



Published in final edited form as:

J Invest Dermatol. 2012 December ; 132(12): 2735–2743. doi:10.1038/jid.2012.205.

Immunity to Sand Fly Salivary Protein LJM11 Modulates Host Response to Vector-Transmitted *Leishmania* Conferring Ulcer-Free Protection

Regis Gomes¹, Fabiano Oliveira¹, Clarissa Teixeira¹, Claudio Meneses¹, Dana C. Gilmore¹, Dia-Eldin Elnaiem², Shaden Kamhawi^{1,*}, and Jesus G. Valenzuela^{1,*}

¹Vector Molecular Biology Section, Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland 20852, USA

²Department of Zoology, University of Maryland Eastern Shore, Princess Anne, Maryland, 21853, USA

Abstract

Leishmania vaccines that protect against needle challenge fail against the potency of a *Leishmania*-infected sand fly transmission. Here, we demonstrate that intradermal immunization of mice with 500 ng of the sand fly salivary recombinant protein LJM11 (rLJM11) from *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, in the absence of adjuvant, induces long-lasting immunity that results in ulcer-free protection against *L. major* delivered by vector bites. This protection is antibody independent and abrogated by depletion of CD4⁺ T cells. Two weeks after challenge, early induction of IFN- γ specifically to rLJM11 correlates to diminished parasite replication in protected animals. At this time point, *Leishmania*-specific induction of IFN- γ in these mice is low in comparison to its high level in non-protected controls. We hypothesize that early control of parasites in a Th1 environment induced by immunity to LJM11 permits the slow development of *Leishmania*-specific immunity in the absence of open ulcers. *Leishmania*-specific immunity observed five weeks post-infection in rLJM11-immunized mice shows a two-fold increase over controls in the percentage of IFN- γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells. We propose LJM11 as an immunomodulator that drives an efficient and controlled protective immune response to a sand fly-transmitted *Leishmania* somewhat mimicking “leishmanization”-induced protective immunity but without its associated lesions.

INTRODUCTION

In vector-borne diseases, the contribution of vector saliva to pathogen establishment in mammalian hosts is largely ignored. *Leishmania* parasites are transmitted by phlebotomine sand flies and present as a wide range of clinical etiologies including visceral,

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

*Correspondence: jvalenzuela@niaid.nih.gov (tel. 301-402-1582; fax: 301-594-5373) or skamhawi@niaid.nih.gov (tel. 301-451-1082; fax: 301-594-5373).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

mucocutaneous, diffuse, and cutaneous leishmaniasis (WHO, 2010). Every time an infected sand fly takes a blood meal, it deposits parasites and saliva into the skin. Sand fly saliva is composed of novel and biologically active proteins (Valenzuela et al, 2004). Importantly, certain salivary proteins are immunogenic in different mammalian hosts, including humans (Collin et al, 2009; Gomes et al, 2008; Oliveira et al, 2006; Oliveira et al, 2008; Teixeira et al, 2010; Valenzuela et al, 2001). In rodent models of infection, immunity to sand fly saliva or salivary molecules confers protection against both cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis (Belkaid et al, 1998; Collin et al, 2009; Gomes et al, 2008; Kamhawi et al, 2000; Oliveira et al, 2008; Valenzuela et al, 2001). This protection results from the close proximity of salivary molecules to *Leishmania* at the site of an infective bite where immunity to a salivary molecule can adversely impact the parasites. Recently, it was shown that immunization with *LJM11* DNA, encoding a 43.2-kDa salivary protein from *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, induced delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response in mice and protected against needle challenge comprising *Leishmania major* plus *Lu. longipalpis* saliva (Xu et al, 2011).

Despite accumulating evidence regarding the immunogenicity of sand fly saliva, two questions vital to the concept of saliva-based vaccines remain unanswered. First, would immunity to a sand fly salivary protein be powerful enough against the virulence of vector-transmitted parasites (Peters et al, 2008; Peters et al, 2009; Rogers et al, 2004; Rogers et al, 2006)? And if so, what are the immune correlates for this protection?

In this work, we demonstrate that adjuvantless immunization with rLJM11 modulates the mammalian host immune response to virulent vector-transmitted *Leishmania* parasites, resulting in ulcer-free protection against cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and establishing a vital role for CD4⁺ T cells in LJM11-mediated protection.

