

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Obesity (Silver Spring). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 01.

Published in final edited form as: Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016 January ; 24(1): 14–22. doi:10.1002/oby.21381.

Using genomic information to guide weight management: From universal to precision treatment:

Trans-NIH Conference on Genes, Behaviors and Response to Weight Loss Interventions

Molly S. Bray¹, Ruth J.F. Loos², Jeanne M. McCaffery³, Charlotte Ling⁴, Paul W. Franks⁵, George M. Weinstock⁶, Michael P. Snyder⁷, Jason L. Vassy⁸, Tanya Agurs-Collins⁹, and Conference Working Group¹⁰

¹Department of Nutritional Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX ²The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY ³Weight Control and Diabetes Research Center, The Alpert Medical School of Brown University/The Miriam Hospital, Providence, Rhode Island ⁴Department of Clinical Sciences, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden ⁵Department of Clinical Sciences, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden ⁶The Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Medicine, Farmington, CT ⁷Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA ⁸Division of General Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA ⁹Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Abstract

Objective—Precision medicine utilizes genomic and other data to optimize and personalize treatment. While more than 2,500 genetic tests are currently available, largely for extreme and/or rare phenotypes, the question remains whether this approach can be used for the treatment of common, complex conditions like obesity, inflammation, and insulin resistance, which underlie a host of metabolic diseases.

Methods—This review, developed from a Trans-NIH Conference titled, "Genes, Behaviors, and Response to Weight Loss Interventions," provides an overview of the state of genetic and genomic research in the area of weight change and identifies key areas for future research.

Results—While many loci have been identified that are associated with cross-sectional measures of obesity/body size, relatively little is known regarding the genes/loci that influence dynamic measures of weight change over time. Successful short-term weight loss has been achieved using many different strategies, but sustainable weight loss has proven elusive for many, and there are important gaps in our understanding of energy balance regulation.

Disclosure: No conflicts of interest to declare

Corresponding authors: Molly Bray, PhD, Chair, Department of Nutritional Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, T.S. Painter Hall, Room 5.32, 103 W. 24th Street, Austin, TX 78712, Office: (512) 471-3958, Tanya Agurs-Collins, PhD, Behavioral Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, 9609 Medical Center Drive, GB 9609 MSC 9760, Bethesda, MD 20892-9760, Office: (240) 276-6956. ¹⁰Details of the Conference Working Group are provided in Supplement 1

Conclusions—Elucidating the molecular basis of variability in weight change has the potential to improve treatment outcomes and inform innovative approaches that can simultaneously take into account information from genomic and other sources in devising individualized treatment plans.

Keywords

genomics; precision medicine; weight loss

Introduction

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States and other Western countries has seen sharp increases, and worldwide obesity prevalence is increasing at alarming rates, including in populous nations, such as India and China (1). The precipitous rise in obesity prevalence, coinciding with the abundance of palatable, highly processed, energy-dense foods and reduced physical activity levels, demonstrates the substantial contribution of environmental factors to obesity. Nevertheless, a sizeable proportion of the population remains of normal weight despite living in obesogenic settings, suggesting that the extent to which people or populations respond to influences in their surroundings may be determined by innate factors, such as genetic make-up. The heritability of BMI has been consistently estimated at approximately 40-70% (2-5), suggesting that about half of the inter-individual variance in body size can be attributed to genes, while the other half is due to environmental influences. Both experimental and epidemiological studies have provided extensive evidence for an intricate interplay between genes and environment in the regulation of body weight and energy balance (6, 7).

While a genetic basis for obesity and body composition has been well established (8), family and twin studies also provide evidence that a person's genetic make-up plays a role in response to weight loss or gain. In classic genetic studies of energy balance in which body weight was manipulated via overfeeding or exercise in monozygotic (MZ) twins, Bouchard et al. reported a high concordance between the twin pairs for both weight gain (rwithin-pair = 0.55; F = 3.4) (9) and weight loss ($r_{within-pair} = 0.74$; F = 6.8) (10). These investigators later reported that a variant in the resistin gene (RETN, IVS2+39C>T) was associated with increases in both abdominal visceral and total fat following overfeeding in MZ twins, with individuals with the TC genotype having significantly higher values of both measures compared to TT homozygotes (11). Using a similar MZ twin design but inducing a daily energy deficit using a 400 kcal/day energy restricted diet, Hainer et al. observed 12.8 times more variation in weight loss between pairs than within twin pairs ($r_{within-pair} = 0.85$; F =12.8) (12). In another study of MZ and dizygotic (DZ) twins, Keski-Rahkonen reported the heritability of intentional weight loss of 5 kg (IWL), to be 38% [95% confidence interval (CI), 19% to 55%] in men and 66% (95% CI, 55% to 75%) in women (13). More recently, Hatoum et al. found that a patient's genetic make-up was a strong determinant in weight loss after gastric bypass surgery; first-degree relatives lost a similar amount of weight following surgery (9% difference, intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) = 70.4%), which was not observed between co-habiting individuals (26% difference; ICC = 0.9%) or other unrelated individuals (25% difference; ICC = 14.3%) following surgery (14). Taken together, these

twin and family studies indicate that response to weight change interventions varies widely between individuals and that this may be under some degree of genetic control.

To date, large-scale genome-wide association studies have identified nearly 150 genetic variants that have been significantly associated with cross-sectional measures of BMI, waist circumference or obesity risk, many in multiple populations (15, 16). Among the most consistent findings are those for pathways affecting CNS processing and neural regulation of feeding (e.g., BDNF, MC4R, NEGR1, etc.), as well as genes associated with fasting insulin secretion and action, RNA binding/processing, energy metabolism, lipid biology, and/or adipogenesis (e.g., FTO, TCF7L2, IRS1, FOXO3, RPTOR, PTBP2, MAP2K5, MAPK3, etc.) (15). For many GWAS variants, the underlying biology that links the variant to body weight regulation is unclear. Many of these loci lie in regulatory and/or other non-coding regions and may play important roles in gene regulation, but not necessarily for the gene to which they have been attributed (17, 18). For example, variants within the FTO gene, which have been consistently associated with obesity traits in multiple GWA studies, have recently been shown to reside within enhancer elements that regulate expression of the IRX3 and IRX5 genes, which appear to influence adjocyte development, thermogenesis, and lipid storage (19). Importantly, the combined contribution of all variants associated with body size measures to date is less than 5%, with FTO having one of the largest effects at 0.34% (20). Using an approach called genome-wide complex trait analysis (GCTA), which estimates the combined effect of all genomic variation on complex outcomes, the genomic heritability of cross-sectionally measured BMI has been estimated between 16-30% (21, 22). Although the GTCA approach is likely to underestimate heritability, as it only reflects variation captured on the genotyping array, these estimates suggest that environmental context, gene-gene, gene-environment, epigenetic and/or other types of interaction/regulation may be critical to consider in assessing the genetic underpinnings of a complex outcome such as energy balance. As an example, Winkler et al. recently identified 21 novel loci with significant ageor gender-specific associations with BMI or body shape (23).

It remains unclear whether variants associated with cross-sectional measures of overall or abdominal obesity traits also contribute to dynamic measures of body weight, as the genetic determinants of weight change may differ from those associated with BMI (24). Few studies have been performed to assess the role of genetic variation within the context of weight change *a priori*, either in free-living populations or in clinical trials involving specific behavioral, dietary, or other types of interventions. Despite substantial evidence for a genetic component contributing to the regulation of body mass/composition, only a limited number of genes (described below) have been associated with body weight change in response to changes in the environment.

