

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Am J Public Health*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 May 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Am J Public Health.* 2016 April ; 106(4): 698–706. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302977.

Disparities by Sexual Orientation in Frequent Engagement in Cancer-Related Risk Behaviors: A 12-Year Follow-Up

Margaret Rosario, PhD, Fei Li, MS, David Wypij, PhD, Andrea L. Roberts, PhD, Heather L. Corliss, PhD, Brittany M. Charlton, ScD, A. Lindsay Frazier, MD, and S. Bryn Austin, ScD Margaret Rosario is with Department of Psychology, City University of New York—City College and Graduate Center, New York, NY. Fei Li and David Wypij are with Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.|H. Chan School of Public Health (HSPH), Boston, MA. D. Wypij, Brittany M. Charlton, A. Lindsay Frazier, and S. Bryn Austin are with Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School (HMS), Boston. D. Wypij is also with Department of Cardiology, Boston's Children's Hospital, Boston. Andrea L. Roberts is with Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, HSPH. Heather L. Corliss is with Division of Health Promotion and Behavioral Science at San Diego State University, San Diego, CA. B.|M. Charlton and S.|B. Austin are also with Division of Adolescent and Young Adult Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital. A.|L. Frazier is also with Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, and Department of Epidemiology, HSPH. A.|L. Frazier and S.|B. Austin are also with Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, HMS.

Abstract

Objectives—We examined sexual-orientation disparities in frequent engagement in cancerrelated risk indicators of tobacco, alcohol, diet and physical activity, ultraviolet radiation, and sexually transmitted infections (STIs).

Methods—We used longitudinal data from the national Growing Up Today Study (1999–2010). Of the analytic sample (N|=|9958), 1.8% were lesbian or gay (LG), 1.6% bisexual (BI), 12.1% mostly heterosexual (MH), and 84.5% completely heterosexual (CH).

Results—More sexual minorities (LGs, BIs, and MHs) than CHs frequently engaged in multiple cancer-related risk behaviors (33%, 29%, 28%, and 19%, respectively). Sexual-minority young women, especially BI and MH, reported more frequent engagement over time in substance use and diet and physical activity risk than CH women. More young gay than CH men frequently engaged over time in vomiting for weight control (odds ratio [OR]|=|3.2; 95% confidence interval [CI]|=|1.1, 9.4), being physically inactive (OR|=|1.7; 95% CI|=|1.2, 2.4), and using tanning booths (OR|=|

Contributors

A summary of findings was presented at the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association, Chicago, IL, November 2015. Human Participant Protection

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Brigham and Women's Hospital.

Correspondence should be sent to Margaret Rosario, PhD, Department of Psychology, City University of New York—City College and Graduate Center, NAC Building 7-120, Convent Ave and 138th St, New York, NY 10031 (mrosario@gc.cuny.edu).

M. Rosario conceptualized and directed all aspects of the study. She, F. Li, and D. Wypij managed the statistical and data analytic issues. All authors were involved in interpreting the data and revising the article.

4.7; 95% CI $|=|3.0, 7.4\rangle$, and had a higher prevalence of ever having an STI (OR|=|3.5; 95% CI|=| 2.0, 6.4). Individual analyses were generally comparable to the group-level analyses.

Conclusions—Young sexual minorities are at risk for cancer through frequent exposure to cancer-related risk behaviors over time. Long-term, longitudinal studies and surveillance data are essential and warranted to track frequent engagement in the risk behaviors and cancer-related morbidity and mortality.

A 2011 report by the Institute of Medicine highlighted the absence of national data on cancer incidence and prevalence for sexual minorities (lesbians, gays, and bisexuals) and transgender individuals.¹ The lack of data is surprising because cancer remains the second-leading cause of mortality in the United States, responsible for approximately 1 in 4 deaths.² Furthermore, behaviors that increase risk for cancer are more prevalent among sexual minorities. Despite the fact that cancer-related risk behaviors may become habitual over time through behaviorally reinforcing contingencies and neurobiological reward circuits, little is known about the extent to which sexual minorities engage in the behaviors over time. Frequent engagement in the behaviors over time would increase risk for cancer, as would the potential additive or synergistic effects resulting from clustering of the behaviors.

Although the prevalence of engaging (yes or no) in risk behaviors among sexual minorities has been studied in literatures that are relatively independent of each other, rarely has the risk of many such behaviors for cancer been noticed. Such awareness and documentation are necessary to argue for the surveillance of cancer morbidity and mortality that the Institute of Medicine¹ found lacking. We used a large national cohort of young people to document sexual-orientation disparities in frequent engagement over time in cancer-related risk behaviors at the group and individual levels of analysis.

Tobacco and Alcohol

Tobacco and alcohol are risk factors for developing various cancers—for example, lung, esophageal, oropharyngeal, and colon^{3–9}—with cigarette smoking accounting for approximately 30% to 40% of cancer mortality in the United States.^{10,11} In addition, the combined use of tobacco and alcohol has synergistic effects on cancer risk.^{12,13} From adolescence through adulthood, sexual minorities are more likely than heterosexuals to use tobacco and alcohol,^{14–21} including smoking more frequently²² and binge drinking over time.^{23,24}

Diet and Physical Activity

Approximately 30% of cancers are believed to be attributable to diet-related behaviors.²⁵ Moreover, 15% to 20% of cancer deaths in the United States are estimated to be a function of being overweight or obese.²⁶ Diet and physical activity are linked to cancer via several cellular processes.²⁷

Studies find that being obese, overweight, or physically inactive is more prevalent in sexualminority than heterosexual women,^{28–35} and the disparities exist as early as adolescence.^{21,36,37} However, sexual-orientation disparities among men are less consistent. Although one study found a comparable prevalence of being overweight or obese in sexual-

minority men,³⁸ other studies found a lower prevalence in sexual-minority men compared with heterosexual men.^{33,39,40} Similarly, one study found higher rates of limited physical activity in sexual-minority men,³³ but other studies found either similar exercise levels between them and heterosexual men^{40–42} or lower rates among sexual-minority male youths.^{37,43} The inconsistency of sexual-minority men generally having a lower body mass index but similar or lower exercise levels than heterosexual men^{37,42,44,45} may be explained by other diet-related activities. For example, purging as a means of reducing caloric intake may be more prevalent among sexual-minority than heterosexual men.⁴⁶ In fact, the sexual-orientation disparity in purging may be apparent during adolescence and in both sexes.^{21,47,48} Purging is concerning because it may be a risk for Barrett's esophageal cancer.^{49–51}

Ultraviolet Radiation (UVR)

Exposure to solar or manufactured ultraviolet radiation (UVR) is a risk for skin cancer.^{52–55} Therefore, protective practices are critical, such as reducing exposure to UVR, avoiding sunburn, and using sunscreen.^{56–58} Young women are more likely than young men to expose themselves to UVR,^{59–61} although they are more likely to use sunscreen than are young men.^{59,62} The extent to which these findings generalize to sexual minorities is unknown.

Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs)

Infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) is a risk factor for cervical, anal, oral, and penile cancer.^{63,64} Infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a risk for liver,^{65,66} pancreatic, lung, and skin cancer, and leukemia.⁶⁶

Little is known about the prevalence of HPV or HBV among sexual minorities. Infections with these viruses are associated with several sexual risk behaviors, including numbers of partners, concurrent partners, early age at initiating sexual intercourse, lack of condom use, and substance use during sexual activity.^{63,67–78} Women who have sex with women are at higher risk for each of these behaviors than women who have sex only with men.^{79–81} Not only are sexual-minority men at higher risk for these sexual risk behaviors, but anal intercourse, HPV, and anal cancer are related,⁸² especially among those infected with HIV.⁸³

A host of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) commands attention for 2 related reasons. First, STIs are comorbid: HPV and HBV co-occur.⁷⁸ They are also related to HIV^{84,85} and other STIs.^{86,87} Second, the comorbidity among STIs is not surprising in light of the common set of sexual risk behaviors that increases vulnerability to STIs.