RESULTS

Immunization with the Recombinant Salivary Protein LJM11 (rLJM11) in the Absence of Adjuvant Drives a Robust Th1 Immune Response

We produced rLJM11 in 293-HEK cells and purified it by HPLC (Figure 1a). The protein was soluble and had low endotoxin levels. Two weeks after the last immunization with 500 ng of rLJM11 in the absence of adjuvant, serum from rLJM11-immunized mice showed high levels of total IgG specific to rLJM11 with a high IgG2a:IgG1 ratio (Figure 1b). A robust rLJM11-specific cellular immune response characterized by IFN- γ production was observed upon *in vitro* stimulation of LNs and spleen cells with 20 μ g of rLJM11 (Figure 1c and 1d). Following 3 days of stimulation with rLJM11, 371 and 1263 pg/ml of IFN- γ was produced by LN and spleen cells of immunized mice, respectively; IL-10 was also induced at a lower level with 257 and 318 pg/ml detected from LNs and spleen cells, respectively; IL-4 was only detected from spleen cells (Figure 1d). Furthermore, exposure of rLJM11-immunized mice to uninfected sand fly bites showed a 4.5-fold increase ($p < 0.05$) in differential ear thickness compared with the BSA-immunized group 48 hr post bite (Figure 1e). Recovery of cells from mouse ears revealed a 2.7-fold greater number of CD4⁺ T cells at the bite site of rLJM11-immunized mice compared with BSA-immunized animals (Figure 1f).

Immunity Generated by rLJM11 Confers Ulcer-Free Protection against *Leishmania* Transmitted by Sand Fly Bites

Two weeks after challenge with *L. major*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* sand flies, mice immunized with rLJM11 controlled the infection, maintaining a significantly smaller number of parasites in LNs ($p < 0.01$) and ears ($p < 0.05$) compared with the BSA-immunized group (Figure 2a). The lower number of parasites correlated with absence of lesions in rLJM11-immunized mice compared with BSA-immunized animals (Figure 2a, panel). Upon stimulation with rLJM11, LN cells recovered from rLJM11-immunized mice 2 weeks after challenge showed a significant increase in IFN- γ production ($p < 0.05$) compared with BSA-immunized animals (Figure 2b), indicative of a specific Th1 cellular immune response to the salivary protein. IL-4 was not detected following stimulation with rLJM11 in either rLJM11-immunized or control mice. Surprisingly, when LN cells were stimulated with soluble *Leishmania* antigen (SLA) to test for specific parasite immune responses, we observed a greater amount of IFN- γ in BSA-immunized mice compared with rLJM11-immunized mice—the group that controlled parasite infection (Figure 2b). No significant differences were observed for IL-10 between these two groups, but IL-4 levels were greater (although in relatively small amount) in BSA-immunized animals compared with rLJM11-immunized animals (Figure 2b). Protection in rLJM11-immunized mice was maintained up to 5 weeks post challenge (Figure 2c), when the study had to be terminated due to extensive lesions observed in ears of the BSA-immunized group (Figure 2d, panel). Furthermore, the number of parasites was significantly lower in the LNs ($p < 0.001$) and ears ($p < 0.01$) of rLJM11-immunized mice compared with BSA-immunized mice 5 weeks post challenge (Figure 2d). It is important to note that the number of parasites detected in rLJM11-immunized mice, although considerable, did not manifest as open ulcers where none of the animals showed lesions throughout the follow-up period (Figure 3d, panel).

Immunization with rLJM11 Protects B Cell^{-/-} Mice against *L. major*-Infected Sand Fly Bites

To determine the importance of antibodies to LJM11-mediated protection, we challenged B cell^{-/-} mice immunized with either rLJM11 or BSA with *L. major*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* sand flies. As expected, B cell^{-/-} mice did not generate antibodies following immunization with rLJM11 (Figure 3a). Furthermore, rLJM11-immunized mice maintained the ability to induce a cellular immune response comparable to that of wild-type rLJM11-immunized mice demonstrated by a 3.2-fold increase ($p < 0.001$) in differential ear thickness compared with BSA-immunized B cell^{-/-} mice 48 hr after challenge with infected sand flies (Fig 3b). Importantly, rLJM11-immunized B cell^{-/-} mice controlled *L. major* infection at the same magnitude as wildtype rLJM11-immunized mice (Figure 3c). Of note, ears of rLJM11-immunized B cell^{-/-} mice were intact, with no tissue damage compared with those of the B cell^{-/-} BSA- or wild-type BSA-immunized groups, which presented typical CL lesions (data not shown). These data establish that antibodies are not required for LJM11-induced protection against vector-transmitted CL.

