NIH Public Access
Author Manuscript

Relating Structure to Evolution in Class II Viral Membrane Fusion Proteins

Yorgo Modis

Department of Molecular Biophysics & Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

Abstract

Enveloped viruses must fuse their lipid membrane to a cellular membrane to deliver the viral genome into the cytoplasm for replication. Viral envelope proteins catalyze this critical membrane fusion event. They fall into at least three distinct structural classes. Class II fusion proteins have a conserved three-domain architecture and are found in many important viral pathogens. Until 2013, class II proteins had only been found in flaviviruses and alphaviruses. However, in 2013 a class II fusion protein was discovered in the unrelated phlebovirus genus, and two unexpectedly divergent envelope proteins were identified in families that also contain prototypical class II proteins. The structural relationships of newly identified class II proteins, reviewed herein, shift the paradigm for how these proteins evolved.

Introduction

Viral envelope proteins are the principal effectors of virus assembly and cell entry. Enveloped viruses must fuse their lipid membrane with a host-cell membrane in order to deliver their genome into the cytoplasm for replication. This membrane fusion event is catalyzed by viral envelope proteins. Viruses also rely on their envelope proteins to recognize host cells by binding cellular receptors. Envelope proteins shield viruses from the immune system and bear most of the neutralizing antibody epitopes against any given virus. The envelope proteins of many viruses form a rigid outer structural shell, which usually takes the form of a quasi-spherical icosahedral assembly.

Viral membrane fusion proteins fall into at least three distinct structural classes. The influenza virus hemagglutinin (HA) is the prototype of "class I" fusion proteins [1], which encompass those of other orthomyxo- and paramyxoviruses, retroviruses, filoviruses, and coronaviruses [2]. The unifying structural feature of class I fusion proteins is a core consisting of three bundled α-helices [3,4]. Class II fusion proteins are a structurally

Correspondence: Yorgo Modis, 266 Whitney Ave, Bass 430, New Haven, CT 06520, USA; yorgo.modis@yale.edu; phone: +1 203 432 4330.

unrelated class found in flaviviruses, alphaviruses, and most recently in rubella virus (sole member of the rubivirus genus) and Rift Valley fever virus (from the phlebovirus genus) [4, 5**, 6**]. Class II proteins share a three-domain architecture consisting almost entirely of β-strands, with tightly folded "fusion loops" in the central domain serving as the anchor in the cellular membrane targeted for fusion (Fig. 1). Class III fusion proteins, found in herpesviruses, rhabdoviruses and baculoviruses, possess structural features from both class I proteins (a core three-helix bundle) and from class II proteins (a central β-stranded fusion domain) [7].

Until recently, class II proteins had only been found in flaviviruses and alphaviruses (in the *Flaviviridae* and *Togaviridae* families, respectively), which share many key characteristics. Indeed viruses from these two genera all have positive-stranded RNA genomes of 11-12 kilobases with similar gene organizations, icosahedral outer protein shells with a diameter of approximately 500 nm, and lifecycles that alternate between vertebrates and arthropod vectors [8]. The most plausible evolutionary model had thus been one in which flaviviruses and alphaviruses evolved from a common ancestor virus. However, a class II fusion protein was recently discovered in the unrelated *Bunyaviridae* family [5**]. Conversely, divergent fusion protein architectures have emerged within the *Flaviviridae* and *Togaviridae* families in which the prototypical class II proteins were first identified [6**,9**,10**]. Together, these recent discoveries shift the evolutionary paradigm from a divergent model (common ancestor virus), to a model in which viruses with class II fusion proteins evolved independently by borrowing from a common (or related) ancestral class II cellular membrane fusion protein.

Unifying structural features of class II envelope proteins

The class II fusion protein fold was first discovered in glycoprotein E from tick-borne encephalitis virus, a member of the *Flaviviridae* family [11]. The E proteins from other flaviviruses were subsequently found to have very similar structures [12-18], and the E1 proteins from three alphaviruses (Semliki Forest, Sindbis and Chikungunya viruses) have the same fold despite a lack of sequence similarity to flavivirus E proteins (Fig. 1) [19-21]. The envelope proteins from flavi- and alphaviruses assemble into icosahedral outer shells, but the mode of assembly differs in the two families, with alphaviruses forming canonical (*T* = 4) quasi-equivalent assemblies [19,22*,23*] and flaviviruses forming unusual non-equivalent icosahedral assemblies [24-26*]. Class II proteins are anchored in the viral membrane via a C-terminal transmembrane anchor, which is linked by a flexible "stem" region to the ectodomain (Fig. 2). The ectodomain consists of three domains: a β-barrel (domain I); an elongated, mostly β-stranded domain bearing a tightly folded "fusion loop" that inserts into the target cellular membrane (domain II); and an IgC-like module that bears the epitopes responsible for cellular tropism and efficient antibody neutralization (domain III) [11,27-29]. Remarkably, despite evidence that domain III is directly involved in cellular attachment of flaviviruses [30,31], no receptors that bind to class II proteins in flavi- or alphaviruses have yet been identified. However, protein-glycan interactions involving class II glycoproteins have been shown to contribute to attachment (but not endocytosis [32,33]) of certain flaviviruses in a subset of host-cell types. These interactions involve the C-type lectins DC-SIGN and L-SIGN [15,34-36], mannose receptor [37], and cell-surface heparan

