogeneity by study or any other variable of interest, all data were examined in a logistic regression model (by subtype).

Stratified Associations. We tested for associations between PRS and autoimmune conditions on an additive scale for each subtype (DLBCL, FL, MZL) by calculating ORs and 95% CIs relative to those without autoimmune conditions and a PRS in T1 as the common referent group (Table 1–3). We calculated *P*-trends (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test) across ordered categories to test for trends. Potential interaction on the multiplicative scale was assessed by stratifying on PRS tertile within each category of autoimmune condition. The *P*-value for interaction was estimated using the Wald test for homogeneity of the associations of autoimmune conditions with NHL subtype risk by PRS tertile. Parallel analyses by individual SNPs were also conducted (Supplemental Tables 4–6).

All analyses were conducted using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina). All tests were two-sided and *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. For p-interactions<0.05, Bonferoni adjustment was applied to account for multiple comparisons.

RESULTS

NHL cases and controls representing 14 participating InterLymph Consortium studies in North America, Europe and Australia were included, comprising 1914 DLBCL (5257 controls), 1733 FL (5338 controls) and 407 MZL (2883 controls) (Supplemental Table 1). As noted, controls differed by subtype due to differences in the available SNP data to construct the corresponding PRS across participants. Comparisons with our previous publication showed similar distributions of sex, socioeconomic status and smoking; in addition to being restricted to Caucasian race, the present population was slightly older (Supplemental Table 2).

DLBCL.

The highest magnitude of (non-genetic) DLBCL risk was observed for individuals with B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions (OR=2.90, 95% CI=1.94–4.35) or autoimmune conditions that affected multiple organs (OR=2.24, 95% CI=1.50–3.33). We also observed an increased DLBCL risk with increasing tertile of DLBCL PRS (vs T1, T2: OR=1.24, 95% CI=1.08–1.43; T3: OR=1.81, 95% CI=1.59–2.07) (Table 1). DLBCL risk among those with no B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions increased in a dose-dependent manner for each subsequent level of PRS (*P*-trend<0.0001). Among those with B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions, ORs were further elevated, with the highest magnitude of DLBCL risk among those with a PRS in T3 (OR=6.46, 95% CI=3.04–13.7), compared to the referent group. A similar pattern of elevated DLBCL risk was observed for autoimmune conditions affecting multiple organs and increasing PRS, though we note that B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions comprise ~80% of autoimmune conditions affecting multiple organs (Table 1). There was no statistically significant evidence for interaction with the PRS (Table 1) or in any analyses stratified by the individual SNPs (Supplemental Table 4).

FL.

No association with any category of autoimmune conditions was observed. However, the PRS for FL demonstrated an increasing trend in risk with each PRS tertile (vs T1, T2: OR= 2.38, 95% CI=2.03–2.80; T3 OR=4.89, 95% CI=4.18–5.73; *P*-trend, <0.0001) (Table 2). Evaluation of stratified associations showed that the increasing FL risk by PRS did not differ by autoimmune condition category. Evaluation of autoimmune associations by individual loci yielded a significant p-interaction for rs115374828, whereby associations between autoimmune conditions and FL risk were observed and significant only among those with the CC genotype (Supplemental Table 5). However, we note the p-interaction was not statistically significant after adjustment for multiple comparisons.

MZL.

MZL risk was significantly elevated specifically among those reporting B-cell mediated autoimmune conditions (OR=5.88, 95% CI=3.55–9.74) and conditions that affect multiple organ systems (OR=4.96, 95% CI=3.04–8.12) (Table 3). We observed no association with increasing tertile of the MZL PRS. Evaluation of autoimmune conditions by PRS yielded statistically significant risk increases for B-cell mediated conditions and conditions affecting multiple organ systems, regardless of PRS tertile. Further evaluation of associations for autoimmune conditions by individual SNPs did not yield evidence of individual SNP-level interaction (Supplemental Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In this analysis, we observed DLBCL risk to be positively associated with increasing PRS tertiles among those with a history of B-cell related autoimmune conditions. We did not observe significant joint associations between individual SNPs and autoimmune conditions for increased DLBCL, FL, or MZL risk. Although increased FL risk related to autoimmune conditions appeared restricted to one locus (rs115374828), the p-interaction was not statistically significant. For MZL, the lack of joint association between the PRS and history of autoimmune conditions was not entirely surprising given the lack of association between the PRS and MZL risk in our population.