Defining Weight Change Phenotypes

It is important to consider that changes in body weight and body mass index, although commonly used in large epidemiologic and clinical trials due to their ease of measurement, may not fully capture genetic associations with weight-related phenotypes. For example, in a 1-year controlled trial of moderate exercise, variation in the cytochrome p19 (*CYP19*) gene was associated with significant decreases in total body fat (-3.1 kg vs. -0.5 kg, respectively

for those with two vs. no copies of the *CYP19* 11-repeat alleles, p<0.01) and percent fat (-2.4% vs. -0.6%, respectively, p<0.001) but not change in BMI, suggesting that genes may act upon body fatness without significantly influencing body weight *per se* (25). Measures of body circumferences following weight loss may indicate important changes in fat distribution and lean body mass, and more refined measures of visceral versus subcutaneous fat using computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may also provide measures that are more closely correlated with gene function than BMI or body weight.

Weight change is a complex outcome, as both the degree and pattern of weight change impact health. For example, in the Diabetes Prevention Program (described in more detail below), both short and intermediate-term weight loss was associated with reduced diabetes risk and intermediate cardiometabolic risk factor levels, whereas weight cycling (defined as number of 5-lb [2.25-kg] weight cycles) raised diabetes risk, fasting glucose levels, insulin resistance and systolic blood pressure. Initial (baseline to 1 month) and late (last 6 months of the 2 yr intervention period) weight loss had no discernable impact of diabetes risk (26). Similar results have been reported in people with pre-existing diabetes who underwent lifestyle intervention as part of the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial (27). These studies point to alternative phenotypes that may be informative for genetics studies of weight loss/maintenance/re-gain.

Genetic Predictors of Obesity Treatment Response

Given the small effects of BMI loci identified to date, it is possible that genetic effects may be more closely aligned with dynamic, rather than static, phenotypes. In a recent GWAS of weight change trajectories from age 1 to 17 y, Warrington and colleagues identified a novel variant in the *FAM120AOS* gene, and confirmed three known adult BMI-associated loci (*FTO*, *MC4R* and *ADCY3*) and one childhood obesity locus (*OLFM4*) with significant genome-wide association ($P_{Wald} < 1.13 \times 10-8$) with BMI at 8 years and/or change over time (28). The analysis of short-term change in response to weight loss interventions may also reveal novel genes/loci and biology associated with treatment response.

Behavioral strategies for weight loss, involving kilocalorie restriction and physical activity, are currently the frontline treatment for common forms of obesity (29). Randomized, controlled trials (RCTs) of lifestyle interventions for behavioral weight loss reliably produce initial weight losses of 7% or more, resulting in clinically important health benefits (30, 31). Two of the largest obesity-treatment RCTs to date have focused on energy intake, dietary fat and physical activity to support weight loss goals. The Diabetes Prevention Program randomized 3,234 individuals with obesity or overweight and at risk for diabetes to metformin treatment, lifestyle intervention, or a placebo control arm (30, 32). In the Look AHEAD study, 5,145 individuals with obesity or overweight who had type 2 diabetes were randomized to intensive lifestyle intervention (ILI) or a diabetes support and education (DSE) control without an active weight loss program (33). Both weight loss interventions produced significant weight losses as compared to the control groups (e.g., Look AHEAD, year 1 percent weight change, ILI: -8.6+6.9%, DSE: 0.7+4.8%) [6]. Partial weight regain was nonetheless common (e.g., Look AHEAD, year 4 percent weight change: ILI: -6.15%

The largest study to date to address the role of genetic variation in weight loss response examined the association between 91 established obesity-predisposing loci, derived from the comprehensive results of genome-wide association studies available in 2015 (15), and weight loss or weight regain in the DPP and Look AHEAD cohorts (35). The combined genetic sample included 5,730 participants randomly assigned to either behavioral weight loss treatment or a control condition. Of the 91 loci, one was consistently associated with weight loss over four years in meta-analysis. Each copy of the minor G allele for the rs1885988 variant at MTIF3 was significantly associated with a mean 1.14 kg lower weight in the lifestyle arm vs. a non-significantly higher weight of 0.33 kg in the comparison arm. These effects produced a statistical interaction of gene x treatment arm reaching experimentwide significance at year three and nominal significance across the four years. Nevertheless, no other obesity-associated loci predicted weight loss, and no loci predicted weight regain. The *MTIF3* gene encodes a protein that is essential for ATP synthesis and energy balance in the mitochondria (36). The minor G allele has previously been associated with higher BMI (37, 38) and hip circumference (39). Thus, carriers of the MTIF3 obesity-inducing allele appear to benefit more from intensive lifestyle interventions than non-carriers. This locus has also begun to emerge in epidemiologic gene x environment interactions studies of BMI, with *MTIF3* genotype associated more strongly with BMI for those eating a healthy dietary intake pattern compared to those in the non-healthy diet group (40).

No studies to date have searched for novel genetic loci associated with behavioral weight loss leveraging a genome-wide approach. The only exploratory study to date comes from Look AHEAD, in which single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) variation across the IBC chip (Illumina, Inc.), a gene-centric assay of roughly 50,000 SNPs covering early candidate genes for cardiovascular disease, was examined in relation to magnitude of weight loss after one year (41). Two novel regions of significant array-wide association with year 1 weight loss in ILI were identified. ABCB11/G6PC rs484066 was associated with 1.16 kg less weight loss per minor allele at year 1, whereas TNFRSF11A, or RANK, rs17069904 was associated with 1.70 kg greater weight loss per allele at year 1. ABCB11, or BSEP, is a bile salt export pump and the primary mediator of bile salt secretion and fat transport from the gut. G6PC is a primary regulator of glucose homeostasis with mutations related to hypoglycemia; this locus has previously been identified as a predictor of high density lipoprotein cholesterol and glucose in GWAS (42, 43). RANK, along with the RANK ligand, are members of the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family of genes and are expressed in adipose tissue (44). Although provocative, these exploratory analyses await confirmation in independent samples. Smaller trials have tested whether genetic variants may predict differential response to diets varying in macronutrient composition. For example, the Pounds Lost trial (45) found individuals carrying obesity-associated alleles at the FTO locus to differentially benefit from a high-protein, calorie-restricted diet in losing weight (46). Variation in the FTO locus has also been shown to be associated with weight loss following bariatric surgery (47, 48). This interesting research awaits further replication.

Taken together, this emerging evidence indicates that genetic variation may impact the efficacy of behavioral weight loss interventions. Initial results indicate that agnostic genetic association studies focused on treatment response may yield new insights into genetic predictors of weight loss but larger trials or a consortium of weight loss trial will be required to achieve the larger samples size necessary to test these hypotheses with statistical certainty.

Complex Systems that Influence Energy Balance

Epigenetic mechanisms in energy homeostasis and obesity

Interactions between the environment and the genome that modulate the risk for obesity can happen through direct chemical alterations, including DNA methylation and histone modifications (49). Methylation, an epigenetic mechanism that can both positively and negatively regulate gene expression, plays a critical role in driving many cell-specific and tissue-specific functions. It is now well-established that some epigenetic modifications of DNA may also occur in response to changes in the environment, including nutrition and exercise, which can alter gene expression in a stable and heritable manner that may influence metabolism, behavior, and ultimately overall health. These features make epigenetics a potentially important pathogenic mechanism in complex disorders such as obesity.

