



Published in final edited form as:

*J Neurosci.* 2012 November 7; 32(45): 16018–16030. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1685-12.2012.

## ***Drosophila* Neuroligin 2 is Required Presynaptically and Postsynaptically for proper Synaptic Differentiation and Synaptic Transmission**

Yu-Chi Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Yong Qi Lin<sup>3</sup>, Swati Banerjee<sup>2,#</sup>, Koen Venken<sup>3</sup>, Jingjun Li<sup>2,5</sup>, Afshan Ismat<sup>2,@</sup>, Kuchuan Chen<sup>3</sup>, Lita Duraine<sup>3</sup>, Hugo J. Bellen<sup>3</sup>, and Manzoor A. Bhat<sup>1,2,4,5,#,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7545

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Physiology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7545

<sup>3</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Department of Neuroscience, Program in Developmental Biology, Neurological Research Institute at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA

<sup>4</sup>Curriculum in Neurobiology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7545

<sup>5</sup>UNC-Neuroscience Center, and Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7545

### **Abstract**

Trans-synaptic adhesion between Neurexins and Neuroligins is thought to be required for proper synapse organization and modulation, and mutations in several human *NEUROLIGINS* have shown association with autism spectrum disorders (ASD). Here we report the generation and phenotypic characterization of *Drosophila neuroligin 2 (dnlg2)* mutants. Loss of *dnlg2* results in reduced bouton numbers, aberrant pre- and post-synaptic development at neuromuscular junctions (NMJs), and impaired synaptic transmission. In *dnlg2* mutants, the evoked responses are decreased in amplitude, whereas the total active zone numbers at the NMJ are comparable to wild type, suggesting a decrease in the release probability. Ultrastructurally, the presynaptic active zone number per bouton area and the postsynaptic density area are both increased in *dnlg2* mutants, whereas the subsynaptic reticulum (SSR) is reduced in volume. We show that both pre- and post-synaptic expression of Dnlg2 is required to restore synaptic growth and function in *dnlg2* mutants. Post-synaptic expression of Dnlg2 in *dnlg2* mutants and wild type leads to reduced bouton growth whereas pre- and post-synaptic overexpression in wild type animals results in synaptic overgrowth. Since Neuroligins have been shown to bind to Neurexins, we created double mutants. These mutants are viable and display phenotypes that closely resemble those of *dnlg2* and *dnrx* single mutants. Our results provide compelling evidence that Dnlg2 functions both pre- and post-synaptically together with Neurexin to determine the proper number of boutons as well as the number of active zones and size of synaptic densities during the development of NMJs.

\*Address all correspondence to: Manzoor A. Bhat, Department of Physiology, University of Texas Health Science Center School of Medicine, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78229-3900, Tel: 210-567-4327, bhatm@uthscsa.edu.

#Department of Physiology, University of Texas Health Science Center, School of Medicine, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78229-3900

@Department of Cell Biology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205

**Conflict of Interest:** All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Keywords

Neural Development; Synapse; Synaptic transmission; Neuroligins; Neurexins

## Introduction

Synapses are the fundamental units of neural networks and exhibit tightly apposed pre- and post-synaptic areas that are enriched in cell adhesion molecules (Giagtzoglou et al., 2009). A group of synaptic adhesion proteins thought to orchestrate formation of the pre- and postsynaptic structures are the Neuroligins (Nlgs) and their binding partners Neurexins (Nrxs) (Craig and Kang, 2007; Sudhof, 2008). A growing body of evidence associates these molecules with Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD), as mutations in human *NLGs* were discovered in ASD patients (Jamain et al., 2003; Szatmari et al., 2007). Nlgs are a family of transmembrane proteins with an extracellular domain that displays homology to acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and localize to the postsynaptic membranes (Ichtchenko et al., 1995; Song et al., 1999). Nlgs form dimers and bind to Nrxs through this AChE-like domain. At the C-terminus, Nlgs have a PDZ (PSD-95, Dlg, and ZO-1) domain binding sequence motif which can interact with PDZ domain containing proteins (Song et al., 1999; Nourry et al., 2003) such as PSD-95 (Irie et al., 1997; Iida et al., 2004; Meyer et al., 2004).

Mammalian cell culture studies suggested that Nlgs play a role in synapse formation (Scheiffele et al., 2000; Dean et al., 2003; Chih et al., 2004; Nam and Chen, 2005). However, *in vivo* knockout studies of mouse Nlgs and Nrxs revealed normal synapse structure and numbers but defective synaptic transmission pointing to their role in synapse function (Missler et al., 2003; Varoqueaux et al., 2006), as opposed to synapse formation. To further analyze the Nlg/Nrx function, recent studies utilized *Drosophila* to circumvent the functional redundancy issues and address the function of these proteins *in vivo* (Li et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 2007; Banovic et al., 2010).

Genome analyses in *Drosophila* identify four Nlg-like proteins (CG31146, CG13772, CG34127, and CG34139) (Biswas et al., 2008; Banovic et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2011). We have been attempting to determine the role of CG13772 [*Drosophila* Neuroligin 2 (Dnlg2)], but during the final stages of preparation of this work, Sun et al., (2011) reported the characterization of a null mutation in *dnlg2*. Here we report the generation of an independent null allele of *dnlg2*. We show that loss of Dnlg2 results in reduced synaptic development and neurotransmission. The synaptic function of Dnlg2 is only restored when Dnlg2 is expressed both pre- and post-synaptically at the NMJs, unlike what was reported (Sun et al., 2011). Furthermore, post-synaptic overexpression of Dnlg2 causes reduction in bouton growth, whereas combined pre- and post-synaptic overexpression leads to synaptic bouton overgrowth. We show that double mutants of *dnlg2* and *dnlx* (Li et al., 2007) are fully viable and display phenotypes that resemble *dnlg2* and *dnlx* single mutants. We therefore reach different conclusions than Sun et al. (2011). Our results reveal that Dnlg2 is required pre- and post-synaptically for synapse development and function at NMJs, and that both proteins largely affect the same biological processes *in vivo*, i. e., determining the proper number of active zones and the size of the presynaptic densities.

## Experimental Procedures

### Cloning of *dnlg2* Full-Length cDNA

A PCR fragment was amplified from fly genomic DNA based on sequence homology with the vertebrate *Neuroligin-1*. The PCR fragment was radiolabeled to screen a *Drosophila* 0–20 hr embryonic cDNA library. Overlapping partial cDNA clones were isolated, sequenced,

and compiled as into a full-length cDNA sequence of 4195 base pairs encoding an open reading frame of 1248 amino acids. This cDNA corresponds to *dnlg2*. The GenBank accession number of *dnlg2* sequence is AAF52450.

### In Situ Hybridization

PCR amplified DNA fragments from the 3' region of *dnlg2* cDNA were amplified and labeled with digoxigenin-UTP (Roche) as sense and anti-sense probes and used for *in situ* hybridization following standard protocols (Kearney et al., 2004).

### Production and purification of Dnlg2 Antibody

Guinea pig polyclonal antibodies against Dnlg2 were generated using a recombinant protein containing the cytoplasmic region of Dnlg2 fused with GST at the N terminus (GST-Dnlg2-CT). The serum was affinity purified after passing it through a GST-Sepharose column followed by binding with GST-Dnlg2-CT-Sepharose. The purified antibody was used at a dilution of 1:50 for immunostaining and 1:100 for immunoblot analysis.

### Generation of *dnlg2* Mutants

*dnlg2* null alleles were generated by targeted deletion using FLP-FRT recombination (Parks et al., 2004; Thibault et al., 2004). A *P* insertion upstream of the *dnlg2* genomic locus, *P{XP}d02251*, and a *piggyBac* insertion downstream of *dnlg2* locus, *PBac{WH}f04579* were selected. The males from *P{XP}d02251* and *PBac{WH}f04579* were individually crossed to virgin females bearing FLP recombinase. Male progeny carrying both *P{XP}d02251* and FLP recombinase were crossed to females carrying *PBac{WH}f04579* and FLP recombinase. After 2 days of egg laying, the parents and progeny were both heat-shocked at 37°C for 1 hour. On the 3<sup>rd</sup> day, the parents were removed and the progeny were heat-shocked for 1 hour each day for 4 more consecutive days. After eclosion, mosaic virgin females were mated with *yw;L/CyO* males. The red-eye progeny males were individually crossed to *yw;L/CyO* virgin females to obtain balanced stocks which were analyzed for *dnlg2* deletion by PCR. The following primers were used to verify the targeted deletion of *dnlg2* locus and to determine the breakpoints of the deletion: 5'-TGCTGAGCGCAACAAGGACCA-3', 5'-CGGGTGAATCTCTCCCACTAA-3', 5'-CCAAAGCTCCCGGATTTACC-3', 5'-CTACGTAAAGACTCGGCCCCATTACAG-3', 5'-CTAACATCTCATCTGGGTCTC-3', 5'-GACCAGGAGATCAAGATCCGC-3', 5'-CCGAGTCCAAGTCCAACACTACA-3', 5'-CGGTTTTGGAATTCTCTAGAAATCTCTTTA-3'. A *dnlg2* null allele was outcrossed to an isogenized *w<sup>1118</sup> Canton-S* line (a gift from V. Budnik) for 7 generations and two independent lines *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>CL5</sup>* were balanced over a GFP balancer. For each set of experiment, homozygous *dnlg2/dnlg2* non-GFP wandering third-instar larvae were collected for experimental analyses.

