

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Genom Data. 2014 December 1; 2: 192–194. doi:10.1016/j.gdata.2014.06.015.

Identifying direct targets of transcription factor Rfx2 that coordinate ciliogenesis and cell movement

Taejoon Kwon1,* , **Mei-I Chung**1,* , **Rakhi Gupta**2, **Julie C. Baker**2, **John B. Wallingford**1,3,4, and **Edward M. Marcotte**1,3,#

¹Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Texas at Austin

²Department of Genetics, Stanford University

³Center for Systems & Synthetic Biology and Institute for Cellular & Molecular Biology, University of Texas

⁴Howard Hughes Medical Institute

Abstract

Recently, using the frog *Xenopus laevis* as a model system, we showed that transcription factor Rfx2 coordinates many genes involved in ciliogenesis and cell movement in multiciliated cells (Chung et al., 2014). To our knowledge, it was the first paper to utilize the genomic resources, including genome sequences and interim gene annotations, from the ongoing *Xenopus laevis* genome project. For researchers who are interested in the application of genomics and systems biology approaches in *Xenopus* studies, here we provide additional details about our dataset (NCBI GEO accession number GSE50593) and describe how we analyzed RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data to identify direct targets of Rfx2.

Keywords

Xenopus laevis; Rfx2; Ciliogenesis

Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

RNA-seq experiments

The detailed procedure for our sample preparation has been previously reported (Chung et al., 2014). Briefly, we injected 12 ng of morpholino into 4-cell stage *X. laevis* embryos to knock down Rfx2 expression; the morpholino sequence has been previously reported

Direct link to deposited data

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE50593>

^{© 2014} Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[#]Corresponding author: Prof. Edward M. Marcotte, 2500 Speedway MBB 3.148, University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA, 78712, (marcotte@icmb.utexas.edu; Tel. +1-512-471-5435; Fax +1-512-232-3472).

^{*}These authors contributed equally.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Kwon et al. Page 2

(Chung et al., 2014). We then prepared 100 animal caps (ectodermal explants of stage 10 *X. laevis* embryos, dissected with forceps), both for control samples and Rfx2 morphants, and cultured them until stage 20. The stage of animal caps was estimated by comparison against embryos from the same clutch. Total RNA was collected using the Trizol method, and then processed using a non-strand-specific Illumina RNA-seq library preparation kit with poly-A enrichment (TruSeq v2). We sequenced these libraries in a 2×50 bp paired-end configuration using an Illumina HiSeq 2000.

RNA-seq analysis

The *Xenopus laevis* genome project was ongoing when we collected these data, so for this study we used a draft genome sequence (JGI version 6.0 genome scaffolds; available at [ftp://](ftp://ftp.xenbase.org/pub/Genomics/JGI/Xenla6.0/) [ftp.xenbase.org/pub/Genomics/JGI/Xenla6.0/\)](ftp://ftp.xenbase.org/pub/Genomics/JGI/Xenla6.0/) and annotation ('Oktoberfest' version of putative transcripts, mainly derived from RNA-seq *de novo* assembly and then confirmed against JGI version 6.0 genome scaffolds; see [http://www.marcottelab.org/index.php/](http://www.marcottelab.org/index.php/XENLA_Oktoberfest) [XENLA_Oktoberfest](http://www.marcottelab.org/index.php/XENLA_Oktoberfest) for more details). All scaffolds and transcripts are available at XenBase ([ftp://xenbaseturbofrog.org/sequence_information/UTA/\)](ftp://xenbaseturbofrog.org/sequence_information/UTA/) and our supplementary website [\(http://www.marcottelab.org/index.php/ChungKwon2013_RFX2](http://www.marcottelab.org/index.php/ChungKwon2013_RFX2)). Because it is easier for gene-level expression analysis, we conducted RNA-seq mapping against putative transcripts rather than the whole genome. Using bowtie1 (version 0.12.7)(Langmead et al., 2009), we mapped our RNA-seq reads to the Oktoberfest models (which contain 25,537 putative transcripts for each gene) using the longest transcript model for each locus. Then we used edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) to identify differentially expressed genes, focusing on genes with greater than 2-fold difference and a false discovery rate less than 0.05.

