

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

ACS Chem Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 18.

Published in final edited form as:

ACS Chem Neurosci. 2012 January 18; 3(1): 31–39. doi:10.1021/cn200082q.

Small Molecule Induction of Human Umbilical Stem Cells into MBP-positive Oligodendrocytes in a Defined Three-Dimensional Environment

Hedvika Davis1,2, **Xiufang Guo**1, **Stephen Lambert**1,2, **Maria Stancescu**1, and **James. J. Hickman**1,2,*

¹Hybrid Systems Laboratory, NanoScience Technology Center, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32826, USA

²College of Medicine, Biomedical Science Program, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32826, USA

Abstract

Stem cells from umbilical cord would be a favorable alternative to embryonic stem cells for therapeutic applications. In this study, human multipotent progenitor cells (MLPCs) from umbilical cord were differentiated into oligodendrocytes by exposure to a range of microenvironmental chemical and physical cues. Chemical cues were represented by a novel defined differentiation medium containing the neurotransmitter norepinephrine (NE). In traditional 2 dimensional (2D) conditions, the MLPCs differentiated into oligodendrocyte precursors, but did not progress further. However, in a 3 dimensional (3D) environment, the MLPCs differentiated into committed oligodendrocytes that expressed MBP. The apparent method of interaction of NE in stimulating the differentiation process was identified to occur through the adenergic pathway while all prior differentiation methods have used other routes. This novel method of obtaining functional human oligodendrocytes from MLPCs would eliminate many of the difficulties associated with their differentiation from embryonic stem cells.

Keywords

immunochemistry; in vitro test; mesenchymal stem cell; neural cell; surface modification; 3 dimensional

INTRODUCTION

There is a crucial need to establish alternatives to human embryonic stem cells (hESC) as a source of stem cells for clinical applications. This is especially true for applications to disease and injury in the central nervous system (CNS), as recent clinical trials have been delayed by the FDA for hESC but have been relatively straightforward for adult stem cells and stem cells from other sources. To that end, this study represents the first example of small molecule induction of oligodendrocytes from stem cells by activation of noradrenergic signaling. The multipotent progenitor cells (MLPCs) from a human umbilical cord were differentiated into oligodendrocytes in the presence of norepinephrine (NE) in a 3D

^{*}Corresponding Author: 12424 Research Parkway, Suite 400, Orlando, FL 32826, Phone: 407-823-1925, Fax: 407-882-2819, jhickman@mail.ucf.edu.

environment. Differentiation of these cells in a 2D environment was not sufficient to enable complete maturation.

Oligodendrocytes, the cells that are responsible for myelination of axons in the central nervous system (CNS), originate from neuroepithelium during development (1–6) and like most other cells in the CNS, arise from Sox-1 positive neuroepithelial cells of the neural tube (7–9). The progression of the oligodendroglial linage is characterized by morphological changes and acquisition of specific surface antigens (10–14). The oligodendrocyte progenitors can be detected with the A2B5 antibody followed by the expression of the O4 sulfatide, which persists in ramified, but yet immature oligodendrocytes. Committed oligodendrocytes lose A2B5 reactivity after they begin to express O1 galactocerebroside. Differentiated oligodendrocytes, which are post-mitotic and richly multipolar cells, express myelin basic protein (MBP) upon maturation and initiate the myelination of neurons in the CNS. In this study, oligodendrocytes were generated from Sox-1 positive MLPCs from human umbilical cord. It is possible that MLPCs, like cells of the CNS and early waves of multipotent MSCs, originate from neuroepithelium (15, 16). MLPCs from umbilical cord are collected at birth and have the potential to give rise to all three embryonic layers (17).

Differentiation of oligodendrocytes in the local tissue environment depends on gradients of soluble factors and physical cues. One of the soluble factors is norepinephrine (NE), a small molecule neurotransmitter released from noradrenergic neurons. The effect of NE on oligodendrocyte differentiation is not yet well understood. However, it has been reported that noradrenergic fibers contact oligodendrocytes at sites that resemble symmetrical synapses, suggesting that oligodendrocytes could be a primary target of NE (18). It has been determined that NE binds to and activates α and β-adrenergic receptors (ARs), and oligodendrocytes express both α-1 and β-ARs (19–22). It had also been demonstrated that activation of α-1 adrenergic signaling influenced the formation of processes and the production of myelin and that activation of β-adrenergic signaling by NE inhibited proliferation and accelerated linage progression (19–21, 23, 24). It was suggested that β-AR mediated signaling may be restricted to the proliferative phases of oligodendrocyte development, and dismantled after proliferation arrest.

The physical cues that modify differentiation are defined by mechanical forces and local architecture (25, 26). These conserved and evolutionary ancient features, although largely unknown, may be the source of many developmental cues. During development, signals are transduced to a stem or progenitor cells' nuclei through changes in the cytoskeleton. For example, it has been shown that the fate decision of oligodendrocyte precursors is controlled by both spatial and geometric characteristics of an axonal niche (27). We have previously demonstrated how physical as well as chemical cues control the function of endothelial and neuronal cells in a defined system (28–32).

In this study, a 3D environment was constructed that guided differentiation of MLPCs into mature oligodendrocytes. A non-biological growth promoting substrate trimethoxysilylpropyl-diethylenetriamine (DETA) was utilized as a physical cue as it had been demonstrated in the past to promote CNS cell growth and differentiation (28, 33, 34). The triamine moiety of DETA resembles the structure of spermidine, a well known growth factor (35, 36) which we believe is responsible for its cytophilic properties. The chemical cues were represented by a number of soluble factors of which NE had the key function. The soluble factors alone in the 2D conditions were able to induce differentiation of MLPCs along the initial stages of oligodendrocyte lineage; however, mature oligodendrocytes were obtained only in 3D conditions.