Depletion of CD4⁺ T Cells Abrogates Protection from *L. major* Conferred by Immunity to rLJM11

To determine the importance of CD4⁺ T cells in rLJM11-induced protection, we depleted CD4⁺ T cells from rLJM11-immunized mice 2 days before sand fly transmission and on a weekly basis thereafter. CD4⁺ T cells were absent from depleted mice as demonstrated at 48 hr and one week after exposure to *L. major*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* bites (Figure 4a). Of note, CD8⁺ T cells were unaffected by depletion of CD4⁺ T cells (Figure 4a). Following challenge with *L. major*-infected *Lu. longipalpis*, animals immunized with rLJM11 and treated with a control isotype antibody controlled the infection throughout the follow-up period (Figure 4b). In contrast, the protection was lost in rLJM11-immunized animals treated with anti-CD4 antibody, producing a disease outcome similar to that in animals immunized with BSA (Figure 4b).

Adjuvantless Immunization with rLJM11 Generates Long-Term Immunity that Protects Mice against Challenge by *L. major*-Infected *Lu. longipalpis* Sand Flies

To investigate the capacity of rLJM11 to generate long-term immunity, we evaluated the status of the immune response 5 months after the last immunization. LJM11-specific IgG antibody response was sustained in rLJM11-immunized mice (Figure 5a and 5b) and maintained a high IgG2a:IgG1 ratio (Figure 5b). More important, the cellular immune response of rLJM11-immunized mice was not compromised 5 months after the last immunization (Figure 5c). Examination of histologic sections of mouse ears 48 hr following challenge with infected flies showed an increase in ear thickness of rLJM11-immunized mice compared with BSA controls. A distinct cellular recruitment with intense lymphocytic infiltration characteristic of a DTH response was observed at the bite site in rLJM11-immunized mice (Figure 5d). Cells recovered *ex vivo* from the site of bite in rLJM11-immunized mice showed a considerable increase in frequency of CD4⁺ T cells producing either IFN- γ alone (single producers) or both IFN- γ and TNF- α (double producers) compared with controls (Figure 5e). The salient question remains whether long-term memory to rLJM11 is robust enough to confer protection against vector-transmitted CL. Figure 5f shows that rLJM11-immunized mice challenged with *L. major*-infected *Lu. longipalpis* sand flies 5 months after the last immunization display a solid protection from disease throughout the study period. Furthermore, the number of parasites 5 weeks post infection was significantly lower in ears of rLJM11-immunized mice, showing over a 3-log reduction ($p < 0.01$) compared with the BSA-immunized group (Figure 5g). Additionally, when cells were recovered from infected ears 5 weeks after infection and stimulated with SLA, 16% of CD4⁺ T cells produced IFN- γ in rLJM11-immunized mice compared with only 6% in control mice (Figure 5h). These data suggest that following exposure to parasites, rLJM11-immunized animals develop *Leishmania*-specific immunity in the absence of ulcers.

DISCUSSION

A vaccine that protects mice against needle challenge of *L. major* fails when challenged by sand fly-transmitted parasites (Peters et al, 2009; Rogers et al, 2004; Rogers et al, 2006). This highlights the virulence of vector-initiated *Leishmania* infections and the need to

identify new approaches or antigens to combat this neglected disease (Bethony et al, 2011; Rogers et al, 2004; Rogers et al, 2006). Here, we demonstrate that immunization with a defined salivary protein from *Lu. longipalpis*, LJM11, in the absence of adjuvant, modulates the host immune response to *Leishmania* parasites resulting in protection against challenge with infected sand flies.

Throughout the study we used a recent field isolate of *L. major* transmitted by vector bites, a highly virulent challenge. This highlights both the potency of the protection observed in rLJM11-immunized mice and the unusual severity of lesions in control groups. The potency of rLJM11-immunity is underscored by ulcer-free protection from CL observed in mice challenged up to 5 months following the last immunization. As a result of the lesion severity in control groups, mice were sacrificed 5 weeks post-infection. At this time point, live parasites were still observed in rLJM11-immunized mice despite the absence of ulcers. Further studies with longer follow-up periods are needed to assess the duration of LJM11-mediated protection from CL. Reassuringly, mice vaccinated with cDNA encoding LJM11 and challenged by needle were protected up to 12 weeks post-infection (Xu et al, 2011). Though, immunization with rLJM11 may not mimic the outcome of vaccination with LJM11 DNA, we previously demonstrated that immunization with the sand fly salivary gland homogenate, in which the dominant Th1 inducing protein is LJM11, in the absence of adjuvant, produced a sustained protection against CL 12 weeks post challenge (Xu et al, 2011). This argues in favor of long-lasting immunity by rLJM11 induced immunity in the absence of adjuvant.

