

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2010 August 17.

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosci. 2010 February 17; 30(7): 2504–2512. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3262-09.2010.

## A G-protein Coupled Neuropeptide Y-like Receptor Suppresses Behavioral and Sensory Response to Multiple Stressful Stimuli in *Drosophila*

## Jie Xu<sup>1</sup>, Mo Li<sup>1</sup>, and Ping Shen<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Cellular Biology and Biomedical and Health Sciences Institute, University of Georgia, 500 D. W. Brooks Drive, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA.

## Abstract

Recent studies suggest that human NPY plays a prominent role in management of stress response and emotion, and higher NPY levels observed in combat-exposed veterans may help coping with post-traumatic stress. Neuropeptide F (NPF), the counterpart of human neuropeptide Y (NPY) in *D. melanogaster*, also displays parallel activities including promotion of resilience to diverse stressors and prevention of uncontrolled aggressive behavior. However, it remains unclear how NPY family peptides modulate physical and emotional responses to various stressors. Here we show that NPFR1, a G-protein coupled NPF receptor, exerts an inhibitory effect on larval aversion to diverse stressful stimuli mediated by different subtypes of fly and mammalian TRP family channels. Imaging analysis in larval sensory neurons and cultured human cells showed that NPFR1 attenuates Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx mediated by fly TRPA and rat TRPV1 channels. Our findings suggest that suppression of TRP channel-mediated neural excitation by the conserved NPF/NPFR1 system may be a major mechanism for attaining its broad anti-stress function.

## Keywords

neuropeptide F; G-protein coupled receptor; TRP channels; stress response; nociception; Drosophila

NPY family peptides, which are widely conserved among metazoans, have been implicated in stress and pain response (Bannon et al., 2000; Thorsell et al., 2000; Thorsell and Heilig, 2002; Wu et al., 2005b; Wu et al., 2005a). Recent studies suggest that individuals with haplotypes of low neuropeptide Y (NPY) expression levels are more prone to develop pain and stress disorders (Zhou et al., 2008)). NPF is an abundant signaling peptide in the brain of *D. melanogaster* feeding larvae. The NPF system appears to be a central regulator of stress response (Wu et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2005b; Wu et al., 2005a). In food-deprived larvae, NPF signaling is responsible for resistance to diverse stressors, enabling animals to engage in hunger-driven behaviors such as risk-prone food acquisition and motivated procurement of hard food media. Moreover, increased NPF signaling in fed animals selectively elicits stress-

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

#### COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence should be addressed to P.S. (pshen@cellmate.cb.uga.edu).  $^{1}\!$  Co-first authors

J.X. designed and carried out the behavioral assays and *in vivo* calcium imaging experiments. M.L. designed and carried out the *in vitro* and *in vivo* calcium imaging experiments, and performed behavioral assays and immunostaining. J.X. and M.L. contributed to writing the manuscript. P.S. supervised the project and wrote the manuscript.

resistant seeking behaviors but not food ingestion *per se*, suggesting that the NPF pathway promotes foraging motivation in hungry animals through an uncharacterized anti-stress mechanism.

The transient receptor potential (TRP) family cation channels are evolutionarily conserved sensors of diverse stressful stimuli (Montell et al., 2002; Moran et al., 2004; Montell, 2005; Ramsey et al., 2006). Mammalian TRPV1 is a polymodal channel protein that responds to noxious heat, protons and capsaicin (Caterina et al., 1997; Caterina and Julius, 2001). TRPV1 activity is regulated by multiple signaling pathways. For example, endogenous pain suppressors such as opioid peptides and endocannabinoids attenuate TRPV1-mediated external noxious stimulation through their G-protein coupled receptors expressed in peripheral nociceptors (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002; Diaz-Laviada and Ruiz-Llorente, 2005; Endres-Becker et al., 2007). On the other hand, TRPV1 activity can also be sensitized by diverse signaling molecules and kinase-mediated pathways, many of which are responsible for inflammatory and neuropathic pain (Hucho et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2007; Mostany et al., 2008).

*Drosophila* TRPA channel protein PAINLESS (PAIN) is required for fly aversive response to thermal, mechanical and chemical stressors (Tracey et al., 2003; Al-Anzi et al., 2006). PAIN also plays a developmental role in the behavioral switch of postfeeding larvae from sugar attraction to aversion. The PAIN-mediated sugar aversion drives postfeeding larvae out of the aquatic feeding habitat to food-free sites (e.g., from fallen overripe fruits to soil underneath), thereby preventing immobile pupae from microbial killing and drowning in liquid food (Chiang, 1950; Ashburner, 1989). However, the PAIN-mediated neuronal pathway for sugar avoidance must be suppressed in younger feeding larvae that live mostly inside sugar-rich food proper. We have shown that fly NPY-like brain peptide NPF suppresses PAIN-mediated sugar aversion throughout early larval development; Loss of NPF signaling in feeding larvae is sufficient to trigger precocious sugar-averse behaviors (Wu et al., 2003). In this work, we use the Drosophila larva as a model to investigate the activities of NPF and its G-protein coupled receptor (NPFR1) in suppression of TRP channel activities and associated behaviors. Our findings suggest that the conserved NPF signaling system is capable of suppressing TRP channel-mediated responses to diverse stressful stimuli.

## Materials and Methods

#### Flies, media and larval growth

Conditions for rearing adult flies and egg collection were described previously (Shen and Cai, 2001; Wen et al., 2005). The larvae were raised at 25 °C with exposure to natural lighting. Synchronized eggs were collected within a 2 h interval, and late second instars were transferred to a fresh apple-juice plate with yeast paste (< 80 larvae per plate). The *pain<sup>gal4</sup>*, UAS-*TRPV1*, UAS-*npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>*, UAS-*npfr1<sup>dsRNA</sup>*, UAS-*npf*, UAS-G-CaMP, UAS-*PKAi* and UAS-*PKAc* lines are in the *w* background (Kiger et al., 1999; Tracey et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Wen et al., 2005; Marella et al., 2006; Gordon and Scott, 2009).