sulfate [38]. In alphaviruses, it is the non-fusogenic E2 spike protein that mediates receptor binding but interestingly E2 also recognizes DC-SIGN, L-SIGN and heparan sulfate [39,40].

Crystal structures of various class II envelope proteins before and after the conformational change that catalyzes membrane fusion provide a molecular outline of the fusion mechanism (Fig. 1) [11-15,19-21,41-45]. Complementing these pre- and postfusion structures, structures thought to represent fusion intermediates provide invaluable insights on the steps required for fusion [5**,20,45,46]. In the mechanism that is emerging (Fig. 2), the fusion protein responds to the reduced pH of an endosomal compartment with a motion that breaks most or all of the intersubunit contacts in the outer protein shell, exposing a hydrophobic "fusion loop", which spontaneously inserts into the outer bilayer leaflet of the host-cell membrane [5**,20,41,47,48]. The fusion protein then assembles into folds back on itself, directing its transmembrane anchor towards the fusion loop. This fold-back forces the host-cell membrane (held by the fusion loop) and the viral membrane (held by the transmembrane anchor) against each other, resulting in fusion of the two membranes. The ectodomains of class II fusion proteins are either monomers or dimers in the prefusion conformation, but always form trimers in the postfusion conformation (Fig. 1). The mechanism and structural basis of membrane fusion are conserved in all class II proteins examined to date. Indeed the overall topology of dual membrane anchors being driven towards each other by a fold-back of the fusogen appears to be conserved in all viral fusion proteins (reviewed in refs. [3,4]).

Until 2013, class II proteins had only been found in flaviviruses and alphaviruses, but recent studies suggest that the class II fold is more widely prevalent than previously anticipated. Indeed, Dessau and Modis showed that glycoprotein C (Gc) from Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) is a class II fusion protein [5**]. RVFV belongs to the phlebovirus genus in the *Bunyaviridae* family, which is unrelated to flavi- or alphaviruses. Moreover, rubella virus E1 was shown to have a class II fold, albeit with a more divergent structure than expected for a virus in the same *Togaviridae* family as alphaviruses [6**]. However, despite the presence of some novel structural features in both RVFV Gc and rubella E1, the two proteins still posses each of the core structural features (described earlier in this section) that unify class II fusion proteins (Figs. 1, 2). These parallels even extend to receptor binding in the case of phleboviruses, since RVFV and Uukuniemi virus were recently shown to utilize DC-SIGN as a receptor [49]. In the case of rubella, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) were recently identified as a putative receptor for E1 [50], making MOG the first receptor reported to bind to a class II protein via protein-protein interactions.

Unexpected similarities in class II proteins from flavi- and phleboviruses

The identification in 2013 of a class II fusion protein in RVFV [5**], although it had been predicted by amino acid analysis [51], was nevertheless unexpected because phleboviruses such as RVFV do not have any of the key characteristics shared by flavi- and alphaviruses. Phleboviruses have segmented negative- and ambi-sense RNA genomes, undergo membrane fusion much later in late endosomes [52], and their envelope proteins form much larger $T = 12$ icosahedral lattices [53,54] with a novel mode of assembly [5**]. The structure of RVFV Gc is strikingly similar to flavivirus E structures (especially dengue E), more similar in fact than flavi- and alphavirus envelope proteins are to each other. The most notable similarity is

that Gc forms dimers that have the same head-to-tail configuration as flavivirus E dimers, with the fusion loop buried at the dimer interface (Fig. 3). This is particularly surprising given that the E dimer is the building block of the flavivirus non-equivalent "herringbone" assembly, which is very distinct from the $T = 12$ phlebovirus assembly, although interestingly a non-equivalent configuration has been proposed for the latter [5**]. Another noteworthy similarity between Gc and E is the fusion loop, which has the same tightly folded glycine-rich structure in the two proteins (Fig. 3). Together, the structural similarities of Gc and E are strongly suggestive of some sort of evolutionary link between the *Bunyaviridae* and *Flaviviridae* families.

