

# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01

# Published in final edited form as:

Genom Data. 2014 December 1; 2: 127–131. doi:10.1016/j.gdata.2014.06.011.

# Genome-wide transcriptomic alterations induced by ethanol treatment in human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs)

Omar Khalid<sup>#a,#</sup>, Jeffrey J. Kim<sup>#a,¶</sup>, Lewei Duan<sup>#b</sup>, Michael Hoang<sup>a</sup>, David Elashoff<sup>b</sup>, and Yong Kim<sup>a,c,d,e,f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Stem Cell and Cancer Epigenetic Research, UCLA School of Dentistry, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biostatistics, UCLA School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>c</sup> Center for Oral and Head/Neck Oncology Research Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>d</sup> Division of Oral Biology and Medicine, UCLA School of Dentistry, Los Angeles, CA, USA

e UCLA's Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>f</sup> UCLA Broad Stem Cell Research Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

# Abstract

Human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) isolated from adult dental pulp are multipotent mesenchymal stem cells that can be directed to differentiate into osteogenic/odontogenic cells and also trans-differentiate into neuronal cells. The utility of DPSC has been explored in odontogenic differentiation for tooth regeneration. Alcohol abuse appears to lead to periodontal disease, tooth decay and mouth sores that are potentially precancerous. Persons who abuse alcohol are at high risk of having seriously deteriorated teeth, gums and compromised oral health in general. It is currently unknown if alcohol exposure has any impact on adult stem cell maintenance, stem cell fate determination and plasticity, and stem cell niche environment. Here we provide detailed experimental methods, analysis and information associated with our data deposited into Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under GSE57255. Our data provide transcriptomic changes that are occurring by EtOH treatment of DPSCs at 24-hour and 48-hour time point.

<sup>#</sup>Present address: The Children's Hospital of Orange County Research Institute, Orange, CA, USA

Present address: Dr. Anthony Volpe Research Center, American Dental Association Foundation, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

<sup>© 2014</sup> Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence should be addressed to: Dr. Yong Kim at thadyk@ucla.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# **Experimental Design, Materials and Methods**

# Cell culture

Early passage DPSCs (P1-P2) isolated from deciduous teeth have been obtained from Dr. Songtao Shi (Univ. Southern California) and cultured in alpha-MEM supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (v/v), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100  $\mu$ M L-ascorbate-2-phosphate, 50 u/ml penicillin and 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin (Gronthos et al., 2000). Exponentially growing DPSCs were treated with different concentrations of ethanol diluted from absolute ethanol (FW=21.7 M). For acute exposure, cells were fed with media containing given concentrations of ethanol (0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50mM) for 24 or 48 hours.

#### **RNA** isolation

Total RNA was isolated from DPSCs treated with ethanol (0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50mM) for 24 or 48 hours. RNA was extracted using RNeasy purification kit, following the manufacturer's instruction (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Isolated RNA was further purified by DNase treatment (Ambion/Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). RNA purity and concentration was determined by NanoDrop, ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Indianapolis, IN) and microfluidics-based platform 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). RNA concentration ranged from 56.3 ng/ul to 109 ng/ul. RNA concentration >= 50 ng/ul is recommended. 260/280 ratio ranged from 2.01 to 2.09. Ideal 260/280 ratio for pure RNA is 2.0.

#### **Gene Expression Microarray analysis**

Biological duplicate samples were hybridized to Affymetrix Human Genome Plus 2.0 (Cat.# 900469). We set target intensity (TGT) at 500. The sensitivity of the system was measured by %P using the 3' biased Affymetrix HG-U133A 2.0 arrays. %P ranged from 41.1 to 45.1 % demonstrating the ability to detect a large number of transcripts across a wide range of abundance. All 24 arrays were assessed for recommended standard quality control metrics by Affymetrix including image quality, signal distribution and pair wise scatter plots and passed. mas5.CHP files were generated for each array by MAS 5.0 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) and combined to a final RESULTS.MAS5.TXT file.

#### **Data Analysis**

Degradation plot was prepared with each curve corresponding to a single chip and visualizing the chip-averaged dependency between probe intensity and probe position (Figure 1A). Raw data was initialized analyzed for the quality of microarray analysis by log density estimates of the data across all arrays (Figure 1B).

We performed background correction (Fig. 2), quantile normalization and log transformation with Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) approach on Affymetrix gene expression data using "Affy" R package (Fig. 3) (Gautier et al., 2004).

We removed probes with expression lower than the overall sample median; 27327 out of 54676 probes were kept for further analysis (Figure 3). Given that the sensitivity of array platforms is generally considered lower than deep sequencing (with enough sequence

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

depth), clearly a significant percentage of genes are either not expressed or beyond the detection limit in a typical array experiment. Filtering out this group of genes would potentially be beneficial to DEG (differentially expressed gene) detection.