### Fly Stocks and Genetics

The same isogenized *w<sup>1118</sup>* line used for outcrossing *dnlg2* null allele served as the control for all analyses. *P{acman}BAC CH322-173I20* (Venken et al., 2009), which carries the entire *dnlg2* genomic locus, was used to generate transgenic flies using *PhiC31* integrase-mediated site specific transgenesis (*attP* docking site at 68A4) (Bateman et al., 2006). The *UAS-dnlg2* flies used in rescue experiments were provided by G. Boulianne (Sun et al., 2011). The *dnrx* null allele, *dnrx<sup>273</sup>*, was used for the genetic analyses in this study (Li et al., 2007). *Df(3R)5C1* (referred in the text as *Df*) which uncovers the *dnrx* locus, has been described previously (Li et al., 2007). *Gal4* lines used for Dnlg2 overexpression were: *C57-Gal4* (Budnik et al., 1996) and *24B-Gal4* (Luo et al., 1994) (expressed mainly in the musculature), *elav-Gal4* (expressed in all neurons) (Lin and Goodman, 1994) and *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4*

(expressed ubiquitously) (Lee and Luo, 1999). All stocks and crosses were raised at 21°C. For each set of experiments, all genotypes and crosses were transferred to fresh culture at the same time to maintain consistency. Other fly stocks were obtained from the Drosophila Stock Center, Bloomington, IN.

### Immunostaining, Confocal Microscopy, and Bouton Number Quantification

Preparation and antibody staining for whole-mount embryos and dissected wandering third-instar larvae were performed as described previously (Li et al., 2007). Dissected larval fillets were fixed in Bouin's fixative for 15 min. The following antibodies were used: guinea pig anti-Dnlg2 (1:50), guinea pig anti-Dnrx (1:500; Li et al., 2007), mouse anti-GluRIIA (1:250), rabbit anti-GluRIII (1:2000) (Marrus et al., 2004); rabbit anti-Dlg (1:2000) (Woods and Bryant, 1991), mouse monoclonal anti-Brp (1:500) (Wagh et al., 2006). The Dnrx signal at the NMJ was detected by using the VECTASTAIN ABC system (Vector Laboratories) and Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA, Invitrogen-Molecular Probes) (Li et al. 2007). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488, 568, and 647 (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes) were used at 1:400. Fluorescence-conjugated anti-HRP (Jackson Immuno Labs) antibodies were used at 1:50.

Samples for each set of experiments were processed simultaneously, stained in the same tube and imaged with the same parameters using Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope. Quantification of bouton numbers was performed at muscles 6/7 and muscle 4 of abdominal segment 3. Type Ib boutons at NMJ6/7 and at NMJ4 were visualized and quantified by staining of body wall muscle preparations with anti-HRP and anti-Dlg. Quantification for bouton numbers was normalized to wild type.

### Quantification of fluorescence intensity

Control *w<sup>1118</sup>* and all mutants were immunostained with anti-GluRIIA and anti-Brp or anti-GluRIII and anti-Brp. Terminal boutons at each branch of NMJ6/7 from five to seven animals of each genotype were scanned by confocal microscopy. Confocal stacks were acquired using the same settings with 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  steps through entire synaptic boutons. Images were processed using Volocity 5.3 (Improvision) software. The fluorescent intensity of GluRIIA or GluRIII in each terminal bouton was determined by integrating the fluorescent intensity of the areas with 15% to 100% intensity of the whole image. The integrated intensity of GluRIIA or GluRIII was then divided by the number of active zones in each bouton to obtain the level of GluRIIA or GluRIII fluorescence intensity per active zone. The total number of active zones at NMJ6/7 was quantified using the function "separate touching objects" of Volocity. The areas with anti-Brp staining intensity at 10% to 100% were selected and the touching dots were separated using 0.03  $\mu\text{m}$  as the size reference.

### Electron Microscopy and Morphometric Analysis

For ultrastructural NMJ studies, third-instar larval fillets were dissected at room temperature in ice-cold calcium free HL-3 medium (Stewart et al., 1994) containing 70 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 20 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 5 mM Trehalose, 5 mM HEPES, 115 mM Sucrose; pH 7.2 and subsequently fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde/1% glutaraldehyde/0.1 M cacodylic acid (pH 7.2). Microwave irradiation (MWI) with the PELCO BioWaveR 34700 laboratory microwave system was used for subsequent EM processing steps. After overnight fixation, the fixed fillets were additionally fixed at 640W with a cycle of 10 sec on, 20 sec off, 10 sec on, followed by 4x water rinses at 150W for 40s each, post-fixed with 1% aqueous osmium tetroxide 2x at 90W with a cycle of 2 min on, 2 min off, 2 min on under vacuum and placed on ice in between changes with additional 1 hour incubation on rotator, dehydrated in increasing ethanol concentrations 1x at 150W for 40s each, followed by propylene oxide 2x at 250W for 40s each. Samples were gradually infiltrated with

increasing resin to propylene oxide ratio up to full resin 2x at 250W for 3min each under vacuum. The samples were embedded in flat silicone mold with EMBED-812 resin and cured in the oven at 60°C.

ImageJ 1.40g (National Institutes of Health, USA) was used for morphometric analyses of EM images. Only Ib boutons (diameter > 1.5 $\mu$ m) with clear SSR from muscles 6 and 7 in the third and fourth segments were examined and quantified. The bouton diameter was determined by bouton perimeter divided by  $\pi$  (~3.141593).

SSR width was quantified as described in Budnik et al. (1996). Three to four different measurements were made from postsynaptic density (PSD) to distal SSR for each bouton. The SSR width was then calculated by averaging these measurements. To reduce the effect of bouton size, the averaged SSR width was further normalized by the diameter of the bouton (averaged SSR width/bouton diameter). The postsynaptic area was defined as the area between the PSD and the SSR. Only those active zones which clearly showed postsynaptic area were measured. (N represents the number of boutons analyzed while n is the number of active zones).

### Electrophysiology

Wandering third-instar larvae were dissected in ice-cold zero calcium HL-3 solution (Stewart et al., 1994). Dissected larvae were then rinsed three times with HL-3 with 0.5 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup> and incubated in HL-3 with 0.5 mM Ca<sup>2+</sup> for at least 3 min before recording. Body wall muscle 6 (abdominal segment A3 only) was used for intracellular recordings with sharp electrodes filled with a 2:1 mixture of 2 M potassium acetate and 2 M potassium chloride (32–40M $\Omega$ ). Data were collected only when resting membrane potential was below –65 mV. The recording data were discarded when resting membrane potential shifted more than  $\pm$  5 mV during the course of experiment. In addition, only one muscle per larvae was recorded in each individual experiment. Excitatory junction potentials (EJPs) were recorded by directly stimulating the segmental nerve innervating each hemisegment A3 through a glass capillary electrode (internal diameter, ~10 $\mu$ m) at 0.2 Hz. The applied currents were  $6 \pm 3\mu$ A with fixed stimulus duration at 0.3ms. This is 50% more than that required to activate both Ib and Is boutons on the recorded muscles. Twenty to thirty evoked EJPs were recorded for each muscle for analysis. Miniature EJPs (mEJPs) events were collected for 5 minutes. Both EJPs and mEJPs were amplified with an Axonclamp 2B amplifier in bridge mode under the control of Clampex 8.2 (Axon Instruments Inc). All experiments were performed at room temperature (20°C–22°C). EJPs and paired-pulse stimulation were analyzed with pClamp 9.2 software (Axon Instruments). mEJPs was analyzed using the Mini Analysis Program (Synsoft Inc., Decatur, GA). Evoked EJP amplitude was corrected by using nonlinear summation (Feeney et al., 1998). The quantal content of evoked release was calculated from individual muscles by ratio of the averaged EJP and averaged mEJP amplitude. Statistical analyses of EJP and mEJPs between genotypes were made using Student's t test (SigmaPlot 10.0, Systat software Inc.).

### Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting Analysis

The immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments were carried out as previously described (Banerjee et al., 2010). Briefly, fly heads of desired genotypes were homogenized using a glass homogenizer in a weight/volume ratio of 1:3 in ice cold lysis buffer containing 50mM HEPES (pH 7.2), 100mM NaCl, 1mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 1% NP-40 with protease inhibitors. The lysates were kept on ice for 10 minutes and centrifuged at 50,000 $\times$  g for 30 minutes at 4°C, and used subsequently for IP and immunoblot analysis. For each IP reaction, 100 $\mu$ l of supernatant was pre-cleared with Protein A beads followed by incubation with primary antibodies at 1:20 dilution (anti-Dnlg2, anti-Dnrx) for 8 hours at 4°C. The



supernatant-antibody mix was incubated with 25 $\mu$ l of pre-washed Protein A beads for 2 hours at 4°C. The beads were then washed three times in PBS followed by elution of the immunocomplexes in 30 $\mu$ l of PBS/SDS buffer and resolved on SDS-PAGE for immunoblotting with respective antibodies. Anti-Dnlg2 was used at 1:100 and anti-Dnrx was used at 1:500 for immunoblot analysis.

## Results

### Generation of *dnlg2* null mutants

The domain structure of Dnlg2 is similar to that of mammalian Nlg1. The extracellular domain contains an N-terminal signal peptide and an acetylcholinesterase-like (AChE) domain. This is followed by a transmembrane domain (TM) and a cytoplasmic region with a PDZ binding motif (PBM) (Fig. 1A). The AChE domains of *Drosophila* Dnlg2 and human Nlg1 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NP\_055747.1) (Saus et al., 2010) share ~36% amino acid sequence identity and ~56% similarity (Fig. 1A). To determine the *dnlg2* expression in the *Drosophila* we performed *in situ* hybridization in embryos. A *dnlg2* probe recognizing the transmembrane region revealed that *dnlg2* is primarily expressed in the ventral nerve cord (VNC) and the brain of stages 14–16 embryos (Fig. 1B). In addition, Dnlg2 expression is also observed at low levels in the embryonic musculature (data not shown).