Recent epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) have shown that physical activity and high fat diets may alter the DNA methylation pattern in tissues of importance for energy homeostasis such as skeletal muscle and adipose tissue (50-52); these epigenetic changes may affect weight loss and/or weight gain. In support of this hypothesis, a six months exercise intervention altered the DNA methylation pattern of numerous candidate genes for obesity such as FTO, GRB14 and TUB in adipose tissue, as well as of genes regulating adipogenesis, and was associated with decreased the waist circumference in sedentary middle aged men (50). Additionally, obesity has been associated with variation in DNA methylation in numerous human studies (49, 53-55). HIF3A was among the genes showing differential DNA methylation in relation to obesity in several studies (56, 57). Epigenetic mechanisms may also affect a person's response to weight increase, weight loss and maintenance by controlling genes that regulate energy homeostasis. For example, Demerath et al. found that the degree of methylation of eight different CpG sites, including one site near CPT1A, was associated with a change in BMI in participants who gained weight over a 30-year period (55). Additionally, when Dahlman et al. compared the methylome in adipocytes from women formerly had obesity and who had lost weight following gastric bypass surgery with women who had never had obesity, they found differential DNA methylation of genes involved in adipogenesis (58).

Weight loss associated with roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery, which is commonly used to treat morbid obesity, was recently shown to alter the epigenome in adipose tissue, skeletal muscle and blood (59-61). Interestingly, maternal weight loss by gastric bypass surgery was also found to influence the methylation pattern of offspring born after versus before weight loss (62). In a separate study, Nicoletti et al. compared epigenetic changes in relation to two different weight loss strategies; an energy-restricted diet and gastric bypass surgery and they

proposed that baseline methylation of *SERPINE1* may predict weight loss after gastric bypass surgery (63). Together, these studies support an important role for epigenetic mechanisms in controlling energy homeostasis and obesity. However, further studies are needed to fully dissect the role of epigenetics in the growing incidence of obesity and to establish whether epigenetic markers may be used to guide weight management.

The microbiome and weight change

The human microbiome may play a significant role in the etiology of obesity in both humans and animal models (64). Hosted in the gastrointestinal tract, the gut microbiome is part of a large endocrine organ that regulates not only nutrient sensing and metabolism but also satiety and energy homeostasis. The millions of microorganisms comprising the complex intestinal "superorganism" perform a number of functions for host health including food processing, breakdown and metabolism of indigestible nutrients, pathogen displacement, synthesis of vitamins, and regulation of body weight (65). They play such an important role that we now know that microbiota disruptions in early life can have long-lasting effects on body weight in adulthood (66). The host bacterial composition has been shown to adapt in response to dietary factors and in response to weight loss. Diet or surgically induced weightloss promote alterations in the gut that can impact the efficacy of the treatment strategies (67, 68). Specific bacterial species can have influences by themselves. For example, the archaeon *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, has an enhanced ability to metabolize dietary substrates or end products of the metabolism of other bacteria, thereby increasing host energy intake and weight gain (69).

Experiments in animal models, particularly rodents, show specific reproducible changes in the microbiota because of the ability to control factors such as genetics, diet, and environment. However in humans these effects have been less consistently demonstrated. With weight loss, there is a decrease in the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes phyla (68). Damms-Machado and collaborators demonstrated that surgical weight loss interventions like laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy seem to improve the obesity-associated gut microbiota towards a lean microbiome phenotype. They described a reduction of the energy-reabsorbing potential of the gut microbiota following surgery indicated by the Firmicutes/ Bacteroidetes ratio (70). The interaction of a community depends on a balanced microbial diversity and each group has different tasks and different qualities which together compose a 'healthy' microbiome (71). Manipulation of gut microbiota could reduce intestinal low-grade inflammation and improve gut barrier integrity, ameliorating metabolic balance and promoting weight loss (71). The use of prebiotics and probiotics as potential aids in weight loss/gain interventions has great potential but further evidence is needed to better understand the real clinical potential of studies of the gut microbiome.

Behavioral Phenotypes Underlying BMI and Body Weight Change

Of the known genes underlying Mendelian forms of severe obesity (see Table 1), one consistent underlying feature is hyperphagia, suggesting that ingestive *behavior* may be the prime driver of weight gain or loss. Many of the loci associated with obesity in GWAS are also expressed in the brain and often specifically in hypothalamic eating regulatory pathways (15). Physical activity is a second prominent health behavior known to prevent

weight gain and promote weight loss maintenance (72-75). Both eating and physical activity behaviors have been shown to have substantial genetic underpinnings (76, 77) and may directly or indirectly mediate the association between genetic/genomic variation and measures of body mass/size.

Genetics of Food Preferences and Ingestive Behavior

Many of the loci associated with obesity in GWAS are located in or nearby genes expressed in brain eating regulatory pathways, highlighting a potential role in the central nervous system and eating behavior for these genetic associations (78). Consistent with this hypothesis, the *FTO* locus rs9939609, for example, has been shown to predict preferences for and consumption of palatable, calorie dense foods (79, 80) and reduced satiety (81) in laboratory paradigms, and greater total caloric and total fat intake assessed by dietary recall (80, 82). In recent GWAS of dietary intake, *FTO* emerged as associated with a greater percentage of calories from protein (83, 84) and fat (85), although inconsistently so.

Though monogenic obesity is often associated with abnormal appetite and excessive food consumption, more subtle types of feeding behavior, such as food preferences, have also been shown to have a substantial genetic component (86, 87). The *TAS2R38* gene is associated with the perception of the bitter-tasting thiourea compounds, and genotype at this locus defines three taster groups: supertasters, medium tasters, and nontasters, with nontasters having a higher BMI compared to the other taster groups; differences in dietary patterns were also observed (88). Taster status at another locus, 6-n-propylthiouracil (*PROP*), was associated with significantly greater reduction in energy intake for supertasters during two randomized control dietary interventions focused on lowering energy density or changing eating frequency (89). Taken together, these studies suggest that genetic associations with body weight or BMI may be modulated by more direct links between food preferences, eating behavior, and genes.

Genetics of Physical Activity

Multiple studies have demonstrated that physically active individuals are less likely to gain weight over time (75, 90, 91), and physical exercise has also been shown to facilitate both weight loss and weight maintenance (92). In studies of twins and other related individuals, physical activity has been shown to aggregate in families, with reported heritability estimates for physical activity behavior ranging from 9% to almost 80% (93-96). In animals models, the strongest genetic predictors of spontaneous physical activity include the dopamine receptor 1 (Drd1) and nescient helix loop helix 2 (Nhlh2) genes, which have also been implicated in feeding behavior (97-100). In humans, variation in the leptin receptor (LEPR) and melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) genes was associated with physical inactivity (101-103), which appears to be driven by genetic pathways that are distinct from those encoding activity. A limited number of genes have been identified that may influence exercise adherence and/or exercise tolerance, with small effects that await replication (104, 105). Change in body weight, waist circumference, hip circumference and BMI have been shown to be significantly associated with adherence status both before and after an aerobic exercise intervention (105), suggesting a plausible pathway by which genes that influence adherence may ultimately influence weight change.