Principal Component Analysis was performed to detect expression data separation by EtOH treatment time (Fig. 4A) and by doses (Fig. 4B). Treatment time shows quite consistent grouping irrespective of doses, but doses in combination of treatment time show high degree of variations in gene expression.

We constructed linear regression models to evaluate the effect of dose and time on gene expression (Figure 5). For each gene we fit a separate model with terms for dose, time, and the dose by time interaction effect. In these models dose was treated as a continuous variable. In addition to the linear model, we performed a regression spline analysis with moderated F-test to detect the probes differentially expressed over time with increasing dose, with the assumption that expression changes smoothly along with the increasing of the dose rather than making discrete jumps from one dose to another. "Limma" R packages were used for this analysis. Q-values were generated to control the false discovery rate using "Qvalue" R package (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/qvalue.html). A set of significant probes with cut-off of p< 0.05 for interaction effects were selected from each model for further functional analysis. All statistical analysis was performed using R-3.0.2 (http://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/old/3.0.2/).

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by UCLA Faculty Seed Grant and NIH/NIAAA R01 grant (R01AA21301) to Y.K.

#### References

- Gronthos S, Mankani M, Brahim J, Robey PG, Shi S. Postnatal human dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) in vitro and in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2000; 97:13625–13630. [PubMed: 11087820]
- Gautier L, Cope L, Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA. affy--analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip data at the probe level. Bioinformatics. 2004; 20:307–315. [PubMed: 14960456]

Khalid et al.



#### Figure 1.

A. Degradation plot: Each curve corresponds to a single chip and visualizes the chipaveraged dependency between probe intensity and probe position. B. Log density estimates (histograms) of the data across arrays. Khalid et al.

QC Stats

	acti	20	mati	inE.
4	acu	110	acu	115

o gapdh3/gapdh5

	42.74%				-			1
48 DPSC NO TX 2.CEL 48 DPSC NO TX 1.CEL	27.65				P	4		
	43.58%							1
	26.47				Ŷ	4		1
48 DPSC 5mM 2.CEL 48 DPSC 5mM 1.CEL	43.65%							1
	27.06				9	4		1
	43.12%				DIOB			1
	26.46				P	4		1
48 DPSC 50mM 2.CEL 48 DPSC 50mM 1.CEL	44.59%							1
	27.03				0	4		1
	43.82%					,		1
	26.82				0	Δ		1
	44.33%						÷.	1
48 DPSC 20mM 2.CEL	27.48				9	4		
18 0000 00-11 1 051	44.72%							1
48 DPSC 20mM 1.CEL	27.12				0	4		
100000 1-110.051	44.51%							1
46DPSC 1mm 2.CEL	28.48				0	4		1
	44.07%						•	1
48 DPSC 1mM 1.CEL	25.59				9	•		1
48 DPSC 10mM 2.CEL	42.84%	1					•	1
	26.81				9	4		1
48 DPSC 10mM 1.CEL	43.39%				-	٨	•	-
	26.83				۲.	-		1
24 DPSC NO TX 2.CEL	42.39%							-
	29.26				~			1
24 DPSC NO TX 1.CEL	38.93%					A	•	1
	31				NAR			1
24 DPSC 5mM 2.CEL	44.73%				O			-
	27.24					1.		
24 DBSC EmM 1 CEI	43.83%					•		1
24 DFSC SHIM LOEL	27.32				7	100		1
24 DBSC 50mM 2 CEI	42.37%					Δ	•	1
24 01 00 001111 2.022	26.62				DIOR			1
24 DPSC 50mM 1.CEL	42.25%				0	Δ	•	1
	27.19							1
24 DPSC 20mM 2.CEL	42.06%				0	Δ	•	1
	27.44							1
24 DPSC 20mM 1.CEL	42.49%					Δ		1
	20.04				bieB			1
24 DPSC 1mM 2.CEL	41.22%				0	Δ	20	
	20.23				bieB			-
24 DPSC 1mM 1_2.CEL	90.07	1			a	Δ	2	+
	45 00%					1.00		
24 DPSC 10mM 2.CEL	27 44				0	4		-
	43 97%							1
24 DPSC 10mM 1.CEL	28 38				0	Δ.		-
	20.00				bioB			-
		-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3

#### Figure 2.

Quality Control stats. Each array is presented by a separated line. The blue bar represents the region where all scale factor fall within 3 fold of the mean scale factor for all chips. The chips passed all the QC metrics except that less than 30% absence of BioB is pointed to flag, indicating adequate quality data with acceptable inefficiency in hybridization step.

Khalid et al.



## Figure 3.

Boxplot of intensity for each sample on (A) Raw data and (B) after normalization and log transformation using Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) method.

Khalid et al.



#### Figure 4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA was performed on RMA dataset after filtering 50% probes with low expression and reducing original 54676 probes into 27327 probes. A. separation by treatment time and B. separation by EtOH doses.

Khalid et al.



# Figure 5.

Interaction from linear model ranked by q values.

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.