As a reflection of the currently established genetic risk, the PRS provides improved statistical power for evaluating joint associations. This power is evident with the significant increase in the magnitudes of risk for each PRS tertile for DLBCL and FL. The suggestive joint association between DLBCL PRS and autoimmune conditions supports the hypothesis that susceptibility loci identified to date independently contribute to DLBCL susceptibility in an additive manner to having autoimmune conditions. It remains to be determined whether their associations are within shared biological pathways, but the additive effects at a minimum suggest that their associations are not overlapping. This is supported by the curious observation that while HLA associations have been reported for both DLBCL and various autoimmune conditions, these associated loci remain distinct for both outcomes. Even each of the purported loci comprising the DLBCL PRS appear to function independently from one another at the moment. Briefly, the susceptibility locus at 6p25.3 (rs116446171) maps near *EXOC2* (exocyst complex component 2) which functions at the

interface between host defense and cell death regulation and interacts with Ral proteins, which play a crucial role in the maintenance of epithelial cell polarity, cell motility and cytokinesis, and in proliferation and metastasis (4). The two 8q24.21 variants, rs13255292 and rs4736601, are in close proximity to *PVT1*, a non-coding RNA implicated in MYC (oncogene) activation. The susceptibility locus at 2p23.3 (rs79480871) maps near *NCOA1*, nuclear receptor coactivator 1 and *ITSN2*, intersectin 2. *NCOA1* acts as a transcriptional coactivator for steroid and nuclear hormone receptors and *ITSN2* encodes a protein involved in clathrin-mediated endocytosis (4). The *HLA-B* SNP rs2523607 (*HLA-B*08:01*) plays a central role in presenting intracellularly processed self or foreign antigens to $CD8^+$ cytotoxic T lymphocytes and is carried by the ancestral 8.1 haplotype which has been associated with other autoimmune conditions, such as type I diabetes. The additive effects between autoimmune conditions and the DLBCL PRS thus appears statistical in nature; larger sample sizes would be required to determine whether biological or multiplicative interaction with specific loci could pinpoint biological pathways of interest and high susceptibility.

Though confirmation is required, the association between rs115374828 and autoimmune conditions and FL is worth noting; rs115374828 reflects *HLA DRB1* loci, which has also been implicated in various autoimmune conditions (33, 34), providing plausible biological rationale for a joint association. Although we broadly categorized autoimmune conditions by their purported biological pathways to enhance power, a similar task cannot yet be taken with susceptibility loci, as the culpable loci and their functions remain largely speculative. This is consistent with a recent analysis of InterLymph Conosrtium data by Din and colleagues (35) which showed that the PRS of each of three autoimmune conditions that most consistently demonstrate an association with NHL risk (RA, SLE, MS) was not associated with risk of any major NHL subtype, and that PRS of NHL subtypes were, likewise, not associated with these three autoimmune conditions. With little overall overlap evident among the respective lists of GWAS-identified susceptibility loci, identifying novel ways to pinpoint common but specific biologic processes (e.g., chronic inflammation) may reveal critical interactions and show a causative cascade effect (35). This notion is consistent with the recent report by Ben Eli (2019) that found family history of lymphoma to be associated with NHL, family history of autoimmune conditions to be associated with autoimmune conditions, but family history of autoimmune conditions not to be associated with NHL and vice versa (36)

Study limitations include the use of self-reported autoimmune conditions (although most studies queried for personal history of physician-diagnosed conditions (3)), the low prevalence of autoimmune conditions, and lack of data on severity or treatment; it is possible that true interactions with individual conditions might exist (e.g., for Sjogren's syndrome and MZL), for which we lack the power to investigate. Another limitation is the target population in which our study was conducted, as the eligible studies were generally conducted in geographical areas with small minority populations. Our evaluation of PRS for MZL was limited, with only two confirmed SNPs to date and a small sample size. In addition, there is also clinical and biological heterogeneity within MZL that has not yet been evaluated in the context of GWAS. This is also applicable to DLBCL where tumor molecular subtypes such as cell of origin (37) or double hit status (38) used to subclassify DLBCL cases into clinically meaningful categories was not available.