One of the challenges in *X. laevis* RNA-seq analysis is the presence of homoeologs, i.e. duplicated genes that arise as a result of allotetraploidy. Using an allowance of 2 mismatches within a 50-bp read (the '-v 2' option in bowtie1), we evaluated how many reads were mapped interchangeably between homoeologs. We used two datasets for this test: (1) 827 gene pairs previously identified by a variety of labs and curated at XenBase using an '-a/-b' gene name suffix (James-Zorn et al., 2013), and (2) 2,218 assembled EST pairs identified as involved in a trio relationship with *X. tropicalis* (Hellsten et al., 2007). As shown in Figure 1, 68 – 75% of reads were uniquely mapped and only 23 – 31% of reads were mapped to both duplicated genes. We were particularly interested in the differential expression between wild-type embryos and Rfx2 morphants. Thus, in order to maximize the expression signals in our analysis, we allowed for all possible hits in mapping with the '-a' option (i.e. interchangeably mapped reads would be counted twice), and then conducted differential expression analysis. We also tested (1) randomly assigning multi-hit reads to a 'best target' and (2) using only uniquely mapped reads. Ultimately we found no major differences in differential analysis between these approaches (data not shown).

Out of 24,089 *X. laevis* transcripts detected in our RNA-seq experiments, 3,209 transcripts were down-regulated in the Rfx2 knockdown condition, and 1,523 transcripts were upregulated. To perform functional network analysis using HumanNet (Lee et al., 2011), we converted these gene lists to human orthologs (based on EnsEMBL version 69). Note that initial orthology assignments are already captured by the *X. laevis* Oktoberfest transcript

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

gene names, because as part of the transcript set construction, all *X. laevis* protein sequence candidates were compared to the reference proteome of five different species (human, mouse, zebrafish, chicken, and *X. tropicalis*) for the purpose of assigning gene names consistent with the human orthologs. For homoeologs, if only one of two duplicated genes was determined to be significantly differentially expressed but not the other, we still assigned the corresponding human gene as being differentially expressed. After converting all *X. laevis* genes into human orthologs, we identified 2,750 human candidate genes transcriptionally regulated by Rfx2.

ChIP-seq experiments

The detailed description of our ChIP-seq sample preparation has been previously reported (Chung et al., 2014). Briefly, we injected mRNA encoding GFP-tagged Rfx2 into 4-cell stage *X. laevis* embryos and then pulled down the tagged protein with α-GFP antibody (ab290) from 600 whole embryos (stage 20). Before immunoprecipitation, we cross-linked Rfx2-genomic DNA complexes with 1% formaldehyde and fragmented them with a Branson 450 Sonifier (expected fragment size was from 200 to 500 bp). As a control, we injected GFP messenger RNAs alone and conducted the same immunoprecipitation procedure. DNA fragments were extracted with phenol-chloroform and purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Sequencing libraries were prepared with a standard Illumina genomic library construction kit (TruSeq) and sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 2000 in 1 \times 50 bp configuration.

ChIP-seq data analysis

Similar to the RNA-seq data analysis, we conducted ChIP-seq analysis to discriminate between homoeolog genes. We applied a more stringent criteria for ChIP-seq read mapping, requiring uniquely mapped reads to the genome scaffold (JGI version 6.0) and a maximum of 2 mismatches within the seed sequence (i.e. the '-m 1 -n 2' options in bowtie1 (Langmead et al., 2009)). For peak calling, we used MACS (version 1.4.2) with default options (Zhang et al., 2008).

We initially determined significant Rfx2-bound peaks by using a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff (< 0.05) reported by MACS. However, as shown in Figure 2, only a few peaks demonstrated an FDR above 0.05 if the fold enrichment of the peak was greater than 20, so we included these peaks as well in our further analysis. For each peak, we assigned the closest protein-coding gene as its target gene, so long as it was within 10 kb. As shown in Figure 3, most of these peaks were located less than 1,000 bp from the transcript start site of their assigned gene, suggesting that, if anything, our criteria for associating ChIP-seq peaks to target genes were over-generous.