To date, human oligodendrocytes have been produced mostly from embryonic or fetal stem cells, raising ethical considerations (37–40). MLPCs, unlike embryonic stem cells, do not spontaneously differentiate *in vitro*, yet they are capable of extensive differentiation and expansion (17) and could generate unlimited numbers of human oligodendrocytes. This will be especially important as stem cell derived therapeutics are developed for demyelinating diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS). From a technological standpoint, this system would also be advantageous as the time to differentiation is much less for the MLPCs then hESCs and also the MLPSs can be induced using small molecules, without genetic manipulation, in a defined, serum-free system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

MLPCs and Oligodendrocytes May Share Neuroepithelial Origin

Oligodendrocytes arise from the Sox1 positive neuroepithelium during development. Induction of oligodendrocyte fate is characterized by expression of A2B5 and PDGFR-α (41, 42). In order to explore whether untreated MLPCs could have some neuroepithelial or oligodendrocyte progenitor characteristics, immunocytochemical analysis for expression of Sox1, A2B5 and PDGFR-α was performed. The results indicated that untreated MLPCs were Sox1 positive. This suggests that MLPCs, like oligodendrocytes, originate from the neuroepithelium. Untreated cells were PDGFR-α positive and A2B5 negative but expressed PDGFR-β (Figure 1). The negative expression of A2B5 and positive staining for PDGFR-β (Figure 1) distinguished the untreated MLPCs from oligodendrocyte progenitor cells. These results are interesting when compared to recently published findings demonstrating that Sox1+ neuroepithelium also gives rise to the first wave of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells, generated during development (15, 16).

Differentiation of MLPCs along an Oligodendrocyte Lineage in a 2D Environment

To investigate the effect of noradrenergic signaling on the oligodendrocyte development, MLPCs were differentiated in a defined, serum free culture system. Prior to differentiation, the MLPCs were plated on DETA coated coverslips. DETA is an aminosilane that forms a covalently bound, uniform, self- assembled monolayer on the glass surface. DETA contains a triamine moiety that resembles spermidine, a known growth factor, in its terminal group. At physiologic pH, these amines carry partial positive charges, providing a hydrophilic surface promoting cellular attachment. DETA is non-digestible by matrix metalloproteases secreted by the cells and therefore it promotes long term cell survival. Prior to experiments, DETA surfaces were characterized by XPS analysis to ensure that complete monolayer was formed during the self-assembly process and hydrophilic property of surfaces was confirmed by static contact angle measurements of 45.6±2°. Once characterized, DETA coated coverslips were used for plating and differentiation of MLPCs.

Plated MLPCs were allowed to evenly spread and expanded either for 3 days or to 60% confluence. Then the culture medium was replaced with the pre-induction medium supplemented with bFGF, EGF and PDGF-AA. After 24 hrs, cells were transferred into the differentiation medium, containing the growth factors bFGF, EGF and PDGF-AA along with K252a, heparin, forskolin and NE. The essential factors were NE, forskolin and K252a, as the desired morphology was not observed in the absence of any of these factors (Figure 2A). Both forskolin and K252a are frequently used during stem cell differentiation; however norepinephrine emerged as the novel stem cell differentiation factor. Absence of the growth factors increased the differentiation rate but resulted in decreased survival and less elaborate process formation. After the transfer into the differentiation medium, the MLPCs exhibited cell shape changes, from that of a fibroblast morphology to refractile cell bodies.

Within 8 days, approximately 70% of cells developed multiple processes and Figure 2A reflects the morphology development at day 15. Immunocytochemical analysis was performed using the antibodies for specific stages of oligodendrocyte differentiation (Figure 2B). The untreated MLPCs showed negative staining for A2B5 and faint staining for O4. Cells were also negative for the more mature oligodendrocyte markers O1 galactocerebroside and MBP. However, at 8 days of differentiation, $72.4 \pm 3.4\%$ of cells exhibited positive staining for A2B5 and $69.9 \pm 4.9\%$ for O4, but expression of O1 galactocerebroside and MBP was absent in the 2D environment.

The results indicated that in response to the treatment, the majority of MLPCs acquired cellular characteristics of immature oligodendrocyte precursors. The expression of A2B5 and O4, accompanied by a multi-process morphology, persisted to day 15, but the precursors did not achieve a fully differentiated phenotype. After 15 days, cells began to lose their multi-process morphology and became mostly bipolar and spindle shaped (Figure 2A). At day 20, 35.0 \pm 4.8% of cells remained A2B5 positive, 49.7 \pm 7.9% O4 positive and O1, MBP negative (Figure 2C). In addition, limited cell survival was observed after day 20 in culture.

MLPCs differentiation in a 3D Environment

Because it has been shown to be an important feature in cellular development, we examined the effect of a simple 3D environment on oligodendrocyte lineage progression. To construct this 3D environment, cells were differentiated between 2 coverslips. Initially, undifferentiated cells were plated onto DETA-coated coverslips at the bottom of 12-well plates. At 60% confluence, the culture medium was replaced with the pre-induction medium and then an unmodified glass coverslip was placed over the top of the cultured cells.

Cell flattening and spreading was observed in the 3D environment within 24 hrs (Figure 3B). After 24 hrs, the pre-induction medium was replaced with differentiation medium and there was a further increase in cell flattening (Figure 3C) but within 10 days cells began to form processes and the cell bodies slowly contracted. Process development and branching continued for 3 weeks. After 30 days, approximately 85% of the cells had elaborated an extensive network of processes (Figures 3D, 3E, 3F). The presence of PDGF was required for process formation, as in its absence cells progressed through initial differentiation stages but lost their multi-process morphology after 2 weeks. The presence of bFGF and EGF was not essential but resulted in increased branching and the development of highly elaborated processes (Figures 3G, 3H, 3I). The influence of bFGF and EGF on oligodendrocyte process regeneration has been previously reported (43, 44) and our results suggest a role for both growth factors in process formation *in vitro* as well.

