

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

J Neurosci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 May 13.

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosci. 2008 May 7; 28(19): 4957–4966. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5398-07.2008.

Presynaptic Melanocortin-4 receptors on vagal afferent fibers modulate the excitability of rat nucleus tractus solitarius neurons

ShuXia Wan[@],

Key Laboratory of Allergy and Immune-related Diseases-Department of Physiology, School of Basic Medical Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430071, Hubei, P.R.China

Kirsteen N Browning[@]

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

F. Holly Coleman,

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

Gregory Sutton,

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

Hiyuan Zheng,

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

Andrew Butler,

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

Hans-Rudolf Berthoud, and

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

R. Alberto Travagli^{*}

Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA

Abstract

The nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) integrates visceral sensory signals with information from the forebrain to control homeostatic functions, including food intake. Melanocortin 3/4 receptor (MC3/4R) ligands administered directly to the caudal brainstem powerfully modulate meal size but not frequency, suggesting the enhancement of visceral satiety signals. Using whole cell recordings from rat brainstem slices we examined the effects of melanocortin ligands, α -MSH and MTII, on excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSC) in NTS neurons. Thirty-two percent of NTS neurons responded to perfusion with MTII or α -MSH with either an increase (24%) or a decrease (8%) in the frequency, but not amplitude, of spontaneous EPSCs; the effects of MTII were abolished by pretreatment with SHU9119. Following surgical vagal deafferentation, only 4 out of 34 (9%) NTS

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. R. Alberto Travagli, Neuroscience, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Louisiana State University System, 6400 Perkins Rd., Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA, Tel +1-225-763-2720, FAX +1-225-763-0260, E-mail:

alberto.travagli@pbrc.edu. [@]Kirsteen N Browning and Shuxia Wan contributed equally to the work.

neurons responded to MTII with an increase in EPSC frequency. When EPSCs were evoked by electrical stimulation of the tractus solitarius in Krebs' with 2.4mM Ca⁺⁺ _e, α -MSH and MTII increased the amplitude in 6 of the 28 neurons tested, decreased amplitude in 14 with no effect in the remaining 8 neurons. In 4 of 6 neurons unresponsive to MTII, decreasing Ca⁺⁺ _e levels to 1.5mM uncovered an excitatory effect of MTII on EPSC amplitude. RT-PCR analysis revealed the presence of MC4R, but not MC3R, in nodose ganglia. These results show that MC4R-signalling leads mainly to presynaptic modulation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission and suggest that melanocortinergic-induced decrease of food intake may occur via enhancement of vagal afferent satiation signals from the gastrointestinal tract.

Keywords

brainstem; vagus; electrophysiology; α-MSH; MTII; melanocortin

INTRODUCTION

Food intake is the product of meal size and frequency, and is an important determinant of overall energy balance since hyperphagia is thought to play a significant role in the current obesity epidemic. Humans typically eat a small number of specified meals per day, therefore, controlling meal size is an effective strategy to limit total energy intake.

The caudal brainstem plays a pivotal role in controlling meal size as it receives direct neural and humoral feedback signals from the gut (Berthoud, 2004;Grill and Kaplan, 2002;Travagli et al., 2006). Satiation signals such as gastric distention or nutrients in the intestine reach the NTS via vagal afferent fibers or circulating hormones. NTS neurons respond, principally, with activation of glutamatergic vagal inputs (Baptista et al., 2005a;Berthoud et al., 2001;Emond et al., 2001;Fraser et al., 1995;Rinaman et al., 1998;Willing and Berthoud, 1997), resulting in the reduction of meal size (Covasa et al., 2004a;Gillespie et al., 2005;Treece et al., 2000;Zheng et al., 1999).

NTS neurons have reciprocal connections with higher CNS centers and integrate signals related to cognitive, emotional and rewarding influences. Combined with visceral-related information, this contributes to homeostasis in general and control of energy balance and body weight in particular.

A prominent integrative role in energy balance control is played by the central melanocortin system, which comprises hypothalamic and brainstem proopiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons (Appleyard et al., 2005;Cone, 2005;Palkovits et al., 1987). Release of α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α MSH) from terminals of POMC neurons modulates food intake and energy balance through activation of the melanocortin-3 and -4 receptors (MC3R and MC4R, respectively).

We have shown that a small percentage of hypothalamic POMC neurons project to the brainstem (Zheng et al., 2005), reinforcing the possibility that descending hypothalamic melanocortinergic projections play a role in the control of food intake via brainstem vagal circuits. Indeed, α MSH as well as MC3/4R have been detected in the brainstem (Bronstein et al., 1992;Joseph et al., 1985;Kishi et al., 2003;Liu et al., 2003;Palkovits et al., 1987). More recently, activation of brainstem MC4R has been shown to reduce meal size (Fan et al., 2004;Grill et al., 1998;Williams et al., 2000;Zheng et al., 2005) without affecting meal frequency (Zheng et al., 2005). Furthermore, the classical satiety hormone cholecystokinin (CCK) and the longer-lasting α MSH synthetic analog melanotan II (MTII) utilize the cAMP-ERK-CREB cascade in NTS circuits as a common pathway to integrate satiety signals from

the gut and adiposity signals from the hypothalamus in the control of meal size and food intake (Sutton et al., 2005). We have also shown that CCK modulates NTScentralis neurons via an increase of glutamatergic synaptic inputs (Baptista et al., 2005a;Baptista et al., 2007), an effect that occurs also in POMC neurons of the caudal NTS (Appleyard et al., 2005).

Despite increasing evidence of brainstem vagal circuits involvement in the satiety effects of the melanocortin system, the cellular actions of α MSH on NTS neurons have not been investigated. The aim of the present work was to explore the modulation of glutamatergic synapses impinging on NTS neurons by melanocortinergic agonists.

METHODS

Research reported in the present manuscript conforms fully to National Institute of Health guidelines and was approved by the Pennington Biomedical Research Center-LSU System Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Electrophysiology

The methods of preparing the brainstem slices and the identification of NTS neurons have been described previously (Baptista et al., 2005b;Baptista et al., 2005a;Baptista et al., 2007). Briefly, 25-35 day old Sprague-Dawley rats of either sex were anesthetized with isoflurane (abolition of the foot pinch withdrawal reflex) before being killed by administration of a bilateral pneumothorax. After removal, the brainstem was glued to the platform of a vibratome, and three-4 coronal slices (300µm-thick) were cut starting from the caudal area postrema and moving rostrally. The slices were incubated at 30±1°C in Krebs' solution (in mM: 126 NaCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 MgCl₂, 2.4 CaCl₂, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, and 5 dextrose, maintained at pH 7.4 by bubbling with 95% O₂-5% CO₂) bubbled with 95% O₂/5% CO₂ for 60–90 minutes before use. A single slice was then transferred to a perfusion chamber (volume 500µl; Michigan Precision Instruments, Parma, MI), kept in place with a nylon mesh and maintained at 35±1° C by perfusion with warmed Krebs' solution at a rate of 2.5–3.0ml.min⁻¹. Recordings were made with patch pipettes (6–8M Ω resistance) filled with a potassium gluconate solution (in mM: K gluconate 128, KCl 10, CaCl₂ 0.3, MgCl₂ 1, Hepes 10, EGTA 1, ATP 2, GTP 0.25. Adjusted to pH 7.35 with KOH) by using either an Axopatch 200B or an Axopatch 1D amplifier (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Liquid junction potential was compensated at the beginning of the experiment. Recordings were accepted only if the series resistance was < $20M\Omega$. The Krebs' solution contained 50μ M picrotoxin to isolate glutamatergic currents pharmacologically and recordings were conducted at HP=-60mV, i.e. close to E_{Cl}. We have shown previously that the currents recorded under these conditions comprise glutamatergic ionotropic currents only (Baptista et al., 2005b;Baptista et al., 2005a).

