



# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

*J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 August 15.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2018 August 15; 78(Suppl 1): S49–S57. doi:10.1097/QAI.0000000000001749.

## Simulation modeling and meta-modeling to inform national and international HIV policies for children and adolescents

**Andrea Ciaranello, MD, MPH, Annette H. Sohn, MD, Intira Jeannie Collins, PhD, MSc, Claire Rothery, PhD, Elaine J. Abrams, MD, Beth Woods, MSc, Pamela Pei, PhD, Martina Penazzato, MD, PhD, and Mary Mahy, ScD, MHSC**

Medical Practice Evaluation Center, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, US (AC, PP); Divisions of Infectious Diseases and General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA (AC)

ICAP at Columbia University, Mailman School of Public Health, New York, NY, USA; College of Physicians & Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA (EA)

Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London (UCL), London, UK (IJC) Strategic Information and Evaluation Department, UNAIDS, Geneva, Switzerland (MM)

HIV and Hepatitis Department, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland (MP)

Centre for Health Economics, University of York, United Kingdom (CR, BW)

TREAT Asia/amfAR - The Foundation for AIDS Research, Bangkok, Thailand (AS)

### Abstract

**Objective and approach**—Computer-based simulation models serve an important purpose in informing HIV care for children and adolescents. We review current model-based approaches to informing pediatric and adolescent HIV estimates and guidelines.

**Findings**—Clinical disease simulation models and epidemiologic models are used to inform global and regional estimates of numbers of children and adolescents living with HIV and in need of ART, to develop normative guidelines addressing strategies for diagnosis and treatment of HIV in children, and to forecast future need for pediatric and adolescent antiretroviral therapy (ART) formulations and commodities. To improve current model-generated estimates and policy recommendations, better country-level and regional-level data are needed about children living with HIV, as are improved data about survival and treatment outcomes for children with perinatal HIV infection as they age into adolescence and adulthood. In addition, novel metamodeling and value of information methods are being developed to improve the transparency of model methods and results, as well as to allow users to more easily tailor model-based analyses to their own settings.

---

**Corresponding author:** Andrea Ciaranello, MD, MPH, 100 Cambridge St., Room 1670, Boston, MA 02114, [aciaranello@mgh.harvard.edu](mailto:aciaranello@mgh.harvard.edu).

**Disclaimer:** This manuscript represents the views of the authors, and the findings and conclusions included here do not necessarily represent the views of the World Health Organization.

**Conclusions**—Substantial progress has been made in using models to estimate the size of the pediatric and adolescent HIV epidemic, to inform the development of guidelines for children and adolescents affected by HIV, and to support targeted implementation of policy recommendations in order to maximize impact. Ongoing work will address key limitations and further improve these model-based projections.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Computer-based simulation models serve several critical roles in informing HIV-related policies for children and adolescents. Clinical disease simulation models focus on important events that occur in individual patients; they capture details of HIV disease progression, care engagement and retention, and treatment outcomes, as well as their associated costs. Such models are often used to evaluate the clinical impact and cost-effectiveness of specific interventions, such as HIV testing or antiretroviral therapy (ART) strategies. Epidemiologic models focus on the impact of HIV on populations, including at the national and global level. These models often capture clinical outcomes as well, and thus can be used to examine the impact of specific HIV-related interventions, but they additionally can provide estimates of numbers of new infections, people living with HIV, people in need of and receiving ART, and deaths due to HIV.