#### **Behavioral assays**

The migration assay on soft agar media was performed as described previously (Xu et al., 2008). Twenty-five postfeeding larvae (96h AEL) in one plate were allowed to move freely on the medium, and those that crawled onto the plastic surface became less mobile, and eventually formed pupae there. The percentage of pupae on agar media was scored after 24 hours. The clumping assay was also described before (Wu et al., 2003). Briefly, 45 mm petri dishes containing solid fructose agar (3% agar in a 10% fructose solution) were coated with a thin layer of yeast paste (0.5 g yeast powder in 10% fructose solution). Thirty larvae per plate

were allowed to browse for 30 min, and those in clumps were scored immediately. The social burrowing assay was performed on solid agar media containing apple juice or 10% fructose, as described previously (Wu et al., 2003). All assays, unless stated otherwise, were performed at room temperature in the dark. At least three separate trials were performed per assay.

The thermonociception assay was performed according to previously published procedure with modifications (Tracey et al., 2003). The temperature of the electric heating probe was set at 40 °C using a variable transformer (Model 72–110, Tenma), and monitored by a digital thermometer (Model 52 II, Fluke). At least 150 larvae (96h AEL) were individually tested for each line.

The two-choice preference test was based on a published procedure with some modifications (Al-Anzi et al., 2006). 2-day-old males withheld from food for 24 hr were presented with a 48-well plate containing two-colored food media in the alternating well rows. They contain 1% agar/10% fructose with 0.4 mM benzyl-isothiocyanate (BITC) in 75% ethanol or ethanol only. In each assay, 90–100 flies per line were tested in the dark. A preference index was defined as the fraction of larvae choosing the BITC medium, minus the fraction of larvae choosing the BITC-free medium. A preference index close to +1 indicates that the larvae are attracted to BITC, whereas -1 indicates strong rejection. At least three trials were done for each line.

### Immunohistochemistry

Larval epidermis was filleted from the dorsal side. Tissues were fixed for 35 min with 4% paraformaldehyde, washed in PBS with 0.3% TritonX-100. Tissues were blocked in 5% normal donkey serum and then incubated overnight at 4°C with affinity-purified rabbit anti-NPFR1 antibodies (1:100) and mouse anti-GFP antibodies (1:1000). The NPFR1 peptide antibodies were raised and purified using two peptide antigens (CMTGHHEGGLRSAIT and SSNSVRYLDDRHPLC). Alexa 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and Alexa 568-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibodies were diluted 1:2,000. Following secondary antibody incubation, tissues were dehydrated in ethanol series and 100% xylene before mounting. At least 16 epidermal tissues were examined for each genotype.

#### In vivo calcium imaging

Detailed procedures for calcium imaging of sensory neurons using YC2.1 and SOARS (Statistical Optimization for the Analysis of Ratiometric Signals) analysis were described previously (Xu et al., 2008). The SOARS method extracts the anti-correlated change of Fluo-4 and Fura-Red signals in a cell (represented by a cluster of ~100 pixels) that respond to stimulations in a common dynamic pattern. At least 6 epidermal tissues were imaged for each group. To quantify the significance of anti-correlated FRET response to fructose stimulation, we calculated p-values for a periodic (sinusoidal) response at the stimulus frequency using multitaper harmonic analysis, a common method for the detection of sinusoids in noisy data (Thomson, 1982). In vitro calcium imaging experiments using G-CaMP (Gordon and Scott, 2009) were performed following the same protocols as those with YC2.1. Larva fillets were incubated in HL6-lactose solution (NPF-free or containing 1  $\mu$ M NPF) for ~40 min, followed by fructose stimulation and Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging. The tissues were washed with NPF-free HL6-lactose solution for 30 min, and imaged again using the same stimulation paradigm described above. The time series confocal images were analyzed in ImageJ. The fluorescence profile of individual neuron was generated using the ROI function in ImageJ.

#### Transfection and Calcium imaging of HEK 293 cells

HEK293 cells were maintained under standard conditions (37 °C, 5% CO2) in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin and streptomycin. pcDNA3.1D directional expression system (Invitrogen) was used to clone

and express rat TRPV1 and fly NPFR1 cDNA in HEK293 cells. TRPV1 cDNA was PCR amplified from TRPV1 (E600K) sequence (Marella et al., 2006), using the following primers: 5' CACCATGGAAC AACGGGCTAGCTTA 3' and 5' TTCTTTCTCCCCTGGGACCA TGGA 3'. NPFR1 cDNA was PCR amplified from an NPFR1 cDNA plasmid(34), using two primers: 5' CACCATGATAATCAGCATGAATCAGA3' and 5'TTACCGCGGC ATCAGCTTG GT3'. HEK293 cells were cultured on 8-well polyornithine-coated chambered coverglasses (Nunc) for 24 hrs before transfection. A suspension of 200  $\mu$ l of water containing 0.4  $\mu$ g plasmid DNA and 0.8  $\mu$ l of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) was used for transfection. The amounts of TRPV1 and NPFR1 cNDA used were 20 ng and 200 ng, respectively. pcDNA3.1 vector DNA was supplemented, when necessary, to ensure the equal amount of total DNA per transfection.

Calcium imaging was performed between 36–42 hours post-transfection at 23 °C. Cells were loaded with 1  $\mu$ M Fluo-4 and 2  $\mu$ M Fura-red (Invitrogen) for 90 min in Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS; Gibco), washed once with HBSS, and imaged using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M scope equipped with a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta laser scanning module. Dyes were excited at 488nm with argon laser. Emission fluorescence was filtered by a BP505–530 and an LP585 filter. Images were collected for 300 s upon capsaicin stimulation at 1 frame s<sup>-1</sup>, and analyzed with the SOARS analysis. The p-values were calculated using Student's t-test for changes in the fluorescence ratio of Fluo-4 over Fura-red within 5-sec intervals sampled from the 50-second recording period (starting at t=150 second). The differences between experimental and control traces are statistically significant (p < 0.026). NPF was chemically synthesized by Quality Controlled Biochemicals (Hopkinton, MA). NPF and cyclic nucleotide analogs (Sigma) were pre-incubated at 23 °C with cells for 20–25 min before adding capsaicin.

