

### NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript**

Cancers (Basel). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 March 11.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Cancers (Basel). 2012 December 1; 4(4): 1050–1066. doi:10.3390/cancers4041050.

### **Mouse Lymphoblastic Leukemias Induced by Aberrant** *Prdm14* **Expression Demonstrate Widespread Copy Number Alterations Also Found in Human ALL**

**Stephen J. Simko**1,\* , **Horatiu Voicu**2, **Brandi L. Carofino**3,4, and **Monica J. Justice**2,3

Horatiu Voicu: voicu@bcm.edu; Brandi L. Carofino: carofino@bcm.edu; Monica J. Justice: mjustice@bcm.edu <sup>1</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Texas Children's Cancer and Hematology Centers, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

<sup>2</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Dan L. Duncan Cancer Center, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

<sup>3</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

<sup>4</sup>Baylor College of Medicine, Interdepartmental Program in Translational Biology and Molecular Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030, USA

#### **Abstract**

Aberrant expression and activation of oncogenes in somatic cells has been associated with cancer initiation. Required for reacquisition of pluripotency in the developing germ cell, PRDM14 initiates lymphoblastic leukemia when misexpressed in murine bone marrow. Activation of pluripotency in somatic cells can lead to aneuploidy and copy number alterations during iPS cell generation, and we hypothesized that PRDM14-induced lymphoblastic leukemias would demonstrate significant chromosomal damage. High-resolution oligo array comparative genomic hybridization demonstrated infrequent aneuploidy but frequent amplification and deletion, with amplifications occurring in a 5:1 ratio with deletions. Many deletions (*i.e., Cdkn2a, Ebf1, Pax5,*  $Ikzft$  involved B-cell development genes and tumor suppressor genes, recapitulating deletions occurring in human leukemia. Pathways opposing senescence were frequently deactivated via Cdkn2a deletion or Tbx2 amplification, with corollary gene expression. Additionally, gene expression studies of abnormal pre-leukemic B-precursors showed downregulation of genes involved in chromosomal stability (*i.e., Xrcc6*) and failure to upregulate DNA repair pathways. We propose a model of leukemogenesis, triggered by pluripotency genes like *Prdm14*, which involves ongoing DNA damage and failure to activate non-homologous end-joining secondary to aberrant gene expression.

#### **Keywords**

PRDM14; lymphoblastic leukemia; pluripotency; CGH; leukemia; DNA damage; DNA repair; CDKN2A; TBX2

Supplementary Materials

<sup>© 2012</sup> by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>\*</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: simko@bcm.edu; Tel.: +1-832-824-4848; Fax: +1-832-825-4276.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license ([http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)).

Supplementary materials can be accessed at: [http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/4/4/1050/s1.](http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/4/4/1050/s1)

#### **1. Introduction**

Comprehensive analysis of the mutational landscape of cancer has provided significant insight into mechanisms of cancer initiation and maintenance. In acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the most common malignancy of childhood, patients have long been known to have characteristic translocations including ETV6-RUNX1, TCF3-PBX1, BCR-ABL, and rearrangements of MLL with numerous partners. With the exception of BCR-ABL, however, these translocations alone are not sufficient to initiate leukemia. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array analysis of mutations in childhood ALL has identified recurring deletions of B-cell development genes, including IKZF1, PAX5, and EBF1 [1]. These data have subsequently been used to demonstrate evidence of an ancestral clone that differs from the primary diagnostic clone [2] and identify new markers of poor prognosis [3]. These abnormalities buttress our understanding of ALL as a genetically heterogeneous malignancy.

The specific developmental processes that lead to the formation of gene mutations, amplifications, and deletions in human lymphoblastic leukemias remain unclear. Given the normal role of V(D)J recombination in lymphoid development, inappropriate targeting of RAG1 and RAG2 has long been suspected in lymphoid leukemia initiation. RAG1/RAG2 recognize characteristic recombination signal sequences (RSS) in conjunction with adjacent H3K4 trimethylation [4]. Though RSSs are concentrated in immunoglobulin and T-cell receptor loci, they are found throughout the genome and can be inappropriately recognized, leading to inappropriate DNA deletion [5]. However,  $RagI^{-/-}$  mice with concomitant deletion of the tumor suppressor locus Cdkn2a also develop lymphoid leukemias, implying that mechanisms of lymphoid leukemia development are not entirely dependent on mistargeted V(D)J recombination [6]. B-cell differentiation block contributes, but is not sufficient, to induce leukemia. *Ebf1* and *Pax5* deletions, though not sufficient to induce leukemia of their own accord, can each induce leukemia in mice with constitutive STAT5b activation [7]. Chromosomal instability also contributes to lymphomagenesis. Induction of chromosomal instability by disruption of DNA repair mechanisms, including ATMdependent cell cycle checkpoints and telomere function, leads to murine T-lymphoblastic lymphomas with copy number alterations (CNAs) analogous to those seen in human disease [8].

The ability of gene misexpression to trigger chromosomal instability, induce CNAs, and initiate cancers is well characterized [9]. We have recently identified PR containing domain 14 (Prdm14) as a novel oncogene whose misexpression in hematopoietic precursors leads to B-cell differentiation block and, ultimately, lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma [10–12]. Little is known about the role of PRDM14 in cancer initiation and maintenance in humans, but its aberrant expression and role in chemoresistance in cancers has been noted. In addition to being overexpressed in T-ALL and hyperdiploid precursor B-ALL [12], PRDM14 is amplified and misexpressed in breast cancers. Its silencing by RNAi results in increased chemosensitivity and decreased cell proliferation [13].