The cornerstone of LJM11-mediated immunity is cellular where CD4⁺T cells producing IFN- γ or both IFN- γ and TNF- α were prominent in the ears and draining LN of rLJM11-immunized mice challenged with infected sand flies. Induction of multifunctional T cells that have been implicated in enhanced immunity to CL (Darrah et al, 2007) further emphasizes the significance of anti-saliva immunity in protection from leishmaniasis. The vital role of CD4⁺ T cells in LJM11-mediated protection is underlined by the abrogation of protection upon depletion of these cells. Despite the fact that we cannot distinguish saliva-specific from *Leishmania*-specific CD4⁺ T cells in this study, we can conclude that the mechanism of saliva-mediated protection is not independent from CD4⁺ T cells. If anything, this finding suggests that saliva-mediated protection is intricately connected to the development of *Leishmania*-specific immunity. Of note, in the absence of CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T cells do not play a major role in saliva-mediated protection from CL. Furthermore, antibodies are not required, suggesting that the protective effect is not related to antibody neutralization of LJM11.

Importantly, this protection was observed following immunization with a small amount (500 ng) of rLJM11 in the absence of adjuvant. LJM11 belongs to the Yellow family of proteins found exclusively in insects and only from sand fly saliva in its secreted form. The crystal structure of LJM11 displays a strong positive charge on one of its surfaces (Xu et al, 2011).

The induction of long term immunity by this protein in the absence of adjuvant is remarkable and represents an important feature in its consideration as a vaccine candidate. Part of the immunogenicity of this molecule may be due its exclusive presence in sand fly

saliva making it quite foreign to the mammalian immune system. Additionally, this molecule may be acting as a self-adjuvanting protein via its positively charged face that may promote interaction with cells of the innate immune system.

BSA-immunized mice challenged two weeks after the last immunization showed severe lesions that contained a large number of viable parasites despite the presence of a high level of *Leishmania* specific IFN- γ . The failure to control CL in the presence of such an elevated level of IFN- γ remains unexplained particularly in light of the low level of detectable IL-4. We cannot fully exclude that the observed low levels of IL-4 may have contributed to disease progression. Alternately, other regulatory molecules could be protecting the parasite and promoting disease. In comparison, the ulcer-free protection resulting from immunity to LJM11 in mice challenged two weeks after the last immunization is striking. The immune response in LNs 2 weeks after infection in these mice reflects a Th1 environment characterized by the induction of LJM11-specific IFN- γ in the absence of IL-4. We believe that, in contrast to the BSA group, parasite replication is controlled in rLJM11-immunized mice by a moderate induction of LJM11-specific IFN- γ . In a separate experiment, rLJM11-immunized mice challenged 5 months after the last vaccination maintained powerful protection from CL. Importantly, these mice demonstrated a robust *Leishmania*-specific immunity five weeks post-infection. This is important for long-lasting protection of rLJM11-immunized mice.

Leishmanization—the inoculation of virulent parasites—results in strong and durable immunity (Handman, 2001). This involves development of patent disease at the chosen site of inoculation followed by cure. Leishmanization was discontinued due to several factors, including development of adverse reactions in a proportion of “leishmanized” individuals and lack of reproducibility (Handman, 2001). Thus far leishmanization, the gold standard for protection in humans, is represented by mice that have healed their lesions (Peters et al, 2009). Immunization with rLJM11 provides a better alternative where rLJM11-immunized mice challenged by vector-transmission of virulent *L. major* develop *Leishmania*-specific immunity characterized by persistence of live parasites in the absence of CL lesions.

In conclusion, this work reveals several new aspects pertinent to understanding the potency and mechanism of sand fly saliva-mediated protection from leishmaniasis. We demonstrate that *i*) immunity to a single salivary molecule confers protection against vector-transmitted CL; *ii*) adjuvantless immunity generated by such a molecule is long-lasting, conferring undiminished protection in mice challenged 5 months after the last immunization; *iii*) protection from CL as a result of saliva-induced immunity is cell-mediated and dependent on CD4⁺ T cells; and *iv*) anti-saliva immunity leads to the development of a controlled ulcer-free *Leishmania*-specific immunity.

Materials and Methods

Animals

C57BL/6 mice were from Charles River Laboratories Inc. (Wilmington, MA). B cell-deficient [B^{-/-}](B10.129S2(B6)-Igh-6^{tm1Cgn}/j mice) were from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine).

Ethics Statement

All animal procedures were reviewed and approved by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Animal Care and Use Committee.