Personalizing Weight Loss Interventions

Although ongoing efforts are elucidating the genetic underpinnings of obesity and weight change, a different question is whether these discoveries can be implemented in the clinical setting to personalize weight loss interventions. The success of such interventions would rely not only on an understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms linking genotype and weight but also on the ability to communicate a personalized strategy to patients and motivate behavior change.

A few studies have examined whether communicating genetic risk information to patients motivates weight-related health behavior change. In a recent trial, 1,016 university students were randomized to receive simple weight control advice with and without their FTO rs9939609 genotype (106). Of the 279 participants who completed the one-month follow-up survey, those in the genotyped group were more likely to be in a contemplation or action stage of readiness to control weight, compared to those receiving advice only (odds ratio 1.77, 95% CI 1.08, 2.89, p= 0.023). The researchers observed an interaction of study group with body weight; the effect of FTO genotype information on readiness for change was greater among individuals with overweight/obesity (only 9% of the respondents) than among those of normal weight (106). Perhaps most relevant to the present discussion, the researchers also observed an interaction between study group and genotype; compared to control participants, participants learning they carried the higher-risk AT or AA FTO genotype, but not those learning they carried the low-risk TT genotype, were more likely to be in an advanced stage of change after one month (106). The groups did not differ, however, in the proportions reporting they had actually followed any of the weight control advice, suggesting that additional information may need to be given to motivate actual behavior change.

Two trials in the field of type 2 diabetes (T2D) have assessed weight change in response to genetic testing. In the Genetic Counseling and Lifestyle Change for Diabetes Prevention (GC/LC) Study (107), 177 patients with metabolic syndrome were randomized to receive genetic testing for T2D susceptibility based on 36 T2D-associated SNPs plus brief genetic counseling versus no genetic testing. Diabetes risk for genotyped participants was summarized with a risk score categorizing their genetic risk as low, average, or high. All patients were then enrolled in a 12-week lifestyle medication program modeled on the evidence-based Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) (108). The lifestyle intervention was effective: the group overall lost a mean of 8.5±10.1 pounds, with 31% losing at least 5% of their body weight. Communicating genetic risk did not change this effectiveness, however. The genotyped and control arms did not differ with respect to weight loss, attendance at the 12 DPP sessions, or motivation or confidence to make health behavior changes (107). In a second randomized trial, 601 patients with obesity or overweight received T2D risk estimates based on family history, BMI, and fasting plasma glucose, followed by either T2D genetic susceptibility results from four T2D-associated SNPs or eye disease counseling as a control (109). All participants received brief lifestyle counseling but were not otherwise enrolled in a weight loss program. Although the group receiving genetic risk information reported lower calorie and fat intake after 3 months, the two groups did not differ in these

behaviors or in physical activity, weight loss, insulin resistance, or perceived risk after 6 months.

Personalizing genetic risk information is only one component of a genotype-informed approach to weight loss. A clear deficit of the trials to date is that the genetic risk information provided to participants was not connected to personalized weight loss strategies but, rather, to uniform interventions, be they simple advice or an intensive 12week program. To advance the field of precision weight loss, the combination of an individual's genotype, along with the unique underlying pathophysiology it suggests, should be used to develop dietary and physical activity recommendations that target the metabolic derangements specific to each person.

Future Directions

While a genetic basis for obesity and even response to alterations in energy balance has been clearly established, few studies (24, 110) have examined whether the same genes and/or processes that influence obesity when assessed cross-sectionally also influence weight loss, weight maintenance, and/or weight re-gain following weight loss interventions. By taking into account the influence of genetic variation on these disease processes, precision medicine in behavioral weight loss may present several new avenues to tackle the obesity epidemic. For example, identifying subgroups of populations with obesity who are genetically prone to respond well to a given weight loss intervention might be targeted accordingly. Similarly, genetic information might prove valuable when seeking to identify people who are unlikely to respond well to a given weight loss therapy or who might experience adverse events. There are many compelling examples of the use of genomic data in clinical settings, such as screening for BRCA1/BRCA2 gene mutations to aid treatment decisions for familial breast cancer and genetic screening for drug metabolizing genes like CYP2D6 to inform the prescription and dosing of codeine for pain relief. To optimize the use of genetic information, clinicians, patients and their relatives would all benefit from an improved level of medical literacy when exchanging genetic information (111).

Though complex diseases and outcomes pose the biggest challenge for precision medicine, improving treatment for such outcomes also has the potential to impact the greatest number of people. Technology exists today to characterize individuals in a highly comprehensive manner that includes 24-hour assessment of heart and respiratory rate, physical movement, exposure to changes in light/sound/temperature, sleeping patterns, eating patterns, and a host of other measures. Portable, wearable monitors can be used to upload patient data remotely and automatically, and web-based, computerized devices like scales and bioimpedance instruments can monitor fluid balance and body composition without the need for the participant or patient to interact directly with researchers or health care providers. These devices can be linked to environmental monitors in the home, and GPS tracking systems can document the location and physical setting of the wearer. In addition to monitoring devices, it is now feasible and affordable to sequence an entire genome in as little as 10 days. Next generation sequencing and advanced mass spectrometry have paved the way for the fast and complete characterization of the transcriptome, proteome, epigenome, and metabolome. Classic information about family and medical history can be combined with a host of

behavioral, psychological, and demographic data to completely account for a multitude of factors that may influence both disease processes and response to treatment.

Acquiring data is the easy part. What is direly needed are innovative approaches for mining multiple levels of "omics" and other data to discern patterns of data-disease relationships that may then be used for decision making in clinical treatment. While the statistical approaches lag behind the technology and our ability to gather data, the potential is great to make substantial progress in this area. This paper highlights the importance of developing a model that combines genes with established phenotypes in order to bring us closer to personalized treatment. Table 2 outlines future research directions to advance the science and potentially inform personalized gene-based interventions for successful weight loss, maintenance and re-gain.

With advances in technology comes a demand for more innovative studies. There are several large, multimillion-dollar prospective studies that have been recently initiated in Europe and the US, including the Innovative Medicines Initiative DIRECT Study in Europe (112) and the Google Baseline Study in the US (https://www.dtmi.duke.edu/news/duke-and-stanford-assist-google-x-defining-health); both studies involve repeated intensive phenotyping and objective long-term measures of behavior assessed with wearable devices, from which much will be learned about the genetic and environmental influences on weight change and metabolic health. While interrogating existing trials for gene-intervention interactions is pragmatic and should be done, new trials that are specifically designed to assess the combined effects of genotypes and interventions are needed. Genotype-based recall trials, in which the power to detect differences in response to treatment between participants with a high and low degree of genetic burden is maximized, provide one such opportunity. With innovation at every level, from data acquisition to statistical analysis to study design, recent and future scientific discoveries may help move obesity prevention and treatment from universal to precision approaches.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the following NIH collaborators who convened the working group and made important contributions to the meeting: Cashell E Jaquish, PhD., NHLBI; Catherine Loria, PhD., NHLBI; Philip Smith, PhD., NIDDK; Erica Spotts, PhD., OBSSR; Sharon Ross, PhD., NCI. Details of the Conference Working Group membership are provided in Supplement 1. Special thanks to Diana Gutierrez for her valuable comments on the manuscript.