Divergence of the class II fold within the *Togaviridae* family

In another recent advance, the E1 protein of rubella virus was found to have the most divergent class II fold identified so far. This was unexpected given that rubella virus belong to the same *Togaviridae* family as alphaviruses. The most notable differences of the rubella E1 structure, which was crystallized in the trimeric postfusion conformation, are in domain II (Fig. 1). Domain II is larger due to three insertions. Instead of a single 10-15-amino acid fusion loop, rubella E1 has two fusion loops that project a total of 15 aromatic side chains (mainly tyrosines) for interaction the cellular membrane (Fig. 3) [6**]. A metal ion (Na^+ or Ca^{2+}) is coordinated between the two fusion loops and bound Ca^{2+} allows rubella E1 to bind lipid membranes a neutral pH [6**]. There are no metal sites in the other class II fusion proteins, or in the fusion motifs of any other viral fusion protein reported to date. Another distinctive feature of the rubella E1 structure is that domain III is swapped in the E1 trimer, occupying the position of domain III from the neighboring subunit in the flavi- and alphavirus postfusion E trimers. Additionally, the rubella E1 structure includes the stem (Fig. 1), which connects domain III to the transmembrane anchor and is either absent or mostly disordered in the structures of other class II proteins. Lastly, rubella virus particles exhibit a large degree of pleomorphy [55*], making rubella E1 the only class II fusion protein known not to form an icosahedral assembly.

A new envelope protein fold in the *Flaviviridae* family

The *Flaviviridae* family contains four genera: flavivirus, pestivirus, pegivirus (GB viruses) and hepaciviruses (hepatitis C viruses) [8]. Until 2013, envelope protein structures were available only from the flavivirus genus. Envelope proteins from pesti- and hepaciviruses had been predicted to have class II folds based on the disulfide bonding pattern [56] and on amino acid sequence analyses of the E1 and E2 envelope proteins [57]. It was therefore surprising when two groups discovered in 2013 that the larger envelope protein, E2, from the pestivirus BVDV (bovine viral diarrhea virus) is not a class II fusion protein. Instead BVDV E2 has a novel fold, suggesting that pestiviruses have a non-class II fusion machinery. Since E1, with its 174-amino acid ectodomain, is too small to be class II fusogen, the E2 structure appears to define a new structural class of fusion protein (Fig. 4) [9**,10**]. The structure of BVDV E2 provides an even more striking example than rubella E1 of how structurally divergent viral envelope proteins can be within a single virus family.

Evolutionary implications of the structural relationships between class II proteins

The discovery of a class II fusion protein in a phlebovirus [5**], in a virus family otherwise unrelated to flaviviruses and alphaviruses, reveals that the class II fold is more prevalent and more widely distributed across virus families than was previously anticipated. The striking structural similarity between the flavivirus E proteins and RVFV G- which extends to the mode of dimerization even though E and Gc dimers form different types of icosahedral lattices- is strongly suggestive of common evolutionary origin for certain envelope proteins within the *Bunyaviridae* and *Flaviviridae* families. But what is the nature of this link? The two virus families clearly differ in their genomic organization, coding strategies and outer protein shell assemblies (Fig. 4). In the light of these differences it is tempting to speculate that, rather than diverging from a common ancestor virus, class II fusion proteins may instead have evolved independently from a common (or related) and as yet unidentified ancestral cellular class II membrane fusion protein. The concept of independent transmission of class II fusion proteins from hosts to viruses is supported by the discovery that certain viruses within the same family with similar genomic organizations can have distinct fusion machineries. Indeed, pestiviruses have a non-class II fusion machinery distinct from that of flaviviruses even though the two genera are adjacent to each other in phylogenetic tree of the *Flaviviridae* family [9**]. Thus, although pesti- and flaviviruses may have evolved from a common ancestor virus, they evidently borrowed their fusion machineries from different sources. These could presumably be different host fusion proteins, but alternatively different virus species could conceivably have borrowed fusion proteins from each other during co-infections with multiple viruses. The conservation of an α-helical coiled coil architecture in class I viral proteins and in the SNARE family of intracellular vesicle fusion proteins provides a compelling precedent for the evolutionary transfer of a structural membrane fusion fold between host and virus during evolution. Although similarities between class I fusion proteins and SNAREs have long been recognized [58], the link was further strengthened by a recent study demonstrating that a paramyxovirus class I fusion protein resembles SNAREs in that it has α-helical transmembrane anchors in both membranes prior to fusion, with subsequent zippering of the coiled coils during fusion resulting in a bundle of helical hairpins that extends across the fused membrane [59*,60].