## Results

#### NPFR1 suppression of peripheral aversive stimulation

The G-protein coupled NPF receptor, NPFR1, is expressed in a subset of sugar-responsive PAIN neurons in the thoracic body, but is absent from other peripheral PAIN neurons (Xu et al., 2008). We postulate that such restricted NPFR1 expression pattern may enable the NPF/ NPFR1 system to selectively block PAIN-mediated neuronal excitation by aversive sugar in younger feeding larvae (in which brain NPF is high) without interfering the nociceptive activities of PAIN in other sensory neurons. To test this hypothesis, we first examined whether knockdown of *npfr1* activity with RNA interference could derepress sugar-elicited activation of PAIN channels and cause premature onset of sugar-averse behaviors in feeding larvae (74 h after egg laying, AEL). Indeed, we found that expression of *npfr1* double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) driven by *pain-gal4* triggered precocious onset of sugar-elicited grouping behavior normally associated with older postfeeding larvae (Fig. 1A,B;), phenocopying NPF signalingdeficient larvae (Wu et al., 2003). Second, we observed opposite behavioral phenotypes when a *npfr1* cDNA was overexpressed in postfeeding larvae (96 h AEL). In soft apple juice agar media, wild type postfeeding larvae normally migrate out the food medium (Xu et al., 2008). As expected, a majority of control larvae (e.g., UAS-npfr1cDNA alone) moved out of the medium, while about 60% of NPFR1-overexpressing larvae (pain-gal4 /UAS-npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>; Fig. S1) remained (Fig. 1C,D). Moreover, postfeeding larvae expressing NPF (pain-gal4/UASnpf; Fig. S2) also showed attenuated food aversion. Thus, increased NPF or NPFR1 activity dominantly suppresses larval food-averse migration.

When exposed to hard sugar-containing media, postfeeding larvae (96 h AEL), but not feeding larvae, rapidly swarm towards each other and form stable aggregates (Wu et al., 2003). This instinctive cooperative behavior may enable larvae to quickly burrow through sugar-containing hard media (e.g. fruit juice-stained compact surface soil) for pupation (Thomas, 1995; Alyokhin et al., 2001). We also found that *pain-gal4/*UAS-*npfr1*<sup>cDNA</sup> postfeeding larvae failed

to display aggregation and burrowing on the 10% fructose agar medium (Fig. 1*E-G*). Together, these findings suggest that the G-protein coupled NPF receptor NPFR1 negatively regulates PAIN-mediated larval food-averse behaviors.

## NPF/NPFR1 suppression of TRPA channel-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx

We also performed cellular imaging analysis to examine how NPFR1 might affect the activity of TRP family channels in sugar-responsive PAIN neurons with a Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator, yellow cameleon 2.1 (YC2.1) (Miyawaki et al., 1999). The thoracic PAIN neurons of 96h AEL postfeeding larvae were imaged using confocal laser scanning microscopy, and subsequently processed using the SOARS algorithm, which is designed to extract the anti-correlated changes between yellow and cyan fluorescence levels in response to fructose stimulation (Broder et al., 2007). We found that similar to the situation in *pain<sup>3</sup>* larvae (96h AEL), fructose failed to trigger excitation of the thoracic PAIN neurons in *pain-gal4*/UAS-*npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>* larvae (96h AEL, Fig. 2) (Xu et al., 2008). This finding suggests that NPFR1 may function as a potent inhibitor of the activities of TRP channels.

To provide functional evidence that direct activation of NPFR1 by NPF is responsible for the suppression of sugar excitation of thoracic PAIN neurons, we performed calcium imaging analysis of tissues from postfeeding larvae (96h AEL) in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M of NPF (Garczynski et al., 2002). In this set of experiments, we used another Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicator, G-CaMP, which provides more sensitive detection of changes in intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> levels (Wang et al., 2008). In the absence of NPF, sugar elicited intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> increases in multiple neurons from at least 40% clusters of NPFR1-positive PAIN neurons in the thoracic segments (Fig. 3). In contrast, larval tissues perfused with NPF displayed no such responses, which can, however, be restored by rinsing tissues with the NPF-free imaging medium. These findings suggest that upregulation of the NPF system by increasing either NPF or NPFR1 activity leads to suppression of PAIN-mediated food aversion in postfeeding larvae.

#### NPFR1 suppression of TRPA channel-mediated nociception

In *pain-gal4*/UAS-*npfr1*<sup>cDNA</sup> larvae, NPFR1 is ectopically expressed in the entire set of PAIN neurons including those that are responsive to noxious heat (Tracey et al., 2003). Since the NPF/NPFR1 system potently suppresses PAIN channel activity, we decided to test whether NPFR1 may also affect PAIN-mediated noxious heat response of those larvae. We found that *pain-gal4*/UAS*npfr1*<sup>cDNA</sup> larvae showed significantly delayed aversive response to the touch of a 40 °C probe (Fig. 4A). In addition, the PAIN-mediated response to benzyl isothiocyanate (BITC), the pungent ingredient of horseradish, was also abolished by NPFR1 overexpression in adult PAIN neurons (Fig. 4*B*; (Al-Anzi et al., 2006)). These results demonstrate that NPFR1 is capable of suppressing fly sensory responses to various chemical stressors and noxious heat mediated by nociceptive TRPA channels.