Out of 29,448 peaks identified in total, 6,646 peaks were selected for further study that exhibited either an FDR $<$ 5% or a fold-enrichment $>$ 20, and 5,024 of those peaks were assigned to their neighboring genes. As with our RNA-seq data analysis, we converted the 5,024 *X. laevis* target gene IDs to human genes, collapsing duplicated genes into a single human ortholog based on their names. This analysis resulted in a final set of 911 putative directly bound Rfx2 target genes that also showed significantly differential gene expression

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

after Rfx2 knockdown (Chung et al. 2014). A list of all 911 genes is available in Supplemental File 1 in our previous report (Chung et al., 2014).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants to J.B.W. from the NIH/NIGMS and NIH/NHLBI; to J.C.B. from the NIH/ NIGMS; and to E.M.M. from the NIH/NIGMS, the NSF, the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas, the U.S. Army (58343-MA), and the Welch Foundation (F1515). J.B.W. is an Early Career Scientist of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Chung MI, Peyrot SM, LeBoeuf S, Park TJ, McGary KL, Marcotte EM, Wallingford JB. RFX2 is broadly required for ciliogenesis during vertebrate development. Dev Biol. 2012; 363:155–165. [PubMed: 22227339]
- Chung MI, Kwon T, Tu F, Brooks ER, Gupta R, Meyer M, Baker JC, Marcotte EM, Wallingford JB. Coordinated genomic control of ciliogenesis and cell movement by RFX2. Elife. 2014; 3:e01439. [PubMed: 24424412]
- Harland RM, Grainger RM. Xenopus research: metamorphosed by genetics and genomics. Trends Genet. 2011; 27:507–515. [PubMed: 21963197]
- Hellsten U, Khokha MK, Grammer TC, Harland RM, Richardson P, Rokhsar DS. Accelerated gene evolution and subfunctionalization in the pseudotetraploid frog Xenopus laevis. BMC Biol. 2007; 5:31. [PubMed: 17651506]
- James-Zorn C, Ponferrada VG, Jarabek CJ, Burns Ka, Segerdell EJ, Lee J, Snyder K, Bhattacharyya B, Karpinka JB, Fortriede J, et al. Xenbase: expansion and updates of the Xenopus model organism database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41:D865–70. [PubMed: 23125366]
- Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol. 2009; 10:R25. [PubMed: 19261174]
- Lee I, Blom UM, Wang PI, Shim JE, Marcotte EM. Prioritizing candidate disease genes by networkbased boosting of genome-wide association data. Genome Res. 2011; 21:1109–1121. [PubMed: 21536720]
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010; 26:139–140. [PubMed: 19910308]
- Stubbs JL, Oishi I, Izpisúa Belmonte JC, Kintner C. The forkhead protein Foxj1 specifies node-like cilia in Xenopus and zebrafish embryos. Nat Genet. 2008; 40:1454–1460. [PubMed: 19011629]
- Stubbs JL, Vladar EK, Axelrod JD, Kintner C. Multicilin promotes centriole assembly and ciliogenesis during multiciliate cell differentiation. Nat Cell Biol. 2012; 14:140–147. [PubMed: 22231168]
- Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM, Brown M, Li W, et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008; 9:R137. [PubMed: 18798982]

Kwon et al. Page 6

Figure 1.

Mapping results of RNA-seq reads on *X. laevis* homoeologs.

Genom Data. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 December 01.

Most peaks with greater than 20 fold enrichment exhibit an FDR less than 0.05. Based on this observation, we included peaks with FDR greater than 0.05 in successive analyses if they exhibited a fold enrichment greater than 20.

Normalized Frequency

0.0035

0.0030

0.0025

0.0020

0.0015

0.0010

0.0005

0.0000

0

6000

8000

10000

Figure 3. Distance between ChIP-seq-identified Rfx2 binding sites and nearby genes

4000

2000

"Direct+DE" represents genes that have an Rfx2 binding peak and a significantly differentially expressed pattern in the Rfx2 knockdown condition. "Direct" represents genes that have an Rfx2 binding peak but lack significant differential expression in Rfx2 knockdown. In both cases, however, most peaks are located less than 1,000 bp away from annotated genes.

Distance to Rfx2 ChIP-seq peak from neighboring gene