Whole cell recordings of spontaneous and miniature glutamatergic excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSC) were conducted on NTS neurons located dorsal to the vagal motoneurons and medial to the tractus solitarius at levels spanning from the posterior tip of the area postrema to approximately 0.5mm rostral to its anterior portion.

In a group of experiments, a bipolar tungsten electrode was placed in the tractus solitarius and paired pulses of DC current (up to 0.2ms long separated by 20–50ms, 0.1Hz) were delivered while recording evoked EPSCs from NTS neurons. In another group of animals, the tractus solitarius was stimulated contralateral to the recording site. In these experiments we used parameters of current intensity and duration one order of magnitude larger that those necessary to evoke maximal EPSC amplitude in ipsilateral NTS neurons. In a final series of experiments, the extracellular Ca⁺⁺ concentration was reduced from 2.4mM to 1.5mM. These experiments were performed with the intent of decreasing the release probability and thus facilitating the observation of agonist-induced excitatory effects.

Data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz, digitized via a Digidata 1322 interface (Axon Instr.), acquired with a PC utilizing pClamp9 software (Axon Instr.) and analyzed with Mini Analysis software (Jaejin Software, Leonia, NJ).

Drugs were applied to the bath *via* a series of manually operated valves. Neurons were allowed to recover fully between additions of agonists (minimum wash-out period of 10min). Cells were classified as responsive if perfusion with α MSH or MTII (both at 500nM) modified the frequency of spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic currents (sEPSC) by a minimum of 50% from baseline (measured as the average frequency during 1min of recording in control conditions *vs* 30s of recording centered around peak drug response) or the amplitude of evoked EPSC (eEPSC) by a minimum of 10% of control. Holding currents were measured in all the neurons in which there was at least a 5s-long trace without confounding spontaneous or miniature events. MTII or α MSH were considered as having postsynaptic effects if there was a agonist-induced current of at least 10pA that returned to baseline upon wash-out.

Vagal deafferentation

Surgical vagal deafferentation was achieved by sectioning the vagal afferent nerve rootlets (supranodose afferent rhizotomy) in 6 rats using a technique described previously (Baptista et al., 2007; Browning et al., 2006). Rats were anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of a mixture of ketamine/xylazine/acepromazine (80-1.6-5 mg/ml/kg⁻¹ respectively) and placed in a stereotaxic frame. Following a dorso-lateral incision at the level of the occipital bone, muscle tissue was blunt dissected to expose the occipital bone and the first cervical vertebra; following a gentle trimming of the rostral portion of the occipital condyle, the three supranodose vagal dorsal afferent rootlets can be visualized beneath the latero-caudal portion of the occipital plate. Once visualized, the supranodose dorsal rootlets on one of the vagal trunks were sectioned under microscopic guidance using a 27 gauge surgical needle. The complete resection of the rootlets was assessed and confirmed routinely by the person assisting the surgery; three of these rats were used to provide anatomical proof of the successful rhizotomy. In these rats, 4 days after vagal deafferentation, the nodose ganglia were exposed by blunt dissection of the neck muscles. The sheath surrounding the nodose ganglion was incised to allow insertion of a glass micropipette containing a 5% solution of rhodamine dextrane (lysine fixable, MW 3000) and pressure pulses were applied to inject $\sim 0.1 \mu l$ of the dye. The pipette was withdrawn, the area blotted dry and the cervical incision closed with 4-0 suture. Three-5 days later, the rats were anesthetized deeply (isoflurane 5%) and perfused transcardially with saline followed by Zamboni's fixative. The brainstem was extracted, postfixed in Zamboni's fixative overnight at 4°C, washed out and sliced at 40µm thickness. Every third slice was placed onto gelatincoated coverslips and mounted with Fluoromount® (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL) to reduce fading. Confocal microscopic images were collected by using a Zeiss 510 confocal scanning laser microscope equipped with a Kr/Ar-ion laser and filters for the selective visualization of rhodamine. Two Z-sections separated by 5µm were taken (final magnification, x100) and their projections merged. Overlapping panels of the entire DVC area were digitally enhanced and montaged using ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop® software.

Electrophysiological recordings were made 4–7 days after surgical rhizotomy in the 3 remaining rats. Three further rats were anesthetized, the neck muscles blunt dissected and a complete vagotomy was conducted by removing a 2–3mm portion of the cervical vagus. Again, electrophysiological experiments were conducted 4–7 days after the surgeries. The data obtained from these groups of rats were pooled since no qualitative nor quantitative differences were observed in the rats that underwent either deafferentation or complete unilateral vagotomy.

Morphological reconstructions and immunocytochemistry

At the conclusion of electrophysiological recording, the position of the neuron was noted before Neurobiotin® was injected by passing positive current pulses into the NTS neuron (1s duration, 0.5Hz for 20min); the brainstem slice was then fixed overnight at 4°C in Zamboni's solution (in mM: 1.6 % (w/v) paraformaldehyde, 19 KH₂PO₄ and 100 Na₂HPO₄ in 240 ml saturated picric acid-1600 ml H₂O; adjusted to pH 7.4 with HCl). The fixative was cleared from the slice with multiple washes of phosphate-buffered solution (PBS; in mM: 115 NaCl, 75 Na₂HPO₄.7H₂O, 7.5 KH₂PO₄ and 0.15% Triton-X and 0.1% bovine serum albumin) and the injected neurobiotin® visualized using a cobalt-nickel enhancement of the Avidin Dhorseradish peroxidase (Avidin D-HRP; 0.05% diaminobenzidine in PBS containing 0.5% gelatin supplemented with 0.025% CoCl₂ and 0.02 % NiNH₄SO₄.) technique as described previously (Baptista et al., 2005);Browning et al., 1999;Browning et al., 2005;Martinez-Pena y Valenzuela et al., 2004;Wan et al., 2007).

Neurolucida® software (Microbrightfield Inc., Williston, VT, USA) was used to make 3dimensional reconstructions of the individual Neurobiotin®-labeled neurons, digitized at a final magnification of x600. Data analysis was performed as described previously (Baptista et al., 2005b;Browning et al., 1999;Browning et al., 2005;Martinez-Pena y Valenzuela et al., 2004;Wan et al., 2007). Micrograph of representative neurons were taken using a Spot Insight® camera and software (Sterling Heights, MI) connected to a Nikon E400 microscope; the micrographs contrast and brightness were digitally enhanced with Adobe® software.

In another set of neurons, following injection of Neurobiotin® and overnight fixation in Zamboni's solution, the slice was cleared of fixative by washing it repeatedly in PBS before overnight incubation at room temperature in PBS containing Triton-X100 and bovine serum albumin (PBS-TX-BSA) containing mouse anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (1:10,000). Slices were then washed in PBS-TX and incubated for 2 h at 37°C with PBS-TX-BSA containing secondary antibodies (goat anti-mouse conjugated with Alexa 488–FITC 1:500 to visualise TH staining, and streptavidin–Texas Red 1:100 to visualize the Neurobiotin®-filled neuron). The slices were then mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL) to reduce fading and analyzed for immunofluorescence using a Zeiss 510 confocal scanning laser microscope equipped with a Kr/Ar-ion laser.