#### Suppression of mammalian TRPV1-mediated avoidance by NPFR1

Wild type *Drosophila* larvae display neither attractive nor aversive response to capsaicin, the spicy substance from hot chili peppers. However, expression of a rat capsaicin receptor TRPV1 in PAIN neurons of postfeeding larvae is sufficient to trigger larval aversion to capsaicin (Xu et al., 2008). This finding provides an opportunity to test whether NPFR1 is capable of suppressing a mammalian nociceptive TRP channel of a different subtype in *Drosophila* sensory neurons. We found that postfeeding larvae co-expressing NPFR1 and TRPV1, driven by *pain-gal4*, failed to display capsaicin-averse behaviors (Fig. 5). Consistent with this finding, younger feeding larvae expressing rat TRPV1 are also insensitive to capsaicin (Xu et al., 2008). These results suggest that NPFR1 signaling is capable of suppressing sensory response to diverse forms of stressors mediated by different subtypes of TRP channels, and its

antinociceptive activity may be mediated by a signaling mechanism conserved between flies and mammals.

NPFR1 suppression of TRP channels in human cells—HEK 293 cells have been widely used for studying the suppression of TRPV1 activity by mammalian opioid receptors (Diaz-Laviada and Ruiz-Llorente, 2005; Vetter et al., 2008). To provide direct evidence that NPF/NPFR1 signaling suppresses TRP channels through a conserved antinociceptive mechanism, we tested whether NPFR1 signaling is sufficient to suppress TRPV1 in human cells. Both npfr1 and rat TRPV1 cDNAs were co-expressed in HEK 293 cells, and capsaicininduced  $Ca^{2+}$  influx was imaged using Fluo-4 and Fura-red, two calcium-sensitive fluorescent dyes. We found that HEK293 cells, co-transfected with NPFR1 and rat TRPV1 cDNAs, displayed significantly reduced TRPV1-mediated Ca2+ influx relative to control groups during a 300-sec test period (Fig. 6A-F). For example, HEK293 cells transfected with TRPV1 cDNA alone showed significant Ca2+ influx in response to capsaicin within 30 seconds, and TRPV1 channels remained active during the entire test period. Experimental cells co-transfected with both NPFR1 and TRPV1 cDNAs, in the presence of NPF, showed drastically attenuated and delayed responses to capsaicin. During the initial 200-second period, cells showed low levels of calcium-dependent fluorescence, and a subset of cells displayed an increase of intracellular Ca2+ between 200–300 seconds (Fig. 6E, F). We have observed that addition of NPF to cells transfected with TRPV1 cDNA alone caused a mild reduction in TRPV1 activity (Fig. 6F). Since HEK293 cells express endogenous NPY receptor subtypes (Y2 and Y5, unpublished data), this mild inhibitory effect of NPF might be due to its crossactivation of an endogenous NPY receptor. Our results demonstrate again that NPFR1 suppression of nociceptive TRPV1activity is mediated by a signaling mechanism conserved between flies and humans.

### Modulation of TRPV1 by cyclic nucleotides

It has been shown that the cAMP/PKA pathway potentiates TRPV1 activity in HEK 293 cells and nociceptive sensory neurons, and may be acutely involved in inflammatory and neuropathic pain (Bhave et al., 2002). We also observed that introduction of a cAMP analog (8-Br-cAMP) to HEK 293 cells significantly increased TRPV1-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx (Fig. 7*A*). Using this sensitized *in vitro* model, We found that NPFR1 was capable of attenuating the potentiation of TRPV1 by 8-Br-cAMP, providing further evidence that fly NPFR1 functions well in heterologous mammal cells. In addition, 8-Br-cGMP, a cGMP analog, slightly reduced TRPV1 activity, and NPFR1 suppression of TRPV1 was significantly enhanced in the presence of 8-Br-cGMP (Fig. 7*B*). Pharmacological evidence suggests that NPFR1 is coupled with Gi/o protein (Garczynski et al., 2002). Therefore, it is possible that the antinociceptive NPFR1 may involve downregulation of intracellular cAMP though inhibition of adenylyl cyclase.

#### NPF/NPFR1 suppression of PKA-induced hypersensitivity

The *in vitro* finding has led us to wonder if PKA has a sensitizing effect on PAIN-mediated larval sugar aversion. Indeed, we found that postfeeding larvae expressing a constitutively active form of PKA (UAS-*PKAc*), driven by *pain-gal4*, showed aversive response to agar media regardless of the presence or absence of sugar (Fig. *7C*, *D*). For example, a majority of *pain-gal4*/UAS-*PKAc* larvae migrated out of the sugar-free soft medium, while control larvae (e.g., UAS-*PKAc* alone) pupated mostly on the medium. Conversely, larvae expressing a dominant-negative form of PKA (UAS-*PKAi*), driven by *pain-gal4*, showed attenuated sugar aversion. These results indicate that the cAMP/PKA pathway is essential for larval aversive response to sugar, which is sensitized by increased PKA activity. Mammalian PKA has been shown to sensitize TRPV1 channels and acutely mediates hyperexcitation of nociceptive sensory neurons (Hu et al., 2001; Song et al., 2006). It is possible that fly PKA may have similar excitatory effects in PAIN neurons.

We further tested whether the NPF pathway is able to suppress sugar aversion of PKAsensitized larvae. Postfeeding larvae co-expressing UAS-*PKAc* and UAS-*npf*, directed by *paingal4*, were placed onto apple juice and sugar-free soft agar media. We found that most of the larvae pupated on both media (Fig. 7C, D). Moreover, larvae co-expressing UAS-*PKAc* and UAS-*npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>* also displayed similar phenotype (Fig. 7D). These results indicate that the NPF pathway has a dominant suppressive effect on the sensitization of PAIN neurons by exuberant PKA activity. Since the constitutively active PKAc is thought to be insensitive to the reduction of intracellular cAMP (Kiger et al., 1999), the Gi/o-protein coupled NPF receptor may antagonize the PKAc effect through a cAMP-independent pathway.