To study the function of Dnlg2 *in vivo*, we generated *dnlg2* null mutants using a P-element and a PiggyBac that flank the gene and carry FRTs. Upon induction of FLP in the germline the DNA between the FRT sites is deleted (Parks et al., 2004; Thibault et al., 2004) (Fig. 1C) resulting in a 32.6 kb deletion that includes the *dnlg2* locus and *CG13773* (Fig. 1C). To avoid issues with the genetic background, two *dnlg2* deletion alleles were outcrossed to an isogenized wild-type strain for seven generations and two independent excision stocks named *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>CL5</sup>* were established. Both *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>CL5</sup>* are homozygous viable. The endpoints of the deletions were established by PCR using the primers shown (Fig. 1D).

Next we generated antibodies against Dnlg2 to determine its subcellular localization in the third instar larvae. Immunostaining using anti-Dnlg2 and anti-Bruchpilot (Brp), a marker for presynaptic active zones (Wagh et al., 2006; Weyhersmuller et al., 2011) indicates that Dnlg2 and Brp are localized to CNS synapses of the VNC (Fig. 1E). No staining was observed in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 1F). To determine whether Dnlg2 is present pre- and/or post-synaptically at larval NMJs, we carried out immunostaining of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larval musculature. Despite generating 11 antibodies against Dnlg2, we were unable to detect Dnlg2 at NMJs. Although Sun et al. (2011) reported that Dnlg2 localizes post-synaptically at the larval NMJs, we were not able to detect NMJ labeling using the anti-Dnlg2 with the protocol reported by Sun et al. (2011). We thus conclude that Dnlg2 levels at the larval NMJs are too low to be consistently detected.

To determine the relative molecular weight of Dnlg2 and to establish that *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* is indeed a null allele, we carried out immunoblot analysis of wild type and *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* adult heads lysates using a polyclonal guinea pig anti-Dnlg2 antibodies (this study). As shown in Fig. 1G, wild type lysates show the presence of a robust ~70 kDa band (Fig. 1Ga, red arrowhead) at a shorter exposure time which is absent in the *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* lysates. Upon longer exposure (30 minutes) a faint ~145 kDa band (Fig. 1Gb, red arrow, asterisk) is visible, which is absent from *dnlg2* lysates. In order to confirm the presence of the ~145 kDa band in the wild type lysates we split the blot and probed them separately with anti-Dnlg2 (Fig. 1H). We were able to detect both ~145 kDa (Fig. 1Ha) and ~70 kDa (Fig. 1Hb) bands in wild type lysates that were missing from the *dnlg2* lysates using this process. We conclude that the 145kDa band is specific to Dnlg2 and is only visualized when immunoblots are processed separately

from the 70kDa band, most likely, as the levels of the 70kDa band are many folds higher than that of the 145kDa band. The 145kDa molecular weight of Dnlg2 is slightly higher than that predicted from the open reading frame (~138kDa) and was not observed by Sun et al. (2011). These data show that *dnlg2* is indeed a null allele.

Since our immunohistochemical analysis could not detect the presence of Dnlg2 at the wild type larval NMJ (arrows, Fig. 1I), we overexpressed the full length *UAS-dnlg2* ubiquitously using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* driver (Fig. 1J). Upon staining with anti-Brp (red) and anti-Dnlg2 (green), we were able to detect Dnlg2 at the NMJ synaptic boutons (Fig. 1J). In summary, our data indicate that Dnlg2 is a 145kDa protein and that it may undergo proteolytic processing or degradation to form a 70kDa isoform. It can easily be detected in the synaptic-rich areas of the larval VNC, but its abundance at NMJs is probably very low.

### ***dnlg2* mutants exhibit a reduced number of boutons at larval NMJs**

To determine if the NMJs were affected we performed immunostaining on the larval body walls of wild type and *dnlg2* mutants using anti-HRP to identify neuronal membranes and anti-Dlg to label type I boutons (Fig. 2A) (Budnik et al., 1990; Lahey et al., 1994). As shown in Fig. 2Ba–c, in *dnlg2* mutants, the number of boutons is severely reduced: they have fewer boutons at muscle 6/7 (NMJ6/7) (Fig. 2Ba–c) and muscle 4 (NMJ4) (Fig. 2Ea–c) when compared to wild type (Fig 2A and D; quantified in Fig. 2F). This defect is caused by the loss of *dnlg2* and/or *CG13773* as this phenotype as well as other phenotypes (see below) are rescued with a genomic *BAC* (*P[acman]BAC CH322-173I20*; indicated by the green line in Fig. 1D; Venken et al., 2009) that contains the entire genomic region of *dnlg2* and *CG13773* (Fig. 2C and 2F). However, *CG13773* is not implicated as ubiquitous expression of *UAS-Dnlg2* using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* driver restores bouton number to wild-type levels in the *dnlg2* excision mutants (Fig. 2F; also see later). The boutons in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 1E) are less defined when compared to the wild type (Fig. 1C). The wild type synaptic boutons have a rounded to oval morphology and are separated from each other by a distinct neural process giving a beaded appearance (Fig. 2Ea) whereas the *dnlg2* mutant boutons are not well separated (Fig. 2Eb). These data show that loss of Dnlg2 causes a reduction of boutons as well as an aberrant overall morphology.

To examine the distribution and localization of pre- and post-synaptic proteins at the *dnlg2* mutant synapses, we performed immunostaining using anti-Brp (pre-synaptic) and anti-GluRIII, (post-synaptic) which labels one of the subunits of *Drosophila* glutamate receptors (Marrus et al., 2004). Although all active zones have both Brp and GluRIII punctae juxtaposed to each other (Fig. 2G–I), the level of GluRIII is reduced in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 2Ha) compared to wild type (Fig. 2Ga). Quantification of the fluorescent intensity of GluRIII punctae suggests that there is a 30% decrease in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 2J). This phenotype is also rescued by genomic *BAC* construct or by ubiquitous Dnlg2 overexpression using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* driver in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 2I, J; data not shown). However, staining with anti-Brp and anti-GluRIIA, another subunit of glutamate receptors, showed that there is a slight, but not statistically significant, increase in the level of GluRIIA in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 2K–M). These studies suggest that Dnlg2 is required for proper synaptic development and proper postsynaptic protein assembly at the NMJs.

### ***dnlg2* and *dnrx* affect NMJ morphology and function in a similar manner**

Studies in mice have indicated that Nrxs and Nlgs interact in trans to function at the synapse (Sudhof, 2008). Moreover, Banovic et al. (2010) recently presented data that presynaptic DNRX affects Dnlg1 clusters in the postsynaptic densities. However, it has been argued that *dnrx* and *dnlg2* serve different functions at the NMJ as double mutants have a much more severe reduction in bouton number than either of the single mutants (Sun et al., 2011). To

assess whether Dnlg2 and Dnrx serve similar or different functions at the NMJ synapses, we examined the morphology and the bouton numbers at the larval NMJs of *dnlg2* and *dnrx* single and double mutants. Both *dnlg2* (Fig. 3B) and *dnrx/Df* (Fig. 3C) single mutants are null mutations that display a significantly reduced number of boutons compared to their wild type counterpart (Fig. 3A, H). Larvae transheterozygotes for *dnlg2<sup>+/-</sup>;dnrx<sup>+/-</sup>* exhibit normal NMJ morphology (Fig. 3D, H) similar to the wild type (Fig. 3A, H). However, *dnlg2<sup>-/-</sup>;dnrx<sup>+/-</sup>* (Fig. 3E, H), *dnlg2<sup>+/-</sup>;dnrx/Df* (Fig. 3F, H) and *dnlg2<sup>-/-</sup>;dnrx/Df* (Fig. 3G, H) all display a similar reduction in bouton numbers as *dnlg2* (Fig. 3B, H) and *dnrx/Df* single mutants (Fig. 3C, H). The differences in bouton numbers between these mutant genotypes (Fig. 3B–G) do not reach any statistical significance. Furthermore, the total active zone numbers as visualized by anti-Brp staining at NMJ6/7 did not show any significant difference between the wild type and *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 3I). In addition, whereas Sun et al. (2011) documented that *dnlg2<sup>-/-</sup>;dnrx<sup>-/-</sup>* are lethal, we find that our double null mutants are viable, further suggesting that loss of *dnlg2* and/or *dnrx* do not exacerbate the phenotype of the other, consistent with the conclusion that both proteins affect the same molecular events and cause very similar phenotypes at the NMJs.

### ***dnlg2* mutants exhibit synaptic differentiation defects at the NMJs**

The *Drosophila* larval NMJ synapse displays stereotypic ultrastructure including the pre-synaptic T-bars and densities as well as post-synaptic specializations, the SSR (Zhai and Bellen, 2004; Fouquet et al., 2009). Since *dnlg2* mutants display synaptic growth defects at the NMJs (Fig. 2), we examined the ultrastructural features associated with the loss of Dnlg2 at synapses. We performed transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses on *dnlg2* mutants. Cross sections of the wild type boutons show several active zones with characteristic T-bars surrounded by synaptic vesicles (Fig. 4A) (Mendoza-Topaz et al., 2008; Fouquet et al., 2009). A wild type synapse at a higher magnification shows an active zone (AZ), the post-synaptic area (PSA) and SSR (Fig. 4B). These NMJ synaptic boutons are embedded in the muscle and surrounded by specialized membrane folds, the SSR. Several defects were observed in *dnlg2* mutants. *dnlg2* mutant boutons exhibit an increased number of active zones in each bouton (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, the space between postsynaptic density and the SSR, the PSA, is increased in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 4C, D; quantified in J). In addition, we find that the width of SSR is severely reduced in *dnlg2* mutants. All these phenotype are rescued by introduction of a *BAC* construct (*P[acman]BAC CH322-173I20*) that contains the genomic region of *dnlg2* (Fig. 4E; quantified in Fig. 4H–K).