Sand Flies and Preparation of SGH

Lu. longipalpis sand flies, Jacobina strain, were reared at the Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, NIAID, NIH. Salivary glands (SG) were dissected from 5- to 7-day-old females and stored in PBS at -70°C . SGs were sonicated, centrifuged at $12,000\times g$ for 3 min.

Parasites

Leishmania major promastigotes (WR 2885 strain) were cultured in Schneider's medium supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 $\mu\text{l/ml}$ streptomycin. WR 2885 strain was typed at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research.

Production and Purification of Recombinant LJM11 Protein (rLJM11)

LJM11 DNA plasmids with a histidine tag were sent to the Protein Expression Laboratory at NCI-Frederick (Frederick, MD) for expression in HEK-293F cells. Expressed protein was purified as previously described (Collin et al, 2009) and by a GS2000SW molecular sieving column connected to a Dionex HPLC pump (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA). The protein was eluted using PBS, pH 7.2, at 1 ml/min and detected at 280 nm. Purity of the eluted rLJM11 was verified by separation on a 4–12% NuPAGE gel followed by silver staining using SilverQuest™ (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). The endotoxin level of the recombinant protein was measured using ToxinSensor™ Chromogenic LAL endotoxin assay kit (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ). The endotoxin level of used rLJM11 batches was below 20 EU/ml.

Exposure of Mice to Uninfected Sand Flies

Female flies (5–7 days old) were starved of sugar overnight and placed in plastic vials covered at one end with 0.25-mm nylon mesh. Mice exposure was performed as previously described (Kamhawi et al, 2000).

Immunization of Mice

Mice were immunized intradermally in the left ear, three times at 2-week intervals, with 10 μl containing 500 ng of either rLJM11 (without adjuvant) or bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS.

Sand Fly Infection and Transmission of *L. major* to Vaccinated Mice

Procyclic parasites were washed with PBS, centrifuged at 3500 RPM for 15 min, and counted. Infection of sand flies with *Leishmania* ($3 \times 10^6/\text{ml}$) was performed as described previously (Kamhawi et al, 2000). The sand flies were used for transmission on days 12 or 13 after infection.

Measurement of the DTH Response and Lesion Size

Measurements were obtained using a Vernier caliper (Mitutoyo Corp., Baltimore, MD). For DTH responses, the differential ear thickness for each group of mice was measured from values of the mean ear thickness 48 hr after exposure to sand fly bites subtracted from the mean ear thickness of the same mice prior to exposure; for *Leishmania* lesions, the largest thickness of an ear lesion was recorded.

Histologic Analysis

Mouse ears were fixed in 10% phosphate buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Sections (5 micron) were stained with hematoxylin-eosin at Histoserv Inc. (Germantown, MD)

ELISA

ELISA plates (Immulon4-Thermo; Waltham, MA) were coated overnight at 4°C with 2 µg/ml rLJM11. After washing and blocking with 4% BSA for 2 hr at room temperature, sera from immunized mice (1:50) were incubated for 1 hr at 37°C. After washing, plates were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Promega; Madison, WI), IgG1 (BD Biosciences; Sparks, MD), or IgG2a (BD Biosciences; Sparks, MD) antibody (1/1000). The reaction was revealed using alkaline phosphatase substrate (Promega; Madison, WI). Absorbance was recorded at 405 nm.

Western Blot Analysis

Western blot analysis for rLJM11 (10 µg) was performed as previously described (Valenzuela et al, 2001).

Ear Tissue Preparation

Ear tissue was processed as previously described (Belkaid et al, 1998; Peters et al, 2009). For *ex vivo* experiments 2 million cells were cultured for 4 hr with Brefeldin A (BD Golgi Plug; BD Pharmingen; Sparks, MD). For experiments involving overnight stimulation, 2 million cells were cultured with bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) generated as previously described (Lutz et al, 1999) with or without *Lu. longipalpis* SGH (2 pair/ml), rLJM11 (4 µg/ml), or SLA (100 µg/ml) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 18 hr. Brefeldin A was added during the last 4 hr of culture. Cell were then harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry

Parasite Quantification by Limiting Dilution Assay (LDA)

Parasite quantification was performed as previously described (Belkaid et al, 1998; Titus et al, 1985).

Flow Cytometry (FACS)

The following antibodies were used for cell staining: PerCP or FITC-labeled anti-CD4 (RM4-5 and GK1.5), PerCP-labeled anti-CD8 (53-6.7), APC-labeled anti-TCR-β (H57-597), FITC-labeled anti- IFN-γ (XMG 1.2), and PE-labeled anti-TNF-α (MP6-XT22). A minimum of 100,000 cells were acquired using a FACSCalibur cytometer (BD

Biosciences, Sparks, MD). Data were analyzed with Flow Jo software version 9.4.10 (Tree Star, Inc., Phoenix, AZ).