## Discussion

We have shown that the Drosophila NPY-like receptor NPFR1 negatively regulates fly avoidance response to diverse external stressors mediated by different subtypes of TRP family channels. Our findings suggest that the NPF/NPFR1 pathway appears to exert its suppressive effect through attenuation of TRP channel induced neuronal excitation. Our study also provides the first evidence that the antinociceptive functions of invertebrates and mammals can be mediated by a conserved mechanism that suppresses nociceptive TRP family channels (Montell et al., 2002; Moran et al., 2004; Montell, 2005; Ramsey et al., 2006). Given the implicated role of human NPY in stress and pain resiliency (Bannon et al., 2000; Thorsell et al., 2000; Thorsell and Heilig, 2002; Zhou et al., 2008), the *Drosophila* NPY-like system appears to be a promising model for the identification and characterization of genetic factors that influence pain threshold and tolerance and genetic predispositions to pain disorders.

The G-protein coupled receptors of mammalian opioid peptides and endocannabinoids are expressed in peripheral nociceptors, and mediate suppression of peripheral noxious stimulation (Pertwee, 2001; Endres-Becker et al., 2007). In this study, we demonstrate that the *Drosophila* NPY-like system suppresses peripheral stressful stimulation in feeding larvae. Several lines of evidence suggest that NPF directly acts on sensory neurons expressing TRPA channel protein PAIN (Xu et al., 2008). First, the G-protein coupled NPF receptor NPFR1 is expressed selectively in a subset of PAIN sensory neurons responsive to aversive sugar stimulation. Second, laser ablation of NPFR1-expressing PAIN neurons abolished larval aversion to sugar. Finally, overexpression of NPFR1 in PAIN neurons blocks sugar-stimulated TRPA channel activity. The mammalian NPY receptor Y1 is also expressed in the primary nociceptive neurons of dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and trigeminal ganglia (Brumovsky et al., 2007). The pharmacological study with an Y1 agonist supports a role of Y1 in the reduction of capsaicin-stimulated release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (Gibbs and Hargreaves, 2008). Together, these findings suggest that NPY family peptides are involved in the modulation of the sensation of external stressful stimuli in flies and possibly mammals.

TRPV1 appears to be one of the primary targets of endogenous antinociceptive activities in mammals (Gunthorpe and Chizh; 2009). TRPV1 and the receptors of opioid peptides, endocannabinoids and NPY co-localize in different nociceptors (Pertwee RG, 2001; Endres-Becker J, et al., 2007; Gibbs and Hargreaves, 2008). It has also been shown that both opioid and cannabinoid receptors suppress TRPV1-medited Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx in primary sensory neurons or in HEK 293 cells (Endres-Becker J, et al., 2007; Vetter I et al., 2008). Now we have obtained evidence that activation of NPFR1 also suppresses TRP channel activities in larval sensory neurons and heterologous HEK293 cells. These findings suggest that a conserved signaling mechanism may underlie the suppression of peripheral stressful stimulation by invertebrate and mammalian antinociceptive activities. It remains largely unclear how NPFR1 and mammalian opioid and cannabinoid receptors negatively regulate TRPV1 activity. Since all of these receptors are coupled with Gi/o (Garczynski et al., 2002; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002; Pertwee RG, 2001; Diaz-Laviada and Ruiz-Llorente, 2005; Demuth and Molleman,

2006), their antinociceptive activities may be mediated by a common mechanism involving downregulation of adenylyl cyclase and intracellular cAMP. Expression of mammalian TRPV1 renders the transgenic larvae to display migratory and grouping behaviors in response to aversive capsaicin stimulation. However, increased PKA activity in the PAIN neurons of postfeeding larvae is sufficient to elicit such behaviors without the need of any aversive chemicals in the medium. Thus, higher PKA activity appears to sensitize larval nociceptive sensory neurons. Consistent with this notion, PKA has been shown to potentiate TRP channel activity through direct phosphorylation the N-terminal domain (Bhave et al., 2002). PKA activity can also reverse the desensitized TRP channels. In the DRG sensory neurons of rats with spinal nerve ligation, PKA activity is acutely required for the peripheral neuropathic pain (Hu et al., 2001). Future experiments will determine whether the PKA-elicited independence of aversive stimulation is resulted from its sensitization of an endogenous TRP channel (e.g., PAIN).

We have obtained functional evidence that NPF is capable of suppressing sugar-elicited excitation of thoracic PAIN neurons that selectively express NPFR1 (see Fig. 3). This finding lends further support to the notion that central NPF neurons define a regulatory control module that exerts a temporal control over such peripheral PAIN sensory neurons (Xu et al., 2008), and developmental downregulation of NPF in the larval brain prior to metamorphosis (Wu et al., 2003) is crucial for thoracic PAIN neurons-mediated sugar aversion by postfeeding larvae.

It remains to be determined how NPFR1 signaling dominantly suppresses the migratory and grouping behaviors in wild type and PKA-overexpressing larvae. One simple explanation is that NPFR1 signaling may lead to a large reduction of the intracellular cAMP level, thereby downregulating the activity of PKA. However, we consider this explanation is not completely satisfactory because the transgenic UAS-*PKAc* construct encodes a constitutively active form of PKA whose activity is cAMP-independent. Therefore, our finding strongly argues for the existence of a yet uncharacterized PKA-independent mechanism(s) by which NPFR1 suppresses TRP channel in PAIN neurons. Gi/o heterptrimeric proteins have been implicated in NPFR1 function (Garczynski et al., 2002) as well as modulation of ion channels (Diversé-Pierluissi et al., 1995; Zhao et al., 2008). It would be interesting to test whether NPFR1 suppression of PAIN sensory neurons may also involve direct modulation of TRP ion channels by Gi/o proteins.

NPY family peptides have been shown to promote diverse stress-resistant behaviors (Bannon et al., 2000; Thorsell and Heilig, 2002; Thorsell et al., 2000). Overexpression of NPFR1 in flies and administration of NPY in mice rendered animals to be more willing to work for food and become more resilient to aversive taste and deleteriously cold temperature (Flood and Morley, 1991; Jewett et al., 1995; Wu et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2005b; Lingo et al., 2007). Our finding of suppression of different TRP family channels by a single receptor NPFR1 provides a molecular explanation of how a NPY family peptide could mediate resiliency to diverse gustatory, thermal and mechanical stressors. We previously showed that in food-deprived larvae, reduced fly insulin signaling triggers NPFR1-mdiated stressor-resistant foraging activities (Wu et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2005b). Our findings also raise the possibility that TRP family channels may be indirectly regulated by insulin signaling.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

## Acknowledgments

We thank U. Heberlein, K. Scott, W. D. Tracey and M. Welsh, for fly strains, and A. Sornborger for help with data analysis. This work is supported by grants from the US National Institutes of Health (AA014348 and DK058348 to P.S.).