METHODS

Participants in the Growing Up Today Study (GUTS) are the children of the women in Nurses' Health Study II—a national, prospective cohort of female registered nurses. Nurses' Health Study II mothers were sent letters inviting them to enroll their 9- to 14-year-old children. Eligible children were sent a questionnaire in 1996 and asked to return it if they

wished to participate. Of GUTS participants, 9039 were girls and 7843 were boys; 95.4% were White and 4.6% ethnic/racial minority. A detailed description of GUTS is available.⁸⁸

We included GUTS participants in the current analyses if they provided data at baseline in 1999. We included 6 subsequent assessment waves: 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010. In an assessment of missing data, we found no significant association between sexual-orientation group and missing 3 or more of the 7 assessment waves, after we adjusted for sex, age, ethnicity/race, and sibling clusters.

Measures

Sexual orientation—An item adapted from the Minnesota Adolescent Health Survey⁸⁹ inquired, "Which of the following best describes your feelings?," ranging from 1|=| completely heterosexual to 5|=|completely homosexual. The item responses have been related to health and other outcomes in expected ways.^{90–92} Sexual orientation in GUTS was assessed in 1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010. We categorized responses into 4 groups: completely or mostly homosexual (LG), bisexual (BI), mostly heterosexual (MH), and completely heterosexual (CH). This report assigned sexual orientation on the basis of consistency or trend in the individual's sexual orientation across his or her 3 most recent assessments. We placed greater emphasis on the most recent assessment for which data were available, if discrepancies existed among the assessments (e.g., for CH to MH to BI, designation was BI; for CH to CH to MH, designation was MH). We only went as far back as the 2001, 2003, and 2005 assessments because the young person becomes more certain of a minority sexual orientation as time passes. We did not include the 1999 data because the youths were, on average, aged between 14 and 15 years in 1999, a time when a minority sexual orientation still remains to be discovered by many.⁹³

Substance use—Three variables were adapted from the Massachusetts Youth Risk Behavior Survey and the California Tobacco Control Program,^{94,95} using a binary response of high (1) versus low (0) cancer risk. Smoking 5 or more cigarettes daily during the past year was assessed in 1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010. Other tobacco use, meaning smoking cigars or chewing tobacco in the past year, was assessed in 1999, 2000, and 2001. Binge drinking alcohol 6 or more times in the past year was assessed in all 7 waves, with binge drinking defined as consuming 4 (women) or 5 (men) or more drinks in a few hours. Also, a count of the 3 binary outcomes generated a risk composite of substance use: we classified scores of 0 and 1 as low risk (0), and 2 and 3 as high risk (1). For these and the variables that follow, the cutoffs defining low and high risk arbitrarily capture more than happenstance or experimentation.

Diet and physical activity—Three variables assessed diet and physical activity risk. Weight and height were collected at all waves to compute body mass index, per the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) algorithms.^{96–98} Individuals who were overweight or obese were at risk (1) and those who were neither were at low risk (0). An item from the CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System⁹⁹ assessed vomiting in all waves "to lose weight or keep from gaining weight." We considered vomiting at least monthly during the past year high risk (1) and vomiting less than monthly, if at all, low risk

(0). Numerous physical activity items for youths aged 9 to 18 years, which were validated for the CDC,¹⁰⁰ were used. Based on CDC-recommended activity guidelines by age and sex,¹⁰¹ we classified individuals in 1999, 2001, and 2005 as physically inactive (1) or not (0) during the past year. We summed all 3 binary outcomes. We classified those scoring 0 and 1 as low risk (0) and those scoring 2 and 3 as high risk (1) in the diet and physical activity risk composite.

Ultraviolet radiation—The items assessing exposure to solar or manufactured UVR are comparable to those assessed by others and found to be reliable and valid.^{58,59,102} We dichotomized the responses to indicate high (1) or low (0) risk for 4 exposure variables: Solar exposure during 10:00 am to 4:00 pm during the past summer was a risk if it occurred sometimes, often, or always (1) versus never or seldom (0). Being sunburned 5 or more times in the past summer was a risk (1). Using sunblock (sun protection factor 15 or more) never or seldom during the past summer when outdoors during sunny days was a risk (1) versus sometimes, often, or always using sunblock (low risk|=|0). Using a tanning booth 10 or more times during the past year was a risk (1). We summed all 4 binary outcomes. We classified values of 0 and 1 as low risk (0) and 2 to 4 as high risk (1) in the UVR risk composite. Sun exposure was assessed in 2001, 2003, 2005, and 2007. Sunblock use and sunburn were assessed in all waves except 2010. Tanning booth data were collected in 1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010. We excluded the 2010 data because the only data assessed in the 2010 UVR set of variables was using a tanning booth.

Sexually transmitted infections—Youths were asked in 1999, 2005, and 2007, "Have you ever been told by a doctor or nurse that you had a sexually transmitted disease or STD?" Several STIs were listed as examples, including HPV infection. We scored any reported STI (1) and their absence (0).

Risk composite(s)—We computed an overall risk composite of the individual risk composites of substance use, diet and physical activity, UVR, and STI, summing the individual risk composites. We classified values of 0 and 1 as low risk (0) and 2 to 4 as high risk (1) in the overall risk composite.

Persistence—We examined persistence in frequent engagement in the risk behaviors over time at the individual level. We did not compute other tobacco use (smoking cigars and chewing tobacco) because chewing tobacco was not assessed in 2000 among female youths; thus, we focused only on cigar smoking in the persistence analyses. Frequent engagement in this or any other risk behavior over 4, 5, 6, or 7 of 7 assessments, over 3, 4, 5, or 6 of 6 assessments, over 2, 3, or 4 of 4 assessments, or over 2 or 3 of 3 assessments was a marker of persistence (1); no participant had 5 assessments. Failure to meet this definition of persistence indicated little persistence (0) over time.

Statistical Analysis

We assessed sexual-orientation disparities in prevalence of ever engaging frequently in the overall and individual risk composites and each of the 11 cancer-related risk behaviors by logistic regression for the whole cohort and stratified by sex. We conducted repeated

measures analyses across the assessments and stratified by sex on all risk composites and each cancer-related risk behavior, with adjustment for age and ethnicity/race. The exception was STI, for which we used logistic regression because lifetime prevalence of STI was assessed at each wave. We also used logistic regression to examine persistence over time in frequent engagement in the risk behaviors at the individual level, with adjustment for age and ethnicity/race. We made no adjustments for social class because the young people were middle class; their mothers were registered nurses. We used generalized estimating equations with a working exchangeable assumption in all analyses to adjust for nonindependence introduced by repeated measures on participants or with sibling clusters,^{103,104} procedures we have used elsewhere with longitudinal data.^{92,105} We performed analyses with SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

The mean age of the analytic sample (N|=|9958) in 1999 was 14.6 years (SD|=|1.6). Few individuals were ethnic/racial minorities (4.6%). Most were female (61.9%).