Ongoing efforts include the identification of additional genetic susceptibility loci for NHL subtypes through an expanded GWAS with additional studies. Despite the large sample size compiled from our present consortial collaboration and the strength of our biologically-based groupings of autoimmune conditions to enhance power, even larger sample sizes are needed to confirm these results and to power further exploration of specific autoimmune conditions with specific genetic loci. Moreover, additional loci from these analyses will refine the PRS and may prove instrumental for identifying common pathways of interest that contribute to disease risk. Clinically, leveraging a refined PRS coupled with established risk factors (e.g., autoimmune conditions) may also prove relevant for identifying those with autoimmune conditions who are at highest risk for developing DLBCL based on their genetic profile. It is not implausible to envision enhanced screening among targeted populations, such as those with specific autoimmune conditions known to be at highest risk for DLBCL so that early diagnosis may improve subsequent prognosis. Future efforts to expand the evaluation of NHL risk factors to include potential similar immune responses (e.g., infections) may also be warranted.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

FUNDING ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R03 CA179558 (S. S. Wang, Principal Investigator (PI), City of Hope).

British Columbia Study: National Cancer Institute of Canada, Canadian Cancer Society; the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), and the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research (British Columbia study; P. Bhatti, A. Brooks-Wilson, PI: J. Spinelli).

ENGELA: Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC), Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Fondation de France, Fondation contre la Leucémie, Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail (ANSES). (A. Monnereau, M. Maynadie, J. Clavel)

EpiLymph – European Commission (grant references QLK4-CT-2000-00422 and FOOD-CT-2006-023103); This work was partially supported by the Institut de Salud Carlos III-ISCIII (Spanish Government) co-funded by FEDER funds / European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) – a way to build Europe (CIBERESP CB06/02/0073, PI17/01280, PI20/00288), AGENCIA DE GESTIO D'AJUTS UNIVERSITARIS I DE RECERCA (2017SGR1085), European Commission (grant references 051210) and had no role in the data collection, analysis or interpretation of the results; the NIH (contract NO1-CO-12400); the Compagnia di San Paolo—Programma Oncologia; the Federal Office for Radiation Protection grants StSch4261 and StSch4420, the José Carreras Leukemia Foundation grant DJCLS-R12/23, the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF-01-EO-1303); the Health Research Board, Ireland and Cancer Research Ireland; Czech Republic supported by MH CZ – DRO (MMCI, 00209805) and MEYS – NPS I – LO1413; Fondation de France and Association de Recherche Contre le Cancer (France: M. Maynadie, A. Monnereau, Germany: A. Nieters; Spain: Benavente, Casabonne; Czech Republic: L. Foretova; Italy: P. Boffetta).

Mayo Clinic Case-Control Study: National Institutes of Health (R01 CA92153; R01 CA200703); National Cancer Institute (P30 CA015083) (J. Cerhan, S. Slager).

National Cancer Institute–Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results study: Intramural Research Program of the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, and Public Health Service (N01-PC-65064, N01-PC-67008, N01-PC-67009, N01-PC-67010, N02-PC-71105) (P. Hartge, N. Rothman, S. Chanock).

New South Wales study: the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (ID990920), the Cancer Council NSW, and the University of Sydney Foundation Program. (C. Vadjic, J. Turner, A. Kricker)

SCALE: Swedish Cancer Society (2009/659). Stockholm County Council (20110209) and the Strategic Research Program in Epidemiology at Karolinska Institutet. Swedish Cancer Society grant (02 6661). National Institutes of Health (5R01 CA69669–02); Plan Denmark. (K. Ekstrom-Smedby, H. Hjalgrim)

UCSF1 and UCSF2: The UCSF studies were supported by the NCI, National Institutes of Health, CA45614, CA89745, CA87014, CA1046282 and CA154643. The collection of cancer incidence data used in this study was supported by the California Department of Health Services as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program under contract HHSN261201000140C awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California. (E. Holly, P. Bracci, C. Skibola, M. Smith)

United Kingdom study: Blood Cancer UK (E. Kane, E. Roman)