RT-PCR

Melanocortin receptor primers were designed using Primer3 software (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MD). We used the following sequences: MC2R, forward: 5'gtcccccgtgtactttttca-3', reverse: 5'-gagcactgtggggatatggt-3'; MC3R, forward: 5'-tctatgccctccgttaccac-3', reverse: 5'-agatgcagtaggggttggtg-3'; MC4R, forward: 5'-gcgcaattaccttgaccatt-3', reverse: 5'-tcaggttgtgcctgagtgac-3'; MC5R, forward: 5'-cagcctcttggagaacatcc -3', reverse: 5'-cgtcatgatgtggtggtagc-3'. The sequence alignments were validated for specificity using BLAST and indicated 100% identity between the sequence and MC2R, MC3R, MC4R and MC5R of the rat (Genebank accession numbers NC_005117, NM_0010252703, NM_013099, NM_013182, respectively).

For validation of receptor expression, RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNEasy isolation kits (Qiagen Science, MD) on tissues from six frozen rat liver, brainstem, hypothalamus, and nodose ganglion. cDNA was derived using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Biorad, Hercules, CA). Melanocortin receptor PCR was conducted using PCR High Fidelity Supermix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) along with 10mM forward and reverse primers and 1µg templace cDNA.

PCR amplification was conducted at the following temperatures and times: 95° for 2min; 94° for 30s, 60° for 30s, 72° for 30s for 35 cycles; 72° for 5min. Ethidium bromide ($5\mu g/mg$) was

added to the PCR products, which were then run on a 2% agarose gel. Primers were designed to yield a 300 to 400 base pairs product.

Drugs and chemicals

Tetrodotoxin was purchased from Alomone labs (Jerusalem, Israel). Tyrosine hydroxylase antibodies were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA); Alexa 488-conjugated goat- α -mouse IgG was purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR); streptavidin-texas red was purchased from Vector labs (Burlingame, CA). Melanotan II (MTII), SHU9119, α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α MSH) were purchased from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Beamont, CA). All other salts were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions (1000X concentration) were aliquoted and stored at -20° C and diluted to the final concentration in Krebs' solution just before use.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as means \pm S.E.M. with significance defined as P < 0.05. Results were compared before and after drug administration, with each neuron serving as its own control (Student's paired *t* test). Intergroup comparisons were conducted using the Student's grouped *t* test or the χ^2 test.

RESULTS

Electrophysiological recordings were made from 200 NTS neurons obtained from 56 rats; in 28 of these neurons morphological reconstructions were obtained from both the soma and the dendritic arborization, whereas in a further 62 neurons the morphology of the soma shape only was reconstructed. Eighteen neurons were also tested for the presence of tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactivity.

αMSH and MTII modulate glutamatergic spontaneous currents in a subpopulation of NTS neurons

When recorded at a holding potential (HP) of -60mV, spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSC) had a frequency of 1.6 ± 0.24 events s⁻¹, an amplitude of 35 ± 2.6 pA, a 20–80% rise time of 0.39 ±0.08 ms and a 90-37% decay time of 2.6 ± 0.44 ms (N=9; data not shown). Pretreatment with either kynurenic acid (1mM) or a solution containing CNQX and APV (10 and 30μ M, respectively) abolished the events indicating that the sEPSC were glutamatergic (Baptista et al., 2005a).

In 11 of 31 NTS neurons (i.e. 35%), perfusion with α MSH (500nM) increased the frequency of sEPSC from 1.1±0.23 to 2.7±0.59 events s⁻¹, i.e. 233±21.6% of control. Event frequency returned to baseline, i.e. 1.1±0.28 events s⁻¹, upon wash-out. In these same neurons, perfusion with α MSH did not affect the amplitude of the events, i.e. 36±6.9pA and 42±3pA in control and in α -MSH, respectively (P>0.05). In 2 of 31 neurons (i.e. 6.5%), perfusion with α MSH (500nM) decreased the frequency of sEPSC from 1.8 to 0.87 and from 2.1 to 0.1 events s⁻¹, respectively. Upon wash-out of α MSH, events frequency recovered to 1.7 and 0.6 events s⁻¹, respectively. In the same 2 neurons, perfusion with α MSH did not affect the amplitude of the events, i.e. 37.5±4.6pA and 36±9pA in control and in α MSH, respectively. The remaining 18 neurons were unresponsive to perfusion with α MSH.

In 18 of 95 NTS neurons (i.e 19.5%), perfusion with MTII (500nM) increased the frequency of sEPSC from 1.8 ± 0.4 to 4.9 ± 1.1 events s⁻¹, i.e. $352\pm61\%$ of control. Event frequency returned to baseline, i.e. 1.6 ± 0.2 events s⁻¹, upon wash-out. In the same neurons, perfusion with MTII did not affect the amplitude of the events, i.e. $35\pm3.4pA$ in control and $38\pm1.4pA$ in control and in MTII, respectively (P>0.05)(Figure 1). In 5 neurons (i.e. 6%), perfusion with

MTII (500nM) decreased the frequency of sEPSC from 2.2 ± 0.51 to 0.75 ± 0.25 events s⁻¹. The event frequency recovered to 1.56 ± 0.14 events s⁻¹ upon wash out. In the same neurons, perfusion with MTII did not affect the amplitude of the events, i.e. 30 ± 7.2 to 32 ± 11.9 pA in control and in MTII, respectively (data not shown). The remaining neurons were unresponsive to perfusion with MTII. Since the response to α -MSH and MTII were qualitatively and quantitatively similar (P>0.05 for each parameter), we pooled together the data on events frequency while still indicating the number of repetitions for each peptide. The low occurrence of inhibitory responses to either peptide prevented us from further analysis.

The holding current was monitored in 43 neurons, 13 of which responded to MTII or α MSH with an increase and 5 with a decrease in sEPSC frequency. The remaining 25 neurons were unresponsive. In 3 of the 4 neurons that showed a postsynaptic, effect perfusion with melanocortinergic agonists induced a 18±2pA inward current, while in one neuron there was a 16pA outward current. The remaining 30 neurons did not show any evidence of a postsynaptic current.

The response to application with α MSH (N=3) or MTII (N=7) did not show tachyphylaxis since two perfusions in the same neuron 15 minutes apart gave similar results. In fact, the first perfusion increased the sEPSC frequency from 2.56±0.61 at baseline to a peak of 6.6 ±1.62events s⁻¹ (i.e. 297±40.8% of control), while the second perfusion increased the frequency of sEPSC from a baseline of 2.3±0.87 to a peak of 4.5±1.27 events s⁻¹ (i.e. 233 ±29.7% of control; P>0.05 vs first perfusion; data not shown).

Perfusion with α MSH or MTII modulated the sEPSC frequency but not amplitude, suggesting a possible presynaptic effect of the peptides. To confirm a presynaptic effect, we conducted the experiments in conditions of synaptic blockade, i.e. we measured miniature EPSC (mEPSC) in the presence of 1µM tetrodotoxin (TTX), since if peptide perfusion still modulates mEPSC frequency but not amplitude, we can reasonably conclude that the effects of MTII are presynaptic.