The increase in active zone number per bouton is also observed in *dnrx* mutants (Fig. 4F) (Li et al., 2007) and double mutants of *dnlg2* and *dnrx* also exhibit a similar increased AZ numbers and defective PSAs (Fig. 4G). Consistent with an increase in number of active zones, we observed an increase in length of postsynaptic density per unit perimeter in all mutants. Together, these data indicate that Dnlg2 plays a critical role in proper post-synaptic differentiation and that Dnlg2 and Dnrx are jointly required for proper synapse organization and maturation.

### **Dnlg2 and Dnrx form a molecular complex**

The morphological analyses presented in the preceding sections indicate that Dnlg2 and Dnrx function together to coordinate synaptic growth at the NMJs. To test if Dnlg2 and Dnrx are present in the same molecular complex, we performed immunoprecipitations (IP) followed by immunoblot analyses using anti-Dnlg2 and anti-Dnrx antibodies. When anti-Dnlg2 antibodies were used for IP in wild type adult fly head extracts, we were able to IP the 145 kDa Dnlg2 protein (Fig. 5A). When anti-Dnrx antibodies were used for IP in adult wild type and *dnlg2* fly heads, the anti-Dnlg2 antibody detected the 145kDa Dnlg2 protein



in the IP complex (Fig. 5B) of wild type but not *dnlg2*. Interestingly, in the same blot, the 70kDa Dnlg2 could not be detected in both the wild type and *dnlg2* IP complex (Fig. 5C, arrowhead). These results show that Dnlg2 (145kDa) and Dnrx are present in the same molecular complex. When fly head lysates from wild type and *dnlg2* mutants were immunoprecipitated using anti-Dnlg2 antibodies, the Dnlg2 (70kDa) was abundantly detected in the wild type but not in the *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 5D). To further determine whether loss of Dnlg2 had any effect on the protein stability and levels of Dnrx, we performed immunoblot analysis of equal amounts of wild type and *dnlg2* mutant adult head lysates. We found that the levels of Dnrx in *dnlg2* mutants are comparable with those in the wild type, suggesting that the stability of Dnrx is not affected in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 5E). Same amounts of lysates from wild type and *dnlg2* fly heads immunoprecipitated with anti-Dnrx antibodies showed the presence of Dnrx in both wild type and *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 5F). These data indicate that the full-length 145kDa Dnlg2 is most likely the functional protein present in the Dnrx complex, while the 70kDa Dnlg2 might be a processed form that is not present in the Dnrx molecular complex.

### Dnlg2 is required pre- and post-synaptically for synaptic development at NMJs

Vertebrate studies have shown that Nlgs that are expressed post-synaptically interact with Nrxs expressed exclusively pre-synaptically (Song et al., 1999; Graf et al., 2004; Chih et al., 2005; Nam and Chen, 2005; Sudhof, 2008; Wittenmayer et al., 2009). These conclusions were challenged as Nrxs were also observed to be expressed post-synaptically pointing to a complex mechanism of interactions between Nrxs and Nlgs in synapse function and modulation (Peng et al., 2004; Taniguchi et al., 2007). To establish whether Dnlg2 function is required pre- and/or post-synaptically at NMJs, we performed rescue analyses of *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 6B, C) by driving *UAS-dnlg2* pre-synaptically (neurons), post-synaptically (muscle) or ubiquitously (Fig. 6D–N). When Dnlg2 was expressed post-synaptically using muscle specific drivers, *C57-Gal4* and *24B-Gal4*, the reduction in bouton number at *dnlg2* mutant NMJs could not be rescued in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* (Fig. 6D–G, quantified in Fig. 6O). Similarly, expression of Dnlg2 pre-synaptically using neuronal driver, *elav-Gal4*, also failed to rescue *dnlg2* NMJ phenotypes (Fig. 6H, quantified in Fig. 6O). However, when Dnlg2 was expressed in both neurons and muscles with a ubiquitous driver, *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4*, the bouton number was restored to wild-type levels in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* mutants (Fig. 6I, J; quantified in Fig. 6O). These data show that Dnlg2 is required pre- and post-synaptically for proper bouton formation and growth at NMJs. We further confirmed that the ability to rescue the *dnlg2* phenotype using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* compared to *24B-* or *C57-Gal4* is not due to higher expression of Dnlg2 in muscles. Interestingly, fluorescence signal intensity quantification showed that Dnlg2 expression in muscles under *24B-Gal4* is significantly higher than *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* while *C57-Gal4* is statistically comparable to that of *C57-Gal4* (data not shown).

Sun et al. (2011) previously reported that Dnlg2 functions post-synaptically and that *dnlg2* mutant phenotypes at the NMJs are fully rescued by post-synaptic expression of Dnlg2. However, we failed to rescue their *dnlg2<sup>K070</sup>* mutants (Sun et al. 2011) by post-synaptic expression of Dnlg2 using *24B-Gal4* and *C57-Gal4* (Fig. 6E, G, O). Together, our data indicate that pre- or post-synaptic expression alone of Dnlg2 is not sufficient to rescue *dnlg2* mutant NMJ phenotypes; rather Dnlg2 is required pre- and post-synaptically for proper bouton formation.

Several vertebrate studies have shown that overexpression of Nlgs is sufficient to promote synapse formation in cultured mammalian neurons (Scheiffele et al., 2000; Comoletti et al., 2003; Prange et al., 2004). We therefore assessed whether overexpression of Dnlg2 in the wild type animals affected normal bouton growth at NMJs. Surprisingly, post-synaptic overexpression of Dnlg2 using (*C57-Gal4* and *24B-Gal4*) reduced bouton numbers to levels similar to those observed in *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 6K and L; quantified in Fig. 6P). However,

pre-synaptic overexpression of Dnlg2 using *elav-Gal4* had no effect on bouton growth (Fig. 6M; quantified in Fig. 6P). In contrast, when Dnlg2 was overexpressed both pre- and post-synaptically using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4*, we observe an increase in bouton growth of about 27% when compared to wild type (Fig. 6N; quantified in Fig. 6O). Hence, Dnlg2 promotes bouton formation and synaptic growth at NMJs when expressed pre- and post-synaptically during development.

### Synaptic transmission is reduced in *dnlg2* mutants

As shown in the preceding sections, loss of Dnlg2 results in the reduction of GluRIII levels at NMJs (Fig. 2J) and ultrastructural abnormalities at the synapse (Fig. 4). We next examined the consequences of loss of Dnlg2 alone as well as the combined loss of Dnlg2 and Dnrx on synaptic transmission at the NMJs. We performed our electrophysiological analyses on muscle 6 of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larval body walls and recorded the evoked excitatory junction potentials (EJPs) in 0.5 mM  $[Ca^{2+}]_o$  at 0.2 Hz. Both *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants exhibit a reduction in EJP amplitude which is rescued by the genomic *BAC* construct in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* (Fig. 7A). Under identical conditions, *dnrx* mutants also have reduced EJP amplitudes, consistent with previous reports (Zeng et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2010). Interestingly, *dnlg2;dnrx* double mutants show a similar reduction in EJP amplitudes as *dnlg2* or *dnrx* single mutants, again suggesting that Dnlg2 and Dnrx function together at the synapse. We observed no significant changes in mEJP amplitudes in all mutant combinations when compared to control wild type (data not shown) and *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>;BAC-Res* (Fig. 7B). All mutant combinations revealed severely decreased quantal contents compared to wild type (data not shown) and the genomic *BAC* rescue of *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 7C). Interestingly, the total number of active zones at the NMJs on muscle 6/7 are comparable between wild type and *dnlg2* mutants (Fig. 3I), indicating that *dnlg2* mutants have a lower release probability due to synaptic structural alterations.

Next we determined the EJP, mEJP amplitudes and the quantal contents when Dnlg2 was expressed using neuronal, muscle and ubiquitous drivers in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutant backgrounds. Both *dnlg2* mutants showed a significant reduction in EJP amplitudes (Fig. 7A) and quantal content (Fig. 7C) when Dnlg2 was expressed either pre- or post-synaptically. The EJP amplitude, however, was similar to control levels (*dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>;BAC-Res*) in both *dnlg2* mutants when Dnlg2 was expressed both pre- and post-synaptically using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* (Fig. 7A). The mEJP amplitudes in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* mutants was not significantly different upon Dnlg2 expression pre- and/or post-synaptically when compared to *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>;BAC-Res* (Fig. 7B). However, in *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants, post-synaptic expression of Dnlg2 resulted in a significant increase in the mEJP amplitude (Fig. 7B) when compared to wild type and mutant larvae expressing Dnlg2 pre-synaptically or ubiquitously. Together our data show that Dnlg2 is required both pre- and post-synaptically for proper synaptic transmission.