We found unadjusted sexual-orientation disparities in prevalence of ever engaging frequently in cancer-related risk behaviors (Table 1). More sexual-minority than CH persons engaged in risk behaviors associated with 2 or more of 4 cancer-related categories, as well as categories of substance use, diet and physical activity risk, and STIs. Analyses by sex indicated that many of the sexual-orientation disparities occurred among the young women for substance use and diet and physical inactivity (Table 2). For the young men, fewer disparities emerged and most indicated that more gay than CH men engaged in several cancer-related risk behaviors.

We found differences within and across the sexes for frequent engagement over time in the risk behaviors (Table 3). Although we found health disparities across the risk behaviors between each sexual-minority group of young women and female CHs, the pattern of findings differed for the individual cancer-related risk behaviors. Lesbians were significantly elevated only on frequently smoking cigarettes relative to CH young women, but many more significant disparities existed between BIs or MHs and CHs on substance use, diet and physical inactivity, and STIs. Interestingly, CH women were more likely than all sexual-minority women to frequently use tanning booths.

Among the young men, we found few significant disparities over time (Table 3). However, gay men were more likely than CH men to frequently vomit for weight control, be physically inactive, and use tanning booths. They also had a higher lifetime prevalence of STIs.

Findings for individual persistence over time in each cancer-related risk behavior were generally comparable to these group-level analyses just discussed (Table A, available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org).

DISCUSSION

We found sexual-orientation disparities in risk categories of substance use, diet and physical activity, UVR, and STIs, as well as among individual cancer-related risk behaviors in a large national cohort of young people followed from adolescence into young adulthood. We were mindful that exposure to a potential carcinogen usually must occur over time and that the likelihood of cancer increases as exposure increases (i.e., the dosage or frequency of use rises). We assessed frequent engagement in each cancer-related risk behavior over time at the group and individual levels of analysis, except STI. As a consequence, this report adds to the literature in important ways. The literature on sexual-orientation disparities is predominantly cross-sectional in design rather than longitudinal. The literature has investigated many of the behaviors examined herein, but its focus has often been on the prevalence (ever or never) of the behavior, rather than frequent engagement. Although many of these risk behaviors have multiple health outcomes, their implications for cancer have generally been neglected. Such oversight must not continue, in light of the enormous public health burden of cancer.

Our findings indicate that sexual minorities relative to same-sex CHs are at risk for cancer through multiple risk behaviors occurring over time and at elevated exposure levels. This is concerning because the additive or synergistic effect of another cancer-related risk behavior may provoke or exacerbate a determinant of cancer: chronic inflammation.^{106–108} It also may increase the number of cancers that might develop.¹⁰⁹

Sex by Sexual-Orientation Disparities in Cancer-Related Risk Behaviors

Frequent engagement in multiple categories of risk behaviors and substance use was approximately twice as likely among sexual-minority as CH young women, but sexual-minority young men generally did not significantly differ from CH men. This pattern of findings occurred for group differences over time (repeated measures) and individuals over time (persistence analysis). Others have found a similar pattern in a cross-sectional and group-level analysis of a representative sample of young adults.¹¹⁰ Two explanations are likely.¹¹¹ First, more sexual-minority women than men engage in risky behaviors. Second, the sexual minorities do not differ, but fewer CH women than CH men frequently engage in risky behaviors. Thus, the difference between sexual-minority and CH women is magnified. Others have supported the second explanation.¹¹¹ Here, the second explanation seems likely for substance use. However, it does not apply to UVR, as more CH women than CH men frequently expose themselves to UVR (Table 2).

Overall cancer-related risk behaviors—Of 11 cancer-related risk behaviors, BI women had 5 and MH women 6 behaviors on which they differed significantly from CH women, but lesbians only had 1. Why these disparities exist for BIs and MHs, but less so for lesbians, is unknown. "Gay-related"¹¹² or "minority"¹¹³ stress may explain the findings, provided greater stress is experienced by BIs and MHs than by LGs. Indeed, female and male BIs have reported experiencing more stress than LGs.^{114–116} However, not only did we not find a comparable pattern of frequent engagement in BI and MH young men relative to CH men, but also gay men reported frequent engagement in several risk behaviors. Future

Substance use—Relative to same-sex CHs, sexual-minority women are generally at greater risk for substance use, but not sexual-minority men.^{110,117} A trajectory analysis using a representative sample of the population found that at the beginning of the study lesbian and BI women had higher rates of tobacco and alcohol use than consistently heterosexual women, but gay and BI men did not differ from consistently heterosexual men.¹¹⁸ These initial disparities persisted over time, from adolescence (age 11–21 years) through early adulthood (age 24–32 years) for both sexes. However, inconsistencies exist. Although another representative sample found the pattern of significant sexual-orientation disparities for both sexes in past-year alcohol dependence.¹⁹ A literature review found that both male and female sexual minorities had higher rates of tobacco use than same-sex heterosexuals.¹⁷ In addition, adolescent studies have documented sexual-orientation disparities for both sexes in tobacco and alcohol use.²¹ Despite inconsistencies in the literature, our study and much of the literature agree that sexual-minority women are more likely to smoke tobacco and drink alcohol than CH women.

Diet and physical activity risk—We found that BI and MH women were more likely than CH women to be overweight or physically inactive; lesbians did not differ from CH women. Interestingly, more lesbian adults than heterosexual women have been found to be overweight or obese.^{29,34} Perhaps some younger lesbians become overweight with the passage of time, catching up to BI and MH women over time.

Ultraviolet radiation—Sex by sexual-orientation disparities were found on exposure to manufactured UVR. The sexual-minority young women were less likely to frequently use tanning booths than were CH women. However, gay young men were more than 4 times as likely as CH men to frequently use tanning booths. This pattern of findings may suggest that both young CH women and gay men strive to be attractive to themselves or their preferred sexual object by tanning, which has been confirmed for CH young women¹¹⁹ and gay young men.¹²⁰

Limitations

This report has limitations. Not all cancer-related risk behaviors were measured at all assessment waves. Measurement error exists. For example, participants were asked about lifetime STIs at every assessment rather than time-specific diagnoses. Insufficient power existed to assess differences between BI and CH young men. There were too few ethnic/racial minorities to generalize to them.

Conclusions

Young sexual minorities are at risk for cancer by means of cancer-related risk behaviors to which they are frequently exposed over time. Long-term longitudinal studies are essential to track frequent engagement in the risk behaviors and cancer morbidity and mortality. Throughout this process, sensitivity will be needed to differences among sexual minorities

relative to CH persons. Finally, national surveillance data on cancer morbidity and mortality by sexual orientation need to be collected to document the potential burden of cancer among sexual minorities.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The report is based on the Growing Up Today Study cohort, which has been funded by grants HD45763 and HD57368 from the National Institutes of Health and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. B. Charlton was supported by the Training Grant T32HD060454 in Reproductive, Perinatal, and Pediatric Epidemiology from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health.