***In Vitro* Stimulation of LN Cells with rLJM11-Pulsed BMDCs**

At day 6, cultured BMDCs were pulsed overnight with 10 µg/ml of rLJM11. The day after, pulsed and naïve BMDCs were cultured in the presence of LN cells from mice immunized with rLJM11 or from naïve cells. Cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Supernatants were collected and analyzed by ELISA.

***In Vitro* Stimulation of Spleen Cells with rLJM11**

Spleen cells (5×10^6 /ml) were cultured in RPMI medium (Life Technologies; Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 100 U/ml of penicillin, 100 µg/ml of streptomycin, and 5×10^{-5} M of 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) in the presence of 20 µg/ml of rLJM11. Cells were incubated at 37 C with 5% CO₂ for 72 hr. Supernatants were collected and analyzed by ELISA.

Cytokine ELISA

LN and spleen cells from rLJM11-immunized mice or naïve mice were processed as mentioned above. After 72 hr of stimulation with rLJM11, IFN-γ, IL-10, and IL-4 were measured in supernatants using specific sandwich ELISA (BD Biosciences; Sparks, MD).

CD4⁺ T Cell Depletion

Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 mg of anti-mouse CD4 (L3T4) monoclonal antibody (GK 1.5 clone) or Rat anti-mouse IgG2b isotype, both from eBioscience (San Diego, CA) 2 days before challenge and weekly until the end of the experiment.

Statistical Analysis

The Student's two-tailed unpaired *t*-test was used for statistical analysis between two groups. Multiple groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test.

Acknowledgments

We thank Elvin Morales, Anika T. Haque, and Nathan Smith for their help with sand fly colonization. We thank David Sacks and Nathan Peters and members of the VMBS for critical comments and revision of the manuscript; Robert Gwadz and Thomas Wellems for continuous support; and NIAID intramural editor Brenda Rae Marshall for assistance. The study was funded by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health.

Because R.G., F.O., C.M., D.C.G., S.K., and J.G.V. are government employees and this is a government work, the work is in the public domain in the United States. Notwithstanding any other agreements, the NIH reserves the right to provide the work to PubMed Central for display and use by the public, and PubMed Central may tag or modify the work consistent with its customary practices. You can establish rights outside of the U.S. subject to a government use license.

ABBREVIATIONS

SG salivary glands

CL	cutaneous leishmaniasis
DTH	delayed-type hypersensitivity
HPLC	High pressure liquid chromatography
BSA	bovine serum albumin
IFN-γ	interferon gamma
IL-4	interleukin 4
IL10	interleukin 10
TNF	tumor necrosis factor
Th1	T cell helper type 1
SLA	soluble Leishmania antigen
B Cell^{-/-} Mice	B cell deficient mice
PBS	phosphate buffer saline
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
IgG	immunoglobulin G
LN	lymph nodes
FACS	flow cytometry
BMDC	bone marrow-derived dendritic cells

References

- Belkaid Y, Kamhawi S, Modi G, Valenzuela J, Noben-Trauth N, Rowton E, Ribeiro J, Sacks DL. Development of a natural model of cutaneous leishmaniasis: powerful effects of vector saliva and saliva preexposure on the long-term outcome of *Leishmania major* infection in the mouse ear dermis. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 1998; 188(10):1941–1953. [PubMed: 9815271]
- Bethony JM, Cole RN, Guo X, Kamhawi S, Lightowlers MW, Loukas A, Petri W, Reed S, Valenzuela JG, Hotez PJ. Vaccines to combat the neglected tropical diseases. *Immunol Rev*. 2011; 239(1):237–270. [PubMed: 21198676]
- Bethony JM, Cole RN, Guo X, Kamhawi S, Lightowlers MW, Loukas A, Petri W, Reed S, Valenzuela JG, Hotez PJ. Vaccines to combat the neglected tropical diseases. *Immunol Rev*. 2011; 239(1):237–270. [PubMed: 21198676]
- Collin N, Gomes R, Teixeira C, Cheng L, Laughinghouse A, Ward JM, Elnaiem DE, Fischer L, Valenzuela JG, Kamhawi S. Sand fly salivary proteins induce strong cellular immunity in a natural reservoir of visceral leishmaniasis with adverse consequences for *Leishmania*. *PLoS pathogens*. 2009; 5(5):e1000441. [PubMed: 19461875]
- Darrah PA, Patel DT, De Luca PM, Lindsay RW, Davey DF, Flynn BJ, Hoff ST, Andersen P, Reed SG, Morris SL, Roederer M, Seder RA. Multifunctional TH1 cells define a correlate of vaccine-mediated protection against *Leishmania major*. *Nat Med*. 2007; 13(7):843–850. [PubMed: 17558415]
- Gomes R, Teixeira C, Teixeira MJ, Oliveira F, Menezes MJ, Silva C, de Oliveira CI, Miranda JC, Elnaiem DE, Kamhawi S, Valenzuela JG, Brodskyn CI. Immunity to a salivary protein of a sand fly vector protects against the fatal outcome of visceral leishmaniasis in a hamster model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2008; 105(22):7845–7850. [PubMed: 18509051]