## Discussion

Sequence analyses of the *Drosophila* genome revealed 4 *neuroligin* genes and mutational analyses of two of these genes *dnlg1* (Banovic et al. 2010) and *dnlg2* (Sun et al., 2011) revealed that Dnlg1 and Dnlg2 are required independently for synaptic growth and function. Dnlg1 functions post-synaptically and is required for proper synaptic development and differentiation (Banovic et al, 2010). Dnlg2 was also shown to function post-synaptically (Sun et al., 2011), however, some of the previously reported functions of Dnlg2 are inconsistent with the data presented here. We report the generation of mutations in *dnlg2* and characterization of the associated phenotypes. We find that loss of *dnlg2* causes a developmental defect at NMJs, with reduced bouton numbers. This phenotype is fully rescued when *dnlg2* was expressed pre- and post-synaptically, indicating that Dnlg2 is

required in both pre- and post-synaptic compartments for normal synaptic growth. Ultrastructural analyses revealed that *dnlg2* mutants have significantly increased numbers of active zones and postsynaptic density length. However, the postsynaptic SSR width is reduced. Electrophysiological measurements revealed that *dnlg2* mutants have reduced EJP amplitude, but normal mEJP amplitude, indicating a reduced release probability. Furthermore, *dnlg2* and *dnrx* double mutants are viable and reveal phenotypes similar to *dnlg2* and *dnrx* single mutants, indicating that *dnlg2* and *dnrx* likely function in the same pathway to coordinate synaptic development and transmission. Finally, our phenotypic rescue data using the *Gal4/UAS* system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) suggest that Dnlg2 is required both pre- and post-synaptically for proper NMJ bouton growth, synapse structure and neurotransmission.

Although some of our results are in agreement with published data on *dnlg2*, many of the results reported here are in disagreement with the data presented in Sun et al. (2011). First, it was reported that post-synaptic Dnlg2 expression alone is sufficient to rescue the *dnlg2* mutant phenotypes. Using the *dnlg2* mutant alleles reported in Sun et al. (2011) and post-synaptic Dnlg2 expression, we were unable to rescue the bouton growth phenotypes. Second, it was reported that EJP amplitudes are much increased in *dnlg2* mutants. However we find that EJP amplitudes in both *dnlg2* and *dnlg2*<sup>KO70</sup> mutants are decreased and that both mutants exhibit a reduction in neurotransmitter release probability. Third, our biochemical studies support the existence of a ~145 kDa molecular weight Dnlg2 that based on protein interaction data is most likely the functional form. This form was not reported in Sun et al. (2011). Fourth and perhaps most importantly, *dnlg2* and *dnrx* double mutants were reported to be lethal by Sun et al. (2011) and to display a more severe phenotype in bouton growth phenotype than individual mutants, suggesting that *dnlg2* and *dnrx* function in parallel pathways to affect the same biological process. We find that the double mutants are viable and have defects that resemble the *dnlg2* and *dnrx* single null mutants in overall NMJ morphology and at the ultrastructural level, strongly indicating that they do not function in parallel pathways. A possible explanation of the double mutant phenotypes documented by Sun et al. (2011) is that genetic background issues contribute to the lethality when combined with *dnrx* mutants. The potential effects of genetic background on NMJ morphology and function have been reported previously in a screen for synaptogenesis mutants (Liebl et al., 2006). Our phenotypic analyses revealed identical results from both *dnlg2* alleles [*dnlg2*<sup>CL2</sup> (this study) and *dnlg2*<sup>KO70</sup> (Sun et al. 2011)] ruling out any major contributions from the genetic backgrounds between the two independently generated *dnlg2* alleles. However, the lethality observed in *dnlg2/dnrx* double mutants reported in Sun et al. (2011) could be attributed to contributions from the genetic background.

### ***Drosophila* Neuroligins and their role at the synapse**

The functions of vertebrate Nrxs and Nlgs are thought to be important for synapse maturation and activity-dependent synaptic modulation, but dispensable for initiation of synaptogenesis (Missler et al., 1998; Missler et al., 2003; Sudhof, 2008). Several recent studies support these functional roles for Nrxs and Nlgs in synaptic plasticity (Kim et al., 2008; Etherton et al., 2009; Blundell et al., 2010; Dahlhaus et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2011). These studies have raised interesting questions as to whether *Drosophila* Nlgs are involved in synaptic plasticity and modulation. Both Dnlg1 and Dnlg2 are similar in structure, but seem to function independently for synaptic development, organization and function (Banovic et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2011; this study). Although *dnlg1* and *dnlg2* null mutants display some similarities in their NMJ phenotypes, including reduced NMJ bouton numbers, reduced postsynaptic SSR thickness and reduced overall synaptic transmission, they also show key differences. *dnlg1* mutants have fewer active zones at muscle 6/7 and some mutant boutons are completely devoid of postsynaptic markers, which is not observed in *dnlg2*

mutants. *dnlg1* mutants show mostly post-synaptic defects, but *dnlg2* mutants also display pre-synaptic defects, such as lower release probability, in addition to post-synaptic structural abnormalities. The similarities in mutant phenotypes suggest that they both are involved in bouton growth and SSR stability, and the differences in mutant phenotypes indicate that they have distinct functions in coordinating synaptic development and synapse differentiation. It is possible that Dnlg1 is involved in the recruitment or stabilization of the post-synaptic machinery, whereas Dnlg2 serves to fine tune and refine synapse organization as is revealed by ultrastructural analysis with increased number of active zones in the remaining boutons (Fig. 4). In the absence of Dnlg2 and Dnrx active zone number increase and the synaptic areas are significantly increased suggesting that the mutants fail to prune away ectopic active zones and are unable to refine densities. *dnlg1* mutants on the other hand lack post-synaptic differentiation at the synapses indicating that Dnlg1 and Dnlg2 perform distinct functions during synapse differentiation (Banovic et al., 2010). Interestingly post-synaptic expression of Dnlg1 and Dnlg2 repress bouton growth, implying that postsynaptic Dnlg1 and Dnlg2 may either interact and interfere with the functions of presynaptic proteins or dilute out functions of a key post-synaptic protein/s which is involved in normal bouton growth. How a single pre-synaptic Dnrx protein interacts with post-synaptic Dnlg1 and pre- and post-synaptic Dnlg2 to coordinate synaptic development remains unresolved.

### Pre- and post-synaptic requirements of Neuroligins

Many studies have suggested that Nlgs primarily function as postsynaptic adhesion molecules and interact with pre-synaptic Nrxs (Song et al., 1999; Scheiffele et al., 2000; Berninghausen et al., 2007). However, there may be exceptions to the post-synaptic localization of Nlgs, as it was recently reported that a Neuroligin in *C. elegans* is present at both pre- and post-synaptic regions (Feinberg et al., 2008). Along similar lines, it was reported that Nrxs are also expressed in the post-synaptic areas, where they may play a role in controlling synaptogenesis by blocking the functions of post-synaptic Nlgs (Taniguchi et al., 2007). These observations suggest that Nrxs and Nlgs could modulate synapse formation by counteracting each other's functions during synapse formation and/or modulation. Our data provide evidence in support of both a pre- and postsynaptic function of Dnlg2 in synapse formation. We show that a full complement of boutons at *dnlg2* mutant NMJs is only restored when Dnlg2 is expressed both pre- and post-synaptically. Expression of Dnlg2 only pre- or post-synaptically was not sufficient to restore bouton growth. Surprisingly, overexpression of Dnlg2 in the post-synaptic areas in the wild type animals also leads to a reduction in bouton growth, almost similar to *dnlg2* mutant levels. However, overexpression of Dnlg2 pre-synaptically did not result in such phenotypes. On the other hand, when Dnlg2 is expressed both pre- and post-synaptically in the wild type larvae, there is excess bouton growth at NMJs, similar to when Dnrx is overexpressed pre-synaptically (Li et al., 2007). These data suggest that a fine balance of the Dnlg2 protein levels is critical for normal bouton growth. It is possible that high levels of post-synaptic Dnlg2 may lead to an uncontrolled or untimely interaction with pre- or post synaptic proteins, such as Dnrx and Dnlg1, respectively, and hinder bouton growth at NMJs, leading to phenotypes that are similar to *dnlg2* or *dnrx* mutants. A recent study also suggested that some neuroligin functions are neurexin-independent and that neuroligins can form complexes with other proteins at the synapses (Ko et al., 2009). This raises the possibility that pre-synaptic and post-synaptic Dnlg2 functions are dependent on formation of homophilic interactions with itself or heterophilic interactions with other synaptic proteins across the synaptic cleft to organize bouton growth at NMJs. It would be of significant interest to determine how loss of Dnlg2 leads to increased active zones and how mechanistically these functions of Dnlg2 are linked with Dnrx and other synaptic proteins.