## References

- Al-Anzi B, Tracey WD Jr, Benzer S. Response of Drosophila to wasabi is mediated by painless, the fly homolog of mammalian TRPA1/ANKTM1. Curr Biol 2006;16:1034–1040. [PubMed: 16647259]
- Alyokhin A, Mille C, Messing R, Duan J. Selection of Pupation Habitats by Oriental Fruit Fly Larvae in the Laboratory. Journal of Insect Behavior 2001;14:57–67.
- Ashburner, M. Drosophila: A Laboratory Handbook. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 1989.
- Bannon AW, Seda J, Carmouche M, Francis JM, Norman MH, Karbon B, McCaleb ML. Behavioral characterization of neuropeptide Y knockout mice. Brain Research 2000;868:79–87. [PubMed: 10841890]
- Bhave G, Zhu W, Wang H, Brasier DJ, Oxford GS, Gereau RWt. cAMP-dependent protein kinase regulates desensitization of the capsaicin receptor (VR1) by direct phosphorylation. Neuron 2002;35:721–731. [PubMed: 12194871]
- Broder J, Majumder A, Porter E, Srinivasamoorthy G, Keith C, Lauderdale J, Sornborger A. Estimating weak ratiometric signals in imaging data. I. Dual-channel data. J Opt Soc Am A Opt Image Sci Vis 2007;24:2921–2931. [PubMed: 17767264]
- Caterina MJ, Julius D. The vanilloid receptor: a molecular gateway to the pain pathway. Annu Rev Neurosci 2001;24:487–517. [PubMed: 11283319]
- Caterina MJ, Schumacher MA, Tominaga M, Rosen TA, Levine JD, Julius D. The capsaicin receptor: a heat-activated ion channel in the pain pathway. Nature 1997;389:816–824. [PubMed: 9349813]
- Chiang HCHAG. An analytical study of population growth in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Ecol Monogr 1950;20:172–206.
- Diaz-Laviada I, Ruiz-Llorente L. Signal transduction activated by cannabinoid receptors. Mini Rev Med Chem 2005;5:619–630. [PubMed: 16026308]
- Diversé-Pierluissi M, Goldsmith PK, Dunlap K. Transmitter-mediated inhibition of N-type calcium channels in sensory neurons involves multiple GTP-binding proteins and subunits. Neuron 1995;14:191–200. [PubMed: 7826637]
- Endres-Becker J, Heppenstall PA, Mousa SA, Labuz D, Oksche A, Schafer M, Stein C, Zollner C. Muopioid receptor activation modulates transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) currents in sensory neurons in a model of inflammatory pain. Mol Pharmacol 2007;71:12–18. [PubMed: 17005903]
- Garczynski SF, Brown MR, Shen P, Murray TF, Crim JW. Characterization of a functional neuropeptide F receptor from Drosophila melanogaster. Peptides 2002;23:773–780. [PubMed: 11897397]
- Gordon MD, Scott K. Motor Control in a Drosophila Taste Circuit. Neuron 2009;61:373–384. [PubMed: 19217375]
- Hu SJ, Song XJ, Greenquist KW, Zhang JM, LaMotte RH. Protein kinase A modulates spontaneous activity in chronically compressed dorsal root ganglion neurons in the rat. Pain 2001;94:39–46. [PubMed: 11576743]
- Hucho TB, Dina OA, Levine JD. Epac mediates a cAMP-to-PKC signaling in inflammatory pain: an isolectin B4(+) neuron-specific mechanism. J Neurosci 2005;25:6119–6126. [PubMed: 15987941]
- Kiger JA Jr, Eklund JL, Younger SH, O'Kane CJ. Transgenic inhibitors identify two roles for protein kinase A in Drosophila development. Genetics 1999;152:281–290. [PubMed: 10224260]
- Marella S, Fischler W, Kong P, Asgarian S, Rueckert E, Scott K. Imaging taste responses in the fly brain reveals a functional map of taste category and behavior. Neuron 2006;49:285–295. [PubMed: 16423701]
- Miyawaki A, Griesbeck O, Heim R, Tsien RY. Dynamic and quantitative Ca2+ measurements using improved cameleons. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999;96:2135–2140. [PubMed: 10051607]

