

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 December 22.

Published in final edited form as: Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2022 December 19; 61(51): e202214610. doi:10.1002/anie.202214610.

The Single-Component Flavin Reductase/Flavin-Dependent Halogenase AetF is a Versatile Catalyst for Selective Bromination and Iodination of Arenes and Olefins

Yuhua Jiang^{1,†}, Harrison M. Snodgrass^{1,†}, Yasmine S. Zubi¹, Caitlin V. Roof¹, Yanfei Guan², Dibyendu Mondal^{1,‡}, Nicholas H. Honeycutt¹, Johnny W. Lee², Russell D. Lewis², Carlos A. Martinez², Jared C. Lewis^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, United States.

²Chemical Research & Development, Pfizer Worldwide Research & Development, Groton, Connecticut 06340, United States.

Abstract

Flavin-dependent halogenases (FDHs) natively catalyze selective halogenation of electron rich aromatic and enolate groups. Nearly all FDHs reported to date require a separate flavin reductase to supply them with FADH₂, which complicates biocatalysis applications. In this study, we establish that the single component flavin reductase/flavin dependent halogenase AetF catalyzes halogenation of a diverse set of substrates using a commercially available glucose dehydrogenase to drive its halogenase activity. High site selectivity, activity on relatively unactivated substrates, and high enantioselectivity for atroposelective bromination and bromolactonization was demonstrated. Site-selective iodination and enantioselective cycloiodoetherification was also possible using AetF. The substrate and reaction scope of AetF suggest that it has the potential to greatly improve the utility of biocatalytic halogenation.

Graphical Abstract

The single component flavin reductase/flavin dependent halogenase AetF catalyzes halogenation of a diverse set of substrates. High site selectivity, activity on relatively unactivated substrates, and high enantioselectivity for atroposelective bromination and bromolactonization was demonstrated. Site-selective iodination and enantioselective cycloiodoetherification was also possible using AetF.

Corresponding Author jcl3@iu.edu, Social Media: @JCLewisLab and @IUBChemistry.

Present Addresses Kalsec Inc., 3713 W. Main St., Kalamazoo, Michigan 49006, USA

[†]These authors contributed equally to this study

Publisher's Disclaimer: This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.



Keywords

flavin dependent halogenase; iodinase; C-H functionalization; halocyclization; atroposelectivity

Body

Flavin-dependent halogenases (FDHs) natively catalyze site-selective halogenation of electron rich aromatic and enolate groups in a diverse range of halogenated natural products. ^[1-3] This unique capability has led to extensive efforts to understand FDH mechanism and the origins of their site selectivity.^[4,5] Early studies established that FDH catalysis initially mirrors flavoprotein monooxygenase catalysis in that an enzyme-bound, reduced flavin adenine dinucleotide (FADH₂) cofactor reacts with O₂ to generate a hydroperoxy flavin intermediate.^[6] In FDHs, this intermediate reacts with bound halide, typically bromide or chloride, to generate HOX, which migrates through the enzyme to a substrate binding pocket.^[7-9] Most evidence now suggests that hydrogen bonding by a key active site lysine residue activates HOX for electrophilic halogenation, and precise substrate binding leads to site-selective halogenation by this species.^[5,10,11]

Nearly all FDHs reported to date require a separate flavin reductase to supply FADH₂, ^[6,12] and this enzyme is typically driven by a glucose/glucose dehydrogenase cofactor regeneration system for biocatalysis applications (Figure. 1A)^[13]. The need for a separate flavin reductase complicates biocatalysis since these enzymes are not widely available and are typically produced in-house, they add to the protein waste that must be removed during product isolation, and they can lead to undesired background reactions^[14]. Previously, our group demonstrated that genetically fusing the flavin reductase RebF to the FDH RebH improved halogenation yields from whole-cell biocatalysis, suggesting that increased local concentration of FADH₂ can improve the efficiency of biocatalysis relative to the free enzymes (Figure 1A).^[15] A recent family-wide sequence/activity analysis of FDHs did not reveal any naturally occurring flavin reductase/FDH fusions,^[16] but Moore reported that the FDH Bmp5 adopts a more elegant solution to this problem by combining both reductase and halogenase activities in a single chain enzyme capable of binding both FAD and NADP (Figure 1B).^[17] Bmp5 catalyzes halogenation and decarboxylative halogenation of 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, but because this substrate and the others examined were halogenated at electronically activated sites, it is not clear that the operational simplicity of this enzyme would extend to less activated sites/substrates that comprise much of the biocatalytic value of FDHs.