Yale University Study: National Cancer Institute (CA62006 and CA165923) (T. Zheng, Y. Zhang)

REFERENCES

1. Ekstrom Smedby K, Vajdic CM, Falster M, Engels EA, Martinez-Maza O, Turner J, et al. Autoimmune disorders and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtypes: a pooled analysis within the InterLymph Consortium. Blood 2008;111(8):4029–38. [PubMed: 18263783]
2. Morton LM, Slager SL, Cerhan JR, Wang SS, Vadjdic CM, Skiboa CF, et al. Etiologic heterogeneity among non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtypes: the InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014(48):130–144. [PubMed: 25174034]
3. Wang SS, Vajdic CM, Linet MS, Slager SL, Voutsinas J, Nieters A, et al. Associations of non-Hodgkin Lymphoma (NHL) risk with autoimmune conditions according to putative NHL loci. Am J Epidemiol. 2015;181(6):406–421. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu290 [PubMed: 25713336]
4. Cerhan JR, Kricker A, Paltiel O, Flowers CR, Wang SS, Monnereau A, et al. Medical history, lifestyle, family history, and occupational risk factors for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: the InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014(48):15–25. [PubMed: 25174023]
5. Bracci PM, Benavente Y, Turner JJ, Paltiel O, Slager SS, Vajdic CM, et al. Medical history, lifestyle, family history, and occupational risk factors for marginal zone lymphoma: the InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014(48):52–65. [PubMed: 25174026]
6. Cerhan JR, Berndt SI, Vijai J, Ghesquieres H, McKay J, Wang SS, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Nat Genet. 2014;46(11):1233–1238. [PubMed: 25261932]
7. Skibola CF, Berndt SI, Vijai J, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies five susceptibility loci for follicular lymphoma outside the HLA region. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;95(4):462–471. [PubMed: 25279986]
8. Vijai J, Wang Z, Berndt SI, Skibola CF, Slager SL, et al. A genome-wide association study of marginal zone lymphoma shows association to the HLA region. Nat Commun. 2015;6:5751. [PubMed: 25569183]
9. Berndt SI, Camp NJ, Skibola CF, Vijai J, Wang Z, Gu J, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies discovers multiple loci for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10933. [PubMed: 26956414]
10. Berndt SI, Skibola CF, Joseph V, Camp NJ, Nieters A, Wang Z, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple risk loci for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2013;45(8):868–876. [PubMed: 23770605]
11. Thorball CW, Oudot-Mellakh T, Ehsan N, Hammer C, Santoni FA, Niay J, et al. Genetic variation near CXCL12 is associated with susceptibility to HIV-related non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Haematologica. 2021;106(8):2233–2241. [PubMed: 32675224]
12. Smedby KE, Hjalgrim H, Askling J, Chang CT, Gregersen H, Porwit-MacDonald A, et al. Autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma by subtype. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006;98(1):51–60. [PubMed: 16391371]