Montell C. Drosophila TRP channels. Pflugers Arch. 2005

- Montell C, Birnbaumer L, Flockerzi V. The TRP channels, a remarkably functional family. Cell 2002;108:595–598. [PubMed: 11893331]
- Moran MM, Xu H, Clapham DE. TRP ion channels in the nervous system. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2004;14:362–369. [PubMed: 15194117]
- Mostany R, Diaz A, Valdizan EM, Rodriguez-Munoz M, Garzon J, Hurle MA. Supersensitivity to muopioid receptor-mediated inhibition of the adenylyl cyclase pathway involves pertussis toxin-resistant Galpha protein subunits. Neuropharmacology 2008;54:989–997. [PubMed: 18384820]
- Mukhopadhyay S, Shim JY, Assi AA, Norford D, Howlett AC. cannabinoid receptor-G protein association: a possible mechanism for differential signaling. Chem Phys Lipids 2002;121:91–109. CB(1). [PubMed: 12505694]
- Pertwee RG. Cannabinoid receptors and pain. Prog Neurobiol 2001;63:569-611. [PubMed: 11164622]
- Ramsey IS, Delling M, Clapham DE. An introduction to TRP channels. Annual Review of Physiology 2006;68:619–647.
- Shen P, Cai HN. Drosophila neuropeptide F mediates integration of chemosensory stimulation and conditioning of the nervous system by food. J Neurobiol 2001;47:16–25. [PubMed: 11257610]
- Song X-J, Wang Z-B, Gan Q, Walters ET. cAMP and cGMP Contribute to Sensory Neuron Hyperexcitability and Hyperalgesia in Rats With Dorsal Root Ganglia Compression. J Neurophysiol 2006;95:479–492. [PubMed: 16120663]
- Thomas D. Predation on the soil inhabiting stages of the Mexican fruit fly. Southwest Entomol 1995;20:61–71.
- Thomson D. Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis. Proc IEEE 1982;70:1055-1096.
- Thorsell A, Heilig M. Diverse functions of neuropeptide Y revealed using genetically modified animals. Neuropeptides 2002;36:182–193. [PubMed: 12359508]
- Thorsell A, Michalkiewicz M, Dumont Y, Quirion R, Caberlotto L, Rimondini R, Mathe AA, Heilig M. Behavioral insensitivity to restraint stress, absent fear suppression of behavior and impaired spatial learning in transgenic rats with hippocampal neuropeptide Y overexpression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97:12852–12857. [PubMed: 11058155]
- Tracey WD Jr, Wilson RI, Laurent G, Benzer S. painless, a Drosophila gene essential for nociception. Cell 2003;113:261–273. [PubMed: 12705873]
- Vetter I, Cheng W, Peiris M, Wyse BD, Roberts-Thomson SJ, Zheng J, Monteith GR, Cabot PJ. Rapid, opioid-sensitive mechanisms involved in transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 sensitization. J Biol Chem 2008;283:19540–19550. [PubMed: 18482991]
- Wang JW, Wong AM, Flores J, Vosshall LB, Axel R. Two- photon calcium imaging reveals an odorevoked map of activity in the fly brain. Cell 2003;112:271–282. [PubMed: 12553914]
- Wen T, Parrish CA, Xu D, Wu Q, Shen P. Drosophila neuropeptide F and its receptor, NPFR1, define a signaling pathway that acutely modulates alcohol sensitivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:2141–2146. [PubMed: 15677721]
- Wu Q, Zhao Z, Shen P. Regulation of aversion to noxious food by Drosophila neuropeptide Y- and insulin-like systems. Nat Neurosci 2005a;8:1350–1355. [PubMed: 16172603]
- Wu Q, Zhang Y, Xu J, Shen P. Regulation of hunger-driven behaviors by neural ribosomal S6 kinase in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005b;102:13289–13294. [PubMed: 16150727]
- Wu Q, Wen T, Lee G, Park JH, Cai HN, Shen P. Developmental control of foraging and social behavior by the Drosophila neuropeptide Y-like system. Neuron 2003;39:147–161. [PubMed: 12848939]
- Xu J, Sornborger AT, Lee JK, Shen P. Drosophila TRPA channel modulates sugar-stimulated neural excitation, avoidance and social response. Nat Neurosci 2008;11:676–682. [PubMed: 18469811]
- Zhao Y, Fang Q, Straub SG, Sharp GWG. Both G<sub>i</sub> and G<sub>o</sub> Heterotrimeric G Proteins Are Required to Exert the Full Effect of Norepinephrine on the β-Cell K<sub>ATP</sub> Channel. J Biol Chem 2008;283:5306–5316. [PubMed: 18162464]
- Zhou Z, et al. Genetic variation in human NPY expression affects stress response and emotion. Nature 2008;452:997–1001. [PubMed: 18385673]

Zhu W, Xu P, Cuascut FX, Hall AK, Oxford GS. Activin acutely sensitizes dorsal root ganglion neurons and induces hyperalgesia via PKC-mediated potentiation of transient receptor potential vanilloid I. J Neurosci 2007;27:13770–13780. [PubMed: 18077689]



## Figure 1.

Regulation of sugar-stimulated social response by decreased or increased NPFR1 signaling. (A) UAS-*npfr1<sup>dsRNA</sup>* encodes an *npfr1* double-stranded RNA. Young control larvae (74h AEL) disperse randomly on solid fructose agar coated with a thin layer of 10% fructose yeast paste. But at least 70% of younger experimental larvae (*pain-gal4*/UAS-*npfr1dsRNA*, 74h AEL) behaved like older postfeeding larvae, displaying stable aggregation at the edge of the plate (arrows) (Wu et al., 2003). (B) Quantification of the larval clumping activities. P<0.001. (C) UAS-*npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>* and UAS-*npf* contain an *npfr1* and *npf* coding sequence, respectively. Most post-feeding larvae overexpressing NPFR1 in PAIN neurons pupated on apple juice agar paste, while control larvae migrated out of the food medium to pupate on food-free surface. (D)

Quantification of the larval migratory activities. P<0.001. (E) Post-feeding control larvae (e.g., UAS-*npfr1cDNA*/+, 96h AEL) normally show a sequential display of dispersing, clumping and cooperative burrowing on the solid 10% fructose agar medium within a 30-min period. The arrow indicates a cooperative burrowing site. Pictures were taken 30 min after the larvae were transferred onto the medium. (F) Post-feeding larvae over-expressing NPFR1 in PAIN neurons showed no clumping or burrowing activity on 10% fructose agar medium even after 1.5 hr. (G) Quantification of the larval clumping activities. P<0.001. In all figures, unless otherwise stated, error bars represent standard deviations. At least 3 separate trials were performed for each experiment. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences from paired controls using ANOVA followed by Student-Newman-Keuls analysis.