- Handman E. Leishmaniasis: current status of vaccine development. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2001; 14(2): 229–243. [PubMed: 11292637]
- Kamhawi S, Belkaid Y, Modi G, Rowton E, Sacks D. Protection against cutaneous leishmaniasis resulting from bites of uninfected sand flies. *Science.* 2000; 290(5495):1351–1354. [PubMed: 11082061]
- Lutz MB, Kukutsch N, Ogilvie AL, Rossner S, Koch F, Romani N, Schuler G. An advanced culture method for generating large quantities of highly pure dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow. *J Immunol Methods.* 1999; 223(1):77–92. [PubMed: 10037236]
- Oliveira F, Kamhawi S, Seitz AE, Pham VM, Guigal PM, Fischer L, Ward J, Valenzuela JG. From transcriptome to immunome: identification of DTH inducing proteins from a *Phlebotomus ariasi* salivary gland cDNA library. *Vaccine.* 2006; 24(3):374–390. [PubMed: 16154670]
- Oliveira F, Lawyer PG, Kamhawi S, Valenzuela JG. Immunity to distinct sand fly salivary proteins primes the anti-*Leishmania* immune response towards protection or exacerbation of disease. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases.* 2008; 2(4):e226. [PubMed: 18414648]
- Peters NC, Egen JG, Secundino N, Debrabant A, Kimblin N, Kamhawi S, Lawyer P, Fay MP, Germain RN, Sacks D. In vivo imaging reveals an essential role for neutrophils in leishmaniasis transmitted by sand flies. *Science.* 2008; 321(5891):970–974. [PubMed: 18703742]
- Peters NC, Kimblin N, Secundino N, Kamhawi S, Lawyer P, Sacks DL. Vector transmission of leishmania abrogates vaccine-induced protective immunity. *PLoS pathogens.* 2009; 5(6):e1000484. [PubMed: 19543375]
- Rogers ME, Ilg T, Nikolaev AV, Ferguson MA, Bates PA. Transmission of cutaneous leishmaniasis by sand flies is enhanced by regurgitation of fPPG. *Nature.* 2004; 430(6998):463–467. [PubMed: 15269771]
- Rogers ME, Sizova OV, Ferguson MA, Nikolaev AV, Bates PA. Synthetic glycovaccine protects against the bite of leishmania-infected sand flies. *J Infect Dis.* 2006; 194(4):512–518. [PubMed: 16845636]
- Teixeira C, Gomes R, Collin N, Reynoso D, Jochim R, Oliveira F, Seitz A, Elnaïem DE, Caldas A, de Souza AP, Brodskyn CI, de Oliveira CI, Mendonca I, Costa CH, Volf P, Barral A, Kamhawi S, Valenzuela JG. Discovery of markers of exposure specific to bites of *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, the vector of *Leishmania infantum chagasi* in Latin America. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases.* 2010; 4(3):e638. [PubMed: 20351786]
- Titus RG, Marchand M, Boon T, Louis JA. A limiting dilution assay for quantifying *Leishmania major* in tissues of infected mice. *Parasite Immunol.* 1985; 7(5):545–555. [PubMed: 3877902]
- Valenzuela JG, Belkaid Y, Garfield MK, Mendez S, Kamhawi S, Rowton ED, Sacks DL, Ribeiro JM. Toward a defined anti-*Leishmania* vaccine targeting vector antigens: characterization of a protective salivary protein. *The Journal of experimental medicine.* 2001; 194(3):331–342. [PubMed: 11489952]
- Valenzuela JG, Garfield M, Rowton ED, Pham VM. Identification of the most abundant secreted proteins from the salivary glands of the sand fly *Lutzomyia longipalpis*, vector of *Leishmania chagasi*. *J Exp Biol.* 2004; 207(Pt 21):3717–3729. [PubMed: 15371479]
- WHO. WHO Technical Report Series. 2010. www.WHO.int
- Xu X, Oliveira F, Chang BW, Collin N, Gomes R, Teixeira C, Reynoso D, Pham Vm, Elnaïem D-E, Kamhawi S, Ribeiro JMC, Valenzuela JG, Andersen JF. Structure and function of a “yellow” protein from saliva of the sand fly *Lutzomyia longipalpis* that confers protective immunity against *Leishmania major* infection. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.* 2011