We became intrigued by more recent reports describing a second single component FDH, AetF, from *A. hydrillicola*.^[19] AetF was first characterized by Wilde and Niedermeyer as a tryptophan halogenase that catalyzes dibromination of tryptophan to generate the

5,7-dibromo-L-tryptophan core of aetokthonotoxin (Figure 1B). Investigating activity on the native tryptophan substrate, the Moore group showed that AetF is a unique single component flavin reductase/FDH.^[18] Inspired by the potential benefits of a single component FDH with the unique catalyst-controlled selectivity of tryptophan FDHs, we set out to evaluate the catalytic efficiency of AetF on non-native substrates. We find that AetF has broad substrate scope, halogenating not only electron rich aromatics, but also relatively electron deficient substrates and heterocycles that are not halogenated efficiently or at all by any FDHs that we have studied to date^[16,20]. AetF also provides good levels of conversion and selectivity toward substrates that undergo enantioselective halogenation processes via topologically distinct mechanisms.^[21-23] Finally, we find that AetF catalyzes iodination of aromatic compounds at sites that are less activated than those that have been characterized using other FDHs and enables enantioselective cycloiodoetherification. These findings suggest that AetF has great potential for expanding the scope of biocatalytic halogenation.

Initial analysis of AetF substrate tolerance focused on electron rich indoles, anilines, and phenols similar to those that we and others have previously used to probe the activity of different FDHs.^[1,3,16,20] Reactions were conducted using purified AetF and a commercially available glucose dehydrogenase for NADPH regeneration under conditions analogous to those that we typically use for FDH biocatalysis. Specifically, excess glucose is needed to account for decoupling between flavin reduction and product formation, ^[24] and glutathione and catalase are added to scavenge HOBr and H₂O₂ generated by the enzyme^[21]. Conversions were obtained by UPLC/MS, and isolated yields for select compounds were obtained from preparative reactions (~5 mg scale). Selective bromination of several substrates was observed (Figure 2, **1a-5a**), showing that the AetF has robust activity on non-native substrates. Importantly, halogenation did not always occur at sites with the highest calculated halenium affinity (HalA)^[25] values, meaning that the enzyme, rather than substrate electronics, was controlling site selectivity in these cases (e.g. **1a** and **2a**).

We next challenged the enzyme with a broader range of heterocycles and aromatic compounds bearing electron withdrawing groups. Notably, AetF catalyzes site-selective halogenation of a diverse set of substrates that are poorly reactive toward several FDHs obtained from previous engineering^[13] and genome mining^[16] efforts (Figure 2, **6a-11a**). This set includes furan, thiophene, and pyrazole heterocycles lacking additional electron donating groups. Calculated HalA values for some of these substrates show that the sites halogenated are lower than those for typical indole and aniline substrates and the HalA cutoff of 164 kcal/mol^[20] that we previously established as the minimum required for halogenation by the tryptophan halogenase RebH.

Given our ongoing interest in asymmetric FDH catalysis, we evaluated the activity of AetF on substrates capable of undergoing desymmetrization,^[22] atroposelective dynamic kinetic resolution,^[23] and halolactonization^[21] via bromination. Again, AetF provides good conversion and modest-to-high enantioselectivity on each of the substrates examined (Figure 2, **12a-14a**). The observed activity is significantly higher than that provided by any of the WT enzymes that were examined in our original studies. For example, while low

enantioselectivity was observed for **12a**, only chlorination of **12** was previously reported, ^[22] so the observed bromination is notable. None of our previously reported FDHs could provide brominated biaryl **13a**, but essentially a single atropisomer of this compound is provided by AetF. While a variant of RebH was able to catalyze formation of **14a** with up to 96:4 e.r.,^[21] that variant had six mutations relative to WT.

Despite the broad scope of AetF toward bromination, only the native substrate, tryptophan, underwent chlorination to a significant extent (~20% conversion, Figure S2), mirroring previous examples of FDHs with a preference toward bromide^[16,26]. On the other hand, we were surprised to observe quantitative conversion of tryptophan to 5.7-diiodotryptophan in the presence of sodium iodide (Figure 3A). Formation of iodinated products has only been reported for reactions involving a few FDHs, including Bmp5,^[17] PltM,^[27] and VirX1^[28]. A more recent study showed that electronically activated indoles and phenols can also be iodinated by HOI generated from I^- and H_2O_2 that is produced by flavin reductases alone. ^[14] This reactivity could be eliminated by including catalase in the iodination reactions, and, as noted above, we have similarly shown that undesired reactivity of free HOBr could be eliminated using glutathione as a scavenger^[21]. Selective dijodination of the benzene ring of tryptophan by AetF in the presence of both catalase and glutathione is therefore notable. We found that 6-fluorotryptamine also undergoes 5,7-diiodination, pyrazole 11 undergoes selective monoiodination, and several other substrates undergo iodination based on LC/MS analysis (Figure S3). Finally, AetF catalyzes cycloiodoetherification to give tetrahydrofuran 15a in good yield and 99:1 e.r. This reaction is not possible using other FDHs in our laboratory,^[29] and its high enantioselectivity provides strong evidence that AetF iodinase activity is not due to free HOI (Figure 3A).