In summary, our results show that Neuroligin functions is required pre- and post-synaptically for synapse development. Our observations in *Drosophila* and those of Feinberg et al. (2007) in *C. elegans* suggest that Nlgs have pre- and post-synaptic functions that may be required to counter balance the functions of Nrxs or other proteins during synaptic growth and modulation. It was recently suggested that post-synaptic Nrxs counter the functions of Nlgs to ensure that synapses do not form at random places. However, in our model, antagonistic functions are unlikely given the similarity in phenotypes between the two mutants. Other synaptic adhesion molecules, such as LRRTM2 (Ko et al. 2009) and the recently identified Teneurins (Mosca et al., 2012) as new interacting partners of Dnrx and Dnlg1, respectively, further add to the complexity of trans-synaptic interactions and synapse organization. In this context, the requirement of Dnlg2 in both the pre- and post-synaptic compartments raises interesting questions about how synaptic organization is fine tuned, and how signaling pathways regulate the expression of pre- and post-synaptic proteins during synaptic development and maturation. Deciphering the signaling role of Nrxs and Nlgs at the *Drosophila* synapses coupled with structure/function analyses should provide a better understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms of synapse development and function. Such information will provide critical insights into how these molecules are involved in human health and diseases such as ASD.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Aaron DiAntonio for anti-GluRIII, Gabrielle L. Boulianne and Wei Xie for *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* and *UAS-dnlg2*, and Vivian Budnik for isogenized *w<sup>1118</sup>* fly stocks. We thank the Bloomington Stock Center for fly stocks, the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank and the University of Iowa for monoclonal antibodies, Michael Chua for assistance with GluR quantification, Rosa Mino for assistance with *dnlg2 in situ* hybridization, Alan Fanning and past and current members of the Bhat laboratory for technical assistance and helpful discussions. K.J.V. was supported by a training grant T32 GM007526 to the Molecular and Human Genetics Department at BCM. H.J.B. is an investigator of the HHMI. This work was supported by the Simons Foundation grant (SF-177037) and in part by the NIH grant NS050356 (M.A.B.).

## References


- Banerjee S, Blauth K, Peters K, Rogers SL, Fanning AS, Bhat MA. *Drosophila* neurexin IV interacts with Roundabout and is required for repulsive midline axon guidance. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30:5653–5667. [PubMed: 20410118]
- Banovic D, Khorramshahi O, Oswald D, Wichmann C, Riedt T, Fouquet W, Tian R, Sigrist SJ, Aberle H. *Drosophila* neuroligin 1 promotes growth and postsynaptic differentiation at glutamatergic neuromuscular junctions. *Neuron*. 2010; 66:724–738. [PubMed: 20547130]
- Bateman JR, Lee AM, Wu CT. Site-specific transformation of *Drosophila* via phiC31 integrase-mediated cassette exchange. *Genetics*. 2006; 173:769–777. [PubMed: 16547094]
- Berninghausen O, Rahman MA, Silva JP, Davletov B, Hopkins C, Ushkaryov YA. Neurexin Ibeta and neuroligin are localized on opposite membranes in mature central synapses. *J Neurochem*. 2007; 103:1855–1863. [PubMed: 17868325]
- Biswas S, Russell RJ, Jackson CJ, Vidovic M, Ganeshina O, Oakeshott JG, Claudianos C. Bridging the synaptic gap: neuroligins and neurexin I in *Apis mellifera*. *PLoS ONE*. 2008; 3:e3542. [PubMed: 18974885]
- Blundell J, Blaiss CA, Etherton MR, Espinosa F, Tabuchi K, Walz C, Bolliger MF, Sudhof TC, Powell CM. Neuroligin-1 deletion results in impaired spatial memory and increased repetitive behavior. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30:2115–2129. [PubMed: 20147539]
- Brand AH, Perrimon N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development*. 1993; 118:401–415. [PubMed: 8223268]
- Budnik V, Zhong Y, Wu CF. Morphological plasticity of motor axons in *Drosophila* mutants with altered excitability. *J Neurosci*. 1990; 10:3754–3768. [PubMed: 1700086]



- Budnik V, Koh YH, Guan B, Hartmann B, Hough C, Woods D, Gorczyca M. Regulation of synapse structure and function by the *Drosophila* tumor suppressor gene *dlg*. *Neuron*. 1996; 17:627–640. [PubMed: 8893021]
- Chen K, Gracheva EO, Yu SC, Sheng Q, Richmond J, Featherstone DE. Neurexin in embryonic *Drosophila* neuromuscular junctions. *PLoS ONE*. 2010; 5:e11115. [PubMed: 20559439]
- Chih B, Engelman H, Scheiffele P. Control of excitatory and inhibitory synapse formation by neuroligins. *Science*. 2005; 307:1324–1328. [PubMed: 15681343]
- Chih B, Afridi SK, Clark L, Scheiffele P. Disorder-associated mutations lead to functional inactivation of neuroligins. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2004; 13:1471–1477. [PubMed: 15150161]
- Choi YB, Li HL, Kassabov SR, Jin I, Puthanveetil SV, Karl KA, Lu Y, Kim JH, Bailey CH, Kandel ER. Neurexin-neuroligin transsynaptic interaction mediates learning-related synaptic remodeling and long-term facilitation in *aplysia*. *Neuron*. 2011; 70:468–481. [PubMed: 21555073]
- Comoletti D, Flynn R, Jennings LL, Chubykin A, Matsumura T, Hasegawa H, Sudhof TC, Taylor P. Characterization of the interaction of a recombinant soluble neuroligin-1 with neurexin-1beta. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278:50497–50505. [PubMed: 14522992]
- Craig AM, Kang Y. Neurexin-neuroligin signaling in synapse development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2007; 17:43–52. [PubMed: 17275284]
- Dahlhaus R, Hines RM, Eadie BD, Kannagara TS, Hines DJ, Brown CE, Christie BR, El-Husseini A. Overexpression of the cell adhesion protein neuroligin-1 induces learning deficits and impairs synaptic plasticity by altering the ratio of excitation to inhibition in the hippocampus. *Hippocampus*. 2010; 20:305–322. [PubMed: 19437420]
- Dean C, Scholl FG, Choih J, DeMaria S, Berger J, Isacoff E, Scheiffele P. Neurexin mediates the assembly of presynaptic terminals. *Nat Neurosci*. 2003; 6:708–716. [PubMed: 12796785]
- Etherton MR, Blaiss CA, Powell CM, Sudhof TC. Mouse neurexin-1alpha deletion causes correlated electrophysiological and behavioral changes consistent with cognitive impairments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:17998–18003. [PubMed: 19822762]
- Feeney CJ, Karunanithi S, Pearce J, Govind CK, Atwood HL. Motor nerve terminals on abdominal muscles in larval flesh flies, *Sarcophaga bullata*: comparisons with *Drosophila*. *J Comp Neurol*. 1998; 402:197–209. [PubMed: 9845243]
- Feinberg EH, Vanhoven MK, Bendesky A, Wang G, Fetter RD, Shen K, Bargmann CI. GFP Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP) defines cell contacts and synapses in living nervous systems. *Neuron*. 2008; 57:353–363. [PubMed: 18255029]
- Fouquet W, Oswald D, Wichmann C, Mertel S, Depner H, Dyba M, Hallermann S, Kittel RJ, Eimer S, Sigrist SJ. Maturation of active zone assembly by *Drosophila* Bruchpilot. *J Cell Biol*. 2009; 186:129–145. [PubMed: 19596851]
- Giagtzoglou N, Ly CV, Bellen HJ. Cell adhesion, the backbone of the synapse: “vertebrate” and “invertebrate” perspectives. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2009; 1:a003079. [PubMed: 20066100]
- Graf ER, Zhang X, Jin SX, Linhoff MW, Craig AM. Neurexins induce differentiation of GABA and glutamate postsynaptic specializations via neuroligins. *Cell*. 2004; 119:1013–1026. [PubMed: 15620359]
- Ichtenko K, Hata Y, Nguyen T, Ullrich B, Missler M, Moomaw C, Sudhof TC. Neuroligin 1: a splice site-specific ligand for beta-neurexins. *Cell*. 1995; 81:435–443. [PubMed: 7736595]
- Iida J, Hirabayashi S, Sato Y, Hata Y. Synaptic scaffolding molecule is involved in the synaptic clustering of neuroligin. *Mol Cell Neurosci*. 2004; 27:497–508. [PubMed: 15555927]
- Irie M, Hata Y, Takeuchi M, Ichtenko K, Toyoda A, Hirao K, Takai Y, Rosahl TW, Sudhof TC. Binding of neuroligins to PSD-95. *Science*. 1997; 277:1511–1515. [PubMed: 9278515]
- Jamain S, Quach H, Betancur C, Rastam M, Colineaux C, Gillberg IC, Soderstrom H, Giros B, Leboyer M, Gillberg C, Bourgeron T. Mutations of the X-linked genes encoding neuroligins NLGN3 and NLGN4 are associated with autism. *Nat Genet*. 2003; 34:27–29. [PubMed: 12669065]
- Kearney JB, Wheeler SR, Estes P, Parente B, Crews ST. Gene expression profiling of the developing *Drosophila* CNS midline cells. *Dev Biol*. 2004; 275:473–492. [PubMed: 15501232]