Funding: National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute

References

- Organization WH. World Health Statistics 2015. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland: 2015.
- Allison DB, Heshka S, Neale MC, Heymsfield SB. Race effects in the genetics of adolescents' body mass index. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1994; 18(6):363–8. [PubMed: 8081426]

- 3. Chagnon YC, Perusse L, Bouchard C. Familial aggregation of obesity, candidate genes and quantitative trait loci. Curr Opin Lipidol. 1997; 8(4):205–11. [PubMed: 9253536]
- 4. Chung WK. An overview of mongenic and syndromic obesities in humans. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2011
- 5. Goran MI. Genetic influences on human energy expenditure and substrate utilization. Behav Genet. 1997; 27(4):389–99. [PubMed: 9519564]
- Speakman JR, Levitsky DA, Allison DB, Bray MS, de Castro JM, Clegg DJ, et al. Set points, settling points and some alternative models: theoretical options to understand how genes and environments combine to regulate body adiposity. Dis Model Mech. 2011; 4(6):733–45. [PubMed: 22065844]
- Tabery J. Debating interaction: the history, and an explanation. Int J Epidemiol. 2015; 44(4):1117– 23. [PubMed: 25855719]
- Barsh GS, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S. Genetics of body-weight regulation. Nature. 2000; 404(6778): 644–51. [PubMed: 10766251]
- Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Despres J, Nadeau A, Lupien PJ, Theriault G, et al. The response to longterm overfeeding in identical twins. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1990; 322:1477–82. [PubMed: 2336074]
- Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Despres JP, Theriault G, Nadeau A, Lupien PJ, et al. The response to exercise with constant energy intake in identical twins. Obes Res. 1994; 2(5):400–10. [PubMed: 16358397]
- 11. Ukkola O, Kesaniemi YA, Tremblay A, Bouchard C. Two variants in the resistin gene and the response to long-term overfeeding. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2004; 58(4):654–9. [PubMed: 15042134]
- Hainer V, Stunkard AJ, Kunesova M, Parizkova J, Stich V, Allison DB. Intrapair resemblance in very low calorie diet-induced weight loss in female obese identical twins. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000; 24(8):1051–7. [PubMed: 10951545]
- Keski-Rahkonen A, Neale BM, Bulik CM, Pietilainen KH, Rose RJ, Kaprio J, et al. Intentional weight loss in young adults: sex-specific genetic and environmental effects. Obes Res. 2005; 13(4):745–53. [PubMed: 15897484]
- Hatoum IJ, Greenawalt DM, Cotsapas C, Reitman ML, Daly MJ, Kaplan LM. Heritability of the weight loss response to gastric bypass surgery. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 96(10):E1630–3. [PubMed: 21832118]
- Locke AE, Kahali B, Berndt SI, Justice AE, Pers TH, Day FR, et al. Genetic studies of body mass index yield new insights for obesity biology. Nature. 2015; 518(7538):197–206. [PubMed: 25673413]
- Shungin D, Winkler TW, Croteau-Chonka DC, Ferreira T, Locke AE, Magi R, et al. New genetic loci link adipose and insulin biology to body fat distribution. Nature. 2015; 518(7538):187–96. [PubMed: 25673412]
- Chen CY, Chang IS, Hsiung CA, Wasserman WW. On the identification of potential regulatory variants within genome wide association candidate SNP sets. BMC Med Genomics. 2014; 7:34. [PubMed: 24920305]
- Spisak S, Lawrenson K, Fu Y, Csabai I, Cottman RT, Seo JH, et al. CAUSEL: an epigenome- and genome-editing pipeline for establishing function of noncoding GWAS variants. Nat Med. 2015
- Rask-Andersen M, Almen MS, Schioth HB. Scrutinizing the FTO locus: compelling evidence for a complex, long-range regulatory context. Hum Genet. 2015
- 20. Waalen J. The genetics of human obesity. Transl Res. 2014; 164(4):293-301. [PubMed: 24929207]
- Yang J, Manolio TA, Pasquale LR, Boerwinkle E, Caporaso N, Cunningham JM, et al. Genome partitioning of genetic variation for complex traits using common SNPs. Nat Genet. 2011; 43(6): 519–25. [PubMed: 21552263]
- Llewellyn CH, Trzaskowski M, Plomin R, Wardle J. Finding the missing heritability in pediatric obesity: the contribution of genome-wide complex trait analysis. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013; 37(11): 1506–9. [PubMed: 23528754]
- 23. Winkler TW, Justice AE, Graff M, Barata L, Feitosa MF, Chu S, et al. The Influence of Age and Sex on Genetic Associations with Adult Body Size and Shape: A Large-Scale Genome-Wide Interaction Study. PLoS Genet. 2015; 11(10):e1005378. [PubMed: 26426971]

- Delahanty LM, Pan Q, Jablonski KA, Watson KE, McCaffery JM, Shuldiner A, et al. Genetic predictors of weight loss and weight regain after intensive lifestyle modification, metformin treatment, or standard care in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35(2):363–6. [PubMed: 22179955]
- Tworoger SS, Chubak J, Aiello EJ, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, Farin FM, et al. The effect of CYP19 and COMT polymorphisms on exercise-induced fat loss in postmenopausal women. Obes Res. 2004; 12(6):972–81. [PubMed: 15229337]
- Delahanty LM, Pan Q, Jablonski KA, Aroda VR, Watson KE, Bray GA, et al. Effects of weight loss, weight cycling, and weight loss maintenance on diabetes incidence and change in cardiometabolic traits in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetes Care. 2014; 37(10):2738–45. [PubMed: 25024396]
- Neiberg RH, Wing RR, Bray GA, Reboussin DM, Rickman AD, Johnson KC, et al. Patterns of weight change associated with long-term weight change and cardiovascular disease risk factors in the Look AHEAD Study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012; 20(10):2048–56. [PubMed: 22327053]
- Warrington NM, Howe LD, Paternoster L, Kaakinen M, Herrala S, Huikari V, et al. A genomewide association study of body mass index across early life and childhood. Int J Epidemiol. 2015; 44(2):700–12. [PubMed: 25953783]
- 29. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 63:2985–3023. 25 Pt B. [PubMed: 24239920]
- Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, et al. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002; 346(6):393–403. [PubMed: 11832527]
- 31. Look ARG, Wing RR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. Arch Intern Med. 2010; 170(17):1566–75. [PubMed: 20876408]
- 32. The Diabetes Prevention Program. Design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1999; 22(4):623–34. [PubMed: 10189543]
- 33. Ryan DH, Espeland MA, Foster GD, Haffner SM, Hubbard VS, Johnson KC, et al. Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes): design and methods for a clinical trial of weight loss for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. Control Clin Trials. 2003; 24(5):610–28. [PubMed: 14500058]
- 34. Look ARG, Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, Bray GA, Clark JM, et al. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369(2):145–54. [PubMed: 23796131]
- 35. Papandonatos GD, Pan Q, Pajewski NM, Delahanty LM, Peter I, Erar B, et al. Genetic predisposition to weight loss & regain with lifestyle intervention: analyses from the Diabetes Prevention Program & the Look AHEAD randomized controlled trials. Diabetes. 2015
- Behrouz B, Vilarino-Guell C, Heckman MG, Soto-Ortolaza AI, Aasly JO, Sando S, et al. Mitochondrial translation initiation factor 3 polymorphism and Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Lett. 2010; 486(3):228–30. [PubMed: 20887776]
- Speliotes EK, Willer CJ, Berndt SI, Monda KL, Thorleifsson G, Jackson AU, et al. Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. Nat Genet. 2010; 42(11):937–48. [PubMed: 20935630]
- Hong KW, Oh B. Recapitulation of genome-wide association studies on body mass index in the Korean population. Int J Obes (Lond). 2012; 36(8):1127–30. [PubMed: 22041983]
- Goumidi L, Cottel D, Dallongeville J, Amouyel P, Meirhaeghe A. Effects of established BMIassociated loci on obesity-related traits in a French representative population sample. BMC Genet. 2014; 15:62. [PubMed: 24885863]
- Nettleton JA, Follis JL, Ngwa JS, Smith CE, Ahmad S, Tanaka T, et al. Gene x dietary pattern interactions in obesity: analysis of up to 68 317 adults of European ancestry. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24(16):4728–38. [PubMed: 25994509]

- McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Huggins GS, Peter I, Erar B, Kahn SE, et al. Human cardiovascular disease IBC chip-wide association with weight loss and weight regain in the look AHEAD trial. Hum Hered. 2013; 75(2-4):160–74. [PubMed: 24081232]
- 42. Kraja AT, Vaidya D, Pankow JS, Goodarzi MO, Assimes TL, Kullo IJ, et al. A bivariate genomewide approach to metabolic syndrome: STAMPEED consortium. Diabetes. 2011; 60(4):1329–39. [PubMed: 21386085]
- Bouatia-Naji N, Rocheleau G, Van Lommel L, Lemaire K, Schuit F, Cavalcanti-Proenca C, et al. A polymorphism within the G6PC2 gene is associated with fasting plasma glucose levels. Science. 2008; 320(5879):1085–8. [PubMed: 18451265]
- 44. An JJ, Han DH, Kim DM, Kim SH, Rhee Y, Lee EJ, et al. Expression and regulation of osteoprotegerin in adipose tissue. Yonsei Med J. 2007; 48(5):765–72. [PubMed: 17963332]
- Sacks FM, Bray GA, Carey VJ, Smith SR, Ryan DH, Anton SD, et al. Comparison of weight-loss diets with different compositions of fat, protein, and carbohydrates. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360(9): 859–73. [PubMed: 19246357]
- 46. Zhang X, Qi Q, Zhang C, Smith SR, Hu FB, Sacks FM, et al. FTO genotype and 2-year change in body composition and fat distribution in response to weight-loss diets: the POUNDS LOST Trial. Diabetes. 2012; 61(11):3005–11. [PubMed: 22891219]
- Liou TH, Chen HH, Wang W, Wu SF, Lee YC, Yang WS, et al. ESR1, FTO, and UCP2 genes interact with bariatric surgery affecting weight loss and glycemic control in severely obese patients. Obes Surg. 2011; 21(11):1758–65. [PubMed: 21720911]
- 48. Sarzynski MA, Jacobson P, Rankinen T, Carlsson B, Sjostrom L, Bouchard C, et al. Associations of markers in 11 obesity candidate genes with maximal weight loss and weight regain in the SOS bariatric surgery cases. Int J Obes (Lond). 2011; 35(5):676–83. [PubMed: 20733583]
- Ronn T, Volkov P, Gillberg L, Kokosar M, Perfilyev A, Jacobsen AL, et al. Impact of age, BMI and HbA1c levels on the genome-wide DNA methylation and mRNA expression patterns in human adipose tissue and identification of epigenetic biomarkers in blood. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24(13):3792–813. [PubMed: 25861810]
- Ronn T, Volkov P, Davegardh C, Dayeh T, Hall E, Olsson AH, et al. A six months exercise intervention influences the genome-wide DNA methylation pattern in human adipose tissue. PLoS Genet. 2013; 9(6):e1003572. [PubMed: 23825961]
- 51. Nitert MD, Dayeh T, Volkov P, Elgzyri T, Hall E, Nilsson E, et al. Impact of an exercise intervention on DNA methylation in skeletal muscle from first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2012; 61(12):3322–32. [PubMed: 23028138]
- 52. Jacobsen SC, Gillberg L, Bork-Jensen J, Ribel-Madsen R, Lara E, Calvanese V, et al. Young men with low birthweight exhibit decreased plasticity of genome-wide muscle DNA methylation by high-fat overfeeding. Diabetologia. 2014; 57(6):1154–8. [PubMed: 24570141]
- 53. Nilsson E, Jansson PA, Perfilyev A, Volkov P, Pedersen M, Svensson MK, et al. Altered DNA methylation and differential expression of genes influencing metabolism and inflammation in adipose tissue from subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2014; 63(9):2962–76. [PubMed: 24812430]
- Aslibekyan S, Demerath EW, Mendelson M, Zhi D, Guan W, Liang L, et al. Epigenome-wide study identifies novel methylation loci associated with body mass index and waist circumference. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2015; 23(7):1493–501. [PubMed: 26110892]
- 55. Demerath EW, Guan W, Grove ML, Aslibekyan S, Mendelson M, Zhou YH, et al. Epigenomewide association study (EWAS) of BMI, BMI change and waist circumference in African American adults identifies multiple replicated loci. Hum Mol Genet. 2015; 24(15):4464–79. [PubMed: 25935004]
- Dick KJ, Nelson CP, Tsaprouni L, Sandling JK, Aissi D, Wahl S, et al. DNA methylation and body-mass index: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet. 2014; 383(9933):1990–8. [PubMed: 24630777]
- 57. Agha G, Houseman EA, Kelsey KT, Eaton CB, Buka SL, Loucks EB. Adiposity is associated with DNA methylation profile in adipose tissue. Int J Epidemiol. 2014