PRDM14's role in cancer initiation may well be related to its role in inducing and maintaining a pluripotent state. PRDM14 is normally expressed only in cells of embryonic and germ cell lineage, and is not normally detected in other differentiated tissues [11,14]. Its expression is necessary for fertility; PRDM14 facilitates reacquisition of pluripotency and epigenetic reprogramming in germ cells after they migrate through the embryo to arrive at the primordial gonad [14]. PRDM14 does not accelerate pluripotency acquisition alone, but synergizes with other pluripotency factors. With KLF2, PRDM14 facilitates conversion of murine epiblast stem cells to embryonic stem cells, with concomitant X chromosome reactivation and DNA demethylation [15]. PRDM14 also plays a role in maintaining

pluripotency, as it prevents embryonic stem cells (ESCs) from differentiating into extraembryonic endoderm [16]. In mouse and human ESCs, it binds genomic DNA at a twelve nucleotide consensus sequence and colocalizes with transcription factors necessary for pluripotency such as OCT4 (POU5F1), NANOG, and SOX2 [16,17], which in turn regulate PRDM14 expression [18,19]. Thus, while PRDM14 is not independently sufficient for pluripotency reprogramming, it does appear to accelerate and, in the developing germ cell, is necessary for this process to occur.

Activation of pluripotency in somatic cells has been associated with not only aneuploidy [20] but also CNAs. Addition of a  $Myc$ -containing vector to murine mammary cells to promote dedifferentiated mammosphere formation, or induction of pluripotency with Oct4, Sox2, and Klf4 in murine embryonic fibroblasts, results in diploid induced pluripotency stem (iPS) cell formation that contain amplifications and deletions, particularly in common fragile sites of the genome [21]. Normal pluripotent cells, seemingly, would also be susceptible to DNA damage from activation of genes like  $Myc$ , except that they activate high-fidelity DNA repair mechanisms. For instance, iPS cells (like ES cells) upregulate expression of genes involved in DNA repair compared to more differentiated somatic cohorts [22]. In stem cells, PRDM14 maintains pluripotency [15] likely in the presence of DNA repair partners in order to maintain the integrity of the stem cell genome. In contrast, when *Prdm14* is misexpressed in somatic cells, DNA repair cofactors may be deregulated. Therefore, we hypothesized that expression of *Prdm14* in somatic cells causes cancer by activating self-renewal [12] without appropriate prevention of or response to DNA damage. The lack of appropriate repair may be evident as chromosomal aberrations or copy number alterations.

Here we use array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) to evaluate whether Prdm14 expression in murine hematopoietic cells leads to tumors with substantial genomic derangements, compare CNAs to those seen in human disease, and explore possible mechanisms of DNA damage in these tumors.

#### **2. Results and Discussion**

We previously described PRDM14-induced development of lymphoblastic leukemia/ lymphoma in mice transplanted with stem-cell enriched bone marrow transduced with a MIGR1-Prdm14 vector (also referred to as MPr14 in tumor prefixes) [12]. In order to determine the downstream effect of constitutive Prdm14 expression on the genome, we performed high-resolution ( $1 \times 1$  MB) array CGH to analyze 12 tumors of varying lymphoid cell lineage type for copy number gain and loss (Table 1). Tumors that arise from this model vary widely in lymphoid lineage, being primarily precursor-T or precursor-B, but some having a "mixed" phenotype based on presence of both IgH (or B-cell receptor, BCR) and T-cell receptor (TCR) rearrangement, or "common lymphoid progenitor" (CLP) based on lack of rearrangements. Further, some tumors showed evidence of an erthyroblastosis or megakaryocytosis. Tumors were classified according to presence of BCR/TCR rearrangement and were selected to reflect the variety of lineages [12].

#### **2.1. PRDM14-Induced Tumors Have Numerous, Recurrent Copy Number Alterations with Occasional Aneuploidy**

Aneuploidy occurred at low frequency. Whole chromosome gains occurred in 4/12 tumors; one tumor had a partial deletion of chromosomes 18 and 19, but no other very large-scale  $(i.e., >10$  Mb) CNAs were noted. Comparatively, CNAs occurred with high frequency (Figure 1), with amplifications much more common than deletions (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Excluding copy numbers affected by aneuploidy, 1,830 genes were amplified, and 378 genes were deleted across the 12 tumors. Tumors carried a mean of 152 amplifications

and 31.5 deletions. A large fraction of CNAs were recurrent. We identified 168 unique recurring amplifications, spanning 439 genes, and 25 unique recurring deletions, spanning 35 genes. Median amplicon size was 91,543 bp, with a minimum amplicon size of 14,799 bp. Median size of deleted region was 99,798 bp with minimum size of 20,510 bp.