Immunocytochemical analysis revealed that after 20 days of differentiation $81.8 \pm 6.6\%$ of cells expressed the oligodendroglial marker A2B5 and $80.6 \pm 2.9\%$ O4 (Figure 4C). At 30 days, $57.7 \pm 3.6\%$ of the cells stained positively for A2B5, 79.6 $\pm 2.9\%$ for O4, 42.1 $\pm 2.7\%$ for the committed oligodendrocyte marker O1 galactocerebroside and $15.2 \pm 0.5\%$ for MBP (Figures 4A, 4B, 4C). The 3D environment appeared to play a key role during differentiation, oligodendrocyte commitment and lineage progression. Even after the removal of NE from the differentiation medium after 20 days, the cells retained their differentiated morphology after an additional 10 days in culture.

This is in contrast to the cells in the 2D environment which appeared to de-differentiate after 20 days in culture and never expressed oligodendrocyte markers O1 and MBP. A direct comparison of the morphological differences over a 30 day period is shown in Figure 5.

The contribution of the surface chemistry of the top coverslip was also investigated as it has been shown to have a dramatic effect on cellular response and differentiation (30, 31, 45, 46). To determine the most appropriate 3D conditions for differentiation, the top glass coverslips were modified with various surface chemistries which promote or repel cell adhesion (Table 1). Unmodified glass coverslips were used as a control. To promote cell adhesion, the top coverslip was coated with a DETA monolayer. This environment, in which cells were attached to both top and bottom coverslips, produced initially good differentiation because DETA is a cell adhesion and cell survival promoting molecule. However, strong cell adhesion to both the top and bottom coverslips eventually caused increased cell death, probably due to damage from cell movement during feeding and evaluation.

For the inverse situation, the top coverslip was coated with polyethyleneglycol (PEG) or with a non-adhesive fluorinated silane (13F) monolayer. The PEG coating is hydrophilic and had a measured contact angle of $37 \pm 2^{\circ}$, but is a protein cell resistant surface, while 13F isa hydrophobic surface and had a measured contact angle of $88 \pm 2^{\circ}$. XPS analysis confirmed the presence of a monolayer for both surface modifications. The PEG coated top coverslips resulted in a lesser degree of differentiation and 13F coated coverslips triggered significant cell death. Thus it was determined that the glass coverslips controls were the most suitable top surfaces, as the cells did not adhere to the glass, and remained on the bottom DETA coated coverslips after the top was removed for analysis.

MLPCs Express Functional ARs in the 3D system

To investigate the role of adrenergic signaling mechanisms in oligodendrocyte differentiation from the MLPCs, immunocytochemical analysis was performed for expression of $α$ - and $β$ -ARs. The findings indicated that MLPCs already expressed $β$ 1-ARs on the cell surface before differentiation (Figure 6A). No expression of β2-ARs before or during differentiation was detected. Expression of α1-ARs was first observed at the nucleus and the intensity of staining significantly increased after treatment with pre-induction medium supplemented with bFGF, EGF and PDGF-AA (Figure 6B). Further analysis revealed that bFGF alone was able to increase nuclear expression of α 1-ARs (Figure 6C) in a time and dose dependent manner (results not shown). At day 15, the α1-ARs began to relocate to the cell surface. At day 30, differentiated cells expressed α1-ARs at the surface of cell bodies and to a lesser degree in the processes (Figure 6B). This surface expression was observed only in cells with a multi- process morphology, whereas in cells exhibiting a flat morphology, or undifferentiated cells, the α1-ARs remained at the nucleus. These results are consistent with recent findings demonstrating nuclear localization of α1-ARs, where a signal is transduced from the nucleus to the plasma membrane, providing a new model for α1-AR signaling (47, 48). In this previously published model, activation of nuclear α1-ARs resulted in localization of activated ERK at the plasma membrane. In our studies, the same initial expression patterns were noted in both the 2D and 3D systems, but the cells began to lose viability past day 20 in the 2D conditions.

Activation of Both α- and β-AR is Essential for Differentiation in the 3D system

To assess the role of each adrenergic receptor in the differentiation process, NE was substituted in the differentiation medium with equimolar concentrations of the β-AR agonist isoproterenol, the α1-AR agonist phenylephrine or with both agonists. Daily treatment with isoproterenol induced morphological changes, cell body contraction and formation of processes within the first 15 days. However, approximately 60% of the cells displayed a bipolar morphology resembling immature oligodendrocyte progenitors. Cells did not change their bipolar morphology within 30 days of differentiation (Figure 7C) and exhibited enhanced cell death. In order to characterize these cells, immunocytochemical analysis at day 30 was done. We observed that $57.9 \pm 4.9\%$ of the cells expressed A2B5 and 42.5 \pm

2.7% O4, however the cells were O1 and MBP negative (Figure 7G). These results demonstrated that stimulation of β-AR by isoproterenol induced the initial stages of differentiation. However, βAR treatment alone was not sufficient to direct the MLPCs into a more mature stage of differentiation.

Daily treatment of the MLPCs with the α1-AR agonist phenylephrine initially resulted in only modest effects. Good survival was observed but the majority of cells maintained a flat morphology. Within 15 days of differentiation approximately 40% of the cells began to develop processes, however at day 30, only 15% of the cells exhibited more mature morphology with developed processes. The majority of cells showed only partial process development and branching or maintained a flat morphology (Figure 7D). Immunocytochemical analysis at day 30 revealed that $40.5 \pm 3.4\%$ of cells stained positively for A2B5, 39.8 \pm 2.8% for O4 and 15.2 \pm 1.5% for O1 (Figure 7G). The results suggested that activation of α1-AR could play a role in more advanced stages of differentiation in which cells start to lose expression of A2B5 and begin to express O1.

Daily treatments of cells with both isoproterenol and phenylephrine resulted in formation of multiple processes and the treated cells became morphologically similar to those treated with NE (Figures 7A and 7B). At day 30, $48.7 \pm 4.9\%$ of the cells stained positively for A2B5, $50.2 \pm 1.1\%$ for O4, $28.9 \pm 5.2\%$ for O1 and $9.7 \pm 0.7\%$ for MBP (Figures 7F, 7G). The results indicate that stimulation of both the α 1- and β -ARs is required for optimal differentiation. This suggests a close interplay between both ARs, ultimately resulting in the expression of genes essential for oligodendrocyte development.