- 58. Dahlman I, Sinha I, Gao H, Brodin D, Thorell A, Ryden M, et al. The fat cell epigenetic signature in post-obese women is characterized by global hypomethylation and differential DNA methylation of adipogenesis genes. Int J Obes (Lond). 2015; 39(6):910–9. [PubMed: 25783037]
- Barres R, Kirchner H, Rasmussen M, Yan J, Kantor FR, Krook A, et al. Weight loss after gastric bypass surgery in human obesity remodels promoter methylation. Cell Rep. 2013; 3(4):1020–7. [PubMed: 23583180]
- 60. Benton MC, Johnstone A, Eccles D, Harmon B, Hayes MT, Lea RA, et al. An analysis of DNA methylation in human adipose tissue reveals differential modification of obesity genes before and after gastric bypass and weight loss. Genome Biol. 2015; 16:8. [PubMed: 25651499]
- Nilsson EK, Ernst B, Voisin S, Almen MS, Benedict C, Mwinyi J, et al. Roux-en Y gastric bypass surgery induces genome-wide promoter-specific changes in DNA methylation in whole blood of obese patients. PLoS One. 2015; 10(2):e0115186. [PubMed: 25710379]
- Guenard F, Deshaies Y, Cianflone K, Kral JG, Marceau P, Vohl MC. Differential methylation in glucoregulatory genes of offspring born before vs. after maternal gastrointestinal bypass surgery. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(28):11439–44. [PubMed: 23716672]
- 63. Nicoletti CF, Nonino CB, de Oliveira BA, Pinhel MA, Mansego ML, Milagro FI, et al. DNA Methylation and Hydroxymethylation Levels in Relation to Two Weight Loss Strategies: Energy-Restricted Diet or Bariatric Surgery. Obes Surg. 2015
- Festi D, Schiumerini R, Eusebi LH, Marasco G, Taddia M, Colecchia A. Gut microbiota and metabolic syndrome. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(43):16079–94. [PubMed: 25473159]
- 65. Reinehr T, Roth CL. The gut sensor as regulator of body weight. Endocrine. 2015; 49(1):35–50. [PubMed: 25548085]
- Cox LM, Blaser MJ. Antibiotics in early life and obesity. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2015; 11(3):182– 90. [PubMed: 25488483]
- 67. Jumpertz R, Le DS, Turnbaugh PJ, Trinidad C, Bogardus C, Gordon JI, et al. Energy-balance studies reveal associations between gut microbes, caloric load, and nutrient absorption in humans. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011; 94(1):58–65. [PubMed: 21543530]
- 68. Sweeney TE, Morton JM. The human gut microbiome: a review of the effect of obesity and surgically induced weight loss. JAMA Surg. 2013; 148(6):563–9. [PubMed: 23571517]
- Mathur R, Kim G, Morales W, Sung J, Rooks E, Pokkunuri V, et al. Intestinal Methanobrevibacter smithii but not total bacteria is related to diet-induced weight gain in rats. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013; 21(4):748–54. [PubMed: 23712978]
- 70. Damms-Machado A, Mitra S, Schollenberger AE, Kramer KM, Meile T, Konigsrainer A, et al. Effects of surgical and dietary weight loss therapy for obesity on gut microbiota composition and nutrient absorption. Biomed Res Int. 2015; 2015:806248. [PubMed: 25710027]
- Remely M, Tesar I, Hippe B, Gnauer S, Rust P, Haslberger AG. Gut microbiota composition correlates with changes in body fat content due to weight loss. Benef Microbes. 2015; 6(4):431–9. [PubMed: 25609655]
- Jakicic JM, Tate DF, Lang W, Davis KK, Polzien K, Rickman AD, et al. Effect of a stepped-care intervention approach on weight loss in adults: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2012; 307(24): 2617–26. [PubMed: 22735431]
- Jakicic JM, Marcus BH, Lang W, Janney C. Effect of exercise on 24-month weight loss maintenance in overweight women. Arch Intern Med. 2008; 168(14):1550–9. discussion 9-60. [PubMed: 18663167]
- 74. Donnelly JE, Blair SN, Jakicic JM, Manore MM, Rankin JW, Smith BK, et al. American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. Appropriate physical activity intervention strategies for weight loss and prevention of weight regain for adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2009; 41(2):459–71. [PubMed: 19127177]
- 75. Di Pietro L, Dziura J, Blair SN. Estimated change in physical activity level (PAL) and prediction of 5-year weight change in men: the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2004; 28(12):1541–7. [PubMed: 15543159]
- 76. De Moor MH, Stubbe JH, Boomsma DI, De Geus EJ. Exercise participation and self-rated health: do common genes explain the association? Eur J Epidemiol. 2007; 22(1):27–32. [PubMed: 17195050]

- 77. Rankinen T, Bouchard C. Genetics of Food Intake and Eating Behavior Phenotypes in Humans. Annu Rev Nutr. 2006
- Willer CJ, Speliotes EK, Loos RJ, Li S, Lindgren CM, Heid IM, et al. Six new loci associated with body mass index highlight a neuronal influence on body weight regulation. Nat Genet. 2009; 41(1):25–34. [PubMed: 19079261]
- Cecil JE, Tavendale R, Watt P, Hetherington MM, Palmer CN. An obesity-associated FTO gene variant and increased energy intake in children. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359(24):2558–66. [PubMed: 19073975]
- Timpson NJ, Emmett PM, Frayling TM, Rogers I, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI, et al. The fat mass- and obesity-associated locus and dietary intake in children. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008; 88(4): 971–8. [PubMed: 18842783]
- Wardle J, Carnell S, Haworth CM, Farooqi IS, O'Rahilly S, Plomin R. Obesity associated genetic variation in FTO is associated with diminished satiety. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008; 93(9): 3640–3. [PubMed: 18583465]
- McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Peter I, Huggins GS, Raynor HA, Delahanty LM, et al. Obesity susceptibility loci and dietary intake in the Look AHEAD Trial. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012; 95(6): 1477–86. [PubMed: 22513296]
- 83. Tanaka T, Ngwa JS, van Rooij FJ, Zillikens MC, Wojczynski MK, Frazier-Wood AC, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of observational studies shows common genetic variants associated with macronutrient intake. Am J Clin Nutr. 2013; 97(6):1395–402. [PubMed: 23636237]
- 84. Chu AY, Workalemahu T, Paynter NP, Rose LM, Giulianini F, Tanaka T, et al. Novel locus including FGF21 is associated with dietary macronutrient intake. Hum Mol Genet. 2013; 22(9): 1895–902. [PubMed: 23372041]
- 85. Park SL, Cheng I, Pendergrass SA, Kucharska-Newton AM, Lim U, Ambite JL, et al. Association of the FTO obesity risk variant rs8050136 with percentage of energy intake from fat in multiple racial/ethnic populations: the PAGE study. Am J Epidemiol. 2013; 178(5):780–90. [PubMed: 23820787]
- Breen FM, Plomin R, Wardle J. Heritability of food preferences in young children. Physiol Behav. 2006; 88(4-5):443–7. [PubMed: 16750228]
- Tornwall O, Silventoinen K, Hiekkalinna T, Perola M, Tuorila H, Kaprio J. Identifying flavor preference subgroups. Genetic basis and related eating behavior traits. 2014; 75:1–10. Appetite.
- Feeney E, O'Brien S, Scannell A, Markey A, Gibney ER. Genetic variation in taste perception: does it have a role in healthy eating? Proc Nutr Soc. 2011; 70(1):135–43. [PubMed: 21092367]
- Coletta A, Bachman J, Tepper BJ, Raynor HA. Greater energy reduction in 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) super-tasters as compared to non-tasters during a lifestyle intervention. Eat Behav. 2013; 14(2):180–3. [PubMed: 23557816]
- 90. Haapanen N, Miilunpalo S, Pasanen M, Oja P, Vuori I. Association between leisure time physical activity and 10-year body mass change among working-aged men and women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 1997; 21(4):288–96. [PubMed: 9130026]
- 91. Schmitz KH, Jacobs DR Jr. Leon AS, Schreiner PJ, Sternfeld B. Physical activity and body weight: associations over ten years in the CARDIA study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000; 24(11):1475–87. [PubMed: 11126345]
- 92. Washburn RA, Szabo AN, Lambourne K, Willis EA, Ptomey LT, Honas JJ, et al. Does the method of weight loss effect long-term changes in weight, body composition or chronic disease risk factors in overweight or obese adults? A systematic review. PLoS One. 2014; 9(10):e109849. [PubMed: 25333384]
- Maia JA, Thomis M, Beunen G. Genetic factors in physical activity levels: a twin study. Am J Prev Med. 2002; 23(2 Suppl):87–91. [PubMed: 12133742]
- 94. Mitchell BD, Rainwater DL, Hsueh WC, Kennedy AJ, Stern MP, Maccluer JW. Familial aggregation of nutrient intake and physical activity: results from the San Antonio Family Heart Study. Ann Epidemiol. 2003; 13(2):128–35. [PubMed: 12559672]
- Moore LL, Lombardi DA, White MJ, Campbell JL, Oliveria SA, Ellison RC. Influence of parents' physical activity levels on activity levels of young children. J Pediatr. 1991; 118(2):215–9. [PubMed: 1993947]