Alphaviruses and flaviviruses seem to have undergone a more conservative evolution, despite belonging to different families. The discovery of a divergent class II fold in rubella virus within the same family as alphaviruses (*Togaviridae*), was therefore unexpected [6**]. Notably, the more canonical class II folds have all been found in viruses alternating between arthropod and vertebrate hosts, whereas rubella virus infects only humans. The structural conservation of class II proteins in viruses with vertebrate-arthropod lifecycles may reflect more stringent evolutionary restraints exerted on these viruses. Rubella virus, along with pesti- and hepaciviruses, each have a single vertebrate host with which they seem to have co-evolved more rapidly.

Together, the structural relationships that have emerged between envelope proteins across different virus families are consistent with an evolutionary model in which class II fusion

proteins originate from an as yet unidentified set of ancestral class II membrane fusion proteins in the host. Moreover, fusion proteins appear to have been transferred as independent modules, implying that the class II membrane fusion fold may have been hijacked by different viruses at different times throughout evolution.

References

1. Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Receptor binding and membrane fusion in virus entry: the influenza hemagglutinin. Annu Rev Biochem. 2000; 69:531–569. [PubMed: 10966468]

2. Lamb RA, Jardetzky TS. Structural basis of viral invasion: lessons from paramyxovirus F. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2007; 17:427–436. [PubMed: 17870467]

3. Schibli DJ, Weissenhorn W. Class I and class II viral fusion protein structures reveal similar principles in membrane fusion. Mol Membr Biol. 2004; 21:361–371. [PubMed: 15764366]

4. Kielian M, Rey FA. Virus membrane-fusion proteins: more than one way to make a hairpin. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2006; 4:67–76. [PubMed: 16357862]

5. Dessau M, Modis Y. Crystal structure of glycoprotein C from Rift Valley fever virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2013; 110:1696–1701. [PubMed: 23319635]

**. This study showed that the Gc envelope protein from Rift Valley fever virus (from the Bunyaviridae family) has a class II fold with striking resemblances to that of E from dengue and other flaviviruses, including a propensity to form head-to-tail dimers with a hydrophobic membrane anchor, or fusion loop buried at the dimer interface. RVFV Gc was the first class II protein identified in a virus family otherwise unrelated to flavi- and alphaviruses, suggesting that class II proteins may have been transferred as independent modules during evolution from a host or another virus.

6. DuBois RM, Vaney MC, Tortorici MA, Kurdi RA, Barba-Spaeth G, Krey T, Rey FA. Functional and evolutionary insight from the crystal structure of rubella virus protein E1. Nature. 2013; 493:552–556. [PubMed: 23292515]

**. This study showed that the E1 envelope protein from rubella virus has the most structurally divergent class II fold identified so far. This was unexpected because rubella virus is in the same Togaviridae family as alphaviruses, which have canonical class II proteins. Rubella E1 is the first class II fusion protein to be identified in a virus that that does not alternate between vertebrate and arthropod hosts- rubella virus only infects humans. This suggests that the envelope proteins of viruses with both insect and vertebrate hosts may be subject to more stringent evolutionary restraints.

7. Backovic M, Jardetzky TS. Class III viral membrane fusion proteins. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2011; 714:91–101. [PubMed: 21506008]

8. Lindenbach, BD.; Murray, CL.; Thiel, HJ.; Rice, CM. Flaviviridae. In: Knipe, DM.; Howley, PM., editors. Fields Virology. Sixth. Vol. 1. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013. p. 712-746.

9. Li Y, Wang J, Kanai R, Modis Y. Crystal structure of glycoprotein E2 from bovine viral diarrhea virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:6805–6810. [PubMed: 23569276]

. This study and the study by El Omari et al. [10] were the first to show that the pestivirus BVDV (bovine viral diarrhea virus) has a novel type of fusion machinery. This was unexpected because pestiviruses belong to the same family as flaviviruses, which have canonical class II fusion proteins. This supports the evolutionary model in which envelope proteins can be transferred from the host (or another virus) as independent modules.

10. El Omari K, Iourin O, Harlos K, Grimes JM, Stuart DI. Structure of a Pestivirus Envelope Glycoprotein E2 Clarifies Its Role in Cell Entry. Cell Rep. 2013; 3:30–35. [PubMed: 23273918]

. See annotation for Li et al. [9].