Human umbilical cord stem cells are a highly promising source of cells for tissue regeneration (49). Compared to adult stem cells obtained from bone marrow, these cells are immature and elicit a low incidence of graft rejection, graft-versus-host disease and low post transplant infections (49). Besides this biological advantage, these stem cells are abundantly available and routinely harvested without risk to the donor (50). Compared to embryonic stem cells obtained from human embryos, human umbilical cord stem cells are not only more easily obtainable, but also may be more suitable for cellular therapeutics. Embryonic stem cells have been associated with reports of the uncontrollable development of teratomas in a syngeneic transplantation model, imprinting-related developmental abnormalities and ethical issues. Human umbilical cord stem cells, readily available and differentiated by environmental signals, offer some potential therapeutic advantages.

This study has indicated that MLPCs display extreme sensitivity to their environment. Their fate depends not only on soluble factors but also on the surrounding physical cues. The combination of these external signals altered the cell morphology and fate decision to differentiate along the oligodendrocyte linage. Electron microscopy studies have provided evidence for noradrenergic control of the oligodendroglial and astroglial cells throughout the cortex (18). Oligodendrocytes were the major target of the noradrenergic fibers, exhibiting a light thickening at the sites of contact. It was reported that oligodendrocytes expressed a1 and β-ARs and their activation by NE accelerated differentiation of the oligodendrocyte precursors (19, 20, 22). In spite of this, there are no known studies using NE as a key factor to induce differentiation of stem cells into oligodendrocytes. To explore this possibility, MLPCs were analyzed for expression of ARs, and it was found that the undifferentiated cells expressed both $α1-ARs$ and $β1-ARs$. The $β1-ARs$ were localized on the surface before and during the differentiation. Surprisingly, typical surface expression of the α1-ARs was not observed; instead, the α1-ARs were localized at the nucleus. The intensity of nuclear staining significantly increased after treatment with bFGF. However, as the cells exhibited a more differentiated phenotype after 15 days of differentiation, relocation of α1-ARs to the surface was observed. Nuclear localization of α1-ARs is consistent with a recently proposed

Differentiation was initiated by the transfer of MLPCs into the differentiation medium in a 2D environment. The differentiation medium contained NE along with forskolin, K252a, heparin, PDGF-AA, bFGF and EGF. Within 8 days process formation was observed and immunocytochemical analysis indicated a positive reactivity to A2B5 and O4 primary antibodies. In spite of this, cells did not progress further along the oligodendrocyte lineage. After 2 weeks, cells exhibited bipolar and spindle like morphology and remained A2B5 and O4 positive but O1 negative, and prolonged differentiation time significantly increased cell death.

A 3D microenvironment was constructed to combine chemical and physical cues and further influence a lineage commitment. The MLPCs responded to the 3D environment initially by cell flattening and later, within 2 weeks, formation of processes. At 30 days, $42.1 \pm 2.7\%$ of cells expressed the O1 antigen, indicating terminally differentiated oligodendrocytes, and $15.2 \pm 0.5\%$ of the cells expressed MBP with increased cell survival. The differentiated cells survived for more than 40 days in culture. It has been demonstrated that stimulation of β-ARs induces differentiation through an increase in intracellular cAMP and through the activation of proteins known to be involved in cell cycle arrest (19). There are also studies revealing roles of p38MAPK and Erk1/2 in differentiation of oligodendrocyte progenitors (51). Both ARs can activate p38MAPK while Erk1/2 is a downstream target of α1-AR. It was shown in these studies that p38MAPK activity was required for the progression of bipolar early progenitors (A2B5+, O4−) to multipolar late progenitors (O4+, O1−), and Erk1/2 activity was essential for progression of late progenitors to oligodendrocytes (52).

Our results indicated that the majority of cells treated with the β-AR agonist isoproterenol remained bipolar even after 30 days of differentiation. Immunocytochemical analysis indicated differentiation arrest at the stage where A2B5+ cells begin to express O4, perhaps due to insufficient activation of Erk1/2 signaling. In contrast, cells treated with the α1-AR agonist phenylephrine showed higher survival and after 30 days of differentiation 15.2 \pm 1.5% of cells had progressed to the O1+ stage, possibly due to increased stimulation of the Erk1/2 signaling pathway. However, simultaneous activation of both receptors by NE, or by both agonists, was the best strategy, possibly by supplying the optimal cAMP levels and stimulating essential signaling pathways engaged in the close interplay during differentiation.

This study demonstrated the significance of cellular microenvironment as a driving aspect in human stem cell differentiation. A 3D environment was constructed and novel signaling pathways were utilized to induce differentiation of MLPCs, whereas neither condition alone produced functional differentiation. The mechanical cues in combination with soluble factors influenced the progression of MLPCs along the oligodendrocyte lineage. Future studies will expand our understanding of the role of microenvironment and noradrenergic signaling in the oligodendrocyte differentiation and will determine the cells applicability in studying and treating conditions such as MS, neuropathies and in traumatic brain injury. However, it is reasonable to assume that this methodology would be directly applicable to SOX1 positive cells of neuroepithelial origin and possible other stem cells as well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Modification of Surfaces

Glass coverslips were cleaned using HCl/methanol (1:1), soaked in concentrated H_2SO_4 for 30 min then rinsed in double deionized H_2O . Coverslips were then boiled in deionized water, rinsed with acetone and oven dried. The trimethoxysilylpropyldiethylenetriamine (DETA, United Chemical Technologies), tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydroctyl- 1 trichlorosilane (13F, Gelest) and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG, Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) monolayers were formed by the reaction of the cleaned surfaces with a 0.1% (v/ v) mixture of the organosilane in toluene (Fisher T2904). The DETA coverslips were heated to just below the boiling point of toluene for 30 min, and then rinsed with toluene, reheated to just below the boiling temperature, and then oven dried. Surfaces were characterized by contact angle and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy methods as described previously (46).