Both types of models offer insight into HIV policies in five key ways. First, they allow investigators to combine the best available data from multiple sources when no single source provides sufficient information: for example, clinical trial data to inform ART response, cohort data to inform disease progression risks, and epidemiologic and program data to inform numbers of children on ART. They similarly permit comparisons of multiple alternative strategies, even when no single study has compared all relevant options. Second, models can project beyond the time frame of clinical studies, and estimate the impact of interventions well into the future. This is particularly important for children and adolescents, for whom the key outcomes of care offered now (e.g., delay to ART switching after virologic failure) may not be seen for many years (e.g., failure of later lines of ART due to accumulated drug resistance). Third, models require that all assumptions be made explicit; investigators can then evaluate the impact of each assumption and each uncertain data parameter. Through sensitivity analyses, investigators can determine which parameters most influence model outcomes, and in turn, policy recommendations based on these outcomes. Investigators can also identify the "threshold" values at which these policy recommendations would change, and comment on the robustness of currently available data. When specific parameters are found to be influential but uncertain, further research can be prioritized toward improving data around these key parameters. Fourth, models can simulate important outcomes among populations not reached by HIV programs; for example, mortality among children not yet diagnosed with HIV or among children lost to follow-up. By characterizing outcomes for the complete population, models can highlight "treatment gaps," offering a more comprehensive understanding of the pediatric HIV epidemic and the potential impact of improved service delivery. Finally, detailed simulation models can be used to develop meta-models, or simpler "models of the models," which allow users to easily and quickly tailor model-based analyses to their own settings.

## CURRENT AND PREVIOUS USE OF MODELS TO INFORM PEDIATRIC HIV POLICY

Model-based analyses have informed pediatric HIV care in three key ways, each described below: projecting the potential impact of alternative guideline recommendations; estimating the magnitude of the pediatric HIV epidemic; and anticipating the need for pediatric ART and laboratory commodities. Here, we use 'children' to refer to ages 0–14, 'adolescents' for ages 10–19, and 'youth' for ages 15–24.<sup>1</sup>

### Normative guideline development

Model-based analyses have been critical to inform normative guidance for children from the World Health Organization (WHO), in light of limited clinical trial and observational data for pediatric populations (Table 1). These analyses have examined the potential clinical and economic impact of new WHO guidelines if implemented at scale; they have also suggested ways to allocate limited resources among currently recommended practices to maximize health. For example, a model-based analysis demonstrated that lopinavir/ritonavir use in children less than three years of age was both more effective and cost-saving over long time horizons compared to nevirapine, providing additional evidence to support the WHO 2013 recommendation for protease-inhibitor based ART as the preferred regimen for infants and young children.<sup>2,3</sup>

Another domain that has benefited from model-based analyses is early infant diagnosis (EID). Multiple biologic, technological and programmatic factors have been synthesized in a series of sophisticated mathematical models to inform the optimal approaches to diagnosing HIV infection in HIV-exposed infants. Lillian and colleagues, using data from the South Africa national EID program, concluded that the optimal algorithm to maximize the number of HIV-infected infants identified in South Africa included virologic testing at birth and ten weeks of life.<sup>4</sup> This work motivated the introduction of birth testing as part of routine care in South Africa. Francke *et al.* used the Cost-effectiveness of Preventing AIDS Complications (CEPAC)-Pediatric model to simulate four EID strategies in South Africa; they demonstrated that testing at birth and at a second point in time (6 or 10 weeks of age) was cost-effective in South Africa.<sup>5</sup> Both analyses underscored the importance of improving EID program coverage and quality to reduce mortality and morbidity among infants with HIV infection. These analyses went on to inform the WHO 2015 guidelines that recommended consideration of the addition of nucleic acid testing at birth to existing EID testing approaches.<sup>6</sup> Additional examples are highlighted in Table 1.<sup>7</sup>

### National and global estimates for children and adolescents with HIV

Models are needed to estimate the national and global numbers of children and adolescents living with HIV.<sup>1</sup> While we would ideally count every child who is exposed to HIV and then test each child for infection, systems to collect those data are often not available; in addition, some women with HIV will not attend clinics or be captured in national health systems. Epidemiological models are therefore used to estimate the total, population-level numbers of women living with HIV and children infected with HIV. One example, the Spectrum software, developed by Avenir Health and supported by UNAIDS, is the mostly widely used