11. Rey FA, Heinz FX, Mandl C, Kunz C, Harrison SC. The envelope glycoprotein from tick- borne encephalitis virus at 2 A resolution. Nature. 1995; 375:291–298. [PubMed: 7753193]

12. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. A ligand-binding pocket in the dengue virus envelope glycoprotein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:6986–6991. [PubMed: 12759475]

13. Kanai R, Kar K, Anthony K, Gould LH, Ledizet M, Fikrig E, Marasco WA, Koski RA, Modis Y. Crystal structure of west nile virus envelope glycoprotein reveals viral surface epitopes. J Virol. 2006; 80:11000–11008. [PubMed: 16943291]

14. Luca VC, AbiMansour J, Nelson CA, Fremont DH. Crystal structure of the Japanese encephalitis virus envelope protein. J Virol. 2012; 86:2337–2346. [PubMed: 22156523]

15. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. Variable surface epitopes in the crystal structure of dengue virus type 3 envelope glycoprotein. J Virol. 2005; 79:1223–1231. [PubMed: 15613349]

16. Nybakken GE, Nelson CA, Chen BR, Diamond MS, Fremont DH. Crystal structure of the West Nile virus envelope glycoprotein. J Virol. 2006; 80:11467–11474. [PubMed: 16987985]

17. Zhang Y, Zhang W, Ogata S, Clements D, Strauss JH, Baker TS, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG. Conformational changes of the flavivirus E glycoprotein. Structure (Camb). 2004; 12:1607–1618. [PubMed: 15341726]

18. Cockburn JJ, Navarro Sanchez ME, Goncalvez AP, Zaitseva E, Stura EA, Kikuti CM, Duquerroy S, Dussart P, Chernomordik LV, Lai CJ, et al. Structural insights into the neutralization mechanism of a higher primate antibody against dengue virus. Embo J. 2012; 31:767–779. [PubMed: 22139356]

19. Lescar J, Roussel A, Wien MW, Navaza J, Fuller SD, Wengler G, Rey FA. The Fusion glycoprotein shell of Semliki Forest virus: an icosahedral assembly primed for fusogenic activation at endosomal pH. Cell. 2001; 105:137–148. [PubMed: 11301009]

20. Li L, Jose J, Xiang Y, Kuhn RJ, Rossmann MG. Structural changes of envelope proteins during alphavirus fusion. Nature. 2010; 468:705–708. [PubMed: 21124457]

21. Voss JE, Vaney MC, Duquerroy S, Vonrhein C, Girard-Blanc C, Crublet E, Thompson A, Bricogne G, Rey FA. Glycoprotein organization of Chikungunya virus particles revealed by X-ray crystallography. Nature. 2010; 468:709–712. [PubMed: 21124458]

22. Zhang R, Hryc CF, Cong Y, Liu X, Jakana J, Gorchakov R, Baker ML, Weaver SC, Chiu W. 4.4 A cryo-EM structure of an enveloped alphavirus Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus. Embo J. 2011; 30:3854–3863.

. This study and the study by Kostyuchenko et al. [23] reveal the structure of an alphavirus particle in unprecedented detail. The electron microscopy structure clearly resolves the transmembrane helices and cytoplasmic tails of the class II fusion protein E1, and of the receptor binding envelope protein E2. These features were missing in previously determined crystal structures.

23. Kostyuchenko VA, Jakana J, Liu X, Haddow AD, Aung M, Weaver SC, Chiu W, Lok SM. The structure of barmah forest virus as revealed by cryo-electron microscopy at a 6-angstrom resolution has detailed transmembrane protein architecture and interactions. J Virol. 2011; 85:9327–9333. [PubMed: 21752915]

. See annotation for Zhang et al. [22].

24. Mukhopadhyay S, Kim BS, Chipman PR, Rossmann MG, Kuhn RJ. Structure of West Nile virus. Science. 2003; 302:248. [PubMed: 14551429]

25. Kuhn RJ, Zhang W, Rossmann MG, Pletnev SV, Corver J, Lenches E, Jones CT, Mukhopadhyay S, Chipman PR, Strauss EG, et al. Structure of dengue virus: implications for flavivirus organization, maturation, and fusion. Cell. 2002; 108:717–725. [PubMed: 11893341]

26. Zhang X, Ge P, Yu X, Brannan JM, Bi G, Zhang Q, Schein S, Zhou ZH. Cryo-EM structure of the mature dengue virus at 3.5-A resolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013; 20:105–110. [PubMed: 23241927]

*. This study reveals the structure of a flavivirus particle in unprecedented detail, at near-atomic resolution. The electron microscopy structure reveals features that were missing in previously determined crystal structures, including the unusual truncated helical hairpin transmembrane anchor of the class II fusogen, E, and a segment of envelope protein M that contains three pH-sensing histidines and prevents E from prematurely exposing its fusion loop.