In 4 NTS neurons, perfusion with MTII increased the sEPSC frequency from 1.1±0.23 to 3.6 ±0.45 events s⁻¹ (P<0.05) but did not alter sEPSC amplitude (from 30±3.7 to 36±4.7pA in control and MTII, respectively; P>0.05). Following wash-out of the peptide and 15 minutes perfusion with 1µM TTX, the frequency of mEPSC was 0.35±0.01 events s⁻¹ and their amplitude was 26±6.1pA; re-application of MTII in the continued presence of TTX increased mEPSC frequency to 3.6±1.32 events s⁻¹ (P<0.05) but did not affect mEPSC amplitude (36±9.7pA; P>0.05; Figure 2).

Furthermore, the 20–80% rise and 90-37% decay time of the EPSC was not affected by perfusion with either MTII or α MSH (0.37±0.06 and 2.5±0.32ms; N=9; P>0.05 vs control; data not shown). These data indicate that, in our experimental conditions, the effects of the peptides are determined by an action at presynaptic receptors located on terminals impinging on NTS neurons.

In 10 NTS neurons, perfusion with α MSH or MTII (N=5 for both) increased the sEPSC frequency from 1.2±0.38 to 2.8±0.78 events s⁻¹ (i.e. 223±20.8% of control; P<0.05); following wash-out and 15 minutes perfusion with a Krebs' solution containing the non-selective MCR3/4 antagonist SHU9119 (1µM), sEPSC frequency was 0.86±0.21 events s⁻¹ (P>0.05 vs control). In the continued presence of SHU9119, re-application of α MSH or MTII did not alter sEPSC frequency (0.83±0.24 events s⁻¹) (P>0.05 vs SHU alone; figure 2). These data indicate that the excitatory response to the peptides was mediated by interaction with presynaptic MC3/4R.

Since the major source of glutamatergic input to neurons of the NTS originates from vagal afferent fibers (Andresen and Kunze, 1994;Baptista et al., 2005b;Champagnat et al., 1986;Hornby, 2001;Jean, 2001;Mifflin and Felder, 1990;Smith et al., 1998;Travagli et al., 2006), we hypothesized that the modulation of sEPSC by α MSH and MTII may target vagal afferent (sensory) terminals preferentially. We thus conducted a series of experiments in animals in which the vagal afferent terminals were surgically removed.

The effects of MTII on sEPSCs were tested in 34 neurons from 6 rats that underwent vagal deafferentation or vagotomy 4–7 days previously. There were no qualitative or quantitative differences in the neurons from these two sets of rats, the data were thus pooled. In 4 neurons, perfusion with MTII (500nM) increased the frequency of sEPSC from 1.4 ± 0.46 to 3.8 ± 1.15 events s⁻¹ (P<0.05); in the remaining 30 neurons, however, MTII did not induce any modulation of sEPSC frequency (Figure 3).

To further prove the efficacy of the vagal deafferentation procedures, we injected the tracer rhodamine dextrane bilaterally in the nodose ganglia of a group of three rats that underwent unilateral deafferentation. Rhodamine dextrane labeled fibers were absent in the NTS ipsilateral to the lesioned site but fibers were present in the contralateral NTS (i.e. the intact side; figure 3). Furthermore, electrical stimulation of the tractus solitarius contralateral to the recording site using current duration and intensities up to one order of magnitude larger than those necessary to evoke maximal EPSC amplitude did not evoke EPSC in cNTS (N=6; not shown). These data confirm the complete deafferentation procedures and indicate that the main site of action of MTII is at the level of vagal afferent terminals impinging on NTS neurons, although other neuronal circuits must be considered.

αMSH and MTII modulate evoked glutamatergic currents in a subpopulation of NTS neurons

In another series of experiments while recording from NTS neurons, we tested the ability of α MSH and MTII to modulate the EPSC (eEPSC) evoked by stimulation of the tractus solitarius. In the 4 neurons in which we tested both peptides, the results were qualitatively and quantitatively similar; we thus pooled together the data while still indicating the number of repetitions for each peptide.

In 6 of 28 neurons (i.e. 21%), perfusion with either α MSH (N=2) or MTII (N=4; both at 500nM) increased the amplitude of the eEPSC from 404±80.2 to 514±110.6pA (i.e. 125±2.3% of control; P<0.05), the eEPSC amplitude recovered to baseline upon wash out. The paired pulse ratio, whose variation is indicative of a possible presynaptic site of action (Browning et al., 2004;Meir et al., 1999;Travagli and Williams, 1996), decreased from 0.61±0.05 to 0.49±0.08 in control and in MTII, respectively (N=4; P<0.05) The increase in eEPSC amplitude was concentration-dependent (5–500nM) and it was antagonized by pretreatment with the MC3/4R non-selective antagonist SHU9119 (1µM; N=4) (figure 4).

In 14 of 28 neurons (i.e. 50%), however, perfusion with either α MSH (N=4) or MTII (N=10; both at 500nM) decreased the amplitude of the eEPSC from 501±51.8 to 380±43.2pA (i.e. 74 ±3.4% of control; P<0.05), the eEPSC amplitude recovered to baseline upon wash out. Even in this instance, the paired pulse ratio varied from 0.72±0.07 to 0.90±0.08 in control and MTII, respectively (N=14; P<0.05) The decrease in eEPSC amplitude was concentration-dependent and it was antagonized by pretreatment with SHU9119 (N=7) (figure 4).

In a group of neurons in which perfusion with 500nM MTII did not have any effect on the amplitude of the eEPSC (i.e. 415 ± 79 and 418 ± 70 pA in control and after MTII, respectively; N=6; P>0.05), we lowered the extracellular Ca⁺⁺ concentration from 2.4 to 1.5mM. In these conditions, the baseline amplitude of the eEPSC was reduced to 269±40pA and perfusion with 500nM MTII increased it to 350±43pA in 4 of the 6 neurons (P<0.05). These data suggests

that 1)* the responses to melanocortinegic agonists are qualitatively similar when considering s, m or eEPSC; but, 2)* raises the possibility that the percentage of neurons in which melanocortinegic agonists augment eEPSC amplitude may be underestimated during basal recording conditions.

MTII-responsive NTS neurons vary widely in somatic and dendritic morphology

Of the 73 NTS neurons in which we were able to reconstruct the soma shape, 15 (21%) responded to either MTII or α MSH with an increase (N=12) or a decrease (N=3) of sEPSC frequency; 7 of those 15 neurons had a bipolar soma shape, while the remaining 8 neurons had a multipolar soma shape. Conversely, of the 57 NTS neurons unresponsive to MTII or α MSH, 25 had a bipolar soma shape, while the other 32 neurons had a multipolar soma shape (P>0.05 vs responsive neurons, χ^2 test)(figure 5).

Regardless of the response to MTII or α MSH (or lack thereof) and the relative location within the rostro-caudal extent of the NTS, none of the neurons tested were positive for tyrosine hydroxylase immunoreactivity (N=18; Figure 6). Taken together, these data indicate that NTS neurons responsive to melanocortin agonists do not have distinguishing morphological features.

Vagal afferent neurons in the nodose ganglia express MC4 but not MC3 receptors

mRNA for MC4R, but not MC3R, was detected in both the nodose ganglia and the portion of the dorsal brainstem comprising the NTS; conversely mRNA for both MC3R and MC4R was detected in the hypothalamus but not in the liver (figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Behavioral and anatomical studies in rodents suggest that melanocortinergic signaling at the level of the caudal brainstem plays an important role in homeostatic regulatory pathways, including those controlling satiation. We used electrophysiological and biochemical methods to elucidate the potential cellular mechanisms. We show that: 1)* melanocortinergic signaling leads to a mainly presynaptic modulation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission; 2)* MC4R expressed on the vagal nerve terminals can facilitate or inhibit glutamatergic currents in NTS; 3)* activation of MC4R on non-vagal nerve terminals facilitates glutamatergic currents in NTS; and, 4)* MC4R but not MC3R are expressed in the nodose ganglia and caudal brainstem.