References

- 1. Institute of Medicine. The Health of Lesbian Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender People: Building a Foundation for Better Understanding. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
- Murphy SL, Xu J, Kochanek KD. Division of Vital Statistics. Deaths: final data for 2010. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2013; 61(4):1–117. [PubMed: 24979972]
- Boffetta P, Hecht S, Gray N, Gupta P, Straif K. Smokeless tobacco and cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2008; 9(7):667–675. [PubMed: 18598931]
- Curry, SJ.; Byers, T.; Hewitt, M., editors. Fulfilling the Potential of Cancer Prevention and Early Detection. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
- Freedman ND, Abnet CC, Leitzmann MF, et al. A prospective study of tobacco, alcohol, and the risk of esophageal and gastric cancer subtypes. Am J Epidemiol. 2007; 165(12):1424–1433. [PubMed: 17420181]
- 6. Gentry, RT. Alcohol and cancer epidemiology. In: Zakhari, S.; Vasiliou, V.; Guo, QM., editors. Alcohol and Cancer. New York, NY: Springer; 2011. p. 19-35.
- Hecht SS. Tobacco smoke carcinogens and lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999; 91(14):1194– 1210. [PubMed: 10413421]
- Shapiro JA, Jacobs EJ, Thun MJ. Cigar smoking in men and risk of death from tobacco-related cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000; 92(4):333–337. [PubMed: 10675383]
- Williams RR, Horm JW. Association of cancer sites with tobacco and alcohol consumption and socioeconomic status of patients: interview study from the Third National Cancer Survey. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1977; 58(3):525–547. [PubMed: 557114]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual smoking-attributable mortality, years of potential life lost, and productivity losses—United States, 1997–2001. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2005; 54(25):625–628. [PubMed: 15988406]
- Jemal A, Thun MJ, Ries LAG, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975–2005, featuring trends in lung cancer, tobacco use, tobacco control. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008; 100(23):1672–1694. [PubMed: 19033571]
- Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang S-C, et al. Interaction between tobacco and alcohol use and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009; 18(2):541–550. [PubMed: 19190158]
- Seitz HK, Cho CH. Contribution of alcohol and tobacco use in gastrointestinal cancer development. Methods Mol Biol. 2009; 472:217–241. [PubMed: 19107435]
- Blosnich JR, Jarrett T, Horn K. Racial and ethnic differences in current use of cigarettes, cigars, and hookahs among lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults. Nicotine Tob Res. 2011; 13(6):487– 491. [PubMed: 21330283]

- Easton A, Jackson K, Mowery P, Comeau D, Sell R. Adolescent same-sex and both-sex romantic attractions and relationships: implications for smoking. Am J Public Health. 2008; 98(3):462–467. [PubMed: 18235075]
- 16. Homma Y, Chen W, Poon CS, Saewyc EM. Substance use and sexual orientation among East and Southeast Asian adolescents in Canada. J Child Adolesc Subst Abuse. 2012; 21(1):32–50. [PubMed: 22485070]
- Lee JG, Griffin GK, Melvin CL. Tobacco use among sexual minorities in the USA, 1987 to May 2007: a systematic review. Tob Control. 2009; 18(4):275–282. [PubMed: 19208668]
- Marshal MP, Friedman MS, Stall R, Thompson AL. Individual trajectories of substance use in lesbian, gay and bisexual youth and heterosexual youth. Addiction. 2009; 104(6):974–981. [PubMed: 19344440]
- McCabe SE, Hughes TL, Bostwick WB, West BT, Boyd CJ. Sexual orientation, substance use behaviors and substance dependence in the United States. Addiction. 2009; 104(8):1333–1345. [PubMed: 19438839]
- Talley AE, Sher KJ, Littlefield AK. Sexual orientation and substance use trajectories in emerging adulthood. Addiction. 2010; 105(7):1235–1245. [PubMed: 20491728]
- 21. Rosario M, Corliss HL, Everett BG, et al. Sexual orientation disparities in cancer-related risk behaviors of tobacco, alcohol, sexual behaviors, and diet and physical activity: pooled Youth Risk Behavior Surveys. Am J Public Health. 2014; 104(2):245–254. [PubMed: 24328632]
- Corliss HL, Wadler BM, Jun HJ, et al. Sexual-orientation disparities in cigarette smoking in a longitudinal cohort study of adolescents. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013; 15(1):213–222. [PubMed: 22581940]
- Corliss HL, Rosario M, Wypij D, Fisher LB, Austin SB. Sexual orientation disparities in longitudinal alcohol use patterns among adolescents: findings from the Growing Up Today Study. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008; 162(11):1071–1078. [PubMed: 18981356]
- Dermody SS, Marshal MP, Cheong JW, et al. Longitudinal disparities of hazardous drinking between sexual minority and heterosexual individuals from adolescence to young adulthood. J Youth Adolesc. 2014; 43(1):30–39. [PubMed: 23325141]
- Key TJ, Allen NE, Spencer EA, Travis RC. The effect of diet on risk of cancer. Lancet. 2002; 360(9336):861–868. [PubMed: 12243933]
- Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. N Engl J Med. 2003; 348(17):1625–1638. [PubMed: 12711737]
- 27. World Cancer Research Fund, American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: A Global Perspective. Washington, DC: American Institute for Cancer Research; 2007. Available at: http://www.dietandcancerreport.org/ expert_report/index.php [Accessed September 4, 2015]
- Boehmer U, Bowen DJ. Examining factors linked to overweight and obesity in women of different sexual orientations. Prev Med. 2009; 48(4):357–361. [PubMed: 19463478]
- 29. Boehmer U, Bowen DJ, Bauer GR. Overweight and obesity in sexual-minority women: evidence from population-based data. Am J Public Health. 2007; 97(6):1134–1140. [PubMed: 17463369]
- Brown JP, Tracy JK. Lesbians and cancer: an overlooked health disparity. Cancer Causes Control. 2008; 19(10):1009–1020. [PubMed: 18551371]
- Case P, Austin SB, Hunter DJ, et al. Sexual orientation, health risk factors, and physical functioning in the Nurses' Health Study II. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2004; 13(9):1033–1047. [PubMed: 15665660]
- Cochran SD, Mays VM, Bowen D, et al. Cancer-related risk indicators and preventive screening behaviors among lesbians and bisexual women. Am J Public Health. 2001; 91(4):591–597. [PubMed: 11291371]
- Conron KJ, Mimiaga MJ, Landers SJ. A population-based study of sexual orientation identity and gender differences in adult health. Am J Public Health. 2010; 100(10):1953–1960. [PubMed: 20516373]
- 34. Eliason MJ, Ingraham N, Fogel SC, et al. A systematic review of the literature on weight in sexual minority women. Womens Health Issues. 2015; 25(2):162–175. [PubMed: 25747521]