27. Crill WD, Roehrig JT. Monoclonal antibodies that bind to domain III of dengue virus E glycoprotein are the most efficient blockers of virus adsorption to Vero cells. J Virol. 2001; 75:7769–7773. [PubMed: 11462053]

28. Oliphant T, Engle M, Nybakken GE, Doane C, Johnson S, Huang L, Gorlatov S, Mehlhop E, Marri A, Chung KM, et al. Development of a humanized monoclonal antibody with therapeutic potential against West Nile virus. Nat Med. 2005; 11:522–530. [PubMed: 15852016]

29. Nybakken GE, Oliphant T, Johnson S, Burke S, Diamond MS, Fremont DH. Structural basis of West Nile virus neutralization by a therapeutic antibody. Nature. 2005; 437:764–769. [PubMed: 16193056]

30. Hung JJ, Hsieh MT, Young MJ, Kao CL, King CC, Chang W. An external loop region of domain III of dengue virus type 2 envelope protein is involved in serotype-specific binding to mosquito but not mammalian cells. J Virol. 2004; 78:378–388. [PubMed: 14671119]

31. Crill WD, Wichman HA, Bull JJ. Evolutionary reversals during viral adaptation to alternating hosts. Genetics. 2000; 154:27–37. [PubMed: 10628966]

32. Kwan WH, Navarro-Sanchez E, Dumortier H, Decossas M, Vachon H, dos Santos FB, Fridman HW, Rey FA, Harris E, Despres P, et al. Dermal-type macrophages expressing CD209/DC-SIGN show inherent resistance to dengue virus growth. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008; 2:e311. [PubMed: 18827881]

33. Lozach PY, Burleigh L, Staropoli I, Navarro-Sanchez E, Harriague J, Virelizier JL, Rey FA, Despres P, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Amara A. Dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule 3-grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN)-mediated enhancement of dengue virus infection is independent of DC-SIGN internalization signals. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:23698–23708. [PubMed: 15855154]

34. Davis CW, Nguyen HY, Hanna SL, Sanchez MD, Doms RW, Pierson TC. West Nile virus discriminates between DC-SIGN and DC-SIGNR for cellular attachment and infection. J Virol. 2006; 80:1290–1301. [PubMed: 16415006]

35. Navarro-Sanchez E, Altmeyer R, Amara A, Schwartz O, Fieschi F, Virelizier JL, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Despres P. Dendritic-cell-specific ICAM3-grabbing non-integrin is essential for the productive infection of human dendritic cells by mosquito-cell- derived dengue viruses. EMBO Rep. 2003; 4:723–728. [PubMed: 12783086]

36. Tassaneetrithep B, Burgess TH, Granelli-Piperno A, Trumpfheller C, Finke J, Sun W, Eller MA, Pattanapanyasat K, Sarasombath S, Birx DL, et al. DC-SIGN (CD209) mediates dengue virus infection of human dendritic cells. J Exp Med. 2003; 197:823–829. [PubMed: 12682107]

37. Miller JL, de Wet BJ, Martinez-Pomares L, Radcliffe CM, Dwek RA, Rudd PM. Gordon S: The mannose receptor mediates dengue virus infection of macrophages. PLoS Pathog. 2008; 4:e17. [PubMed: 18266465]

38. Chen Y, Maguire T, Hileman RE, Fromm JR, Esko JD, Linhardt RJ, Marks RM. Dengue virus infectivity depends on envelope protein binding to target cell heparan sulfate. Nature medicine. 1997; 3:866–871.

39. Klimstra WB, Nangle EM, Smith MS, Yurochko AD, Ryman KD. DC-SIGN and L-SIGN can act as attachment receptors for alphaviruses and distinguish between mosquito cell- and mammalian cell-derived viruses. J Virol. 2003; 77:12022–12032. [PubMed: 14581539]

40. Klimstra WB, Ryman KD, Johnston RE. Adaptation of Sindbis virus to BHK cells selects for use of heparan sulfate as an attachment receptor. J Virol. 1998; 72:7357–7366. [PubMed: 9696832]

41. Modis Y, Ogata S, Clements D, Harrison SC. Structure of the dengue virus envelope protein after membrane fusion. Nature. 2004; 427:313–319. [PubMed: 14737159]