Cell Culture

MLPCs, human umbilical cord blood-derived clonal cell lines, passage 5, were purchased from BioE Inc., St. Paul, MN [\(http://www.bioe.com](http://www.bioe.com)). The company describes the cells as CD34, CD45, SSEA-3, SSEA-4, STRO-1, SCF, CD9, and CD133 positive early in culture. They also indicate that they are a unique phenotype since they are CD34 and CD45 positive and differ morphologically from mesenchymal or hematopoietic stem cells. They have a normal and stable karyotype; they are capable of differentiation into all 3 embryonic layers and they have been cloned from a single cell. The cells were cultured in tissue-culture treated T-75 flasks and maintained in growth medium Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Media– high glucose (DMEM, Gibco BRL, Rockville, MD) with the addition of 15% fetal bovine serum (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, BC), and 1% Antibiotic Antimycotic (Gibco BRL) at 37 \degree C in humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The medium was changed every 3–4 days. Cells were passaged by trypsinization (0.05% trypsin/EDTA solution; Gibco BRL) upon reaching 60% confluence and replated at a 1:3 ratio. Passage 8 was used for the experiments unless otherwise indicated.

Induction of Oligodendrocyte Differentiation

The MLPCs were seeded on DETA-coated glass coverslips (18 mm) at a density of 4×10^{3} cells/cm² in growth medium. After 3 days, at 60% confluency, cells were incubated for 24 hours in pre-induction medium, which consisted of DMEM, 15% FBS, FGF-2, EGF (10 ng/ ml each, R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and PDGF-AA (10 ng/ml, Chemicon International, Temecula, CA). To initiate differentiation, the pre-induction medium was removed, the cells were washed 2x with Hanks' balanced salts solution (Gibco BRL) and transferred to serum free differentiation medium. Differentiation medium was composed of DMEM, N2 supplement (1%, Gibco BRL), 10 μM forskolin, 5 U/ml heparin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 5 nM K252a, FGF-2, EGF, PDGF-AA (10 ng/ml each) and 20 μM NE (Sigma). The differentiation medium was changed every other day, while NE was added daily. For agonist experiments, norepinephrine was substituted with either the α1 adrenoceptor agonist isoproterenol or the β-receptor agonist isoproterenol (20 μM each, Sigma).

Construction of 3D Environment

MLPC were plated on DETA-coated glass coverslips (18 mm) in growth medium. At 60% confluence, growth medium was replaced with pre-induction medium. After the medium replacement, another set of coverslips was placed on the top of the cells. Prior to the placement, top coverslips were ethanol sterilized and washed in the pre-induction medium. After 24 hours the pre-induction medium was removed, the cells were washed 2x with

Hanks' Balanced Salts Solution and transferred to serum free differentiation medium. The differentiation medium was changed every other day and NE was added daily.

Morphological Analysis

Phase-contrast images were taken with a commercial Nikon Coolpix 990 camera using the Zeiss Axiovert S100 microscope. Pictures were analyzed using Scion Image Software (Scion Corp., Frederick, MD).

Immunocytochemistry

To characterize cells by immunocytochemistry, the top coverslips, if present, were first carefully removed. Cells were then briefly washed with Hanks' balanced salts solution and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 18 min. Fixed cells were stored in PBS, permaebilized with 0.5 % Triton 100x in PBS for 7 min, blocked with 5% donkey serum for 1 hr, followed by incubation with primary antibody overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were mouse monoclonal A2B5 (MAB312, 1:250), O4 (MAB345, 1:100), O1 (MAB344, 1:200), MBP (1:25), rabbit polyclonal anti-galactocerebroside (AB142, 1:200), all from Chemicon, mouse monoclonal beta-2-AR (sc-81577, 1:200), rabbit polyclonal beta-1AR (sc-567, 1:250,) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, and alpha-1-AR (ab3462, 1:1000, Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA). Following a PBS washing, cells were incubated with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG or Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG for 2 hours at room temperature. After a PBS washing, the coverslips were mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (H1000, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) onto slides. For visualizing cellular nuclei, the specimens were counterstained with DAPI. Immunoreactivity was observed and analyzed by using an Ultra VIEW™LCI confocal imaging system (Perkin Elmer).

Quantification

The morphological and immunocytochemical quantification was performed on undifferentiated stem cells or cells during various differentiation stages. For each coverslip, at least 10 pictures were taken from randomly chosen views under 20x magnification. All the marker-positive cells were counted, as well as the total number of cells in these views. At least three coverslips in each group were quantified and data were expressed as average \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical differences between different experimental groups were analyzed one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) test and independent Student's t-test.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this research was provided by NIH grant number R01-NS050452.

ABBREVIATIONS

References

- 1. Bunge MB, Bunge RP, Pappas GD. Electron microscopic demonstration of connections between glia and myelin sheaths in the developing mammalian central nervous system. J Cell Biol. 1962; 12:448–453. [PubMed: 13874658]
- 2. Bunge RP. Glial cells and the central myelin sheath. Physiol Rev. 1968; 48:197–251. [PubMed: 4866614]
- 3. Hirano A. A confirmation of the oligodendroglial origin of myelin in the adult rat. J Cell Biol. 1968; 38:637–640. [PubMed: 5664230]
- 4. Kessaris N, Pringle N, Richardson WD. Specification of CNS glia from neural stem cells in the embryonic neuroepithelium. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2008; 363:71–85. [PubMed: 17282992]
- 5. Orentas DM, Miller RH. Regulation of oligodendrocyte development. Mol Neurobiol. 1998; 18:247–259. [PubMed: 10206471]
- 6. Peters A. Observations on the Connexions between Myelin Sheaths and Glial Cells in the Optic Nerves of Young Rats. J Anat. 1964; 98:125–134. [PubMed: 14109808]
- 7. LeVine SM, Goldman JE. Embryonic divergence of oligodendrocyte and astrocyte lineages in developing rat cerebrum. J Neurosci. 1988; 8:3992–4006. [PubMed: 3054008]
- 8. Noll E, Miller RH. Oligodendrocyte precursors originate at the ventral ventricular zone dorsal to the ventral midline region in the embryonic rat spinal cord. Development. 1993; 118:563–573. [PubMed: 8223279]
- 9. Warf BC, Fok-Seang J, Miller RH. Evidence for the ventral origin of oligodendrocyte precursors in the rat spinal cord. J Neurosci. 1991; 11:2477–2488. [PubMed: 1869925]
- 10. Bansal R, Pfeiffer SE. Novel stage in the oligodendrocyte lineage defined by reactivity of progenitors with R-mAb prior to O1 anti-galactocerebroside. J Neurosci Res. 1992; 32:309–316. [PubMed: 1433382]
- 11. Curtis R, Cohen J, Fok-Seang J, Hanley MR, Gregson NA, Reynolds R, Wilkin GP. Development of macroglial cells in rat cerebellum. I. Use of antibodies to follow early in vivo development and migration of oligodendrocytes. J Neurocytol. 1988; 17:43–54. [PubMed: 3047324]
- 12. Pfeiffer SE, Warrington AE, Bansal R. The oligodendrocyte and its many cellular processes. Trends Cell Biol. 1993; 3:191–197. [PubMed: 14731493]
- 13. Sternberger NH, del Cerro C, Kies MW, Herndon RM. Immunocytochemistry of myelin basic proteins in adult rat oligodendroglia. J Neuroimmunol. 1985; 7:355–363. [PubMed: 2579094]
- 14. Volpe, JJ. Neurology of the Newborn. 5. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2008.