- Jun H-J, Corliss HL, Nichols LP, Pazaris MJ, Spiegelman D, Austin SB. Adult body mass index trajectories and sexual orientation: the Nurses' Health Study II. Am J Prev Med. 2012; 42(4):348– 354. [PubMed: 22424247]
- Austin SB, Ziyadeh NJ, Corliss HL, et al. Sexual orientation disparities in weight status in adolescence: findings from a prospective study. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2009; 17(9):1776–1782. [PubMed: 19300430]
- Mereish EH, Poteat VP. Let's get physical: sexual orientation disparities in physical activity, sports involvement, and obesity among a population-based sample of adolescents. Am J Public Health. 2015; 105(9):1842–1848. [PubMed: 26180946]
- 38. Guadamuz TE, Lim SH, Marshal MP, Friedman MS, Stall RD, Silvestre AJ. Sexual, behavioral, and quality of life characteristics of healthy weight, overweight, and obese gay and bisexual men: findings from a prospective cohort study. Arch Sex Behav. 2012; 41(2):385–389. [PubMed: 22038410]
- Brennan DJ, Ross LE, Dobinson C, Veldhuizen S, Steele LS. Men's sexual orientation and health in Canada. Can J Public Health. 2010; 101(3):255–258. [PubMed: 20737821]
- 40. Deputy NP, Boehmer U. Determinants of body weight among men of different sexual orientation. Prev Med. 2010; 51(2):129–131. [PubMed: 20510272]
- 41. Boehmer U, Miao X, Linkletter C, Clark MA. Adult health behaviors over the life course by sexual orientation. Am J Public Health. 2012; 102(2):292–300. [PubMed: 22390443]
- 42. Kaminski PL, Chapman BP, Haynes SD, Own L. Body image, eating behaviors, and attitudes toward exercise among gay and straight men. Eat Behav. 2005; 6(3):179–187. [PubMed: 15854864]
- 43. Calzo JP, Roberts AL, Corliss HL, Blood EA, Kroshus E, Austin SB. Physical activity disparities in heterosexual and sexual minority youth ages 12–22 years old: roles of childhood gender nonconformity and athletic self-esteem. Ann Behav Med. 2014; 47(1):17–27. [PubMed: 24347406]
- 44. French SA, Story M, Remafedi G, Resnick MD, Blum RW. Sexual orientation and prevalence of body dissatisfaction and eating disordered behaviors: a population-based study of adolescents. Int J Eat Disord. 1996; 19(2):119–126. [PubMed: 8932550]
- Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Resnick MD, Blum RW. Lessons learned about adolescent nutrition from the Minnesota Adolescent Health Survey. J Am Diet Assoc. 1998; 98(12):1449–1456. [PubMed: 9850116]
- 46. Feldman MB, Meyer IH. Eating disorders in diverse lesbian, gay, and bisexual population. Int J Eat Disord. 2007; 40(3):218–226. [PubMed: 17262818]
- 47. Austin SB, Ziyadeh NJ, Corliss HL, et al. Sexual orientation disparities in purging and binge eating from early to late adolescence. J Adolesc Health. 2009; 45(3):238–245. [PubMed: 19699419]
- Wichstrøm L. Sexual orientation as a risk factor for bulimic symptoms. Int J Eat Disord. 2006; 39(6):448–453. [PubMed: 16634052]
- Buyse S, Nohon S, Tuszynski T, Delas N. Bulimia nervosa as a risk factor for squamous cell carcinoma of the esophasgus? Am J Gastroenterol. 2003; 98(6):1442–1443. [PubMed: 12818296]
- 50. Navab F, Avunduk C, Gang D, Frankel K. Bulimia nervosa complicated by Barrett's esophagus and esophageal cancer. Gastrointest Endosc. 1996; 44(4):492–494. [PubMed: 8905379]
- 51. Shinohara ET, Swisher-McClure S, Husson M, Sun W, Metz JM. Esophageal cancer in a young woman with bulimia nervosa: a case report. J Med Case Rep. 2007; 1:160. [PubMed: 18047676]
- Colantonio S, Bracken MB, Beecker J. The association of indoor tanning and melanoma in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014 Epub ahead of print March 12, 2014.
- Dixon KM, Tongkao-On W, Segueira VB, et al. Vitamin D and death by sunshine. Int J Mol Sci. 2013; 14(1):1964–1977. [PubMed: 23334476]
- D'Orazio J, Jarrett S, Amaro-Ortiz A, Scott T. UV radiation and the skin. Int J Mol Sci. 2013; 14(6):12222–12248. [PubMed: 23749111]
- 55. Morganroth PA, Lim HW, Burnett CT. Ultraviolet radiation and the skin: an in-depth review. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2013; 7:168–181.

- Federman DG, Kirsner RS, Viola KV. Skin cancer screening and primary prevention: facts and controversies. Clin Dermatol. 2013; 31(6):666–670. [PubMed: 24160270]
- Iannacone MR, Hughes MCB, Green AC. Effects of sunscreen on skin cancer and photoaging. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed. 2014; 30(2–3):55–61. [PubMed: 24417448]
- Jennings L, Karia PS, Jambusaria-Pahlajani A, Whalen FM, Schmults CD. The Sun Exposure and Behavior Inventory (SEBI): validation of an instrument to assess sun exposure and sun protective practices. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2013; 27(6):706–715. [PubMed: 22487012]
- Falk M, Anderson CD. Influence of age, gender, educational level and self-estimation of skin type on sun exposure habits and readiness to increase sun protection. Cancer Epidemiol. 2013; 37(2): 127–132. [PubMed: 23295002]
- Holman DM, Watson M. Correlates of intentional tanning among adolescents in the United States: a systematic review of the literature. J Adolesc Health. 2013; 52(5 suppl):S52–S59. [PubMed: 23601612]
- 61. Merten JW, Higgins S, Rowan A, Pragle A. Sun safety knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors among beachgoing adolescents. Am J Health Educ. 2014; 45:37–41.
- Everett Jones S, O'Malley Olsen E, Michael SL, Saraiya M. Association of UV index and sunscreen use among White high school students in the United States. J Sch Health. 2013; 83(10): 750–756. [PubMed: 24020690]
- 63. Palefsky JM. Human papillomavirus-related disease in men: not just a women's issue. J Adolesc Health. 2010; 46(4 suppl):S12–S19. [PubMed: 20307839]
- 64. Kim JJ. Targeted human papillomavirus vaccination of men who have sex with men in the USA: a cost-effectiveness modeling analysis. Lancet. 2010; 10(12):845–852. [PubMed: 21051295]
- Perz JF, Armstrong GL, Farrington LA, Hutin YJF, Bell BP. The contributions of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infections to cirrhosis and primary liver cancer worldwide. J Hepatol. 2006; 45(4):529–538. [PubMed: 16879891]
- 66. Sundquist K, Sundquist J, Ji J. Risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and cancers at other sites among patients diagnosed with chronic hepatitis B virus infection in Sweden. J Med Virol. 2014; 86(1): 18–22. [PubMed: 24038002]
- 67. Baldwin SB, Wallace DR, Papenfuss MR, Abrahamsen M, Vaught LC. Condom use and other factors affecting penile human papillomavirus detection in men attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic. Sex Transm Dis. 2004; 31(10):601–607. [PubMed: 15388997]
- D'Souza G, Kreimer AR, Viscidi R, et al. Case–control study of human papillomavirus and oropharyngeal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356(19):1944–1956. [PubMed: 17494927]
- 69. Dunne EF, Unger ER, Sternberg M, et al. Prevalence of HPV infection among females in the United States. JAMA. 2007; 297(8):813–819. [PubMed: 17327523]
- Forhan SE, Gottlieb SL, Sternberg MR, et al. Prevalence of sexually transmitted infections among female adolescents aged 14 to 19 in the United States. Pediatrics. 2009; 124(6):1505–1512. [PubMed: 19933728]
- 71. Muñoz N, Castellsagué X, Berrington de González A, Gissman L. HPV in the etiology of human cancer. Vaccine. 2006; 24(suppl 3):S3/1–S3/10.
- 72. Staras SA, Cook RL, Clark DB. Sexual partner characteristics and sexually transmitted diseases among adolescents and young adults. Sex Transm Dis. 2009; 36(4):232–238. [PubMed: 19265739]
- 73. Svare EI, Kjaer SK, Worm AR, Østerlind A, Meijer CJLM, van den Brule AJC. Risk factors for genital HPV DNA in men resemble those found in women: a study of male attendees at a Danish STD clinic. Sex Transm Infect. 2002; 78(3):215–218. [PubMed: 12238658]
- Tarr ME, Gilliam ML. Sexually transmitted infections in adolescent women. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2008; 51(2):306–318. [PubMed: 18463461]
- 75. Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, et al. Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. J Pathol. 1999; 189(1):12–19. [PubMed: 10451482]
- 76. Winer RL, Hughes JP, Feng Q, et al. Condom use and the risk of genital human papillomavirus in young women. N Engl J Med. 2006; 354(25):2645–2654. [PubMed: 16790697]
- Goldstein ST, Alter MJ, Williams IT, et al. Incidence and risk factors for acute hepatitis B in the United States, 1982–1998: implications for vaccination programs. J Infect Dis. 2002; 185(6):713– 719. [PubMed: 11920288]