#### Figure 2.

Imaging and SOARS analysis of excitation of thoracic PAIN neurons by fructose with the cameleon  $Ca^{2+}$  indicator. Stimulation paradigm: the tissue was initially perfused with HL6-Lactose solution for up to 10 min before imaging. During imaging, solutions were changed every 120 seconds, alternating between HL6-Lactose and HL6-Fructose. At least 6 tissues per group were imaged. Scale bars: 20 µm. (A) A composite fluorescent and transmitted light image of *pain*-expressing neurons from the ventral left cluster in the third thoracic segment of the NPFR1-overexpressing larva (*pain<sup>gal4</sup>*, UAS*npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>*; UAS-*YC 2.1*). CFP fluorescence is shown in green. (B) An eigenimage of the above tissue generated from the SOARS analysis of the cameleon YFP/CFP FRET data. This eigenimage facilitates the identification of pixels

that may display spatially correlated, temporally anti-correlated fluorescence changes selectively responding to fructose stimulation (Xu et al., 2008). No spatial-correlated pixels were detected. (C) The time-course of the weighted mask in the data sets shows no periodic anti-correlated changes of the CFP and YFP signals (blue and red traces, respectively. P>0.1, multitaper harmonic analysis). (D) Imaging and data analysis of the same set of thoracic *pain*-expressing neurons from control larvae (*pain<sup>gal4</sup>*; UAS-*YC2.1*) that display periodic anti-correlated changes of the CFP and YFP signals under the same conditions as described above (P<0.0001). The fructose stimulation paradigm is indicated at the bottom. Three complete cycles of solution alternation are shown here.



#### Figure 3.

NPF suppression of sugar excitation of NPFR1-positve PAIN neurons from postfeeding larvae Stimulation paradigm: the tissue was initially perfused with HL6-lactose solution for 5 min before imaging. Each tissue was imaged in HL6-Lactose for 60 sec, and then in HL6-Fructose for 120 sec. (A) Representative traces showing G-CaMP fluorescence changes of thoracic PAIN neurons of normal postfeeding larvae (96h AEL) in response to fructose. The numbers on the traces correspond to the labeled neurons in panel B. (B) G-CaMP labeled PAIN neurons in the second thoracic segment. (C) Quantification of the NPF inhibitory effect on sugar excitation of thoracic clusters of PAIN neurons. Perfusion of larval tissues with 1 µM NPF

significantly attenuated the number of G-Camp-expressing PAIN neurons excitable by fructose.



## Figure 4.

NPFR1 suppresses PAINLESS-mediated thermal nociception in larvae and chemical nociception in adults. (A) NPFR1 suppresses PAINLESS-mediated thermal nociception in larvae. Most wild type larvae display a stereotypical rolling behavior within 1 sec when touched by a 40 °C probe to the lateral body wall (Tracey et al., 2003). In contrast, the majority of NPFR1 Over-expressers respond after 3 sec. n > 100 for each line tested. (B) NPFR1 suppresses PAIN neuron-mediated chemical nociception in adults. 2-day old control flies mostly avoided 0.4 mM BITC. However the NPFR1 over-expressing flies showed no preference to either of the two types of food. n > 250 for each line tested.



#### Figure 5.

NPFR1 suppresses larval avoidance to capsaicin induced by ectopically expressed mammalian TRPV1. UAS-*TRPV1* encodes a variant of the mammalian vanilloid receptor TRPV1 (TRPV1E600K). (A) Control larvae expressing UAS-*TRPV1* driven by *pain-gal4* migrate away from agar paste containing 400 nM capsaicin. (B) Experimental larvae expressing both NPFR1 and TRPV1 in PAIN cells mostly remained on the capsaicin medium. (C) Quantification of avoidance response of transgenic larvae in media containing capsaicin. P<0.001. (D) Quantification of capsaicin-induced larval aggregation on the sugar-free capsaicin medium. Larvae expressing TRPV1 alone (*paingal4*/UAS *TRPV1*) but not those co-

expressing TRPV1 and NPFR1 (*pain-gal4/UAS-TRPV1/UAS-npfr1<sup>cDNA</sup>*) showed capsaicinelicited aggregation. P<0.001.



## Figure 6.

NPFR1 suppresses Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx mediated by rat TRPV1 in human cells. Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging and SOARS analysis of Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293 cells expressing the TRPV1 channel. HEK 293 cells were loaded with the Fluo-4 and Fura-red fluorescent Ca<sup>2+</sup> indicators, stimulated by 400 nM capsaicin (CAP) and imaged for 300s. Eigenimages highlight the clusters of pixels showing statistically significant anticorrelated changes in Fluo-4 and Fura-red fluorescence intensities. (A) An eigenimage of HEK cells transfected with empty pcDNA3.1 vectors. (B, C) Cells transfected with TRPV1 cDNA and stimulated by CAP in the absence or presence of 1  $\mu$ M NPF. (D, E) Cells co-transfected with TRPV1 and NPFR1 cDNAs and stimulated by CAP in the absence or presence of NPF. (F) SOARS analysis of changes in the ratio between Fluo-4 to Fura-red fluorescence levels during the entire 300-sec recording period. Each trace is generated from at least 3 independent experiments. For example, during a 5-second interval starting at t=150 second, the p values are: P=1.3×10<sup>-12</sup> (TRPV1/NPFR1/NPF/CAP; P=0.28 (TRPV1/NPFR1/CAP vs TRPV1 NPF/CAP).



#### Figure 7.

Effects of cyclic nucleotides, PKA and NPFR1 on TRP channel activities. (A) TRPV1 is sensitized by 8-Br-cAMP and slightly inhibited by 8-Br-cGMP (see line 1, 3 and 5). NPFR1 significantly attenuated sensitization of TRPV1 by 8-Br-cAMP (compare line 3 and 4; for a 5-second interval starting at t=150 second: P=0.023). (B) NPFR1 suppression of TRPV1 is enhanced in the presence of 8-BrcGMP (compare line 5 and 6; P= $1.73 \times 10^{-9}$ ). (C) Quantification of avoidance response of transgenic larvae in media containing apple juice. Larvae expressing PKAi or co-expressing PKAc and NPF in PAIN neurons showed attenuated food-averse migration. Most pupated on the medium. P<0.001. (D) Quantification of avoidance response of transgenic larvae that express UAS-*PKAc* alone

pupated mostly outside of the agar medium. Larvae co-expressing PKAc and NPF or NPFR1 in PAIN neurons displayed attenuated food-averse migration. P<0.001.