Given the unique reactivity of AetF, we sought to provide initial insights into its structure and mechanism. Nearly all FDHs reported to date possess an active site lysine that is believed to activate HOX toward electrophilic attack.^[5,10,11] Because substrates typically bind in a manner that projects the site of halogenation toward this residue,^[11] identifying it can aid targeted mutagenesis efforts aimed at altering FDH activity and selectivity. We used AlphaFold2^[30] to generate a model of AetF (Figure 4A). While AetF has low sequence homology to characterized FDHs or flavoprotein monooxygenases (FPMOs),^[18] several FPMOs with high structural homology to the AetF model were identified using the DALI protein structure comparison server^[31]. The highest homology was observed for predicted ancestral FPMOs that were engineered and evaluated in a study aimed at understanding extant mammalian FPMOs^[32]. The structures of these enzymes show the NADP and FAD cofactors proximal to one another in the FPMO active site. Both cofactors were docked into the AetF model using Rosetta^[33] and are predicted to bind in orientations analogous to those observed in the reconstituted ancestral FPMOs. Notably, however, the AetF model shows a lysine residue that is absent in the FPMOs (K258) directly over the isoalloxazine ring of the bound FAD (Figure 4B). Aligning the terminal C-C-N fragment of the active site lysine residue in the AetF model (K258) with that in the crystal structure of the FDH RebH (K79)^[34] suggests that tryptophan can bind to AetF in a manner that projects a site of halogenation toward lysine, just as it does in RebH and other FDHs^[11]. Suspecting that K258 might therefore function in analogy to the conserved lysine residues typically

observed in FDHs,^[8,11] we mutated this residue to alanine. AetF K258A was inactive toward both bromination and iodination (Figures 3B, S4, and S5), supporting the hypothesis that K258 acts in analogy to the active site lysine residues in other FDHs to activate HOX and providing a preliminary model to guide initial AetF engineering efforts. This result, analogous to that also shown for the K79A variant of VirX1^[28], provides further evidence that the observed iodination is not due to the release of free HOI into solution.

In summary, this study establishes that the single component flavin reductase/flavin dependent halogenase AetF catalyzes selective bromination and iodination of a diverse set of substrates. High site selectivity was observed for halogenation of several aromatic substrates, and activity on relatively unactivated substrates and heterocyclic substrates not previously reported for other FDHs was demonstrated. High enantioselectivity was observed for several transformations, including an atroposelective bromination and a cycloiodoetherification reaction that were not possible using enzymes available in our laboratory. In short, AetF can recapitulate and even exceed the catalytic capabilities of FDH variants generated via several directed evolution efforts. Computational modeling was used to confirm that a putative active site lysine residue is critical for AetF catalysis, just as it is for conventional FDHs. The unique approach required to identify this residue was necessitated by the low sequence identity of AetF to other characterized FDHs and highlights the growing utility of *de novo* structure prediction and structure homology tools to inform targeted mutagenesis studies. The remarkable substrate and reaction scope of AetF, along with the fact that it requires only a commercially available glucose dehydrogenase to drive its selective halogenase activity, suggest that it and related single component halogenases have the potential to greatly improve the utility of biocatalytic halogenation. Directed evolution and cascade biocatalysis involving such enzymes will be particularly advantageous relative to two-component halogenase/reductase systems since only a single enzyme must be adapted to desired process conditions. The unique active site architecture observed in the AetF model might also minimize decoupling pathways characterized in conventional halogenases,^[24] but additional structural and kinetic analysis will be required to explore this possibility.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the NIH (R01 GM115665 to JCL) and by Pfizer, Inc. Y.S.Z. was supported by the IUB Chester Davis Fellowship in Inorganic Chemistry. D.M. was supported by the Precision Health Initiative of Indiana University. NMR data were acquired on a spectrometer funded by the NSF (MRI CHE-1920026) using a Prodigy probe that was partially funded by the Indiana Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute. MS data were acquired on a spectrometer funded by that Ariana Vargas for development of chiral chromatography methods for establishing the enantioselectivity of relevant FDH reactions.