42. Bressanelli S, Stiasny K, Allison SL, Stura EA, Duquerroy S, Lescar J, Heinz FX, Rey FA. Structure of a flavivirus envelope glycoprotein in its low-pH-induced membrane fusion conformation. Embo J. 2004; 23:728–738. [PubMed: 14963486]

43. Gibbons DL, Vaney MC, Roussel A, Vigouroux A, Reilly B, Lepault J, Kielian M, Rey FA. Conformational change and protein-protein interactions of the fusion protein of Semliki Forest virus. Nature. 2004; 427:320–325. [PubMed: 14737160]

44. Luca VC, Nelson CA, Fremont DH. Structure of the St. Louis encephalitis virus postfusion envelope trimer. J Virol. 2013; 87:818–828. [PubMed: 23115296]

45. Klein DE, Choi JL, Harrison SC. Structure of a dengue virus envelope protein late-stage fusion intermediate. J Virol. 2013; 87:2287–2293. [PubMed: 23236058]

46. Sanchez-San Martin C, Sosa H, Kielian M. A stable prefusion intermediate of the alphavirus fusion protein reveals critical features of class II membrane fusion. Cell Host Microbe. 2008; 4:600–608. [PubMed: 19064260]

47. Stiasny K, Kossl C, Lepault J, Rey FA, Heinz FX. Characterization of a structural intermediate of flavivirus membrane fusion. PLoS Pathog. 2007; 3:e20. [PubMed: 17305426]

48. Nour AM, Li Y, Wolenski J, Modis Y. Viral membrane fusion and nucleocapsid delivery into the cytoplasm are distinct events in some flaviviruses. PloS Pathog. 2013; 9:e1003585. [PubMed: 24039574]

49. Lozach PY, Kuhbacher A, Meier R, Mancini R, Bitto D, Bouloy M, Helenius A. DC-SIGN as a receptor for phleboviruses. Cell Host Microbe. 2011; 10:75–88. [PubMed: 21767814]

50. Cong H, Jiang Y, Tien P. Identification of the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein as a cellular receptor for rubella virus. J Virol. 2011; 85:11038–11047. [PubMed: 21880773]

51. Garry CE, Garry RF. Proteomics computational analyses suggest that the carboxyl terminal glycoproteins of Bunyaviruses are class II viral fusion protein (beta- penetrenes). Theor Biol Med Model. 2004; 1:10. [PubMed: 15544707]

52. Lozach PY, Mancini R, Bitto D, Meier R, Oestereich L, Overby AK. Pettersson RF, Helenius A: Entry of bunyaviruses into mammalian cells. Cell Host Microbe. 2010; 7:488–499. [PubMed: 20542252]

53. Overby AK, Pettersson RF, Grunewald K, Huiskonen JT. Insights into bunyavirus architecture from electron cryotomography of Uukuniemi virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:2375–2379. [PubMed: 18272496]

54. Huiskonen JT, Overby AK, Weber F, Grunewald K. Electron cryo-microscopy and single- particle averaging of Rift Valley fever virus: evidence for GN-GC glycoprotein heterodimers. J Virol. 2009; 83:3762–3769. [PubMed: 19193794]

55. Battisti AJ, Yoder JD, Plevka P, Winkler DC, Prasad VM, Kuhn RJ, Frey TK, Steven AC, Rossmann MG. Cryo-electron tomography of rubella virus. J Virol. 2012; 86:11078–11085. [PubMed: 22855483]

*. The authors use electron cryomicroscopy to examine the structure of rubella virions. The rubella virus envelope proteins, E1 and E2, are shown to be organized into extended rows on the viral surface. Although many virions were approximately spherical, they exhibited a large degree of pleomorphy, lacked icosahedral organization and had a different mode of assembly to alphaviruses despite being in the same Togaviridae family.

56. Krey T, d'Alayer J, Kikuti CM, Saulnier A, Damier-Piolle L, Petitpas I, Johansson DX, Tawar RG, Baron B, Robert B, et al. The disulfide bonds in glycoprotein E2 of hepatitis C virus reveal the tertiary organization of the molecule. PLoS Pathog. 2010; 6:e1000762. [PubMed: 20174556]

57. Garry RF, Dash S. Proteomics computational analyses suggest that hepatitis C virus E1 and pestivirus E2 envelope glycoproteins are truncated class II fusion proteins. Virology. 2003; 307:255–265. [PubMed: 12667795]

58. Skehel JJ, Wiley DC. Coiled coils in both intracellular vesicle and viral membrane fusion. Cell. 1998; 95:871–874. [PubMed: 9875840]