Figure 1. Immunity Generated by Recombinant LJM11 Salivary Protein (rLJM11) is Polarized Toward a Th1 Response

(a) HPLC purification of rLJM11 (\downarrow). Inset: silver-stained gel of purified rLJM11. C57BL/6 mice were immunized with 500 ng of either rLJM11 or BSA in the left ear. (b) Total IgG levels against rLJM11 and the IgG2a:IgG1 ratio. IFN- γ , IL-10, and IL-4 production following *in vitro* stimulation of lymph node (LN, c) and (d) spleen cells with rLJM11. (e) DTH response in mice immunized with rLJM11 (■) or BSA (●). (f) The absolute number of CD4⁺ T cells per ear following sand fly challenge. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$. Data are representative of three independent experiments ($n = 5$).




Figure 2. Immunization with rLJM11 Protects Mice against *Leishmania major*-Infected *Lutzomyia longipalpis* Vector Challenge

(a) Parasite load from lymph nodes (LN) or ears of rLJM11- or BSA-immunized mice two weeks post-sand fly challenge. Panel: cutaneous lesions at this time point. (b) ELISA measurements of IFN- γ , IL-10, and IL-4 from LN cells of BSA or rLJM11-immunized mice after stimulation with SLA or rLJM11. (c) Lesion thickness in animals immunized with rLJM11 (■) or BSA (●) following challenge with 10 infected sand flies. (d) Parasite load 5 weeks after infected sand fly challenge in the LN and ears of BSA or rLJM11-immunized mice. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$. Cumulative data of two independent experiments are shown ($n = 5$).




Figure 3. LJM11-Immune Protection in B Cell^{-/-} Mice against Infected Sand Fly Challenge
 B cell^{-/-} and wild-type (WT) mice were immunized with rLJM11 or BSA in the left ear. (a) Western blot against rLJM11 in rLJM11-immunized mice. (b,c) Mice challenged with infected flies in the right ear 2 weeks after immunization. (b) DTH response 48 hr after challenge in rLJM11-immunized WT (■) or B cell^{-/-} (▲) mice and in BSA-immunized WT (●) or B cell^{-/-} (▼) mice. (c) Lesion thickness in rLJM11-immunized WT (■) or B cell^{-/-} (▲) mice and BSA-immunized WT (●) or B cell^{-/-} (▼) mice. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. Data representative of two independent experiments (n=5).




Figure 4. Depletion of CD4⁺ T Cells Abrogates Protection from Sand Fly-Transmitted *Leishmania* in rLJM11-Immunized Mice

Mice were immunized with rLJM11 or BSA in the left ear. Forty-eight hours before infected challenge and weekly thereafter rLJM11-immunized mice were treated with anti-CD4 (GK 1.5) or isotype (IgG2b). (a) CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in peripheral blood 48 hr and 1 week following challenge with infected sand flies. The frequency of cells is indicated on the corners of contour plots. (b) Lesion size of animals immunized with rLJM11 and treated with anti-CD4 (▲) or isotype (■) and in BSA-immunized mice (●). *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$. Cumulative data of two independent experiments are shown ($n = 5$).




Figure 5. rLJM11-immunization Induces Protective Long-Lasting Immunity against Vector-Transmitted *Leishmania*.

Mice were immunized with rLJM11 (■) or BSA (●) in the left ear and investigated 5 months later. (a) Western blot of rLJM11. (b) Total rLJM11-specific IgG and IgG2a:IgG1 ratio. (c) DTH response 48 hr after uninfected bites on the right ear. (d–h) Mice were challenged with infected bites. (d) H&E stained ear sections (400 \times). (e) Absolute number of CD4⁺ T cells per ear producing IFN- γ and TNF- α 48 hr after challenge. (f) Lesion thickness. (g) Parasite load 5 weeks after challenge. (h) Frequency of CD4⁺IFN- γ ⁺ cells 5 weeks after stimulation with SLA. ***, $p < 0.001$; **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$. Data are representative of two independent experiments ($n = 5$).