- 96. Pittaluga M, Casini B, Parisi P. Physical activity and genetic influences in risk factors and aging: a study on twins. Int J Sports Med. 2004; 25(5):345–50. [PubMed: 15241713]
- Lightfoot JT. Current understanding of the genetic basis for physical activity. J Nutr. 2011; 141(3): 526–30. [PubMed: 21270357]
- Roberts MD, Gilpin L, Parker KE, Childs TE, Will MJ, Booth FW. Dopamine D1 receptor modulation in nucleus accumbens lowers voluntary wheel running in rats bred to run high distances. Physiol Behav. 2012; 105(3):661–8. [PubMed: 22001493]
- Garcia-Tornadu I, Perez-Millan MI, Recouvreux V, Ramirez MC, Luque G, Risso GS, et al. New insights into the endocrine and metabolic roles of dopamine D2 receptors gained from the Drd2 mouse. Neuroendocrinology. 2010; 92(4):207–14. [PubMed: 20975260]
- 100. Jing E, Nillni EA, Sanchez VC, Stuart RC, Good DJ. Deletion of the Nhlh2 transcription factor decreases the levels of the anorexigenic peptides alpha melanocyte-stimulating hormone and thyrotropin-releasing hormone and implicates prohormone convertases I and II in obesity. Endocrinology. 2004; 145(4):1503–13. [PubMed: 14701669]
- 101. Cai G, Cole SA, Butte N, Bacino C, Diego V, Tan K, et al. A quantitative trait locus on chromosome 18q for physical activity and dietary intake in Hispanic children. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2006; 14(9):1596–604. [PubMed: 17030971]
- 102. Loos RJ, Rankinen T, Tremblay A, Perusse L, Chagnon Y, Bouchard C. Melanocortin-4 receptor gene and physical activity in the Quebec Family Study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2005; 29(4):420–8. [PubMed: 15597110]
- 103. Stefan N, Vozarova B, Del Parigi A, Ossowski V, Thompson DB, Hanson RL, et al. The Gln223Arg polymorphism of the leptin receptor in Pima Indians: influence on energy expenditure, physical activity and lipid metabolism. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2002; 26(12):1629–32. [PubMed: 12461680]
- 104. Thompson PD, Tsongalis GJ, Ordovas JM, Seip RL, Bilbie C, Miles M, et al. Angiotensinconverting enzyme genotype and adherence to aerobic exercise training. Prev Cardiol. 2006; 9(1):21–4. [PubMed: 16407699]
- 105. Herring MP, Sailors MH, Bray MS. Genetic factors in exercise adoption, adherence and obesity. Obes Rev. 2014; 15(1):29–39. [PubMed: 24034448]
- 106. Meisel SF, Beeken RJ, van Jaarsveld CH, Wardle J. Genetic susceptibility testing and readiness to control weight: Results from a randomized controlled trial. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2015; 23(2): 305–12. [PubMed: 25522302]
- 107. Grant RW, O'Brien KE, Waxler JL, Vassy JL, Delahanty LM, Bissett LG, et al. Personalized genetic risk counseling to motivate diabetes prevention: a randomized trial. Diabetes Care. 2013; 36(1):13–9. [PubMed: 22933432]
- 108. Diabetes Prevention Program Research G. The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP): description of lifestyle intervention. Diabetes Care. 2002; 25(12):2165–71. [PubMed: 12453955]
- 109. Voils CI, Coffman CJ, Grubber JM, Edelman D, Sadeghpour A, Maciejewski ML, et al. Does Type 2 Diabetes Genetic Testing and Counseling Reduce Modifiable Risk Factors? A Randomized Controlled Trial of Veterans. J Gen Intern Med. 2015
- 110. Franks PW, Jablonski KA, Delahanty LM, McAteer JB, Kahn SE, Knowler WC, et al. Assessing gene-treatment interactions at the FTO and INSIG2 loci on obesity-related traits in the Diabetes Prevention Program. Diabetologia. 2008; 51(12):2214–23. [PubMed: 18839134]
- 111. Eccles DM, Mitchell G, Monteiro AN, Schmutzler R, Couch FJ, Spurdle AB, et al. BRCA1 and BRCA2 genetic testing-pitfalls and recommendations for managing variants of uncertain clinical significance. Ann Oncol. 2015
- 112. Koivula RW, Heggie A, Barnett A, Cederberg H, Hansen TH, Koopman AD, et al. Discovery of biomarkers for glycaemic deterioration before and after the onset of type 2 diabetes: rationale and design of the epidemiological studies within the IMI DIRECT Consortium. Diabetologia. 2014; 57(6):1132–42. [PubMed: 24695864]

What is already known about this subject?

A genetic basis for obesity has been well established, and several loci have been identified that are associated with cross-sectional measures of obesity and body size. A genetic basis for weight gain and loss has also been established, and a limited number of studies have identified genes that appear to influence the process of weight loss and/or weight re-gain. Though many strategies have been developed that can induce weight loss, sustained weight loss has proven elusive for many. What is not known is whether genetic and other similar types of information can be used for more targeted treatments for obesity and guide the management of weight loss.

What does this study add?

This review provides information on:

- Putative underpinnings of weight loss identified from existing studies
- Alterative genetic mechanisms driving weight loss (e.g., epigenetics, microbiome, behavior)
- Recommendations for future studies and identification of needed strategies for data acquisition, management, and analysis

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

~	
able	
-	

Cognitive impairments							Х	Х
Pigmentation alterations			Х					
Elevated precursor proteins						Х		
Altered glucose/ insulin metabolism	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х		
Altered growth/ dysmorphia				Х	Х		X	
Hormonal alterations	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		
Hypogonadism	Х	Х				X	X	
Hyperphagia	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Early onset morbid obesity	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Dominant (D)/ Recessive (R)/ Imprinted (I)	R	R	Я	D	Я	ч	I	Я
Gene	Leptin (LEP)	Leptin receptor (LEPR)	Pro- opiomelanocortin (POMC)	Melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R)	Single-Minded Homolog 1 (SIM1)	Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 1 (PCSK1)	HBII-85 snoRNA (associated with Prader-Willi Syndrome)	Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, associated with WAGR syndrome)
						_	_	

Bray et al.

Table 2

Future Directions

Research Needed	Examples		
Discovery research	Leverage genome-wide genetic and genomic technologies to explore novel genetic loci for intentional weight loss or weight change		
Genetic Variation	Design large randomize control trials (RCT) of behavioral weight loss interventions designed to examine genetic variation in weight loss/maintenance/re-gain Convene behavior weight loss intervention consortia to leverage resources Replication of smaller studies examining genetic variation		
Measurement	Examine measures of body composition, other than BMI (e.g. functional vs. static phenotypes (e.g., visceral and subcutaneous fat using CT or MRI).		
Mechanisms	Examine epigenetics and microbiome mechanisms involved in controlling energy homeostasis and weight management Examine indirect and direct genetic pathways of health behaviors (diet, PA) on weight loss/maintenance/re-gain		
Personalized Weight loss	Examine whether genetic discoveries and technological advances can be implemented in a clinical setting to motivate behavior change adherence to weight loss interventions. Examine whether baseline characteristics, including genetics and genomics, predict change in weight, weight loss maintenance or change in obesity-related co-morbidities with sufficient precision to permit tailored treatment guidelines.		