13. Vineis P, Crosignani P, Sacerdote C, Fontana A, Masala G, Miligi L, et al. Haematopoietic cancer and medical history: a multicentre case control study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2000;54(6):431–436. [PubMed: 10818118]
14. Holly EA, Bracci PM. Population-based study of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, histology, and medical history among human immunodeficiency virus-negative participants in San Francisco. Am J Epidemiol. 2003;158(4):316–327. [PubMed: 12915497]
15. Engels EA, Cerhan JR, Linet MS, Cozen W, Colt JS, Davis S, et al. Immune-related conditions and immune-modulating medications as risk factors for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a case-control study. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162(12):1153–1161. [PubMed: 16251389]
16. Spinelli JJ, Ng CH, Weber JP, Connors JM, Gascoyne RD, Lai AS, et al. Organochlorines and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Int J Cancer. 2007;121(12):2767–2775. [PubMed: 17722095]
17. Cerhan JR, Fredericksen ZS, Wang AH, Habermann TM, Kay NE, Macon WR, et al. Design and validity of a clinic-based case-control study on the molecular epidemiology of lymphoma. Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet. 2011;2(2):95–113. [PubMed: 21686124]
18. Chatterjee N, Hartge P, Cerhan JR, Cozen W, Davis S, Ishibe N, et al. Risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and family history of lymphatic, hematologic, and other cancers. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2004;13(9):1415–1421. [PubMed: 15342441]
19. Bracci PM, Dalvi TB, Holly EA. Residential history, family characteristics and non-Hodgkin lymphoma, a population-based case-control study in the San Francisco Bay Area. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2006;15(7):1287–1294. [PubMed: 16835325]
20. Hughes AM, Armstrong BK, Vajdic CM, Turner J, Grulich AE, Fritschi L, et al. Sun exposure may protect against non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a case-control study. Int J Cancer. 2004;112(5):865–871. [PubMed: 15386383]
21. Becker N, Deeg E, Rüdiger T, Nieters A. Medical history and risk for lymphoma: results of a population-based case-control study in Germany. Eur J Cancer. 2005;41(1):133–142. [PubMed: 15617998]
22. Zhang Y, Holford TR, Leaderer B, Zahm SH, Boyle P, Morton LM, et al. Prior medical conditions and medication use and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in Connecticut United States women. Cancer Causes Control. 2004;15(4):419–428. [PubMed: 15141141]
23. Tavani A, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Serraino D, Carbone A. Medical history and risk of Hodgkin's and non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2000;9(1):59–64. [PubMed: 10777011]
24. Skibola CF, Holly CA, Forrest MS, Hubbard A, Bracci pM, Skibola DR, Hegedus C, Smith MT. Body Mass Index, Leptin and Leptin Receptor Polymorphisms, and Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev May 1 2004 (13) (5) 779–786; [PubMed: 15159310]
25. Villeneuve S, Orsi L, Monnereau A, Berthou C, Fenaux P, Marit G, et al. Increased frequency of hematopoietic malignancies in relatives of patients with lymphoid neoplasms: a French case-control study. Int J Cancer. 2009;124(5):1188–1195. doi:10.1002/ijc.24026 [PubMed: 19058175]
26. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000;155:945–59 [PubMed: 10835412]
27. Khankhanian P, Gourraud PA, Caillier SJ, Santaniello A, Haser SL, Baranzini SE, et al. Genetic variation in the odorant receptors family 13 and the mhc loci influence mate selection in a multiple sclerosis dataset. BMC Genomics. 2010;11:626. [PubMed: 21067613]
28. Pharoah P, Antoniou A, Easton DF, Ponder A. Polygenes, risk prediction, and targeted prevention of breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 358:2796–2803 [PubMed: 18579814]
29. Turner JJ, Morton LM, Linet MS, Clarke CA, Kadin ME, Vajdic CM, et al. InterLymph hierarchical classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research based on the WHO classification (2008): update and future directions. Blood 2010;116(20):e90–8. [PubMed: 20699439]
30. Morton LM, Turner JJ, Cerhan JR, Linet MS, Treseler PA, Clarke CA, et al. Proposed classification of lymphoid neoplasms for epidemiologic research from the Pathology Working Group of the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (InterLymph). Blood 2007;110(2):695–708. [PubMed: 17389762]

31. Jaffe E, Harris N, Stein H, Vardiman JW. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissues. Lyon: IARC Press, 2001.
32. Linet MS, Vajdic CM, Morton LM, de Roos AJ, Skibola CF, Boffetta P, et al. Medical history, lifestyle, family history, and occupational risk factors for follicular lymphoma: the InterLymph Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes Project. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014(48):26–40. [PubMed: 25174024]
33. De Silvestri A, Capittini C, Mallucci G, Bergamaschi R, Rebuffi C, Pasi A, et al. The Involvement of HLA Class II Alleles in Multiple Sclerosis: A Systematic Review with Meta-analysis. Dis Markers. 2019;2019:1409069. [PubMed: 31781296]
34. Silman AJ, Pearson JE. Epidemiology and genetics of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res. 2002;4 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):S265–S272. [PubMed: 12110146]
35. Din L, Sheikh M, Kosaraju N, Ekstrom Smedby K, Bernatsky S, Berndt SI, et al. Genetic overlap between autoimmune diseases and non-Hodgkin lymphoma subtypes. Genet Epidemiol. 2019;43(7):844–863. [PubMed: 31407831]
36. Ben Eli H, Aframian DJ, Ben-Chetrit E, Mevorch D, Kleinstern G, Paltiel O Solomon A. Shared medical and environmental risk factors in dry eye syndrome, sjogren's syndrome, and B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a case-control study. J Immunol Res. 2019;9060842. [PubMed: 30805374]
37. Chapuy B, Stewart C, Dunford AJ, Kim J, Kamburov A, Redd RA, et al. Molecular sbutypes of diffuse large B cell lymphoma are associated with distinct pathogenic mechanisms and outcomes. Nat Med. 2018; 24(5):679–690. [PubMed: 29713087]
38. Rosenthal A, Younes A. High grade B-cell lymphoma with rearrangements of MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6: double hit and triple hit lymphomas and double expressing lymphoma. Blood Rev. 2017;31(2):37–42.