- 15. Miller FD. Riding the waves: neural and nonneural origins for mesenchymal stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2007; 1:129–130. [PubMed: 18371342]
- 16. Takashima Y, Era T, Nakao K, Kondo S, Kasuga M, Smith AG, Nishikawa S. Neuroepithelial cells supply an initial transient wave of MSC differentiation. Cell. 2007; 129:1377–1388. [PubMed: 17604725]
- 17. van de Ven C, Collins D, Bradley MB, Morris E, Cairo MS. The potential of umbilical cord blood multipotent stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissue and cell regeneration. Exp Hematol. 2007; 35:1753–1765. [PubMed: 17949892]
- 18. Paspalas CD, Papadopoulos GC. Ultrastructural relationships between noradrenergic nerve fibers and non-neuronal elements in the rat cerebral cortex. Glia. 1996; 17:133–146. [PubMed: 8776580]
- 19. Ghiani CA, Eisen AM, Yuan X, DePinho RA, McBain CJ, Gallo V. Neurotransmitter receptor activation triggers p27(Kip1)and p21(CIP1) accumulation and G1 cell cycle arrest in oligodendrocyte progenitors. Development. 1999; 126:1077–1090. [PubMed: 9927607]
- 20. Khorchid A, Cui Q, Molina-Holgado E, Almazan G. Developmental regulation of alpha 1Aadrenoceptor function in rat brain oligodendrocyte cultures. Neuropharmacology. 2002; 42:685– 696. [PubMed: 11985827]
- 21. Khorchid A, Larocca JN, Almazan G. Characterization of the signal transduction pathways mediating noradrenaline-stimulated MAPK activation and c-fos expression in oligodendrocyte progenitors. J Neurosci Res. 1999; 58:765–778. [PubMed: 10583908]
- 22. Ventimiglia R, Greene MI, Geller HM. Localization of beta-adrenergic receptors on differentiated cells of the central nervous system in culture. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1987; 84:5073–5077. [PubMed: 3037533]
- 23. Asotra K, Macklin WB. Protein kinase C activity modulates myelin gene expression in enriched oligodendrocytes. J Neurosci Res. 1993; 34:571–588. [PubMed: 7683060]
- 24. Cohen RI, Almazan G. Norepinephrine-stimulated PI hydrolysis in oligodendrocytes is mediated by alpha 1A-adrenoceptors. Neuroreport. 1993; 4:1115–1118. [PubMed: 8106008]
- 25. Burdick JA, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Review: Engineered Microenvironments for Controlled Stem Cell Differentiation. Tissue Eng Part A. 2009; 15:205–219. [PubMed: 18694293]
- 26. Vogel V, Sheetz M. Local force and geometry sensing regulate cell functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006; 7:265–275. [PubMed: 16607289]
- 27. Rosenberg SS, Kelland EE, Tokar E, De la Torre AR, Chan JR. The geometric and spatial constraints of the microenvironment induce oligodendrocyte differentiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:14662–14667. [PubMed: 18787118]
- 28. Das M, Bhargava N, Gregory C, Riedel L, Molnar P, Hickman JJ. Adult rat spinal cord culture on an organosilane surface in a novel serum-free medium. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim. 2005; 41:343–348. [PubMed: 16448224]
- 29. Schaffner AE, Barker JL, Stenger DA, Hickman JJ. Investigation of the factors necessary for growth of hippocampal neurons in a defined system. J Neurosci Methods. 1995; 62:111–119. [PubMed: 8750092]
- 30. Spargo BJ, Testoff MA, Nielsen TB, Stenger DA, Hickman JJ, Rudolph AS. Spatially controlled adhesion, spreading, and differentiation of endothelial cells on self-assembled molecular monolayers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994; 91:11070–11074. [PubMed: 7972011]
- 31. Stenger DA, Pike CJ, Hickman JJ, Cotman CW. Surface determinants of neuronal survival and growth on self-assembled monolayers in culture. Brain Res. 1993; 630:136–147. [PubMed: 8118680]
- 32. Varghese K, Das M, Bhargava N, Stancescu M, Molnar P, Kindy MS, Hickman JJ. Regeneration and characterization of adult mouse hippocampal neurons in a defined in vitro system. J Neurosci Methods. 2009; 177:51–59. [PubMed: 18955083]
- 33. Das M, Molnar P, Devaraj H, Poeta M, Hickman J. Electrophysiological and morphological characterization of rat embryonic motoneurons in a defined system. Biotechnology Progress. 2003; 19:1756–1761. [PubMed: 14656152]
- 34. Ravenscroft MS, Bateman KE, Shaffer KM, Schessler HM, Jung DR, Schneider TW, Montgomery CB, Custer TL, Schaffner AE, Liu QY, Li YX, Barker JL, Hickman JJ. Developmental

neurobiology implications from fabrication and analysis of hippocampal neuronal networks on patterned silane- modified surfaces. J Am Chem Soc. 1998; 120:12169–12177.