We suggest that α MSH may modulate homeostatic functions, including satiation, by the presynaptic modulation of vagal glutamatergic inputs to NTS neurons. Our conclusions are supported by the following experimental evidence.

MC4R modulation of glutamatergic synaptic transmission is mainly presynaptic

αMSH, or its stable analogue MTII, increases the frequency of spontaneous and miniature glutamatergic EPSCs in NTS neurons, leaving their amplitude, rise and decay kinetics unchanged, suggestive of a presynaptic site of action (Katz, 1962). Further support for a presynaptic site of action is given by the variation in the paired pulse ratio of the amplitude of evoked currents (Browning et al., 2004;Meir et al., 1999;Travagli and Williams, 1996).

The responses to melanocortinergic agonists (MTII or α MSH) indicates the involvement of either MC3R or MC4R (Alexander et al., 2007). Immunocytochemical, in situ hybridization and binding studies have identified both MC3R and MC4R in the DVC and their role food satiation has been suggested by behavioral studies (Bronstein et al., 1992;Fan et al., 2004;Grill et al., 1998;Joseph et al., 1985;Kishi et al., 2003;Liu et al., 2003;Palkovits et al., 1987;Williams et al., 2000;Zheng et al., 2005). While our pharmacological experiments could not differentiate

between MC3R and MC4R, our RT-PCR results reveal that mRNA for only MC4R is present in the nodose ganglia and in the dorsal caudal brainstem area suggesting the effects of melanocortin agonists occur via MC4R only.

MC4R expressed on central terminals of vagal afferent fibers can attenuate or enhance glutamatergic currents in NTS

A smaller proportion of neurons responded to melanocortin agonists with an increase in eEPSC amplitude than with an excitation of sEPSC frequency. Experimentally, it is easier to observe an increase in sEPSC (or mEPSC) frequency because of the relatively low rate of frequency. In contrast, recording eEPSC in high calcium makes facilitatory responses difficult to observe because of the high probability of glutamate release onto NTS neurons under basal Ca⁺⁺ e. Recently, Bailey and colleagues demonstrated that the probability of release from vagal terminals onto cardiovascular NTSmedialis neurons is ~0.9 when bathed in 2mM Ca⁺⁺ e (Bailey et al., 2006b). Since fibers impinging onto NTScentralis neurons may have similar release characteristics, the effects of MTII were tested when the probability of release was reduced. In these conditions, indeed, a larger percentage of neurons responded with an increasd eEPSC following MC4R activation. A conservative interpretation of our results suggests that the percentage of NTS neurons in which melanocortinegic agonists augment eEPSC amplitude may be underestimated during basal recording conditions.

The different ratio of eEPSC excitation/inhibition compared to sEPSC may also be due to activation of multiple synaptic inputs by electrical stimulation. Although submaximal electrical stimulation of the tractus solitarius was used, the anatomical organization of the coronally-sectioned caudal brainstem makes it likely that multiple fibers were recruited, unlike the horizontal brainstem preparation that restricts the stimulation to solitary fibers (Bailey et al., 2002;Bailey et al., 2006a;Doyle and Andresen, 2001;Jin et al., 2004). Non-vagal fibers of passage may also be stimulated during our paradigm since several CNS areas that project to the NTS use glutamate as a neurotransmitter (Blessing, 1997;Lin et al., 2000;Schaffar et al., 1997)

MC4R expressed on non-vagal inputs to NTS neurons enhance glutamatergic currents exclusively

The MC4R activated in our experimental conditions appear to be located principally, but not exclusively, on vagal afferent fibers. In fact, in 'vagally-intact' preparations a larger percentage of NTS neurons respond to MC4R activation than in rats that underwent prior surgical vagal deafferentation. These data indicate that the main site of melanocortinergic modulation is at the level of the vagal afferent terminals impinging on NTS neurons, although the involvement of other glutamatergic circuits of non-vagal origin should be considered. In contrast to 'vagally-intact' rats, where limited number of NTS neurons responded to MC4R activation with a decrease in sEPSC, the response MC4R activation was exclusively excitatory in deafferented rats. These data indicate that while melanocortins exert homogeneous actions on non-vagal inputs, they exert heterogeneous actions on vagal glutamatergic synapses. One possible explanation for this may be that some recordings may have been made from NTS neurons that were not second order, i.e. from local interneurons.

The question then arises as to the source of the α MSH-containing fibers making synaptic contacts with glutamatergic fibers in the NTS. In the CNS, POMC neurons are restricted to the arcuate nucleus and NTScommissuralis (Appleyard et al., 2005;Bronstein et al., 1992;Gee et al., 1983;Palkovits et al., 1987). We have provided anatomical evidence recently that hypothalamic POMC axons project to the DVC (Zheng et al., 2005) and knife cut experiments suggested that most of the α MSH containing fibers in the rostral NTS are of hypothalamic origin (Palkovits et al., 1987). The vast arborization of NTS neurons, however, means that

release of α MSH from local POMC neurons may also contribute to the melanocortinergic modulation of the glutamate synapses on NTS. Indeed, POMC neurons in the caudal NTS are activated by intraperitoneal administration of the satiety hormone CCK, suggesting that these neurons may play a key role in the GI-mediated inhibitory feedback on food intake (Appleyard et al., 2005).

Implications for the control of food intake

Activation of brainstem melanocortin receptors inhibits food intake potently with a concomitant increase in energy expenditure (Fan et al., 2004;Grill et al., 1998;Williams et al., 2000;Zheng et al., 2005). Here, we have provided a possible cellular mechanism, i.e. a melanocortin-mediated increase in glutamate transmission to NTS neurons.

Vagal afferent fibers use glutamate as their main transmitter onto NTS neurons (Andresen and Yang, 1990;Baptista et al., 2005b;Jean, 2001;Smith et al., 1998;Travagli et al., 2006) and intraperitoneal administration of satiety agents (e.g. CCK, GLP-1 or CART) increases c-Fos expression in NTS (Arora and Anubhuti, 2006;Berthoud et al., 2006;Cano et al., 2003;Gulley et al., 2005;Rinaman et al., 1993;Sayegh and Ritter, 2000;Zheng et al., 2002b;Zittel et al., 1999), including the POMC neurons of the caudal NTS (Appleyard et al., 2005). This c-Fos expression is likely due to increased glutamate release since administration of glutamatergic antagonists decreases satiety (Covasa et al., 2004a;Covasa et al., 2004b;Zheng et al., 1999;Zheng et al., 2002a). Furthermore, perfusion with anorexigenic hormones, such as CCK, increase vagal glutamatergic inputs to NTS (Baptista et al., 2005;Simasko and Ritter, 2003). Our data are consistent with the idea that brainstem melanocortins suppress food intake by enhancing vagal satiation signals.

Melanocortins were also observed to *decrease* glutamatergic transmission to a subpopulation of NTS neurons. Since increased glutamatergic inputs to NTS neurons signals is generally assumed to signal satiety, it is not clear how this melanocortin-induced inhibition could result in satiation. The NTS receives a vast array of visceral sensory inputs, however, including cardiac and baroreceptor afferents. Hence some of the neurons recorded may have been part of cardiac or baroreceptor circuits (Andresen and Kunze, 1994). Melanocortins influence cardiovascular circuits (Versteeg et al., 1998) and the correlation between obesity and high blood pressure suggest that melanocortinergic neurotransmission within NTS provides a link between energy and cardiovascular homeostatic circuits (Appleyard et al., 2005).