Table 1.

Main and stratified associations for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) risk by autoimmune condition and DLBCL polygenetic risk score (PRS) in participating InterLymph Consortium studies. See Supplemental Table 3 for definition of autoimmune condition categories.

Autoimmune Conditions	DLBCL PRS	Controls	DLBCL	OR	95% CI	p-interaction*
None		5064	1808	1.00	Ref	
Any		193	106	**1.42**	**(1.10–1.83)**	NA
No B-cell conditions		5046	1796	1.00	Ref	
B-cell conditions		53	50	**2.90**	**(1.94–4.35)**	NA
No multiple organs		5053	1797	1.00	Ref	
Multiple organs		64	46	**2.24**	**(1.50–3.33)**	NA
None/Any	Tertile 1	1965	549	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1717	578	**1.24**	**1.08–1.43**	
	Tertile 3	1575	787	**1.81**	**1.59–2.07**	NA
None	Tertile 1	1888	513	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1656	550	**1.25**	**1.09–1.44**	
	Tertile 3	1520	745	**1.82**	**1.59–2.08**	
Any	Tertile 1	77	36	1.52	0.99–2.33	
	Tertile 2	61	28	**1.73**	**1.07–2.79**	p-interaction = 0.82
	Tertile 3	55	42	**2.65**	**1.71–4.10**	
No B-cell	Tertile 1	1881	512	1.00	Ref	
Conditions	Tertile 2	1647	545	**1.24**	**1.08–1.43**	
	Tertile 3	1518	739	**1.80**	**1.57–2.07**	
B-cell	Tertile 1	22	18	**2.94**	**1.52–5.70**	
Conditions	Tertile 2	18	14	**3.27**	**1.56–6.84**	p-interaction = 0.49
	Tertile 3	13	18	**6.46**	**3.04–13.7**	
No multiple organs	Tertile 1	1885	512	1.00	ref	
	Tertile 2	1650	544	**1.24**	**1.08–1.43**	
	Tertile 3	1518	741	**1.81**	**1.58–2.07**	
Multiple organs	Tertile 1	31	17	**2.18**	**1.16–4.11**	
	Tertile 2	19	12	**2.54**	**1.17–5.48**	p-interaction = 0.34
	Tertile 3	14	17	**5.92**	**2.80–12.5**	

*Wald test for homogeneity

OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval

Table 2.

Main and stratified association for follicular lymphoma (FL) risk by autoimmune condition and FL polygenetic risk score (PRS) in participating InterLymph Consortium studies.