- 35. Eisenberg T, Knauer H, Schauer A, Büttner S, Ruckenstuhl C, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Ring J, Schroeder S, Magnes C, Antonacci L, Fussi H, Deszcz L, Hartl R, Schraml E, Criollo A, Megalou E, Weiskopf D, Laun P, Heeren G, Breitenbach M, Grubeck-Loebenstein B, Herker E, Fahrenkrog B, Fröhlich K-U, Sinner F, Tavernarakis N, Minois N, Kroemer G, Madeo F. Induction of autophagy by spermidine promotes longevity. Nature Cell Biology. 2009; 11:1305–1314.
- 36. Kaeberein M. Spermidine surprise for a long life. Nature Cell Biology. 2009; 11:1277–1278.
- 37. Hu BY, Du ZW, Li XJ, Ayala M, Zhang SC. Human oligodendrocytes from embryonic stem cells: conserved SHH signaling networks and divergent FGF effects. Development. 2009; 136:1443– 1452. [PubMed: 19363151]
- 38. Liu S, Qu Y, Stewart TJ, Howard MJ, Chakrabortty S, Holekamp TF, McDonald JW. Embryonic stem cells differentiate into oligodendrocytes and myelinate in culture and after spinal cord transplantation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:6126–6131. [PubMed: 10823956]
- 39. Nistor GI, Totoiu MO, Haque N, Carpenter MK, Keirstead HS. Human embryonic stem cells differentiate into oligodendrocytes in high purity and myelinate after spinal cord transplantation. Glia. 2005; 49:385–396. [PubMed: 15538751]
- 40. Rogister B, Ben-Hur T, Dubois-Dalcq M. From neural stem cells to myelinating oligodendrocytes. Mol Cell Neurosci. 1999; 14:287–300. [PubMed: 10588385]
- 41. Behar TN. Analysis of fractal dimension of O2A glial cells differentiating in vitro. Methods. 2001; 24:331–339. [PubMed: 11465998]
- 42. Pringle NP, Yu WP, Guthrie S, Roelink H, Lumsden A, Peterson AC, Richardson WD. Determination of neuroepithelial cell fate: induction of the oligodendrocyte lineage by ventral midline cells and sonic hedgehog. Dev Biol. 1996; 177:30–42. [PubMed: 8660874]
- 43. Gogate N, Verma L, Zhou JM, Milward E, Rusten R, O'Connor M, Kufta C, Kim J, Hudson L, Dubois-Dalcq M. Plasticity in the adult human oligodendrocyte lineage. J Neurosci. 1994; 14:4571–4587. [PubMed: 7519254]
- 44. Knapp PE, Adams MH. Epidermal growth factor promotes oligodendrocyte process formation and regrowth after injury. Exp Cell Res. 2004; 296:135–144. [PubMed: 15149844]
- 45. Ravenscroft-Chang MS, Stohlman JM, Molnar P, Natarajan A, Canavan HE, Teliska M, Stancescu M, Krauthamer V, Hickman JJ. Altered calcium dynamics in cardiac cells grown on silanemodified surfaces. Biomaterials. 2010; 31:602–607. [PubMed: 19828193]
- 46. Hickman JJ, Bhatia SK, Shoen P, Pike C, Cotman CW, Stenger DA. Rational Pattern Design for In Vitro Cellular Networks. J Vac Sci Tech A. 1994; 12:607–616.
- 47. Huang Y, Wright CD, Merkwan CL, Baye NL, Liang Q, Simpson PC, O'Connell TD. An alpha1A-adrenergic-extracellular signal-regulated kinase survival signaling pathway in cardiac myocytes. Circulation. 2007; 115:763–772. [PubMed: 17283256]
- 48. Wright CD, Chen Q, Baye NL, Huang Y, Healy CL, Kasinathan S, O'Connell TD. Nuclear alpha1 adrenergic receptors signal activated ERK localization to caveolae in adult cardiac myocytes. Circ Res. 2008; 103:992–1000. [PubMed: 18802028]
- 49. El-Badri NS, Hakki A, Saporta S, Liang X, Madhusodanan S, Willing AE, Sanberg CD, Sanberg PR. Cord blood mesenchymal stem cells: Potential use in neurological disorders. Stem Cells Dev. 2006; 15:497–506. [PubMed: 16978054]
- 50. Kögler G, Sensken S, Airey JA, Trapp T, Müschen M, Feldhahn N, Liedtke S, Sorg RV, Fischer J, Rosenbaum C, Greschat S, Knipper A, Bender J, Degistirici O, Gao J, Caplan AI, Colletti EJ, Almeida-Porada G, Müller HW, Zanjani E, Wernet P. A new human somatic stem cell from placental cord blood with intrinsic pluripotent differentiation potential. J Exp Med. 2004; 200:123–135. [PubMed: 15263023]
- 51. Bhat NR, Zhang P, Mohanty SB. p38 MAP kinase regulation of oligodendrocyte differentiation with CREB as a potential target. Neurochem Res. 2007; 32:293–302. [PubMed: 17235686]
- 52. Baron W, Metz B, Bansal R, Hoekstra D, de Vries H. PDGF and FGF-2 signaling in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells: regulation of proliferation and differentiation by multiple intracellular signaling pathways. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2000; 15:314–329. [PubMed: 10736207]

Davis et al. Page 13

Figure 1.

Immunocytochemical Analysis of Untreated MLPC Suggest Neuroepithelial Origin. Untreated MLPCs expressed the neuroepithelial marker Sox-1, stained positively for PDGFR-α, PDGFR-β and negatively for A2B5. Scale bar, 100 μm, (20x magnification).

Figure 2.