- 78. Nyitray AG, Carvalho da Silva RJ, Baggio ML, et al. Age-specific prevalence of and risk factors for anal human papillomavirus (HPV) among men who have sex with women and men who have sex with men: the HPV in Men (HIM) Study. J Infect Dis. 2011; 203(1):49–57. [PubMed: 21148496]
- 79. Bauer GR, Jairam JA, Baidoobonso SM. Sexual health, risk behaviors, and substance use in heterosexual-identified women with female sex partners: 2002 National Survey of Family Growth. Sex Transm Dis. 2010; 37(9):531–537. [PubMed: 20502395]
- Charlton BM, Corliss HL, Missmer SA, et al. Reproductive health screening disparities and sexual orientation in a cohort study of U.S. adolescent and young adult females. J Adolesc Health. 2011; 49(5):505–510. [PubMed: 22018565]
- Everett BG. Sexual orientation disparities in sexually transmitted infections: examining the intersection between sexual identity and sexual behavior. Arch Sex Behav. 2013; 42(2):225–236. [PubMed: 22350122]
- Palefsky JM, Holley EA, Ralston ML, Jay N. Prevalence and risk factors for human papillomavirus infection of the anal canal in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive and HIV-negative homosexual men. J Infect Dis. 1998; 177(2):361–367. [PubMed: 9466522]
- Piketty C, Seligner-Leneman H, Grabar S, et al. Marked increase in the incidence of invasive anal cancer among HIV-infected patients despite treatment with combination antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2008; 22(10):1203–1211. [PubMed: 18525266]
- Grabowski MK, Gray RH, Serwadda D, et al. High-risk human papillomavirus viral load and persistence among heterosexual HIV-negative and HIV-positive men. Sex Transm Infect. 2014; 90(4):337–343. [PubMed: 24482488]
- Houlihan CF, Larke NL, Watson-Jones D, et al. Human papillomavirus infection and increased risk of HIV acquisition. A systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS. 2012; 26(17):2211–2222. [PubMed: 22874522]
- Kofoed K, Sand C, Forslund O, Madsen K. Prevalence of human papillomavirus in anal and oral sites among patients with genital warts. Acta Derm Venereol. 2014; 94(2):207–211. [PubMed: 24096893]
- Tavares MC, Lopes de Macêdo J, Ferreira de Lima Junior S, et al. *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection and human papillomavirus in women with cervical neoplasia in Pernambuco-Brazil. Mol Biol Rep. 2014; 41(2):865–874. [PubMed: 24395291]
- Field AE, Camargo CA Jr, Taylor CB, et al. Overweight, weight concerns, and bulimic behaviors among girls and boys. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 1999; 38(6):754–760. [PubMed: 10361795]
- Remafedi G, Resnick M, Blum R, Harris L. Demography of sexual orientation in adolescents. Pediatrics. 1992; 89(4 pt 2):714–721. [PubMed: 1557267]
- 90. Corliss HL, Rosario M, Wypij D, Wylie SA, Frazier AL, Austin SB. Sexual orientation and drug use in a longitudinal cohort study of U.S. adolescents. Addict Behav. 2010; 35(5):517–521. [PubMed: 20061091]
- Remafedi G, French S, Story M, Resnick MD, Blum R. The relationship between suicide risk and sexual orientation: results from a population-based study. Am J Public Health. 1998; 88(1):57–60. [PubMed: 9584034]
- Rosario M, Reisner SL, Corliss HL, Wypij D, Frazier AL, Austin SB. Disparities in depressive distress by sexual orientation in emerging adults: the roles of attachment and stress paradigms. Arch Sex Behav. 2014; 43(5):901–916. [PubMed: 23780518]
- Ott MQ, Corlis HL, Wypij D, Rosario M, Austin SB. Stability and change in self-reported sexual orientation identity in young people: application of mobility matrices. Arch Sex Behav. 2011; 40(3):519–532. [PubMed: 21125325]
- 94. Massachusetts Department of Education, Bureau of Student Development and Health. 1992 Youth Risk Behavior Survey. Malden, MA: Massachusetts Department of Education; 1993.
- 95. Pierce, JP.; Evans, N.; Farkas, AJ., et al. A report to the California Department of Health Services. San Francisco, CA: University of California San Francisco, Center for Tobacco Control Research and Education; 1994. Tobacco use in California: an evaluation of the Tobacco Control Program, 1989–1993.

- 96. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Accessed January 2014] About BMI for adults. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/index.html? s_cid=tw_ob064
- 97. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Accesssed April 14, 2012] About BMI for children and teens. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/children_bmi/ about_childrens_bmi.html
- Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, et al. 2000 CDC growth charts for the United States: methods and development. Vital Health Stat. 2002; 11(246):1–190.
- Kann L, Warren CW, Harris WA, et al. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 1995. MMWR CDC Surveill Summ. 1996; 45(SS-4):1–84. [PubMed: 8841032]
- 100. Peterson, K. Report from the Harvard School of Public Health to the Division of School and Adolescent Health at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Boston, MA: 1996. Validation of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS) questions on dietary intake and physical activity among adolescents in grades 9 through 12.
- 101. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Accessed January 2014] How much physical activity do you need?. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/everyone/guidelines/ index.html?s_cid=govD_dnpao_004
- Blashill AJ. Psychosocial correlates of frequent indoor tanning among adolescent boys. Body Image. 2013; 10(2):259–262. [PubMed: 23276832]
- 103. Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. Biometrika. 1986; 73:13–22.
- 104. Zeger SL, Liang KY, Albert PS. Models for longitudinal data: a generalized estimating equation approach. Biometrics. 1988; 44(4):1049–1060. [PubMed: 3233245]
- 105. Rosario M, Reisner SL, Corliss HL, Wypij D, Calzo J, Austin SB. Sexual-orientation disparities in substance use in emerging adults: a function of stress and attachment paradigms. Psychol Addict Behav. 2014; 28(3):790–804. [PubMed: 25134050]
- 106. Ariel A, Timor O. Hanging in the balance: endogenous and anti-inflammatory mechanisms in tissue repair and fibrosis. J Pathol. 2013; 229(2):250–263. [PubMed: 23007838]
- 107. Garg AD, Kaczmarek A, Krysko O, Vandenabeele P, Krysko DV, Agostinis P. ER stress-induced inflammation: does it aid or impede disease progression? Trends Mol Med. 2012; 18(10):589– 598. [PubMed: 22883813]
- 108. Hasselbalch HC. Chronic inflammation as a promoter of mutagenesis in essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis: a human inflammation model for cancer development. Leuk Res. 2013; 37(2):214–220. [PubMed: 23174192]
- 109. Anand P, Kunnumakara AB, Sundaram C, et al. Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. Pharm Res. 2008; 25(9):2097–2116. [errata in *Pharm Res.* 2008;25(9): 2200]. [PubMed: 18626751]
- 110. Lindley LL, Walesmann KM, Carter JW II. The association of sexual orientation measures with young adults' health-related outcomes. Am J Public Health. 2012; 102(6):1177–1185. [PubMed: 22021310]
- 111. Rosario M, Corliss HL, Everett BG, Russell ST, Buchting FO, Birkett MA. Mediation by peer victimization of sexual orientation disparities in cancer-related tobacco, alcohol, and sexual risk behaviors: pooled Youth Risk Behavior Surveys. Am J Public Health. 2014; 104(6):1113–1123. [PubMed: 24825215]
- 112. Rosario M, Schrimshaw EW, Hunter J, Gwadz M. Gay-related stress and emotional distress among gay, lesbian, and bisexual youths: a longitudinal examination. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2002; 70(4):967–975. [PubMed: 12182280]
- 113. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychol Bull. 2003; 129(5):674–697. [PubMed: 12956539]
- 114. Balsam KF, Rothblum ED, Beauchaine TP. Victimization over the life span: a comparison of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and heterosexual siblings. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2005; 73(3):477–487. [PubMed: 15982145]