source of global estimates for the number of children living with HIV, new HIV infections, and AIDS-related deaths each year.<sup>8</sup> These estimates are used by countries to inform policies, set national targets, and evaluate programmatic gaps, for example, to report on progress toward the UNAIDS Global Plan towards the elimination of new HIV infections among children.<sup>9</sup> The estimates have also been used to advocate for new efforts to identify children living with HIV who have not been diagnosed<sup>10</sup> and to promote a multi-pronged approach to reducing new infections among children, including prioritizing ART coverage among breastfeeding mothers with HIV.<sup>11</sup> Each year, the country estimates teams assemble updated local data, perform Spectrum simulations, and review Spectrum results with UNAIDS and other partners. Avenir Health investigators revise the Spectrum model structure based on rigorous recommendations from an international panel of experts in pediatric HIV, epidemiology, and modeling.<sup>12</sup> With each annual revision, new estimates are generated for the entire epidemic, from 1970 through the present; estimates reported by UNAIDS therefore change annually for both current and previous years.<sup>8</sup>

### **Forecasting of pediatric HIV medications and commodities**

Ensuring a continuous and reliable supply of pediatric antiretrovirals and diagnostic kits remains of paramount importance to ensure quality of care and access to HIV services for children. Forecasting the demand for these commodities is also critical to guide development of new antiretrovirals and diagnostic assays. Demand is currently forecast by extrapolating global estimates obtained from the Spectrum model, assuming a linear increase over time based on information about current ARV procurement orders.<sup>13</sup> This approach has unfortunately failed to capture accelerated phases of pediatric scale-up, or the nuances of different weight and age groups and different lines of treatment for children. New efforts are currently in place to revise these approaches and to fully address the unavoidable complexity of pediatric antiretroviral treatment, particularly as new regimens and new formulations are being introduced for children and adolescents.<sup>12,14</sup>

## **CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN EMPIRICAL DATA FOR MODELING PEDIATRIC HIV**

The key types of data needed to improve model-based global pediatric HIV estimates are detailed below. We use the term 'data' to reflect empirical data from clinical trials, observational studies, cohorts, and epidemiologic studies that are used as inputs to models; we use the terms 'results,' 'estimates,' or 'projections' to represent the output from these models.

### **Need for country-specific data and recommendations**

There is a clear need to adapt global pediatric HIV estimates and guidelines to local and regional levels. The most appropriate way to implement new recommendations, or to target interventions to specific populations, is likely to differ between settings, which vary in terms of HIV prevalence, access to care, and health system infrastructure.<sup>15</sup> Robust implementation research is often time-consuming, costly, or conducted in settings that may not be generalizable. For this reason, modelling - particularly cost-effectiveness analysis - is increasingly being used to explore the impact of different approaches to adapt global

guidance for the greatest impact in a range of settings. However, many countries do not have the required data to use in existing models to accurately reflect their pediatric HIV epidemics. When local data are not available, investigators can use a combination of model-based analyses with best-available data to approximate the local context. For example, not all countries collect the number of people on ART by age group; for UNAIDS estimates, countries therefore apply age-distribution data from research study sites or tertiary care facilities to the total number of children receiving ART nationwide.<sup>16</sup> In Spectrum, a number of "default" values are provided when local data are missing; these include fertility reduction among HIV+ women, perinatal transmission probabilities, annual rate of CD4 decline without ART, survival among those on ART and those off ART, and the effectiveness of cotrimoxazole on reducing mortality.