References

- [1]. Weichold V, Milbredt D, van Pée K-H, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 6374–6389.
- [2]. Gkotsi DS, Dhaliwal J, McLachlan MM, Mulholand KR, Goss RJ, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol 2018, 43, 119–126. [PubMed: 29414530]

- [3]. Latham J, Brandenburger E, Shepherd SA, Menon BRK, Micklefield J, Chem. Rev 2017, 118, 232–269. [PubMed: 28466644]
- [4]. Yeh E, Garneau S, Walsh CT, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci 2005, 102, 3960–3965. [PubMed: 15743914]
- [5]. Flecks S, Patallo EP, Zhu X, Ernyei AJ, Seifert G, Schneider A, Dong C, Naismith JH, van Pée K-H, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2008, 47, 9533–9536.
- [6]. Yeh E, Cole L, Barr E, Bollinger J, Ballou D, Walsh C, Biochemistry 2006, 45, 7904–7912.[PubMed: 16784243]
- [7]. Dong C, Flecks S, Unversucht S, Haupt C, van Pée K-H, Naismith JH, Science 2005, 309, 2216– 2219. [PubMed: 16195462]
- [8]. Zhu X, Laurentis WD, Leang K, Herrmann J, Ihlefeld K, van Pée K-H, Naismith JH, J. Mol. Biol 2009, 391, 74–85. [PubMed: 19501593]
- [9]. Prakinee K, Phintha A, Visitsatthawong S, Lawan N, Sucharitakul J, Kantiwiriyawanitch C, Damborsky J, Chitnumsub P, van Pée K-H, Chaiyen P, Nat. Catal 2022, 5, 534–544.
- [10]. Ainsley J, Mulholland AJ, Black GW, Sparagano O, Christov CZ, Karabencheva-Christova TG, ACS Omega 2018, 3, 4847–4859. [PubMed: 31458701]
- [11]. Andorfer MC, Evans D, Yang S, He CQ, Girlich AM, Vergara-Coll J, Sukumar N, Houk KN, Lewis JC, Chem. Catal 2022, 2, 2658–2674.
- [12]. Moritzer A-C, Niemann HH, Prot. Sci 2019, 28, 2112–2118.
- [13]. Andorfer MC, Lewis JC, Annu. Rev. Biochem 2018, 87, 159–185. [PubMed: 29589959]
- [14]. Zhang Y, Chen L, Chen H, Huang T, Shi Q, Wang X, Wang Y, Tang M-C, Zhou N-Y, Lin S, Sci. China Chem 2021, 64, 1730–1735.
- [15]. Andorfer MC, Belsare KD, Girlich AM, Lewis JC, ChemBioChem 2017, 18, 2099–2103.
 [PubMed: 28879681]
- [16]. Fisher BF, Snodgrass HM, Jones KA, Andorfer MC, Lewis JC, ACS Cent. Sci 2019, 5, 1844– 1856. [PubMed: 31807686]
- [17]. Agarwal V, Gamal AAE, Yamanaka K, Poth D, Kersten RD, Schorn M, Allen EE, Moore BS, Nat. Chem. Biol 2014, 10, 640–647. [PubMed: 24974229]
- [18]. Adak S, Lukowski AL, Schôfer RJB, Moore BS, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2022, 144, 2861–2866.
 [PubMed: 35142504]
- [19]. Breinlinger S, Phillips TJ, Haram BN, Mareš J, Yerena JAM, Hrouzek P, Sobotka R, Henderson WM, Schmieder P, Williams SM, Lauderdale JD, Wilde HD, Gerrin W, Kust A, Washington JW, Wagner C, Geier B, Liebeke M, Enke H, Niedermeyer THJ, Wilde SB, Science 2021, 371, eaax9050. [PubMed: 33766860]
- [20]. Andorfer MC, Grob JE, Hajdin CE, Chael JR, Siuti P, Lilly J, Tan KL, Lewis JC, ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 1897–1904. [PubMed: 28989809]
- [21]. Mondal D, Fisher BF, Jiang Y, Lewis JC, Nat. Commun 2021, 12, 3268. [PubMed: 34075034]
- [22]. Payne JT, Butkovich PH, Gu Y, Kunze KN, Park H-J, Wang D-S, Lewis JC, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 546–549. [PubMed: 29294291]
- [23]. Snodgrass HM, Mondal D, Lewis JC, J Am Chem Soc 2022, 144, 16676–16682. [PubMed: 36044712]
- [24]. Phintha A, Prakinee K, Jaruwat A, Lawan N, Visitsatthawong S, Kantiwiriyawanitch C, Songsungthong W, Trisrivirat D, Chenprakhon P, Mulholland AJ, van Pée K-H, Chitnumsub P, Chaiyen P, J. Biol. Chem 2021, 296, 100068. [PubMed: 33465708]
- [25]. Ashtekar KD, Marzijarani NS, Jaganathan A, Holmes D, Jackson JE, Borhan B, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 13355–13362. [PubMed: 25152188]
- [26]. Neubauer PR, Widmann C, Wibberg D, Schröder L, Frese M, Kottke T, Kalinowski J, Niemann HH, Sewald N, PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0196797–21. [PubMed: 29746521]
- [27]. Mori S, Pang AH, Chandrika NT, Garneau-Tsodikova S, Tsodikov OV, Nat. Commun 2019, 10, 1255. [PubMed: 30890712]
- [28]. Gkotsi DS, Ludewig H, Sharma SV, Connolly JA, Dhaliwal J, Wang Y, Unsworth WP, Taylor RJK, McLachlan MMW, Shanahan S, Naismith JH, Goss RJM, Nat. Chem 2019, 11, 1091–1097. [PubMed: 31611633]
- [29]. Jiang Y, Mondal D, Lewis JC, ACS Catal. 2022, 13501–13505.