An alternative explanation for these apparently contradictory melanocortin effects correlates meal satiation to decreased gastrointestinal motility. Preganglionic neurons of the DMV use two distinct postganglionic vagal effector pathways to control gastrointestinal motility - a tonic excitatory cholinergic pathway and an inhibitory non-adrenergic non-cholinergic (NANC) pathway. DMV neurons receive robust GABAergic inputs from NTS neurons (Travagli et al., 2006) and gastric distention can result from reduced activation of these GABAergic inputs onto DMV neurons within the NANC pathway. Disinhibition of these preganglionic neurons (through melanocortin-induced inhibition of NTS neurons) may increase NANC-activity, resulting in gastric relaxation, decreased motility and satiety.

The NTS comprises a vast array of neurons with different neurochemical and morphological properties (Blessing, 1997;Deuchars et al., 2000;Paton et al., 2000;Travagli et al., 2006;Zhang et al., 1995). Recently, Bailey and colleagues correlated morphological and electrophysiological properties of NTS neurons with their projections to either the hypothalamus or the CVLM area (Bailey et al., 2006a). In the present work we did not observe any distinctive identifier for melanocortin-responsive or unresponsive NTS neurons, perhaps indicating the involvement of melanocortins in more than one function controlled by NTS brainstem circuits.

In summary, activation of MC4R modulates glutamatergic inputs to subpopulation of NTS neurons, via mainly excitatory actions on presynaptic MC4R on vagal and non-vagal fibers. We would like to propose that one of the mechanisms used by α MSH to suppress food intake is via presynaptic modulation of the glutamatergic synapse impinging on those NTS neurons involved in mechanisms of satiation.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH grants #DK 55530 and 47348. We thank Cesare M. Travagli and W. Nairn Browning for support and encouragement.

Reference List

Alexander SP, Mathie A, Peters JA. 7TM Receptors. Br J Pharmacol 2007;150:S4-S81.

- Andresen MC, Kunze DL. Nucleus tractus solitarius--gateway to neural circulatory control. Annu Rev Physiol 1994;56:93–116. [PubMed: 7912060]
- Andresen MC, Yang M. Non-NMDA receptors mediate sensory afferent synaptic transmission in medial nucleus tractus solitarius. Am J Physiol 1990;259:H1307–H1311. [PubMed: 1977326]
- Appleyard SM, Bailey TW, Doyle MW, Jin YH, Smart JL, Low MJ, Andresen MC. Proopiomelanocortin neurons in nucleus tractus solitarius are activated by visceral afferents: regulation by cholecystokinin and opioids. J Neurosci 2005;25:3578–3585. [PubMed: 15814788]
- Arora S, Anubhuti. Role of neuropeptides in appetite regulation and obesity--a review. Neuropeptides 2006;40:375–401. [PubMed: 16935329]
- Bailey TW, Hermes SM, Andresen MC, Aicher SA. Cranial visceral afferent pathways through the nucleus of the solitary tract to caudal ventrolateral medulla or paraventricular hypothalamus: targetspecific synaptic reliability and convergence patterns. J Neurosci 2006a;26:11893–11902. [PubMed: 17108163]
- Bailey TW, Jin YH, Doyle MW, Andresen MC. Vanilloid-sensitive afferents activate neurons with prominent A-type potassium currents in nucleus tractus solitarius. J Neurosci 2002;22:8230–8237. [PubMed: 12223577]
- Bailey TW, Jin YH, Doyle MW, Smith SM, Andresen MC. Vasopressin inhibits glutamate release via two distinct modes in the brainstem. J Neurosci 2006b;26:6131–6142. [PubMed: 16763021]
- Baptista V, Browning KN, Travagli RA. Effects of cholecystokinin-8s in the nucleus tractus solitarius of vagally deafferented rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2007;292:R1092–R1100. [PubMed: 17122331]
- Baptista V, Zheng Z, Coleman FH, Rogers RC, Travagli RA. Cholecystokinin octapeptide increases spontaneous glutamatergic synaptic transmission to neurons of the nucleus tractus solitarius centralis. J Neurophysiol 2005a;94:2763–2771. [PubMed: 16093341]
- Baptista V, Zheng ZL, Coleman FH, Rogers RC, Travagli RA. Characterization of neurons of the nucleus tractus solitarius pars centralis. Brain Research 2005b;1052:139–146. [PubMed: 16005442]
- Berthoud, HR. The Caudal Brainstem and the Control of Food Intake and Energy Balance. In: Stricker, E.; Woods, S., editors. Neurobiology of Food and Fluid Intake, Vol. 14 of Handbook of Behavioral Neurobiology. New York: Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publishers; 2004. p. 195-240.
- Berthoud HR, Earle T, Zheng H, Patterson LM, Phifer C. Food-related gastrointestinal signals activate caudal brainstem neurons expressing both NMDA and AMPA receptors. Brain Res 2001;915:143– 154. [PubMed: 11595203]
- Berthoud HR, Sutton GM, Townsend RL, Patterson LM, Zheng H. Brainstem mechanisms integrating gut-derived satiety signals and descending forebrain information in the control of meal size. Physiol Behav 2006;89:517–524. [PubMed: 16996546]

Blessing, WW. The lower brainstem and bodily homeostasis. Oxford University Press; 1997.

Bronstein DM, Schafer MK, Watson SJ, Akil H. Evidence that beta-endorphin is synthesized in cells in the nucleus tractus solitarius: detection of POMC mRNA. Brain Res 1992;587:269–275. [PubMed: 1525660]