### **Need for clinical outcomes among perinatally HIV-infected children as they age**

Among the most important parameters that impact model-based global pediatric HIV estimates are survival, disease progression, and ART response among perinatally HIV-infected adolescents and youth (PHIVY) aged 10–24.<sup>17,18</sup> Although empirical data exist for untreated children in the first few years of life, as well as for young children on ART, very little information is available about clinical outcomes for older children and youth in resource-limited settings.<sup>10,19–22</sup> PHIVY have different outcomes than non-perinatally infected youth and adults, but detailed data suitable for model inputs are not yet available.<sup>23,24</sup> Assumptions made in Spectrum and other models about survival with and without ART for perinatally-infected children and PHIVY across the age spectrum could markedly influence country and global estimates of numbers of children and youth living with HIV, needing and receiving ART, and dying of HIV. For example, in the 2016 Spectrum revision, UNAIDS estimated that 1.8 million (range: 1.5–2.0 million) children aged 0–15 had been living with HIV in the year 2015.<sup>1</sup> In the 2017 revision, incorporating key improvements in input data and structure related to numbers of children perinatally infected with HIV and age at ART initiation (thus survival), Spectrum-based estimates indicated that there had been 2.2 million (1.8–2.7 million) children living with HIV in 2015.<sup>1</sup> Better data about clinical events for perinatally-infected children will markedly improve these estimates and lead to greater consistency in yearly reports (Table 2). In addition, the proportion of all youth and adults with HIV who were perinatally-infected is largely unknown. Spectrum revisions are underway to track PHIVY as they age into adulthood, and investigators in the International Epidemiology Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) consortium and several other international research cohorts are collaborating to analyze clinical outcome data for PHIVY through age 24 to improve these Spectrum estimates.<sup>1,15,22,23,25,26</sup>

### **Need to understand and describe data quality and biases in available data**

The accuracy of modeled estimates of HIV prevalence and treatment outcomes depends on the reliability of the data used as model inputs. Accurate data may be more difficult to obtain for infants and children than for adults because of relatively smaller numbers and poorer coverage of testing and care. For example, because fewer than 50% of infants in need of EID are tested, many CLHIV are not diagnosed or initiated on ART until they are sick at older ages. The median age at entry into care among 0–19-year-olds in the IeDEA cohort was 6 (IQR 2–12) years overall, and ranged from 4 years in West Africa (IQR: 2–9) and Asia-

Pacific (IQR: 2–7) to 8 years in Latin America (IQR: 2–16).<sup>1,27</sup> This delay in diagnosis means that many infants and children likely die before they can be diagnosed with HIV.<sup>21,28</sup> Before the impact of this delay in diagnosis was included in Spectrum, model-generated estimates of pediatric HIV prevalence were likely too high, and projected HIV-related mortality rates too low.<sup>29</sup> Such corrections should be interpreted as necessary improvements in pediatric HIV modeling methods, as we seek to more accurately characterize this rapidly changing population. In addition, key questions remain about how to utilize available pediatric data, most of which describe children who started ART at older ages. These children likely experienced two competing types of "survivor bias": they had more advanced disease at presentation to care than the entire cohort who "should" have been diagnosed and treated in infancy (perhaps making them at greater risk for short-term mortality), but they also survived without ART for several years (suggesting slower disease progression that might reduce short-term mortality risk).<sup>17</sup> These competing "survivor biases" may cause cohort-observed treatment responses and mortality risk to differ from the outcomes expected for all modeled children in Spectrum. Understanding the differences between "real life" programs which provide model input data and the cohorts that are simulated by models is essential to guide input selection and interpretation of model results.

## CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN MODEL-BASED METHODS IN PEDIATRIC HIV

Alongside efforts to improve the data used as model inputs, investigators are also working to improve the model-based methods themselves, building on innovative approaches in the fields of simulation modeling and cost-effectiveness analysis. These efforts are focused primarily on the need to compare model results to "real-world outcomes," the need to improve transparency in model methods, and the need to improve access to user-friendly, manipulatable versions of complex models.

### Need for comparison of model results to real-world outcomes and cross-model comparisons

Despite intensive efforts to build models that reflect clinical and programmatic reality, we often lack a way to assess whether model-based predictions are "right." Ideally, models would project anticipated outcomes, and after an appropriate period of time, we could compare these predictions with observed events. Although some model-predicted outcomes will not be fully observable (e.g., mortality among all children not in care), other model-predicted outcomes may be suitable for such comparisons (e.g., survival among children in care, numbers of children enrolled in programs, and HIV prevalence in sentinel testing projects). Model validation work has been facilitated by recent progress in electronic record systems to collect programmatic and epidemiologic data, improving data accessibility and quality. In addition, recently conducted population-based HIV impact assessments (PHIA surveys) have provided a unique opportunity to validate outputs of the Spectrum model.<sup>30</sup> These comparisons have highlighted inconsistencies that have led to improvements in the structure and the data inputs of the Spectrum model.<sup>29</sup>