- [30]. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Žídek A, Potapenko A, Bridgland A, Meyer C, Kohl SAA, Ballard AJ, Cowie A, Romera-Paredes B, Nikolov S, Jain R, Adler J, Back T, Petersen S, Reiman D, Clancy E, Zielinski M, Steinegger M, Pacholska M, Berghammer T, Bodenstein S, Silver D, Vinyals O, Senior AW, Kavukcuoglu K, Kohli P, Hassabis D, Nature 2021, 596, 583–589. [PubMed: 34265844]
- [31]. Holm L, Rosenstrom P, Nucl. Acids Res 2010, 38, W545-W549. [PubMed: 20457744]
- [32]. Nicoll CR, Bailleul G, Fiorentini F, Mascotti ML, Fraaije MW, Mattevi A, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol 2020, 27, 14–24. [PubMed: 31873300]
- [33]. Davis IW, Baker D, J. Mol. Biol 2009, 385, 381–392. [PubMed: 19041878]
- [34]. Bitto E, Huang Y, Bingman CA, Singh S, Thorson JS, Phillips GN, Proteins 2008, 70, 289–293. [PubMed: 17876823]



Figure 1.

A) Halogenation of electron rich aromatic compounds has been reported using either two-component or fused flavin reductase/halogenase systems like RebH/RebF.^[4] B) Native products of the two known single component flavin reductase/halogenases (R/H), Bmp5 and AetF.^[17,18]



Figure 2.

Representative examples of substrates brominated by AetF (see also Figure S1 and Table S1). Conversions were determined from relative integration values for starting material and product for reactions conducted on 75 μ L scale; selected isolated yields (isol.) and assay yields (AY) relative to authentic internal standards were also obtained for reactions conducted on 20-30 mL and 75 μ L scale, respectively. Halenium affinity (HalA) values^[25] were calculated as previously reported^[20]. GDH = glucose dehydrogenase, Cat. = catalase.





Figure 3.

A) AetF-catalyzed aromatic iodination and cycloiodoetherification. Conversions were determined from relative integration values for starting material and product for reactions conducted on 75 μ L scale; selected isolated yields (isol.) and assay yields (AY) relative to authentic internal standards were also obtained for reactions conducted on 20-30 mL scale. B) Bromination and iodination of tryptophan catalyzed by AetF (red and orange traces, respectively) and AetF K258A (blue traces), showing no conversion to either halogenated product using the latter enzyme. GDH = glucose dehydrogenase, Cat. = catalase.



Figure 4.

Overlays of A) a AetF•FAD•NADP model constructed using AlphaFold with cofactors docked in using Rosetta Ligand (green) with the crystal structure of a predicted ancestral FPMO (PDB ID 6SF0, grey)^[32] and B) the AetF•FAD•Trp model active site (green residues) with K79 and bound Trp substrate from the FDH RebH (PDB ID 2OA1, grey residues)^[34].