- Kim J, Jung SY, Lee YK, Park S, Choi JS, Lee CJ, Kim HS, Choi YB, Scheiffele P, Bailey CH, Kandel ER, Kim JH. Neuroligin-1 is required for normal expression of LTP and associative fear memory in the amygdala of adult animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:9087–9092. [PubMed: 18579781]
- Ko J, Fuccillo MV, Malenka RC, Sudhof TC. LRRTM2 functions as a neurexin ligand in promoting excitatory synapse formation. *Neuron*. 2009; 64:791–798. [PubMed: 20064387]
- Lahey T, Gorczyca M, Jia XX, Budnik V. The *Drosophila* tumor suppressor gene *dlg* is required for normal synaptic bouton structure. *Neuron*. 1994; 13:823–835. [PubMed: 7946331]
- Lee T, Luo L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. *Neuron*. 1999; 22:451–461. [PubMed: 10197526]
- Li J, Ashley J, Budnik V, Bhat MA. Crucial role of *Drosophila* neurexin in proper active zone apposition to postsynaptic densities, synaptic growth, and synaptic transmission. *Neuron*. 2007; 55:741–755. [PubMed: 17785181]
- Liebl FL, Werner KM, Sheng Q, Karr JE, McCabe BD, Featherstone DE. Genome-wide P-element screen for *Drosophila* synaptogenesis mutants. *J Neurobiol*. 2006; 66:332–347. [PubMed: 16408305]
- Lin DM, Goodman CS. Ectopic and increased expression of Fasciclin II alters motoneuron growth cone guidance. *Neuron*. 1994; 13:507–523. [PubMed: 7917288]
- Luo L, Liao YJ, Jan LY, Jan YN. Distinct morphogenetic functions of similar small GTPases: *Drosophila* *Drac1* is involved in axonal outgrowth and myoblast fusion. *Genes Dev*. 1994; 8:1787–1802. [PubMed: 7958857]
- Marrus SB, Portman SL, Allen MJ, Moffat KG, DiAntonio A. Differential localization of glutamate receptor subunits at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction. *J Neurosci*. 2004; 24:1406–1415. [PubMed: 14960613]
- Mendoza-Topaz C, Urrea F, Barria R, Albornoz V, Ugalde D, Thomas U, Gundelfinger ED, Delgado R, Kukuljan M, Sanxaridis PD, Tsunoda S, Ceriani MF, Budnik V, Sierralta J. DLGS97/SAP97 is developmentally upregulated and is required for complex adult behaviors and synapse morphology and function. *J Neurosci*. 2008; 28:304–314. [PubMed: 18171947]
- Meyer G, Varoqueaux F, Neeb A, Oschlies M, Brose N. The complexity of PDZ domain-mediated interactions at glutamatergic synapses: a case study on neuroligin. *Neuropharmacology*. 2004; 47:724–733. [PubMed: 15458844]
- Missler M, Fernandez-Chacon R, Sudhof TC. The making of neurexins. *J Neurochem*. 1998; 71:1339–1347. [PubMed: 9751164]
- Missler M, Zhang W, Rohlmann A, Kattenstroth G, Hammer RE, Gottmann K, Sudhof TC. Alpha-neurexins couple Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels to synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Nature*. 2003; 423:939–948. [PubMed: 12827191]
- Mosca TJ, Hong W, Dani VS, Favaloro V, Luo L. Trans-synaptic Teneurin signalling in neuromuscular synapse organization and target choice. *Nature*. 2012; 484:237–241. [PubMed: 22426000]
- Nam CI, Chen L. Postsynaptic assembly induced by neurexin-neuroligin interaction and neurotransmitter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102:6137–6142. [PubMed: 15837930]
- Nourry C, Grant SG, Borg JP. PDZ domain proteins: plug and play! *Sci STKE*. 2003; 2003:RE7. [PubMed: 12709532]
- Parks AL, Cook KR, Belvin M, Dompe NA, Fawcett R, Huppert K, Tan LR, Winter CG, et al. Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. *Nat Genet*. 2004; 36:288–292. [PubMed: 14981519]
- Peng J, Kim MJ, Cheng D, Duong DM, Gygi SP, Sheng M. Semiquantitative proteomic analysis of rat forebrain postsynaptic density fractions by mass spectrometry. *J Biol Chem*. 2004; 279:21003–21011. [PubMed: 15020595]
- Prange O, Wong TP, Gerrow K, Wang YT, El-Husseini A. A balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapses is controlled by PSD-95 and neuroligin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004; 101:13915–13920. [PubMed: 15358863]
- Saus E, Brunet A, Armengol L, Alonso P, Crespo JM, Fernandez-Aranda F, Guitart M, Martin-Santos R, et al. Comprehensive copy number variant (CNV) analysis of neuronal pathways genes in

- psychiatric disorders identifies rare variants within patients. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2010; 44:971–978. [PubMed: 20398908]
- Scheiffele P, Fan J, Choih J, Fetter R, Serafini T. Neuroligin expressed in nonneuronal cells triggers presynaptic development in contacting axons. *Cell.* 2000; 101:657–669. [PubMed: 10892652]
- Song JY, Ichtchenko K, Sudhof TC, Brose N. Neuroligin 1 is a postsynaptic cell-adhesion molecule of excitatory synapses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1999; 96:1100–1105. [PubMed: 9927700]
- Stewart BA, Atwood HL, Renger JJ, Wang J, Wu CF. Improved stability of *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular preparations in haemolymph-like physiological solutions. *J Comp Physiol [A].* 1994; 175:179–191.
- Sudhof TC. Neuroligins and neuroligins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. *Nature.* 2008; 455:903–911. [PubMed: 18923512]
- Sun M, Xing G, Yuan L, Gan G, Knight D, With SI, He C, Han J, Zeng X, Fang M, Boulianne GL, Xie W. Neuroligin 2 is required for synapse development and function at the *Drosophila* neuromuscular junction. *J Neurosci.* 2011; 31:687–699. [PubMed: 21228178]
- Szatmari P, Paterson AD, Zwaigenbaum L, Roberts W, Brian J, Liu XQ, Vincent JB, Skaug JL, et al. Mapping autism risk loci using genetic linkage and chromosomal rearrangements. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:319–328. [PubMed: 17322880]
- Taniguchi H, Gollan L, Scholl FG, Mahadomrongkul V, Dobler E, Limthong N, Peck M, Aoki C, Scheiffele P. Silencing of neuroligin function by postsynaptic neuroligins. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27:2815–2824. [PubMed: 17360903]
- Thibault ST, Singer MA, Miyazaki WY, Milash B, Dompe NA, Singh CM, Buchholz R, Demsky M, et al. A complementary transposon tool kit for *Drosophila melanogaster* using P and piggyBac. *Nat Genet.* 2004; 36:283–287. [PubMed: 14981521]
- Varoqueaux F, Aramuni G, Rawson RL, Mohrmann R, Missler M, Gottmann K, Zhang W, Sudhof TC, Brose N. Neuroligins determine synapse maturation and function. *Neuron.* 2006; 51:741–754. [PubMed: 16982420]
- Venken KJ, Carlson JW, Schulze KL, Pan H, He Y, Spokony R, Wan KH, Koriabine M, de Jong PJ, White KP, Bellen HJ, Hoskins RA. Versatile P[acman] BAC libraries for transgenesis studies in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat Methods.* 2009; 6:431–434. [PubMed: 19465919]
- Wagh DA, Rasse TM, Asan E, Hofbauer A, Schwenkert I, Durrbeck H, Buchner S, Dabauvalle MC, Schmidt M, Qin G, Wichmann C, Kittel R, Sigrist SJ, Buchner E. Bruchpilot, a protein with homology to ELKS/CAST, is required for structural integrity and function of synaptic active zones in *Drosophila*. *Neuron.* 2006; 49:833–844. [PubMed: 16543132]
- Weyhersmuller A, Hallermann S, Wagner N, Eilers J. Rapid active zone remodeling during synaptic plasticity. *J Neurosci.* 2011; 31:6041–6052. [PubMed: 21508229]
- Wittenmayer N, Korber C, Liu H, Kremer T, Varoqueaux F, Chapman ER, Brose N, Künner T, Dresbach T. Postsynaptic Neuroligin1 regulates presynaptic maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:13564–13569. [PubMed: 19628693]
- Woods DF, Bryant PJ. The discs-large tumor suppressor gene of *Drosophila* encodes a guanylate kinase homolog localized at septate junctions. *Cell.* 1991; 66:451–464. [PubMed: 1651169]
- Zeng X, Sun M, Liu L, Chen F, Wei L, Xie W. Neuroligin-1 is required for synapse formation and larvae associative learning in *Drosophila*. *FEBS Lett.* 2007; 581:2509–2516. [PubMed: 17498701]
- Zhai RG, Bellen HJ. The architecture of the active zone in the presynaptic nerve terminal. *Physiology.* 2004; 19:262–270. [PubMed: 15381754]



**Figure 1. Generation of *dnlg2* mutants**

(A) Protein domain structure of *Drosophila* Dnlg2 and human NLG1. Similar to human NLG1, Dnlg2 is composed of a signal peptide, an acetylcholine esterase-like (AChE) domain and a transmembrane (TM) domain followed by a PDZ-domain-binding motif (PBM) at the C-terminus. The percent amino acid identity (I) and similarity (S) between Dnlg2 and NLG1 in the AChE domains are indicated.

(B) In situ hybridization of wild-type embryo at stage 16 using a *dnlg2* labeled anti-sense probe shows mRNA expression in the ventral nerve cord (VNC, arrowhead,) and brain lobes (BL, arrowhead).

(C) Genomic structure of *dnlg2* and the flanking insertions, *P{XP}d02251* in the 5'-end and *PBac{WH}f04579* in the 3'-end. The arrows pointing down indicate the sites of insertion. The arrow in the *dnlg2* locus shows the direction of transcription. *dnlg2* null mutant was generated using FRT-based recombination. The deleted genomic region is shown by the red line. A genomic BAC construct, *P[acman]BAC CH322-173I20*, spanning the region shown by green line was used to rescue the deletion.

(D) PCR confirmation of the targeted deletion using different primer combinations. The PCR primer sets used are shown as numbers and arrowheads in (C).


(E, F) VNC sections from 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae of wild type (E) and *dnlg2*<sup>CL2</sup> mutants (F) stained with anti-Dnlg2 (green) and anti-Brp (red) show expression of Dnlg2 in the synapse-rich regions of the VNC where Brp is expressed (merged image E). Dnlg2 expression is absent in *dnlg2* mutants (F).