#### **2.2. Deleted Genes Confirm Role of B-Cell Developmental Disruption in PRDM14-Induced Lymphomagenesis**

We previously reported B-cell differentiation block at the pro-B stage in mice transplanted with Prdm14-transduced bone marrow [12]. We evaluated tumors for deletions of genes involved in B-cell development and differentiation. Five of twelve tumors had deletions in critical B-cell developmental genes with tumor suppressor function, including Ebf1, Pax5, and Ikzf1 (Figure 2a–c). Gene deletion of B-cell developmental genes occurred only in precursor-B lineage tumors, except in one tumor (MPr14-111) which did not have either Bor T-cell rearrangement, yet had the immunophenotype of a CLP-like tumor [12]. Numerous tumors have deletion within the immunoglobulin heavy chain and T-cell receptor region, consistent with rearrangement.

#### **2.3. Deletions and Amplifications Occur in Characterized Tumor Suppressors and Oncogenes**

All tumors had at least amplification of one known oncogene or deletion of one tumor suppressor gene (Table 2). Given the expansion of abnormal lymphoid progenitors prior to leukemia onset, we expected to find derangement of apoptosis control genes. Four of twelve tumors had deletions of  $Cdkn2a$ , which encodes the INK4-ARF master apoptosis control proteins; gene expression was relatively low in all tumors with gene deletion, but expression across tumors does not appear to be solely dependent on gene deletion (Figure 3a,c). Four of twelve tumors had amplification of  $Tbx2$ , a gene involved in suppression of senescence. Though gene amplification appears to be heterozygous (mean copy number change of 3.02), gene expression is massively expanded in these tumors, with 45–101 fold change in gene expression in tumors with amplification (Figure 3b,d) compared to controls and tumors without amplification. Of note, one control appears to have gene amplification but, unlike the tumors, does not have increased gene expression.

Seven of twelve tumors had amplification of  $Kif11 (Eg5)$ , a necessary component of the mitotic spindle required for proper chromosomal segregation, and whose amplification leads to the development of lymphomas and solid tumors in mice, usually associated with tetraploidy [23]. All tumors with erythroblastosis, an unusual feature in lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma, carried  $Eg5$  amplification, but not all tumors with  $Eg5$ amplification demonstrated this phenotype.

Five of twelve tumors have CNAs at known common fragile sites, genomic regions susceptible to DNA damage under replication stress [24]. Deletion of Fhit was detected in one tumor, and amplification of Wwox and Grid2 was seen in two tumors each. Additional recurrent gene deletions occurred in genes spanning large (>200,000 kB) regions of DNA, including Macrod2, Pcdh15, and Shroom3.

#### **2.4. T-lineage Lymphoblastic Leukemia/Lymphoma Demonstrate Fewer Candidate Driver Mutations than Precursor B or Mixed Lineage Leukemias**

Leukemias were classified into subtypes based on presence of IgH or T-cell receptor rearrangements, and CNAs were analyzed by class. Principal component analysis demonstrated that leukemias did not group according to their condition, demonstrating no consistent global genetic changes that were class-defining. Although all classes of malignancies exhibited similar numbers of CNAs, we noted substantially fewer known

leukemogenic CNAs in T-lymphoblastic leukemias than in other types (Table 2). As expected, B-cell development gene deletions *(i.e., Pax5, Ikzf1, Ebf1)* did not occur in Tlymphoblastic leukemias but did occur in other types (precursor B, CLP-like, mixed lineage). Deletions in common fragile sites, CNAs in Cdkn2a or Tbx2, and chromosomal rearrangements were also not seen in the T-lymphoblastic leukemias, although Eg5 amplification was present. In comparing PRDM14-induced T-ALL mutations to previously published candidate driver mutations in murine T-lymphoblastic lymphoma identified using a Sleeping Beauty transposon mutagenesis screen [25], little overlap was noted, except for amplification of Chst11 (tumor MPr14-148) and Picalm (tumor MPr14-258). Mixed/ unclassified lineage leukemias demonstrated similar chromosomal changes as precursor-B leukemias, except for two tumors with amplification of Lmo1, a gene implicated in T-ALL leukemogenesis [26,27].

#### **2.5. Gene Amplifications and Deletions Occur at PRDM14 Binding Loci**

PRDM14-binding loci in murine ES cells have been previously characterized using ChIP-Seq pulldown of FLAG-PRDM14 [16]. We cross-referenced these known binding sites with genes amplified and deleted in PRDM14-induced tumors. Five of 35 genes (Ebf1, Sox2ot, Shroom3, Slc10a7, and Ncam1 [which encodes CD56]) with recurrent deletions had PRDM14 binding sites within the gene region, and four of five had multiple binding sites. PRDM14 binds also within intronic sequence of  $Pax5$  [16], which is deleted in tumor 147 (Figure 2b). Though PRDM14 does not bind at a preponderance of amplified loci, it does bind at numerous amplified loci previously characterized in oncogenesis, including Kif11, Lmo1, Notch1, and Lpp.

#### **2.6. Extensive Amplification of Known Cancer Rearrangement Partners**

Rearrangements of the MLL gene with numerous partners in human leukemias have been characterized [28]. Though we were limited from performing karyotypic analysis of our tumors due to tissue availability, we did note substantial amplification of MLL rearrangement partners. Eight of twelve tumors demonstrated amplification of these genes, including *Lpp*, *Mllt3*, *Mllt10*, *Ell*, and *Eps15* (Table 2).