Phase Contrast Images and Immunocytochemical Analysis of Differentiating MLPCs in 2D Environment. **(a)** Undifferentiated MLPCs (Und) exhibited fibroblast morphology. Cells at 15 days in differentiation medium without NE, forskolin (For) or K252a, retained their fibroblast morphology. Process formation was visible in the medium without growth factors PDGF-AA, EGF and bFGF (PEF). Refractile cell bodies and increased process formation were observed in the presence of all factors indicated above for days 15–20. Cells lost their multipolar morphology and became bipolar or spindle shaped after about day 20–24. Scale bars, 100 μm, (20x and 40x magnification). **(b)** Immunocytochemical analysis of differentiating MLPC in 2D environment. The untreated MLPCs showed negative staining for A2B5 and faint staining for O4. At 15 days of differentiation, cells exhibited positive staining for A2B5 and O4, characteristics of immature oligodendrocyte precursor cells. Scale bars, 100 μm, (Rows 1 and 2, 20x magnification and Row 3, 40x magnification). **(c)** MLPCs do not differentiate into committed oligodendrocytes in 2D environment. At 8 days of differentiation, 72.4% of cells were positive for A2B5 and 69.9% for O4 and at day 15 70.3% of MLPC exhibited positive staining for A2B5 and 69.7% for O4. At 20 days, 35.0% of cells remained A2B5 positive and 49.7% O4 positive. Expression of O1 galactocerebroside and MBP was absent in both untreated and differentiating cells. Error bars represent the SD.

Davis et al. Page 15

Figure 3.

3D Environment Promotes Further Differentiation of MLPCs. **(A–F)** Phase contrast images of differentiating MLPCs. **(A)** The untreated cells displayed typical fibroblast morphology. **(B)** Cells exhibited flattening and spreading at 24 hrs in 3D environment. **(C)** Cells at 8 days in the differentiation medium displayed increased flattening. **(D, E, F)** At 30 days of differentiation, approximately 80% of cells revealed extensive processes. **(G–I)** Growth factors influenced the development of processes. **(G, H)** Immunostained cells displaying increased branching and development of processes in presence of bFGF and EGF. **(I)** Simple processes were observed in absence bFGF and EGF. Scale bars, $100 \mu m$, $(40x)$ magnification).

Davis et al. Page 16

Figure 4.

MLPCs Differentiate into Committed Oligodendrocytes in a 3D Environment. **(a)** Immunocytochemical analysis of differentiating MLPCs in a 3D environment. The untreated MLPCs indicated negative staining for A2B5, faint staining for O4 and negative staining for O1 galactocerebroside and MBP. At 30 days of differentiation, cells exhibited intensely positive staining for A2B5 and O4. Cells also expressed O1 galactocerebroside and MBP, characteristic of committed oligodendrocytes. Scale bars, 100 μm, (20x and 40x magnification). **(b)** Co-expression of O4 and galactocerebroside (GC) in the differentiated cells. At 30 days of differentiation, GC was expressed in O4 positive cells. Scale bars, 100 μm, (Row 1, 20x magnification and Row 2, 40x magnification). **(c)** The progression of differentiation in a 3D environment. At 20 days of differentiation 81.8% of cells expressed oligodendroglial markers A2B5 and 80.6% O4 and were negative for O1and MBP. At 30 days of differentiation, 57.7% of cells stained positively for A2B5, 79.6% for O4, 42.1% for committed oligodendrocyte marker O1 and 15.2% for MBP. Error bars represent the SD.

Figure 5.

Morphological comparison of differentiating cells in 3D and 2D environments. Differentiating MLPCs exhibit different morphologies in 3D and 2D environments over time. Cells displayed a formation of extensive processes at day 15 in both 2D and 3D environments. However, process development and branching was visible at day 30 in only the 3D environment. Differentiating cells lost their multipolar morphology and became bipolar or spindle shaped with decreased viability by day 30 in the 2D environment.

Figure 6.

Expression of α1- and β1-ARs. **(a)** Positive staining for β1-ARs in undifferentiated cells, cells pre-induced for 24 hrs with bFGF, EGF and PDGF-AA, and cells at 30 days of differentiation. Scale bar, 100 μm. **(b)** Nuclear expression of α1-ARs in undifferentiated cells, intensive nuclear staining in cells pre-induced for 24 hrs with bFGF, EGF and PDGF-AA, and surface expression at 30 days of differentiation. **(c)** Nuclear expression of α1-ARs in undifferentiated cells and evidence of a significant increase in staining intensity after 24 hrs treatment with bFGF alone. Scale bars, 100 μm, (40x magnification)

Figure 7.

Influence of ARs on Differentiation of MLPC into Oligodendrocytes. **(a)** Phase contrast images of cells at 30 days of differentiation, in the presence of NE, exhibited a complex multipolar morphology. **(b)** Cells differentiated for 30 days in the medium where NE was substituted by the β -AR agonist isoproterenol and the α 1-AR agonist phenylephrine. Cells were morphologically comparable to cells treated with NE. **(c)** Cells differentiated in the presence of the β-AR agonist isoproterenol frequently displayed bipolar morphology, resembling immature oligodendrocyte progenitors. **(d)** Substitution of NE by the α1-AR agonist phenylephrine resulted in mature morphology in 10% of cells. The remainder of the cells showed only partial process development or remained flat. **(e)** Cells differentiated for 30 days without activation of ARs by NE or AR-agonists continued to exhibit a mostly flat morphology. **(f)** Stimulation of both α1- and β1-ARs is required for optimal differentiation. Immunocytochemical analysis of cells differentiated for 30 days in the presence of β-AR agonist isoproterenol and the α1-AR agonist phenylephrine. Cells stained positively for A2B5, O4, O1 and MBP. Scale bars, 100 μm. **(g)** Comparison of differentiation levels achieved by activation of both ARs by NE, by both AR agonists (Iso+Phen), by β-AR by isoproterenol (Iso) and by α1-AR agonist phenylephrine (Phen). Error bars represent the SD.

Table 1

Percentage of cells developing processes in response to top coverslip modification as well as contact angles for each surface.

Data show mean \pm SD for three coverslips at day 20 and 30 of differentiation.

** No surviving cells were observed at day 30.