- 115. Jorm AF, Korten AE, Rodgers B, Jacomb PA, Christensen H. Sexual orientation and mental health: results from a community survey of young and middle-aged adults. Br J Psychiatry. 2002; 180:423–427. [PubMed: 11983639]
- 116. Kuyper L, Fokkema T. Minority stress and mental health among Dutch LGBs: examination of differences between sex and sexual orientation. J Couns Psychol. 2011; 58(2):222–233.
 [PubMed: 21401219]
- 117. Brewster KL, Tillman KH. Sexual orientation and substance use among adolescents and young adults. Am J Public Health. 2012; 102(6):1168–1176. [PubMed: 22021322]
- 118. Needham BL. Sexual attraction and trajectories of mental health and substance use during the transition from adolescence to adulthood. J Youth Adolesc. 2012; 41:179–190. [PubMed: 22076077]
- 119. Schneider S, Krämer H. Who uses sunbeds? A systematic literature review of risk groups in developed countries. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2010; 24(6):639–648. [PubMed: 20015180]
- 120. Reilly A, Rudd NA. Sun, salon, and cosmetic tanning: predictors and motives: predictors and motives. Proceeding of World Acadmey of Science, Engineering and Technology. 2009; 40:391– 397.

Author Manuscript

Prevalence of Ever Engaging Frequently in Cancer-Related Risk Behaviors by Sexual Orientation in the Growing Up Today Study (N=19958), United States, 1999–2010

Variable	LG (n = 181), %	BI (n = 160), %	MH (n = 1206), %	MH CH (n = 1206), % (n = 8411), %	Total (n = 9958), %
Overall high cancer risk (2 of 4 risk composite categories)	32.6***	28.8 ^{**}	27.9***	19.0	20.5
[ems]High substance use (2 of 3 risk behaviors)	28.7*	33.8 ^{***}	28.5 ^{***}	20.6	21.9
[ems]High diet and physical activity (2 of 3 risk behaviors)	18.3	27.5***	23.3***	15.9	17.0
[ems]High ultraviolet radiation (2 of 4 risk behaviors)	48.9**	28.7*	34.7*	38.4	38.0
Ever sexually transmitted infection	13.5	20.1^{**}	19.5^{***}	10.7	12.0
Substance use risks, past year					
[ems]Cigarettes (5 daily)	18.2^{**}	27.5***	21.0^{***}	10.2	11.9
[ems]Ever other tobacco use (i.e., smoking cigars or chewing tobacco)	22.1	26.9 ^{**}	21.6	19.0	19.5
[ems]Binge drinking (6 times)	65.2	62.5	67.4***	57.8	59.2
Diet and physical activity risks					
[ems]Overweight or obese BMI ^d	44.2	51.9	44.4	46.4	46.2
[ems]Vomited for weight control (1 per month in past year)	6.2	11.9^{***}	10.2^{***}	4.8	5.6
[ems]Physically inactive in past year	35.8	43.8***	37.5***	29.3	30.6
Ultraviolet radiation risks, past summer, except tanning booth					
[ems]Sun exposed (sometimes, often, or always)	88.6	78.6*	83.6	85.2	85.0
[ems]Sunburned (5 times)	21.0	22.5	22.6	24.0	23.7
[ems]Used sunblock (never or seldom)	18.2^{**}	9.4	9.8	11.5	11.4
[ems]Used tanning booth (10 times per year)	23.3	19.4^{*}	26.1	27.6	27.2

Am J Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 May 01.

3 assessments (1999, 2005, and 2007). Data for individual risk behaviors, including sexually transmitted infection, were collected over 7 or fewer assessments. Adjustments were made for sibling clusters in longitudinal assessments (1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010); for ultraviolet radiation over 6 assessments (1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, and 2007); and for sexually transmitted infection over Prevalence of frequently engaging in the risk behavior in any 1 of the longitudinal assessments is presented. Data for the composites of substance use and diet and physical activity were collected over 7

 a BMI was computed according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention algorithms.^{95–98}

the analyses.

Rosario et al.



Page 17

TABLE 2

Prevalence of Ever Engaging Frequently in Cancer-Related Risk Behaviors by Sex and Sexual Orientation in the Growing Up Today Study (N=19958), United States, 1999–2010

Rosario et al.

Variable		Young Wo	Young Women, % or Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)			Young N	Young Men, % or Mean (SD)	ean (SD)	
	Lesbian (n = 80)	BI (n = 136)	MH (n = 961)	CH (n = 4984)	Total (n = 6161)	Gay (n = 101)	BI (n = 24)	MH (n = 245)	CH (n = 3427)	Total (n = 3797)
Overall high cancer risks (2 of 4 categories)	35.0***	31.6^{***}	28.5***	18.9	20.9	30.7**	12.5	25.3*	19.2	19.9
[ems]High substance use (2 of 3 risks)	27.5**	35.3^{***}	28.1 ^{***}	14.2	17.0	29.7	25.0	30.2	29.9	29.9
[ems]High diet and physical activity ($ 2 \text{ of } 3 \text{ risks})$	15.0	27.9***	23.4***	16.0	17.4	21.0	25.0	22.9**	15.8	16.4
[ems]High ultraviolet radiation (2 of 4 risks)	47.5	29.2^{**}	35.9 ^{***}	42.6	41.3	50.0^{***}	26.1	30.3	32.8	33.1
Ever sexually transmitted infection	12.2	23.7**	22.8 ^{***}	14.8	16.2	14.4^{***}	0.0	5.8	4.4	4.7
Substance use risks, in past year										
[ems]Cigarettes (smoked 5 daily)	17.5*	29.4 ^{***}	21.1	8.6	11.1	18.8	16.7	20.4 ^{***}	12.5	13.2
[ems]Ever other tobacco use	18.8	27.2***	20.6 ^{***}	12.1	13.9	24.8	25.0	25.3	29.0	28.6
[ems]Binge drinking (6 times)	61.3	61.8	67.7***	53.5	56.0	68.3	66.7	66.1	64.0	64.2
Diet and physical activity risks										
[ems]Overweight or obese BMI ^d	42.5	49.3*	42.4	39.4	40.1	45.5*	66.7	52.2	56.6	56.0
[ems]Vomited (1 monthly in past year)	8.8	13.2^{*}	12.4***	7.4	8.4	4.1^{**}	4.2	1.2	0.9	1.0
[ems]Physically inactive in past year	31.7	44.9***	36.4***	29.8	31.2	39.2^{*}	37.5	41.8***	28.5	29.7
Ultraviolet radiation risks, past summer, except tanning booth										
[ems]Sun exposed (sometimes, often, or always)	85.7	76.5*	82.6	83.7	83.4	6.06	91.3	87.6	87.4	87.6
[ems]Sunburned (5 times)	25.0	23.5	23.0	25.3	24.9	17.8	16.7	20.9	22.1	21.9
[ems]Use sunblock (never or seldom)	15.0^{*}	9.6	8.3	7.4	7.7	20.8	8.3	15.6	17.6	17.5
[ems]Used tanning booth (10 times per year)	22.5**	21.3^{***}	31.3***	41.6	39.3	24.0 ^{***}	8.3	5.7	7.2	7.5
Demographic characteristics in 1999										
Age in years	14.9 (1.6)	14.7 (1.5)	14.6 (1.6)	14.7 (1.6)	14.6 (1.6)	14.7 (1.7)	14.0 (1.4)	14.5 (1.7)	14.5 (1.6)	14.5 (1.6)
Ethnic/racial minority background	3.8	7.4	5.8*	4.4	4.6	6.9	0.0	7.4	4.2	4.5