(G, H) Immunoblot analysis of Dnlg2. Adult fly head extracts from wild type (+/+) and *dnlg2* mutants immunoblotted with anti-Dnlg2 antibodies. A shorter (Ga) and a longer (Gb) exposure time reveal the presence of a strong ~70 kDa band in the wild type lysate (red arrowhead). The blot with the longer exposure time shows the appearance of a faint ~145

kDa band (**Gb**, red arrow, asterisk). Immunoblots with anti-Dnlg2 antibodies processed separately (**Ha, b**) detects the upper ~145 kDa molecular weight (arrowhead, **Ha**) and the bottom ~70 kDa band (arrowhead, **Hb**) in wild type lysates that are absent in the *dnlg2* lysates. For protein loading control, the blot was probed with anti- $\alpha$ -Tubulin (**Hc**, arrowhead).

**(I, J)** Third instar larval NMJ from wild type (**I**) and *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4/UAS-dnlg2* (**J**) show expression of Dnlg2 (green) and Brp (red) at the NMJ synaptic boutons. Scale bars E, F- 20 $\mu$ m; I, J-10 $\mu$ m.





### Figure 2. Synaptic bouton growth at NMJs is reduced in *dnlg2* mutants

(A–E) Confocal images of NMJ6/7 (A–C) and NMJ4 (D, E) from abdominal segment 3 of 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae labeled with anti-HRP (green) and anti-Dlg (red). Compared to wild type NMJ6/7 (A), *dnlg2* homozygous mutants (B) show reduced NMJ expansion and fewer boutons. This phenotype is rescued by a *BAC* transgene containing *dnlg2* genomic region (C). At NMJ 4, compared to wild type (D), *dnlg2* homozygous mutants (E) have fewer boutons, which appeared to be merged.


(F) Quantifications of type Ib and Is bouton number at NMJ6/7 and type Ib bouton number at NMJ4 adjusted to wild type bouton number. The bouton number deficits in *dnlg2* mutants are rescued by *BAC* transgene or by ubiquitous Dnlg2 expression using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4*.

(G–I) Confocal images of synaptic boutons at segment 3 NMJ6/7 labeled with postsynaptic marker, GluRIII (green) and active zone marker, Brp (red). The alignment of pre- and postsynaptic areas appears to be unaffected in *dnlg2* mutants (Hc). However, the levels of GluRIII in *dnlg2* mutants (Ha) are significantly reduced. This phenotype is rescued by the *BAC* transgene (Ia).

(J) Quantification of GluRIII signal intensity with 3D reconstructed confocal images using Velocity software also reveals reduction in intensity in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* mutants.

(K, L) Confocal images of synaptic boutons at NMJ6/7 labeled with postsynaptic marker, GluRIIA (green) and BRP (red). The alignment of GluRIIA with active zone and the levels of GluRIIA are unaffected in *dnlg2* mutants(L).

(M) Quantification of GluRIIA signal intensity shows slight but not significant increase in *dnlg2* mutants compared to wild type. Error bar = SEM; n.s.: not significant; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$  (Student's t test). Scale bars A–E, 20 $\mu\text{m}$ ; G–L, 2 $\mu\text{m}$ .




**Figure 3. *dnlg2* and *dnrx* mutants display similar NMJ developmental defects**

(A–G) Confocal images of NMJ6/7 from abdominal segment 3 in 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae labeled with anti-HRP (green) and anti-DLG (red). Compared to control (*w<sup>1118</sup>*) (A), *dnlg2* mutants (B), *dnrx/Df* mutants (C), *dnlg2;dnrx<sup>+/-</sup>* (E), *dnlg2<sup>+/-</sup>;dnrx* (F), *dnlg2;dnrx* double homozygous (G) show fewer boutons. The NMJ morphology of transheterozygous *dnlg2<sup>+/-</sup>;dnrx<sup>+/-</sup>* (D) is unaffected.


(H) Quantification of total bouton number at NMJ6/7 adjusted to control bouton number. *dnlg2*, *dnrx/Df* single mutants, *dnlg2;dnrx<sup>+/-</sup>*, *dnlg2<sup>+/-</sup>;dnrx/Df*, and *dnlg2;dnrx/Df* double homozygous have approximately 60–62% boutons compared to control. \*\*\**p* < 0.001; \*\**p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05 (ANOVA, Tukey *post hoc* test). Scale bar = 20 μm.

(I) Quantification of total active zone numbers at NMJ6/7. *dnlg2* mutants have comparable number of active zones with those observed at the wild type NMJs (Student's *t* test).



**Figure 4. *dnlg2* mutants display synapse differentiation defects with severely disorganized postsynaptic areas**  
 (A–G) TEM micrographs of wild type (A, B), *dnlg2* mutants (C, D) and *dnlg2* mutants with the genomic *BAC* construct (E), *dnrx/Df* (F) and *dnlg2;dnrx/Df* double mutants (G) showing the ultrastructural features of boutons at NMJ 6/7. Active zones (AZ), postsynaptic areas (PSA) and SSR are highlighted. SSR widths were measured from PSD to the distal fold. Note that the number of AZs (arrows) is increased and PSAs fail to differentiate properly in *dnlg2* mutants (C, D, PSA with arrows).  
 (H–K) Quantification of ultrastructural morphometric analyses on all genotypes. (H) Compared to wild type, active zone number in boutons are increased in *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* mutants. (I) The lengths of PSD adjusted to the perimeter in *dnlg2* mutants is also increased. Postsynaptic areas (PSA) are enlarged (J) and the widths of SSR are reduced (K) in *dnlg2* mutants. \*\*\**p* < 0.001; \*\**p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05 (Student’s *t* test). Scale bars = 0.5µm.





**Figure 5. Dnlg2 forms a biochemical complex with Dnrx**

(A) IPs from wild type fly head lysates using anti-Dnlg2 antibodies show the presence of Dnlg2 (145 kDa, arrowhead).


(B, C) IP from wild type fly head lysates using anti-Dnrx reveals the presence of Dnlg2 in the same complex (B, 145kDa, arrowhead). The 70kDa Dnlg2 does not associate with Dnrx. Only non-specific background bands are observed in the wild type and *dnlg2* lysates near where the 70kDa band is expected (C, arrowhead). Note that panels B and C are from the same protein blot probed separately.

(D) IPs from equal amounts of wild type and *dnlg2* mutant fly head lysates using anti-Dnlg2 show presence of Dnlg2 (70kDa) in wild type but not in *dnlg2* mutants. (Note the break in between the lanes is due to removal of an empty lane).

(E) Dnrx protein levels are unaffected in *dnlg2* mutants.

(F) IPs from equal amounts of wild type and *dnlg2* mutants using anti-Dnrx show the presence of Dnrx in both wild type and *dnlg2* mutants.






**Figure 6. Dnlg2 is required pre- and post-synaptically for proper synaptic growth at NMJs** (A–J) *dnlg2* cDNA transgene rescue analyses at NMJ 6/7. Compared to two *dnlg2* mutants, *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* (B) and *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* (C, Sun et al., 2011), expression of Dnlg2 in muscles with *C57-Gal4* (D, E) or *24B-Gal4* (F, G) failed to rescue bouton number deficits in both *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants. Similarly, expression of Dnlg2 in neurons using *elav-Gal4* (H) also failed to rescue the NMJ phenotype. However, when Dnlg2 was expressed ubiquitously with *tub-Gal4* (J, I), the NMJ phenotype in both *dnlg2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlg2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants was restored to wild type levels.

(K–N) *dnlg2* overexpression analyses in the wild type background. Overexpression of Dnlg2 in muscles using *C57-Gal4* (K) or *24B-Gal4* (L) adversely affected bouton growth. In contrast, overexpression of Dnlg2 in neurons (M) does not affect NMJ bouton growth or number dramatically. Simultaneous overexpression of Dnlg2 in muscles and neurons promotes bouton growth at NMJs (N).

(O, P) Quantification of bouton number at NMJ6/7 for rescue analyses (O) and overexpression analyses (P). Error bar = SEM; n.s.: not significant; \*\*\**p* < 0.001; \*\**p* < 0.01; \**p* < 0.05 (ANOVA, Tukey *post hoc* test). Scale bar = 20 μm.



**Figure 7. DnlG2 expression is required in pre- and post-synaptic areas for rescue of synaptic transmission defects in *dnlG2* mutants**

(A–C) Quantification of electrophysiological analyses for *dnlG2* and *dnrx<sup>273</sup>* single and *dnlG2;dnrx* double mutants at muscle 6 of the third abdominal segment. *dnlG2* and *dnrx<sup>273</sup>* single and *dnlG2;dnrx* double mutants showed reduced EJP amplitudes (A) but normal mEJP amplitude (B). All the mutants have reduced quantal contents (C). Similarly, pre- (*elav-Gal4*) and post-synaptic (*24B-Gal4* or *C57-Gal4*) expression of DnlG2 in *dnlG2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlG2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants failed to restore EJP amplitudes (A) and quantal content (C) deficits. Ubiquitous expression of DnlG2 using *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4* in both *dnlG2<sup>CL2</sup>* and *dnlG2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants restores the EJP amplitude (A) to control levels. mEJP amplitudes (B) remain unchanged in *dnlG2<sup>CL2</sup>* mutants when DnlG2 is expressed pre- and post-synaptically alone or in combination. However, an increase in mEJP amplitude is seen when DnlG2 is expressed in muscles using *C57-Gal4* in *dnlG2<sup>KO70</sup>* mutants while no changes were observed when DnlG2 is expressed using *elav-* or *tub<sup>P</sup>-Gal4*. \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.01; \*p < 0.05 (Student's t test).