#### **2.7. Genes Involved in Maintaining Chromosomal Stability Have Decreased Expression Prior to Leukemia Formation, and DNA Damage Response Fails to Activate**

We previously described abnormal gene expression seen in an expanded population of common lymphoid progenitors (Il7ra+lin−Kit+Sca1+) that predated the onset of leukemia in our mice [12]. We performed gene ontology analysis from this experiment to evaluate for enrichment of genes involved in DNA repair that may be up- or downregulated. All statistically significant (p-value corrected for multiple testing <0.05) gene changes were evaluated, regardless of fold change (FC). Enrichment for genes involved in DNA repair was noted ( $p = 2.8E-2$ , Benjamini = 3.9E-1). Fourteen genes involved in DNA repair— Xrcc6, Slk, Uhrf1, Usp1, Apbb1, Ddb1, Rad23b, Blm, Fancd2, Lig3, Brip1, Cinp, Ercc3, and Rtel1—had statistically significant decreased expression (Supplementary Table 3). In particular, *Xrcc6* (FC = −3.31), *Fancd2* (FC = −1.27), *Blm* (FC = −1.26), and *Brip1* (FC = −1.23) are involved in maintaining chromosomal stability [29–31]. Of the ten upregulated genes—Ube2b, Ube2a, Setx, Chaf1b, Ercc5, Mbd4, Poll, Mgmt, Msh5, and Cep164 involved in DNA repair, only  $Cep164$  (FC = 2.0) is directly involved in maintenance of chromosome stability [32]. None of the other increased genes showed fold change above 1.4, and their role in DNA repair is restricted to nucleotide excision repair or protein ubiquitinization after radiation exposure [33]. None of the upregulated genes participate in homologous recombination repair (HRR) or non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). Correlation with previously published PRDM14 binding within mouse ES cells demonstrated no PRDM14 binding within 100 kB of any of these loci [16].

Meiosis-specific genes (gene ontology analysis,  $p = 1.9E-1$ , Benjamini = 8.1E-1), including Rsph1, Spo11, Zfp318, Msh5, Clgn, and Ube2b are also upregulated in the abnormal preleukemic cells (Supplementary Table 3). Two genes with a known role in normal homologous recombination in meiosis are upregulated. *Msh5*, noted above, also is required for crossing-over in meiosis-specific DNA recombination [34]. Spo11 induces double stranded breaks during meiotic recombination [35] and is upregulated 2.3 fold.

#### **2.8. Discussion**

PRDM14-induced lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphomas show heterogeneity of genomic aberrations, similar to that seen in human disease. Human ALL is characterized not just by genetic translocations but by sporadic deletions in genes necessary for B-cell development and differentiation (*Pax5, Ebf1, Ikzf1*) or apoptosis (*Cdkn2a*), as are seen frequently in our tumors. Unlike human ALL, however, PRDM14-induced leukemias bear many more gene deletions and particularly amplifications per individual. In their analysis of 228 ALL patients samples, Mullighan et al. reported an average of 3.83 deletions per individual ALL specimen, and only rare focal amplifications, arguing against genomic instability as a mechanism of cancer initiation in most childhood lymphoblastic leukemias [1]. Murine PRDM14-induced leukemia/lymphomas have a mean of 152 amplifications and 31.5 deletions. The high number of detected CNAs did not appear to be an artifact of overly permissive CNA analysis; frequent amplicons (mean 18.4 per tumor) were detected even using excessively strict (copy number >3 or <1) criteria. This level of CNAs is unusual for oncogene-induced murine tumors [8].

DNA damage appears to result from replication stress and chromosomal instability. The high frequency of common fragile site CNAs (5/12 tumors) plus additional CNAs within large genes suggests significant endogenous replication stress. DNA damage at common fragile sites has been shown to precede CNAs at other locations when comparing preneoplastic tissue to malignant cells [36]. The high number of CNAs in our tumors, along with the decreased expression of genes required for DNA repair, suggests that chromosomal instability also plays a role in our model of leukemogenesis. Decreased expression of Xrcc6, which is greater than 3-fold reduced in pre-leukemic cells, appears to be a primary mechanism of DNA repair failure. Xrcc6 encodes the Ku70 subunit of the Ku heterodimer, an essential component of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (DNA-PK) complex required for initiation of NHEJ in double stranded break repair [29,37].

Failure to complete NHEJ alone does not explain the degree of DNA damage, particularly regarding amplifications. Pluripotency reprogramming normally induces high fidelity DNA repair mechanisms, including increased expression of numerous HRR and DNA repair genes [22]. Unlike in ES or iPS cells, however, aberrant Prdm14-expressing lymphoid progenitors fail to upregulate HRR or NHEJ genes. Only one gene, *Cep164*, has increased expression in response to aberrant somatic Prdm14 expression, as opposed to the more global changes seen in iPS or ES cells relative to more differentiated counterparts; additionally, this gene does not participate in direct DNA repair but contributes to cell cycle arrest in response to DNA damage [32]. Thus, the combined effect of decreased expression of DNA repair enzymes plus failure to upregulate DNA repair mechanisms in response to replication stress appears to be the critical mechanism of eventual widespread DNA damage.