- Browning KN, Coleman FH, Travagli RA. Characterization of Pancreas-Projecting Rat Dorsal Motor Nucleus Of The Vagus Neurons. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2005;288:G950–G955. [PubMed: 15637183]
- Browning KN, Kalyuzhny AE, Travagli RA. Mu-opioid receptor trafficking on inhibitory synapses in the rat brainstem. J Neurosci 2004;24:9344–9352.
- Browning KN, Renehan WE, Travagli RA. Electrophysiological and morphological heterogeneity of rat dorsal vagal neurones which project to specific areas of the gastrointestinal tract. J Physiol 1999;517:521–532. [PubMed: 10332099]
- Browning KN, Zheng Z, Gettys TW, Travagli RA. Vagal afferent control of opioidergic effects in rat brainstem circuits. J Physiol 2006;575:761–776. [PubMed: 16825311]
- Cano V, Caicoya E, Ruiz-Gayo M. Effect of peripheral cholecystokinin receptor agonists on c-Fos expression in brain sites mediating food consumption in rats. Neurosci Lett 2003;343:13–16. [PubMed: 12749986]
- Champagnat J, Denavit-Saubie M, Grant K, Shen KF. Organization of synaptic transmission in the mammalian solitary complex, studied in vitro. J Physiol 1986;381:551–573. [PubMed: 3040963]
- Cone RD. Anatomy and regulation of the central melanocortin system 1. Nat Neurosci 2005;8:571–578. [PubMed: 15856065]
- Covasa M, Hung CY, Ritter RC, Burns GA. Intracerebroventricular administration of MK-801 increases food intake through mechanisms independent of gastric emptying. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2004a;287:R1462–R1467. [PubMed: 15358605]
- Covasa M, Ritter RC, Burns GA. NMDA receptor blockade attenuates CCK-induced reduction of real feeding but not sham feeding. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2004b;286:R826–R831. [PubMed: 14726428]
- Deuchars J, Li YW, Kasparov S, Paton JFR. Morphological and electrophysiological properties of neurones in the dorsal vagal complex of the rat activated by arterial baroreceptors. J Comp Neurol 2000;417:233–249. [PubMed: 10660900]
- Doyle MW, Andresen MC. Reliability of monosynaptic sensory transmission in brain stem neurons in vitro. J Neurophysiol 2001;85:2213–2223. [PubMed: 11353036]
- Emond M, Schwartz GJ, Moran TH. Meal-related stimuli differentially induce c-Fos activation in the nucleus of the solitary tract. Am J Physiol Regulatory Integrative Comp Physiol 2001;280:R1315– R1321.
- Fan W, Ellacott KL, Halatchev IG, Takahashi K, Yu P, Cone RD. Cholecystokinin-mediated suppression of feeding involves the brainstem melanocortin system. Nat Neurosci 2004;7:335–336. [PubMed: 15034587]
- Fraser KA, Raizada E, Davison JS. Oral-pharyngeal-esophageal and gastric cues contribute to mealinduced c-fos expression. Am J Physiol 1995;268:R223–R230. [PubMed: 7840325]
- Gee CE, Chen CL, Roberts JL, Thompson R, Watson SJ. Identification of proopiomelanocortin neurones in rat hypothalamus by in situ cDNA-mRNA hybridization. Nature 1983;306:374–376. [PubMed: 6316153]
- Gillespie BR, Burns GA, Ritter RC. NMDA Channels Control Meal Size via Central Vagal Afferent Terminals. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2005
- Grill HJ, Ginsberg AB, Seeley RJ, Kaplan JM. Brainstem application of melanocortin receptor ligands produces long-lasting effects on feeding and body weight. J Neurosci 1998;18:10128–10135. [PubMed: 9822766]
- Grill HJ, Kaplan JM. The neuroanatomical axis for control of energy balance. Front Neuroendocrinol 2002;23:2–40. [PubMed: 11906202]
- Gulley S, Sharma SK, Moran TH, Sayegh AI. Cholecystokinin-8 increases Fos-like immunoreactivity in the brainstem and myenteric neurons of rats through CCK1 receptors. Peptides 2005;26:1617–1622. [PubMed: 16112401]
- Hornby PJ. Receptors and transmission in the brain-gut axis. II Excitatory amino acid receptors in the brain-gut axis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2001;280:G1055–G1060. [PubMed: 11352796]
- Jean A. Brainstem control of swallowing: neuronal network and cellular mechanisms. Physiol Rev 2001;81:929–969. [PubMed: 11274347]

- Jin YH, Bailey TW, Li BY, Schild JH, Andresen MC. Purinergic and vanilloid receptor activation releases glutamate from separate cranial afferent terminals in nucleus tractus solitarius. J Neurosci 2004;24:4709–4717. [PubMed: 15152030]
- Joseph SA, Pilcher WH, Knigge KM. Anatomy of the corticotropin-releasing factor and opiomelanocortin systems of the brain. Fed Proc 1985;44:100–107. [PubMed: 2981728]
- Katz B. The transmission of impulses from nerve to muscle, and the subcellular unit of synaptic action. Proc R Soc (London) 1962;155:455–477.
- Kishi T, Aschkenasi CJ, Lee CE, Mountjoy KG, Saper CB, Elmquist JK. Expression of melanocortin 4 receptor mRNA in the central nervous system of the rat. J Comp Neurol 2003;457:213–235. [PubMed: 12541307]
- Lin L-H, Emson P, Talman WT. Apposition of neuronal elements containing nitric oxide synthase and glutamate in the nucleus of the tractus solitarii of rat: confocal microscopic analsis. Neurosci 2000;96:341–350.
- Liu H, Kishi T, Roseberry AG, Cai X, Lee CE, Montez JM, Friedman JM, Elmquist JK. Transgenic mice expressing green fluorescent protein under the control of the melanocortin-4 receptor promoter. J Neurosci 2003;23:7143–7154. [PubMed: 12904474]
- Martinez-Pena, y; Valenzuela, IM.; Browning, KN.; Travagli, RA. Morphological differences between planes of section do not influence the electrophysiological properties of identified rat dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus neurons. Brain Res 2004;1003:54–60. [PubMed: 15019563]
- Meir A, Ginsburg S, Butkevich A, Kachalsky SG, Kaiserman I, Ahdut R, Demirgoren S, Rahamimoff R. Ion channels in presynaptic nerve terminals and control of transmitter release. Physiol Rev 1999;79:1019–1088. [PubMed: 10390521]
- Mifflin SW, Felder RB. Synaptic mechanisms regulating cardiovascular afferent inputs to solitary tract nucleus. Am J Physiol (Heart Circ Physiol) 1990;28:H653–H661.
- Palkovits M, Mezey E, Eskay RL. Pro-opiomelanocortin-derived peptides (ACTH/beta-endorphin/alpha-MSH) in brainstem baroreceptor areas of the rat. Brain Res 1987;436:323–338. [PubMed: 2829991]
- Paton JFR, Li Y-W, Deuchars J, Kasparov S. Properties of solitary tract neurons receiving inputs from the sub-diaphragmatic vagus nerve. Neurosci 2000;95:141–153.
- Rinaman L, Baker EA, Hoffman GE, Stricker EM, Verbalis JG. Medullary c-Fos activation in rats after ingestion of a satiating meal. Am J Physiol 1998;275:R262–R268. [PubMed: 9688987]
- Rinaman L, Verbalis JG, Stricker EM, Hoffman GE. Distribution and neurochemical phenotypes of caudal medullary neurons activated to express cFos following peripheral administration of cholecystokinin. J Comp Neurol 1993;338:475–490. [PubMed: 8132858]
- Sayegh AI, Ritter RC. Vagus nerve participates in CCK-induced Fos expression in hind brain but not myenteric plexus. Brain Res 2000;878:155–162. [PubMed: 10996146]
- Schaffar N, Rao H, Kessler JP, Jean A. Immunohistochemical detection of glutamate in rat vagal sensory neurons. Brain Res 1997;778:302–308. [PubMed: 9459547]
- Simasko SM, Ritter RC. Cholecystokinin activates both A- and C-type vagal afferent neurons. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2003;285:G1204–G1213. [PubMed: 12946940]
- Smith BN, Dou P, Barber WD, Dudek FE. Vagally evoked synaptic currents in the immature rat nucleus tractus solitarii in an intact in vitro preparation. J Physiol 1998;512:149–162. [PubMed: 9729625]
- Sutton GM, Duos B, Patterson LM, Berthoud HR. Melanocortinergic modulation of cholecystokinininduced suppression of feeding through extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling in rat solitary nucleus. Endocrinology 2005;146:3739–3747. [PubMed: 15961554]
- Travagli RA, Hermann GE, Browning KN, Rogers RC. Brainstem Circuits Regulating Gastric Function. Annu Rev Physiol 2006;68:279–305. [PubMed: 16460274]
- Travagli RA, Williams JT. Endogenous monoamines inhibit glutamate transmission in the spinal trigeminal nucleus of the guinea pig. J Physiol 1996;491:177–185. [PubMed: 9011609]
- Treece BR, Ritter RC, Burns GA. Lesions of the dorsal vagal complex abolish increases in meal size induced by NMDA receptor blockade. Brain Res 2000;872:37–43. [PubMed: 10924673]
- Versteeg DH, Van BP, Adan RA, De Wildt DJ. Melanocortins and cardiovascular regulation. Eur J Pharmacol 1998;360:1–14. [PubMed: 9845266]