Author Manuscript

2005, and 2007). Data for individual risk behaviors, including sexually transmitted infection, were collected over 7 or fewer assessments. Other tobacco use refers to smoking cigars or chewing tobacco. (1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010); for ultraviolet radiation over 6 assessments (1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, and 2007); and for sexually transmitted infection over 3 assessments (1999, Adjustments were made for sibling clusters in the analyses.

 a BMI was computed according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention algorithms.^{95–98}

 $^{*}_{P|<|.05;}$

 $^{**}_{P|<|.01;}$

*** P|<|.001.

TABLE 3

Repeated Measures of Sexual-Orientation Disparities in Frequent Engagement Over Time in Cancer-Related Risk Behaviors Stratified by Sex in the Growing Up Today Study, United States, 1999-2010

Rosario et al.

Variable	Youn	Young Women, OR (95% CI)	% CI)	Your	Young Men, OR (95% CI)	6 CI)	Sex by Sexual Orientation
	Lesbian (n = 80)	BI (n = 136)	MH (n = 961)	Gay (n = 101)	BI (n = 24)	MH (n = 245)	Interaction, P
Overall high cancer risks ($ 2 \text{ of } 3 \text{ categories})^{a}$	$2.0^{**}(1.2, 3.3)$	$1.9^{**}(1.3, 2.8)$	$1.6^{***}(1.3, 1.9)$	1.1 (0.6, 1.8)	1.3 (0.6, 2.7)	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)	.047
[ems]High substance use (2 of 3 risks)	$2.3^{**}(1.3, 4.2)$	$2.6^{***}(1.7, 3.9)$	$2.4^{***}(2.0, 2.9)$	1.1 (0.7, 2.0)	$0.8\ (0.3,1.8)$	1.0 (0.7, 1.3)	<.001
[ems]High diet and physical activity ($ 2 \text{ of } 3 \text{ risks})$	1.5 (0.9, 2.3)	$2.0^{***}(1.5, 2.8)$	$1.3^{***}(1.1, 1.5)$	1.5 (1.0, 2.2)	2.2* (1.2, 4.2)	1.1 (0.9, 1.5)	.757
[ems]High ultraviolet (2 of 4 risks)	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	$0.9^{**}(0.8, 1.0)$	1.2 (1.0, 1.6)	1.2 (0.7, 2.2)	1.0 (0.8, 1.2)	.135
Substance use risks, in past year							
[ems]Cigarettes (smoked 5 daily)	$2.3^{*}(1.2, 4.5)$	$3.9^{***}(2.5, 6.0)$	$2.6^{***}(2.2, 3.3)$	$1.5\ (0.8,2.8)$	$1.4 \ (0.4, 4.7)$	$1.6^{**}(1.2, 2.3)$.017
[ems]Other tobacco use	1.3 (0.7, 2.3)	$3.1^{***}(2.1, 4.5)$	$2.0^{***}(1.6, 2.4)$	0.7~(0.4, 1.1)	0.7~(0.3, 1.4)	0.8 (0.6, 1.2)	<.001
[ems]Binge drinking (6 times)	1.2 (0.9, 1.7)	1.2 (1.0, 1.6)	$1.5^{***}(1.3, 1.7)$	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)	1.1 (0.5, 2.6)	0.9 (0.7, 1.1)	<.001
Diet and physical activity risks							
[ems]Overweight or obese BMI ^b	1.0 (0.6, 1.9)	$1.8^{**}(1.2, 2.6)$	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)	$0.6\ (0.3,1.0)$	$1.8\ (0.6, 5.5)$	$0.8\ (0.6,1.1)$.275
[ems]Vomited (1 monthly per year)	1.3 (0.6, 2.9)	1.6 (0.9, 2.8)	$1.7^{***}(1.3, 2.3)$	$3.2^{*}(1.1, 9.4)$	4.4 (0.6, 29.7)	1.2 (0.3, 4.2)	.345
[ems]Physically inactive in past year	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)	$1.6^{**}(1.2, 2.1)$	$1.3^{***}(1.2, 1.5)$	$1.7^{**}(1.2, 2.4)$	1.9 (1.0, 3.4)	$1.6^{***}(1.2, 2.0)$.105
Ultraviolet radiation risks, past summer, except tanning booth	-						
[ems]Sun exposed (sometimes, often, always)	1.0 (0.7, 1.4)	$0.8\ (0.6,1.0)$	$1.0\ (0.9,\ 1.1)$	0.9 (0.7, 1.3)	$1.0\ (0.5,\ 2.0)$	$0.9\ (0.8,1.1)$.647
[ems]Sunburned (5 times)	1.1 (0.7, 1.9)	1.1 (0.7, 1.7)	$0.9\ (0.8,1.1)$	$0.8\ (0.5,1.3)$	0.6 (0.2, 1.4)	$1.0\ (0.7,\ 1.3)$.272
[ems]Used sunblock (never or seldom)	1.3 (0.9, 1.7)	$1.0\ (0.8,\ 1.3)$	$1.0\ (0.9,\ 1.1)$	$1.1 \ (0.8, 1.5)$	1.2 (0.6, 2.3)	$1.0\ (0.8,\ 1.2)$.602
[ems]Used tanning booth (10 per year)	$0.4^{***}(0.3, 0.7)$	$0.4^{***}(0.3, 0.6)$	$0.6^{***}(0.6, 0.7)$	$4.7^{***}(3.0, 7.4)$	1.3(0.4, 4.9)	$0.6\ (0.3,\ 1.1)$	<.001
Ever sexually transmitted infection	$0.8 \ (0.4, 1.6)$	$1.8^{**}(1.2, 2.7)$	$1.7^{***}(1.5, 2.1)$	$3.5^{***}(2.0, 6.4)$	<i>c</i>	1.4 (0.7, 2.4)	.012 ^b

Am J Public Health. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 May 01.

^aSexually transmitted infection is not included, given its measurement: ever had a sexually transmitted infection at each of its 3 assessments. The findings for sexually transmitted infection appear in the last

2000), other tobacco use (1999, 2000, and 2001), physically inactive (1999, 2001, and 2005), sun exposed (2001, 2003, 2005, and 2007), sunburned and use of sunblock (1999, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005, and

2007), use of tanning booth (1999, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, and 2010, although 2010 data were not used), and sexually transmitted infection (1999, 2005, and 2007). Adjustments were made for age in

1999, ethnicity/race, and sibling clusters.

row.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

 b BMI was computed according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention algorithms.95-98

^C male bisexuals reported a sexually transmitted infection. Thus, male bisexuals were excluded from the analyses because of model nonconvergence.

 $^{*}_{P|<|.05;}$

P|<|.01;

*** P|<|.001.