Knowing that PRDM14-induced lymphoid progenitors have differentiation block at the pro-B stage [12], and knowing now that putative leukemia precursor cells have impaired ability to repair double-stranded breaks, a more complete model of Prdm14-related cancer initiation emerges (Figure 4), particularly as related to B-lineage or mixed B/T leukemias. PRDM14 expression attempts to reprogram differentiating hematopoietic precursors. The replication stress contributed by activation of pluripotency, the deactivation of genes involved in

chromosomal stability (Xrcc6/Ku70), the failure to activate DNA repair mechanisms, and the activation of homologous recombination factors lead to excessive DNA damage. Cells fail to complete the normal B-cell differentiation program, perhaps due to impairment of NHEJ in V(D)J recombination or deletion of B-cell development genes. Furthermore, stem cell reprogramming does not occur properly, likely because other pluripotency factors are missing [15]. Later events include activation of oncogenes (*Lmo1, Notch1*) sometimes but not necessarily via amplification; we previously demonstrated that  $Myc$ , for instance, has increased expression in tumors but not in preleukemia, and is not amplified [12].

Tumors appear to have arisen only after the mutational burden and involvement of oncogenes and tumor suppressors circumvented highly active apoptosis pathways. Notably, our previous gene expression studies of pre-leukemic progenitor cells noted upregulation of multiple p53 pathway genes, including  $p21$  [12], suggesting that preleukemic cells appear to have intact apoptosis mechanisms. Ultimately, however, senescence mechanisms appear to be defeated in our tumors by genomic alteration. Six of twelve tumors demonstrate either amplification of Tbx2 or deletion of the tumor suppressor Cdkn2a. It is deleted here  $(33%)$ at a similar rate as seen in human disease (25%) [1]. In human lymphoblastic leukemia, but not in solid tumors, its mechanism of deletion involves aberrant RAG targeting, as RSSs flank CDKN2A breakpoints [5]. TBX2 inhibits CDKN2A by repressing its promoter, preventing expression that would otherwise be induced by Myc or Ras-pathway genes [38]. TBX2 also binds the promoter and represses expression of p21, a key mediator of senescence [39]. In our model, the abrogation of senescence and concomitant failure of DNA repair appears to be a critical mechanism of Prdm14-induced tumorigenesis.

Curiously, this model does not completely apply to TCR-rearranged leukemias without IgH rearrangements seen in this study. Though similar degree of genomic derangement is seen in these tumors, few CNAs in these tumors are in known oncogenes or tumor suppressors, or in candidate tumor initiating genes.  $Kif11 (Eg5)$  is amplified in these tumors, but whether this represents a driver or passenger mutation is unknown, given the profound aneuploidy seen in EG5-induced tumors that is not seen these PRDM14-induced leukemias [23]. The mechanism of PRDM14-induced T-leukemogenesis remains a subject for further study.

Of the amplified loci with known PRDM14 binding sites, many of these involve genes implicated in oncogenesis. LMO1 is amplified in a subset of human T-lymphoblastic leukemias [27], and its gene product works in concert with SCL to create conditions allowing NOTCH1 activation and T-lymphomagenesis [26]. A majority of tumors also have Kif11 (Eg5) amplification, which is known to induce tetraploid tumors in mice [23]. The frequency of these and other oncogene amplifications at PRDM14 binding loci, as well as the presence of recurrent deletions at or near PRDM14 binding sites, raises the question of whether PRDM14 binding contributes to replication stress by directly interfering with normal DNA replication mechanisms in somatic cells.

#### **3. Experimental Section**

#### **3.1. Animal Care**

All mouse experiments were carried out under the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Baylor College of Medicine (BCM). Mice were housed in the barrier facility at BCM, under the care of the Center for Comparative Medicine, which is accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International.

#### **3.2. Transduction of Prdm14 into Stem-Cell Enriched Bone Marrow Cells**

The protocol for transducing bone marrow with *Prdm14*-containing vector was described previously [12]. Briefly, donor CD45.2 C57BL/6J mice were injected with 5-fluorouracil five days prior to bone marrow harvest. Extracted marrow was transduced with a GFPlabeled murine stem cell virus-based vector (MIGR1) containing Prdm14 (MIGR1-Prdm14) or empty vector (EV). Transduced marrow was transplanted into lethally irradiated CD45.1 C57BL/6J mice. Mice were aged until development of systemic illness; mice sacrificed for illness were noted to have lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma as described [12]. Four weeks after transplantation, a fraction of mice were harvested, and marrow cell suspensions underwent cell sorting to isolate cells with a common lymphoid progenitor signature (CLPs; Il7ra<sup>+</sup>lin Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup>; no additional sorting for GFP) as described [12].

#### **3.3. Array CGH**

Twelve leukemic lymph nodes and spleens from MIGR1-Prdm14 transduced mice were selected for aCGH analysis (Table 1). Three spleens from EV-transduced mice were selected as controls. Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen, unsorted tumor samples using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Sample quality check and chip hybridization were performed by the BCM Genomic and RNA Profiling Core Facility. DNA underwent amino-allyl labeling with Cy3 (control) and Cy5 (samples) and was hybridized to Mouse aCGH SurePrint G3 ( $1 \times 1$  M) slides (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Two control specimens also underwent Cy5 labeling for comparison to the third control specimen. Array was scanned using GeneTAC UC-4 Microarray Analyzer (Digilab, Holliston, MA, USA). Data were analyzed and visualized using Partek Genomic Suite (Partek, Chesterfield, MO, USA). Results were preprocessed using quantile normalization and were adjusted for identical distribution. Deletions and amplifications were evaluated by genomic segmentation, comparing copy number in samples versus control. The minimum genomic markers in any segment is 10, the  $p$ -value threshold for two neighboring regions having significantly different means is 0.001, and the minimum signal-to-noise ratio for each transition is 0.3. To correct for admixture of normal tissue within tumor tissue, and allowing for the possibility of several subclones of cells within the leukemia population, cutoffs of copy number greater than 2.5 or less than 1.5 were used to call amplification and deletion, respectively. As a control for this, a control of greater than three chromosomes or less than one failed to detect differences in sex chromosome genes.