- Wan S, Coleman FH, Travagli RA. Glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) excites pancreas-projecting preganglionic vagal motoneurons. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2007;292:G1474–G1482. [PubMed: 17322063]
- Williams DL, Kaplan JM, Grill HJ. The role of the dorsal vagal complex and the vagus nerve in feeding effects of melanocortin-3/4 receptor stimulation. Endocrinology 2000;141:1332–1337. [PubMed: 10746636]
- Willing AE, Berthoud HR. Gastric distension-induced c-fos expression in catecholaminergic neurons of rat dorsal vagal complex. Am J Physiol 1997;272:R59–R67. [PubMed: 9038991]
- Zhang X, Fogel R, Renehan WE. Relationships between the morphology and function of gastric- and intestine-sensitive neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract. J Comp Neurol 1995;363:37–52. [PubMed: 8682936]
- Zheng H, Kelly L, Patterson LM, Berthoud HR. Effect of brainstem NMDA-receptor blockade by MK-801 on behavioral and Fos responses to vagal satiety signals. Am J Physiol Regulatory Integrative Comp Physiol 1999;277:R1104–R1111.
- Zheng H, Patterson C, Berthoud HR. Behavioral analysis of anorexia produced by hindbrain injections of AMPA receptor antagonist NBQX in rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2002a; 282:R147–R155. [PubMed: 11742833]
- Zheng H, Patterson LM, Berthoud HR. CART in the dorsal vagal complex: sources of immunoreactivity and effects on Fos expression and food intake. Brain Res 2002b;957:298–310. [PubMed: 12445972]
- Zheng H, Patterson LM, Phifer CB, Berthoud HR. Brain stem melanocortinergic modulation of meal size and identification of hypothalamic POMC projections. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2005;289:R247–R258. [PubMed: 15746303]
- Zittel TT, Glatzle J, Kreis ME, Starlinger M, Eichner M, Raybould HE, Becker HD, Jehle EC. C-fos protein expression in the nucleus of the solitary tract correlates with cholecystokinin dose injected and food intake in rats. Brain Res 1999;846:1–11. [PubMed: 10536208]



Figure 1. Perfusion with MTII increases the frequency but not the amplitude of glutamatergic spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSC) $\ensuremath{\mathsf{sepSCs}}$

A. Representative current traces showing that perfusion with 500nM MTII (middle) increased the frequency of sEPSC; the increased was reversible upon wash out. The four lower traces represent portions of the upper traces but on an expanded time scale. Holding potential=-60mV.

B. Frequency histograms for the same cell in *A*. Note that perfusion with MTII increases the frequency of sEPSC. Insert. Bar graphs showing the averaged results of frequency for the sEPSC in control, 500nM MTII and wash out.

C. Amplitude histograms for the same cell in *A*. Note that perfusion with MTII does not increase the amplitude of sEPSC. *E*. Bar graphs showing the averaged results of amplitude for the sEPSC in control, 500nM MTII and wash out.

Wan et al.



Figure 2. The presynaptic effects of MTII effects are prevented by pretreatment with SHU 9119 but not tetrodotoxin (TTX)

A. Summary graphic showing that the effects of MTII (500nM) on sEPSC frequency are prevented by pretreatment with the non-selective MCR3/4 receptor antagonist SHU 9119 (1 μ M). The effects of MTII are also present on mEPSC (i.e. in the presence of 1 μ M TTX). B. Summary graphic showing the lack of MTII effects on the amplitude of both sEPSC and mEPSC.



Figure 3. Perfusion with MTII excites NTS neurons from deafferented rats

A. Coronal section at an intermediate level of the vagal complex. Note the dense innervation of rodamine dextran labeled vagal afferent nerve terminals within the vagally intact brainstem side (right) compared with the complete absence of labeling on the deafferented side of the brainstem (left). Note also that vagal preganglionic motoneurons are labeled in both sides of the brainstem, indicating the selectivity of the surgical deafferentation procedure. AP= area postrema; DMV=dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus; NTS= nucleus tractus solitarius; TS= tractus solitarius.

B. Bar graph showing the percentage of MTII responsive cells in NTS in intact (white bars) and deafferented (solid bars) rats. Note that: 1)* the proportion of NTS neurons responsive to MTII is significantly lower in deafferented rats; and, 2)*, contrary to intact rats where the response to MTII or α -MSH was either excitatory or inhibitory, in deafferented rats the effect of MTII was exclusively excitatory.

Wan et al.



Figure 4. Effects of perfusion with α-MSH on the amplitude of evoked EPSC (eEPSC)

A. Original representative traces showing that in a NTS neuron voltage clamped at -60mV, the amplitude of the eEPSCs evoked by electrical stimulation of the adjacent tractus solitarius (TS), was reduced by perfusion with 500nM α MSH (left); pretreatment with 1 μ M SHU9119 prevented the inhibitory effects of α MSH (right). Similar results were obtained with MTII. B: Summary graphic showing that the inhibition of eEPSC amplitude by MTII was concentration-dependent (5–500nM) and it was prevented by pretreatment with SHU 9119. C. Original representative traces showing that in a different NTS neuron voltage clamped at -60mV, the amplitude of the eEPSCs evoked by electrical stimulation of the adjacent tractus solitarius (TS), was increased by perfusion with 500nM α MSH (left); pretreatment with 1 μ M SHU9119 prevented the facilitatory effects of α MSH (right). Similar results were obtained with MTII.

D: Summary graphic showing that the increase of eEPSC amplitude by MTII was concentration-dependent (5–500nM) and it was prevented by pretreatment with SHU 9119. The X-axis legend applies to both B and D.



Figure 5. Morphology and localization of NTS neurons responsive to MTII and/or aMSH A. Micrograph (upper panel) and computer-aided reconstruction (lower panel) of a representative NTS neuron with bipolar soma shape.

B. Micrograph (upper panel) and computer-aided reconstruction (lower panel) of a representative NTS neuron with multipolar soma shape.

C. Schematic diagram depicting the localization of NTS neurons according to their soma shape ((bipolar= square; multipolar= circle) and their response to perfusion with either MTII or α -MSH (inhibited= gray filled symbol; excited= black-squared symbol; non-responsive= white symbol) For the purpose of intelligibility all the responsive neurons are depicted on the right side of the scheme, while the non-responsive neurons are depicted on the left side of the scheme. TS= tractus solitarius; NTS= nucleus tractus solitarius; DMV= dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus; AP= area postrema; IV= fourth ventricle.



Figure 6. Post-recording immunohistochemical reconstruction of NTS neuron

Micrograph showing the post-recording immunohistochemical detection in a tyrosinehydroxylase (TH-IR; FITC filters, green) negative NTS neuron (TRITC filters, red) from the area depicted in inset (a). None of the 18 neurons reconstructed were TH-IR positive. TS= tractus solitarius; NTS= nucleus tractus solitarius; AP= area postrema. Wan et al.



Figure 7. Presence of mRNA for MCR in whole tissues

mRNA for MC4R, but not MC3R, is present in nodose ganglia and dorsal brainstem. Liver was used as a negative control tissue for MCRs and the hypothalamus was used as a positive control tissue N=6).