When suitable data are not observable (e.g., undiagnosed HIV prevalence), comparing outputs from multiple models and investigating the reasons for any differences can provide critical feedback about the accuracy of both model structures and inputs. Ongoing work comparing Spectrum and the Thembisa epidemiologic model in South Africa is an example of this comparison for epidemiologic models, as is work by the HIV Modeling Consortium to estimate the impact of HIV treatment on HIV incidence using 12 different models for South Africa.<sup>31,32</sup> Such cross-model comparisons are also useful when clinical disease simulation models examine similar clinical policy questions: although it is possible that multiple models are wrong, similar policy recommendations from multiple models may be reassuring for policymakers. Similar conclusions were reached, for example, by two models examining early infant diagnosis testing in Thailand and South Africa, and by two models investigating routine HIV testing for adults in the US, with subsequent changes in WHO infant testing and US adult testing guidelines.<sup>5,33–35</sup>

### **Need for improved transparency in model methods**

Producers of both global health statistics and model-based policy recommendations have made important strides to allow both data and model methods to be transparent. The GATHER statement is a set of transparency criteria for models used to generate global health estimates, and similar efforts have been encouraged for models used to support normative guideline development.<sup>36,37</sup> Many authors have called for modelers to make computer code freely available online, so that other investigators can replicate or build on published analyses. However, this may not be possible for highly complex models, for which users require intensive and ongoing training to understand interactions between components of the models, and learn to use updated features of the models in order to generate accurate results.<sup>36,38–41</sup> For example, UNAIDS makes country Spectrum files, Spectrum software, and training manuals available online; for official users of the model, proficiency with these documents is supplemented by biennial, regional training workshops.<sup>42,43</sup> The CEPAC model includes more than 30,000 lines of code and more than 28,000 input parameters; to avoid errors in inputs and execution, collaborating investigators undertake in-person trainings, usually 3–12 months in duration, at the team's research offices in Boston.<sup>7,44,45</sup> Online tools and metamodeling methods can address these limitations in access.

### **Need for greater access to model results and user-friendly, manipulatable versions of complex models**

Online or spreadsheet-based calculators can permit policy makers and program planners to apply model-based analyses to their own settings. These tools allow users to input their own setting-specific values for key parameters (for example, HIV prevalence among women of childbearing age, PMTCT coverage, breastfeeding duration, or ART coverage among children). Due to the lengthy computing times for complex models, all simulations of interest must be conducted in advance to create such a calculator. Modelers need to anticipate the possible combinations of inputs that users might select, conduct simulations with all anticipated parameter sets, and generate a large, behind-the-scenes table of these model results. For example, an Excel-based tool allows country teams to understand the impact of PMTCT coverage on the MTCT rate. This tool showed the importance of reaching all women with effective ARV regimens during the transition between the WHO 2006

guidelines and the "treat all" guidelines.<sup>46</sup> A similar tool is under development to understand what interventions could allow a country to reach the target set for Elimination of Mother-to-Child HIV Transmission (defined as <50 new infant infections/100,000 live births).<sup>47,48</sup> Additionally, model developers can provide online access to tools that calculate key model inputs, for example a calculator to derive age-based ART costs, using data from current price lists and HIV-specific adjustments to WHO and CDC weight-for-age standards.<sup>47,49</sup>

### **"Metamodeling" approaches to permit user-manipulable tools**

To expand beyond online tools that extract results from previously-conducted model simulations, metamodeling methods allow greater flexibility to rapidly tailor simulations from rigorous models to different setting scenarios. Developing a metamodel (also known as an emulator) involves running the complex simulation model for many combinations of model inputs, and then using the information contained within these "runs" to create a simpler statistical model that can predict model outputs (e.g. specific cost variables, life expectancy, DALYs) for a given set of model inputs. There are many techniques that can be used to generate the statistical model that links inputs to outputs, including simple regression analysis or nonparametric regression using Gaussian processes.<sup>50-53</sup> Once the statistical model has been developed, the model can then be evaluated very quickly for different input values. The parameter sets chosen by the user do not need to be the same as those used to develop the statistical model, allowing much greater flexibility to examine setting-specific scenarios.