#### **3.4. Gene Expression Array**

Gene expression array was performed on Il7ra<sup>+</sup>lin<sup>−</sup>Kit<sup>+</sup>Sca1<sup>+</sup> cells as described previously [12]. Gene ontology analysis was performed using functional annotation clustering in the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrative Discovery (DAVID) [33,40].

#### **3.5. Gene Expression Studies**

Total RNA was isolated from frozen control EV spleens and tumors using TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR kit (Life Technologies). Resulting cDNA was amplified using real-time PCR with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies) and gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table 4). Amplification and data analysis were conducted on a Rotor-Gene Q machine and software (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). Relative gene expression was calculated with the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method [41]. Expression of ribosomal protein L19 (Rpl19) was used as an endogenous control, and all values are relative to control EV spleen. Gene expression levels in tumors with amplification were compared to levels in tumors without amplification and in control EV spleen using a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Statistical analysis

#### **4. Conclusions**

Aberrant Prdm14 expression in somatic cells not only initiates cancer but also induces profound DNA rearrangements. These data emphasize the role of pluripotency activation and cellular reprogramming in cancer initiation, and particularly the role of transcription factors such as PRDM14 that accelerate but do not complete pluripotency reprogramming, and that do not co-activate appropriate DNA repair mechanisms.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Array CGH was performed by the Genomic and RNA Profiling Core Facility at Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA, supported by the Dan L. Duncan Cancer Center through NIH grant P30CA125123. This work was supported by U54CA149196 (Stephen Wong, PI, USA), through a subcontract with The Methodist Hospital. SJS was also supported by an NIH National Research Service Award Institutional Training Grant 3 T32 CA115303-04, "Pediatric Oncology Research Training Program," and by a grant from Hyundai Hope on Wheels.

#### **References**

- 1. Mullighan CG, Goorha S, Radtke I, Miller CB, Coustan-Smith E, Dalton JD, Girtman K, Mathew S, Ma J, Pounds SB, et al. Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Nature. 2007; 446:758–764. [PubMed: 17344859]
- 2. Mullighan CG, Phillips LA, Su X, Ma J, Miller CB, Shurtleff SA, Downing JR. Genomic analysis of the clonal origins of relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Science. 2008; 322:1377–1380. [PubMed: 19039135]
- 3. Mullighan CG, Su X, Zhang J, Radtke I, Phillips LA, Miller CB, Ma J, Liu W, Cheng C, Schulman BA, et al. Deletion of IKZF1 and prognosis in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:470–480. [PubMed: 19129520]
- 4. Schatz DG, Ji Y. Recombination centres and the orchestration of V(D)J recombination. Nat Rev Immunol. 2011; 11:251–263. [PubMed: 21394103]
- 5. Novara F, Beri S, Bernardo ME, Bellazzi R, Malovini A, Ciccone R, Cometa AM, Locatelli F, Giorda R, Zuffardi O. Different molecular mechanisms causing 9p21 deletions in acute lymphoblastic leukemia of childhood. Hum Genet. 2009; 126:511–520. [PubMed: 19484265]
- 6. Hauer J, Mullighan C, Morillon E, Wang G, Bruneau J, Brousse N, Lelorc'h M, Romana S, Boudil A, Tiedau D, et al. Loss of p19Arf in a Rag1<sup>-/−</sup> B-cell precursor population initiates acute Blymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2011; 118:544–553. [PubMed: 21622646]
- 7. Heltemes-Harris LM, Willette MJ, Ramsey LB, Qiu YH, Neeley ES, Zhang N, Thomas DA, Koeuth T, Baechler EC, Kornblau SM, et al. Ebf1 or Pax5 haploinsufficiency synergizes with STAT5 activation to initiate acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Exp Med. 2011; 208:1135–1149. [PubMed: 21606506]
- 8. Maser RS, Choudhury B, Campbell PJ, Feng B, Wong KK, Protopopov A, O'Neil J, Gutierrez A, Ivanova E, Perna I, et al. Chromosomally unstable mouse tumours have genomic alterations similar to diverse human cancers. Nature. 2007; 447:966–971. [PubMed: 17515920]
- 9. Sander S, Bullinger L, Karlsson A, Giuriato S, Hernandez-Boussard T, Felsher DW, Pollack JR. Comparative genomic hybridization on mouse cDNA microarrays and its application to a murine lymphoma model. Oncogene. 2005; 24:6101–6107. [PubMed: 16007205]
- 10. Weiser KC, Liu B, Hansen GM, Skapura D, Hentges KE, Yarlagadda S, Morse HC III, Justice MJ. Retroviral insertions in the VISION database identify molecular pathways in mouse lymphoid leukemia and lymphoma. Mamm Genome. 2007; 18:709–722. [PubMed: 17926094]