Autoimmune Conditions	FL PRS	Controls	FL	OR	95% CI	p-interaction*
None		5142	1666	1.00	Ref	
Any		196	67	0.91	(0.67–1.23)	NA
No B-cell conditions		5123	1660	1.00	Ref	
B-cell conditions		51	19	1.12	(0.65–1.93)	NA
No multiple organs		5131	1661	1.00	Ref	
Multiple organs		65	18	1.10	(0.66–1.82)	NA
None/Any	Tertile 1	2367	298	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1680	549	**2.38**	**2.03–2.80**	
	Tertile 3	1127	832	**4.89**	**4.18–5.73**	**NA**
None	Tertile 1	2352	292	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1675	543	**2.37**	**2.01–2.79**	
	Tertile 3	1115	829	**4.99**	**4.24–5.86**	
Any	Tertile 1	87	12	1.01	1.68–4.84	
	Tertile 2	**55**	**24**	**2.85**	**1.97–5.22**	p-interaction
	Tertile 3	**54**	**31**	**3.21**	**1.07–1.37**	= 0.30
No B-cell	Tertile 1	2345	292	1.00	Ref	
conditions	Tertile 2	1666	543	**2.37**	**2.01–2.79**	
	Tertile 3	1112	825	**4.98**	**4.23–5.85**	
B-cell	Tertile 1	22	6	1.96	0.77–5.02	
conditions	Tertile 2	14	6	**3.61**	**1.33–9.76**	p-interaction
	Tertile 3	15	7	**2.92**	**1.15–7.39**	= 0.10
No multiple organs	Tertile 1	2346	292	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1672	543	**2.36**	**2.01–2.78**	
	Tertile 3	1113	826	**4.98**	**4.24–5.86**	
Multiple organs	Tertile 1	30	8	2.02	0.89–4.58	
	Tertile 2	18	10	**4.15**	**1.79–9.61**	p-interaction
	Tertile 3	17	5	2.11	0.75–5.94	= 0.20
No organ-specific conditions	Tertile 1	2349	291	1.00	Ref	
	Tertile 2	1668	545	**2.39**	**2.03–2.81**	
	Tertile 3	1113	825	**4.99**	**4.24–5.97**	
Organ-specific conditions	Tertile 1	59	6	0.73	0.31–1.72	
	Tertile 2	38	15	**2.44**	**1.28–4.66**	p-interaction
	Tertile 3	38	26	**3.57**	**2.07–6.17**	= 0.78

*Wald test for homogeneity

OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval

Table 3.

Main and stratified associations for marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) risk by autoimmune condition and MZL polygenic risk score (PRS) in participating InterLymph Consortium studies.

Autoimmune Conditions	MZL PRS	Controls	MZL	OR	95% CI	p-interaction*
None		2774	376	1.00	ref	
Any		109	31	**1.97**	**(1.33–2.94)**	NA
No B-cell conditions		2764	373	1.00	ref	
B-cell conditions		28	24	**5.88**	**(3.55–9.74)**	NA
No multiple organs		2770	374	1.00	ref	
Multiple organs		33	24	**4.96**	**(3.04–8.12)**	NA
None/Any	Tertile 1	2123	294	1.00	ref	
	Tertile 2	586	86	1.10	0.84–1.43	
	Tertile 3	174	27	1.09	0.71–1.68	NA
None	Tertile 1	2046	272	1.00	ref	
	Tertile 2	567	80	1.10	0.83–1.44	
	Tertile 3	161	24	1.10	0.70–1.74	
Any	Tertile 1	77	22	**2.13**	**1.28–3.55**	
	Tertile 2	19	6	**2.64**	**1.02–6.83**	p-interaction = 0.58
	Tertile 3	13	3	1.42	0.39–5.10	
No B-cell	Tertile 1	2037	272	1.00	ref	
Conditions	Tertile 2	566	78	1.06	0.81–1.40	
	Tertile 3	161	23	1.48	0.66–1.67	
B-cell	Tertile 1	16	17	**7.95**	**3.79–16.7**	
conditions	Tertile 2	7	5	**6.07**	**1.80–20.5**	p-interaction = 0.21
	Tertile 3	5	2	2.59	0.48–13.9	
No multiple organs	Tertile 1	2042	272	1.00	ref	
	Tertile 2	567	79	1.08	0.82–1.42	
	Tertile 3	161	23	1.05	0.66–1.67	
Multiple organs	Tertile 1	19	18	**7.43**	**3.74–14.8**	
	Tertile 2	9	4	**4.90**	**1.44–16.7**	p-interaction =0.27
	Tertile 3	5	2	3.24	0.60–17.5	
No organ-specific conditions	Tertile 1	2040	268	1.00	ref	
	Tertile 2	566	77	1.06	0.80–1.40	
	Tertile 3	161	24	1.11	0.70–1.75	
Organ-specific conditions	Tertile 1	60	5	0.59	0.23–1.51	
	Tertile 2	11	2	1.26	0.27–5.88	p-interaction =0.80
	Tertile 3	8	1	0.65	0.08–5.27	

*Wald test for homogeneity