59. Donald JE, Zhang Y, Fiorin G, Carnevale V, Slochower DR, Gai F, Klein ML, DeGrado WF. Transmembrane orientation and possible role of the fusogenic peptide from parainfluenza virus 5 (PIV5) in promoting fusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108:3958–3963. [PubMed: 21321234]

*. This study identifies new parallels between class I envelope proteins and cellular SNARE fusion proteins. The authors show that the N-terminal fusion peptide of a paramyxovirus class I fusion protein forms a transmembrane α-helix after membrane insertion. This helix extends the helical coiled coil core of the fusion protein and contributes to the zippering of the coiled coils during fusion. In the trimeric postfusion conformation, the N- and C-terminal helices form a bundle that extends across the fused membrane. SNAREs also have N- and C-terminal transmembrane helices that contribute to the fusogenic zippering of helices. The additional parallels between class I proteins and SNAREs strengthen the case for an evolutionary link between these two protein families, providing a possible precedent for host-to-virus transfer of fusion proteins during evolution.

60. Stein A, Weber G, Wahl MC, Jahn R. Helical extension of the neuronal SNARE complex into the membrane. Nature. 2009; 460:525–528. [PubMed: 19571812]

61. Gastaminza P, Dryden KA, Boyd B, Wood MR, Law M, Yeager M, Chisari FV. Ultrastructural and biophysical characterization of hepatitis C virus particles produced in cell culture. J Virol. 2010; 84:10999–11009. [PubMed: 20686033]

Highlights

Until 2013, class II proteins had only been found in flaviviruses and alphaviruses A class II fusion protein was recently discovered in the unrelated phlebovirus genus Within the same family as alphaviruses, rubella virus has a divergent class II fold Pestiviruses, although they are *Flaviviridae*, have fusion proteins from a novel class Viral class II proteins may originate from cellular class II fusion protein ancestors

Figure 2.
Membrane fusion by class II envelope proteins. **(a)** The protein forms dimers in the outer protein shell of the virion. The "stem-anchor" (cyan) tethers the protein to the viral membrane. Gc from Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) is shown as an example [5**]. **(b)** The protein responds to the reduced pH of an endosomal compartment with a hinge motion that exposes the hydrophobic fusion loop (orange). The fusion loop inserts into the cell membrane. A crystal structure of RVFV Gc proposed to correspond to this "prehairpin" intermediate is shown [5**]. **(c)** The protein then folds back on itself, directing the fusion loop towards the transmembrane anchor. The refolding energy bends the apposed membranes. Creation of additional trimer contacts between the stem-anchor and the ectodomain leads to fusion of the viral and cellular membranes. The postfusion conformation of dengue type 2 virus is shown [41].

Figure 3.
Conserved structural features in class II fusion proteins. **(a)** Gc from Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) crystallizes in a dimeric head-to-tail configuration [5**]. The Gc dimers are strikingly similar to the flavivirus E dimers (dengue type 2 E shown here [12]). E dimers are the building block of the icosahedral outer protein shell in flaviviruses [26]. **(b)** The fusion loop serves as the anchor in the target cellular membrane during the fusion reaction (see Fig. 2). The structure of the fusion loop is highly conserved in class II fusion proteins. Shown here are the fusion loops of, from left to right, RVFV Gc [5**], Sindbis virus (SINV) E1 [20], West Nile virus (WNV) E [13], and rubella virus (RV) E1 [6**].

Figure 4.
Structural relationships of viruses that contain class II fusion proteins. The class II fold is highly conserved in flaviviruses, alphaviruses and phleboviruses, even though these viruses differ in their genomic organization, coding strategies and outer protein shell assemblies. These three genera have in common that they have lifecycles that alternate between vertebrate and arthropod hosts. Rubella virus (RV) E1 has the most divergent class II fold even though rubella belongs to the same family as alphaviruses (*Togaviridae*). Glycoprotein E2 from the pestivirus bovine viral diarrhea virus has a novel fold even though pestiviruses belong to the same family as flaviviruses (*Flaviviridae*) [9**,10**]. Rubella virus and pestiviruses, and their close relatives the hepaciviruses, have in common that they infect strictly vertebrate hosts, and also that they do not form rigid icosahedral outer protein shells. Thus, structural conservation in viral fusion proteins does not correlate with overall phylogenetic relatedness. The virus particles shown here are, clockwise from top right, dengue virus, Semliki Forest virus, RV, Rift Valley fever virus and hepatitis C virus (HCV). The electron micrographs of RV [55*] and HCV [61] are not drawn to scale with the particles in color. The phylogenetic tree is based on qualitative structural and genetic relationships between envelope proteins and is not based on a quantitative phylogenetic analysis.