- 11. Dettman EJ, Justice MJ. The zinc finger SET domain gene Prdm14 is overexpressed in lymphoblastic lymphomas with retroviral insertions at Evi32. PLoS One. 2008; 3:e3823. [PubMed: 19043588]
- 12. Dettman EJ, Simko SJ, Ayanga B, Carofino BL, Margolin JF, Morse HC 3rd, Justice MJ. Prdm14 initiates lymphoblastic leukemia after expanding a population of cells resembling common lymphoid progenitors. Oncogene. 2011; 30:2859–2873. [PubMed: 21339739]
- 13. Nishikawa N, Toyota M, Suzuki H, Honma T, Fujikane T, Ohmura T, Nishidate T, Ohe-Toyota M, Maruyama R, Sonoda T, et al. Gene amplification and overexpression of PRDM14 in breast cancers. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:9649–9657. [PubMed: 17942894]
- 14. Yamaji M, Seki Y, Kurimoto K, Yabuta Y, Yuasa M, Shigeta M, Yamanaka K, Ohinata Y, Saitou M. Critical function of Prdm14 for the establishment of the germ cell lineage in mice. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1016–1022. [PubMed: 18622394]
- 15. Gillich A, Bao S, Grabole N, Hayashi K, Trotter MW, Pasque V, Magnusdottir E, Surani MA. Epiblast stem cell-based system reveals reprogramming synergy of germline factors. Cell Stem Cell. 2012; 10:425–439. [PubMed: 22482507]
- 16. Ma Z, Swigut T, Valouev A, Rada-Iglesias A, Wysocka J. Sequence-specific regulator Prdm14 safeguards mouse ESCs from entering extraembryonic endoderm fates. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010; 18:120–127. [PubMed: 21183938]
- 17. Chia NY, Chan YS, Feng B, Lu X, Orlov YL, Moreau D, Kumar P, Yang L, Jiang J, Lau MS, et al. A genome-wide RNAi screen reveals determinants of human embryonic stem cell identity. Nature. 2010; 468:316–320. [PubMed: 20953172]
- 18. Boyer LA, Lee TI, Cole MF, Johnstone SE, Levine SS, Zucker JP, Guenther MG, Kumar RM, Murray HL, Jenner RG, et al. Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell. 2005; 122:947–956. [PubMed: 16153702]
- 19. Greber B, Lehrach H, Adjaye J. Silencing of core transcription factors in human EC cells highlights the importance of autocrine FGF signaling for self-renewal. BMC Dev Biol. 2007; 7:46. [PubMed: 17506876]
- 20. Mayshar Y, Ben-David U, Lavon N, Biancotti JC, Yakir B, Clark AT, Plath K, Lowry WE, Benvenisty N. Identification and classification of chromosomal aberrations in human induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell. 2010; 7:521–531. [PubMed: 20887957]
- 21. Pasi CE, Dereli-Oz A, Negrini S, Friedli M, Fragola G, Lombardo A, Van Houwe G, Naldini L, Casola S, Testa G, et al. Genomic instability in induced stem cells. Cell Death Differ. 2011; 18:745–753. [PubMed: 21311564]
- 22. Momcilovic O, Knobloch L, Fornsaglio J, Varum S, Easley C, Schatten G. DNA damage responses in human induced pluripotent stem cells and embryonic stem cells. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e13410. [PubMed: 20976220]
- 23. Castillo A, Morse HC 3rd, Godfrey VL, Naeem R, Justice MJ. Overexpression of Eg5 causes genomic instability and tumor formation in mice. Cancer Res. 2007; 67:10138–10147. [PubMed: 17974955]
- 24. Ozeri-Galai E, Bester AC, Kerem B. The complex basis underlying common fragile site instability in cancer. Trends Genet. 2012; 28:295–302. [PubMed: 22465609]
- 25. Berquam-Vrieze KE, Nannapaneni K, Brett BT, Holmfeldt L, Ma J, Zagorodna O, Jenkins NA, Copeland NG, Meyerholz DK, Knudson CM, et al. Cell of origin strongly influences genetic selection in a mouse model of T-ALL. Blood. 2011; 118:4646–4656. [PubMed: 21828136]
- 26. Tremblay M, Tremblay CS, Herblot S, Aplan PD, Hebert J, Perreault C, Hoang T. Modeling T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia induced by the SCL and LMO1 oncogenes. Genes Dev. 2010; 24:1093–1105. [PubMed: 20516195]
- 27. Futreal PA, Coin L, Marshall M, Down T, Hubbard T, Wooster R, Rahman N, Stratton MR. A census of human cancer genes. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4:177–183. [PubMed: 14993899]
- 28. Meyer C, Kowarz E, Hofmann J, Renneville A, Zuna J, Trka J, Ben Abdelali R, Macintyre E, de Braekeleer E, de Braekeleer M, et al. New insights to the MLL recombinome of acute leukemias. Leukemia. 2009; 23:1490–1499. [PubMed: 19262598]

- 29. Gu Y, Jin S, Gao Y, Weaver DT, Alt FW. Ku70-deficient embryonic stem cells have increased ionizing radiosensitivity, defective DNA end-binding activity, and inability to support V(D)J recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:8076–8081. [PubMed: 9223317]
- 30. Reliene R, Yamamoto ML, Rao PN, Schiestl RH. Genomic instability in mice is greater in Fanconi anemia caused by deficiency of Fancd2 than Fancg. Cancer Res. 2010; 70:9703–9710. [PubMed: 21118969]
- 31. Suhasini AN, Brosh RM Jr. Fanconi anemia and Bloom's syndrome crosstalk through FANCJ-BLM helicase interaction. Trends Genet. 2012; 28:7–13. [PubMed: 22024395]
- 32. Sivasubramaniam S, Sun X, Pan YR, Wang S, Lee EY. Cep164 is a mediator protein required for the maintenance of genomic stability through modulation of MDC1, RPA, and CHK1. Genes Dev. 2008; 22:587–600. [PubMed: 18283122]
- 33. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009; 37:1–13. [PubMed: 19033363]
- 34. Kelly KO, Dernburg AF, Stanfield GM, Villeneuve AM. Caenorhabditis elegans msh-5 is required for both normal and radiation-induced meiotic crossing over but not for completion of meiosis. Genetics. 2000; 156:617–630. [PubMed: 11014811]
- 35. Keeney S, Giroux CN, Kleckner N. Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell. 1997; 88:375–384. [PubMed: 9039264]
- 36. Gorgoulis VG, Vassiliou LV, Karakaidos P, Zacharatos P, Kotsinas A, Liloglou T, Venere M, Ditullio RA Jr, Kastrinakis NG, Levy B, et al. Activation of the DNA damage checkpoint and genomic instability in human precancerous lesions. Nature. 2005; 434:907–913. [PubMed: 15829965]
- 37. Ribes-Zamora A, Mihalek I, Lichtarge O, Bertuch AA. Distinct faces of the Ku heterodimer mediate DNA repair and telomeric functions. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2007; 14:301–307. [PubMed: 17351632]
- 38. Jacobs JJ, Keblusek P, Robanus-Maandag E, Kristel P, Lingbeek M, Nederlof PM, van Welsem T, van de Vijver MJ, Koh EY, Daley GQ, et al. Senescence bypass screen identifies TBX2, which represses Cdkn2a (p19(ARF)) and is amplified in a subset of human breast cancers. Nat Genet. 2000; 26:291–299. [PubMed: 11062467]
- 39. Prince S, Carreira S, Vance KW, Abrahams A, Goding CR. Tbx2 directly represses the expression of the p21(WAF1) cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. Cancer Res. 2004; 64:1669–1674. [PubMed: 14996726]
- 40. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009; 4:44–57. [PubMed: 19131956]
- 41. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25:402–408. [PubMed: 11846609]





#### **Figure 1.**

Global genome view histogram, depicting regions of amplification and deletion. X-axis depicts frequency of amplifications greater than 2.3 copies (red) or deletions less than 1.7 copies (blue). Chromosomes do not show frequent aneuploidy, though trisomy 14 and 15 are present in two tumors. Amplification of genes occurs more frequently than deletions in approximately a 5:1 ratio. Gene regions with common amplification and/or deletion include IgH locus ( $\mathbb{I}$ ), T-cell receptor alpha (§) and beta (§§), *Cdkn2a* (†), *Sall3* (‡), *Tbx2* (\*), and  $Kif11$  (\*\*). Chromosomes X and Y reflect the sex of the tumor but demonstrate few CNAs and are depicted in Supplementary Figure 1.



#### **Figure 2.**

Copy number alterations in B-cell development genes. Horizontal bars reflect individual tumors; individual oligo amplification (red) and deletion (blue) are depicted by vertical marks, and areas of amplification/deletion are deleted by individual color change on the respective bars. Color code for CGH graphs is depicted above each graph and represents the log ratio of the array. Array depicted against exonic/intronic region of gene. Samples are arranged by BCR/TCR-based tumor type as listed in Table 1. Depiction of CNAs often cover small regions and are sometimes only intronic, as seen in (**a**) Pax5, (**b**) Ebf1, and (**c**) Ikzf1.

Simko et al. Page 14



#### **Figure 3.**

Depiction of regions of deletion and amplification, depicted with gene exons and gene expression. Color code for CGH graphs is depicted above each graph and represents the log ratio of the array (deletion is blue, amplification is red). Samples in (**a**) and (**b**) are arranged by BCR/TCR-based tumor type as listed in Table 1. (**a**) Four tumors have complete deletion of Cdkn2a, with entire sequence deleted.; (**b**) Tbx2 is amplified in four tumors; (**c**) Expression of Cdkn2a is low in all tumors with gene deletion, but low expression is not exclusive to these tumors ( $p =$  not significant); (**d**) Tbx2 expression is markedly elevated in

all tumors with gene amplification. Amplification of Tbx2 is reported in control #93 but no concomitant rise in expression is seen. \*\*\* indicates  $p < 0.001$ , \*\* indicates  $p = 0.001 - 0.01$ .





#### **Figure 4.**

PRDM14 induces cancer via multifactorial mechanisms. Early changes involve failure of DNA repair mechanisms in the setting of replication stress, though apoptosis mechanisms are active [12]. Subsequent changes involve loss of senescence, deletion of B-cell genes, and/or activation of oncogenes.

# **Table 1**

Characteristics of the tumors analyzed. Characteristics of the tumors analyzed.



LL = lymphoblastic lymphoma. N/A = not applicable. LL = lymphoblastic lymphoma. N/A = not applicable.



## **Table 2**

Tumor cytogenetics and major copy number amplifications. Tumor cytogenetics and major copy number amplifications.