Metamodeling can support a number of important policy goals: (1) development of user-friendly tools for real-time use by decision makers, (2) rapid re-analyses of previous model-based work to inform application in additional contexts, e.g., additional countries or sub-national geographies, (3) quantification of the uncertainty around model results; and (4) further analysis to identify where evidence generation activities (e.g. epidemiological surveys, intervention trials, pilot studies, or costing studies) would be of particular value to reduce the uncertainty around priority-setting decisions (further described below). Despite these potential advantages, there are relatively few applications of these techniques to models used to inform healthcare priority setting; although most of these are in the context of non-communicable diseases and high income settings, ongoing work by WHO and the CEPAC-Pediatric team is developing a metamodel to support decision-making for infant HIV testing.<sup>50-53</sup>

### **Novel methods to understand the impact of uncertainty and to prioritize future research**

Most policy decisions are made under conditions of uncertainty, and model developers have an obligation to explain the magnitude and impact of this uncertainty to readers of their work. For example, Spectrum estimates are published with uncertainty bounds that highlight the impact of uncertainty in data inputs on the final projections of numbers of young people living with HIV. Quantifying the impact of uncertainty in model-generated results can serve two main purposes: 1) to assess our confidence in a chosen course of action by considering the degree to which variations in key model input parameters (e.g. incidence of infections, treatment efficacy rates, or costs) affect the policy decision; and 2) to assess the value of collecting additional information to better inform the decision by reducing the level of



uncertainty in the current evidence base. Value of information (VOI) methods are a tool to quantify the consequences - both clinical and economic - that can result from uncertainty, as well as the likelihood of these consequences occurring. The potential value of further research is the expected improvements in health that can be gained by the associated reduction in the consequences of uncertainty (Table 3). VOI methods can be used, for example, to determine if the information likely to be gained in a clinical trial is "worth" the cost of conducting the trial.<sup>50-53</sup>

VOI typically requires a probabilistic sensitivity analysis (PSA). PSA involves specifying a distribution of values for all uncertain parameters; next, a large number of simulations are conducted, each time drawing a value for each parameter from the specified distributions. The variation in the model output over all of these simulations reflects the impact of uncertainty in all of these parameters simultaneously. PSA is often used, for example, to report the proportion of all simulations in which one strategy is favored over another. However, the processing time for repeated simulations of complex HIV models in HIV can preclude PSA, and thus VOI. Metamodeling can overcome these computational challenges; the metamodel itself can be used instead of the underlying simulation model to generate estimates of uncertainty in model results and VOI.<sup>50-53</sup> The HIV Modeling Consortium is currently undertaking an investigation of alternative approaches to evaluating VOI in the context of HIV models and metamodels.

## CONCLUSIONS

Simulation models are critical to developing global and regional estimates of children living with HIV, forecasting the need for pediatric ART and other commodities, and informing normative guidelines around pediatric HIV care when evidence gaps remain, as well as supporting targeted implementation of interventions to maximize impact. Both epidemiologic and clinical models incorporate the best available data from multiple sources to project outcomes that often cannot be observed in practice. All models make assumptions, because data are limited. Modeling investigators must take seriously the obligation to make these assumptions transparent, test them through rigorous sensitivity and model validation analyses, and report the impact of this uncertainty on model results and resulting policy recommendations. Ongoing work to derive new data for children living with HIV will continue to improve global pediatric HIV estimates. Novel approaches to make model-based analyses more accessible, via online tools and metamodels, will also improve the ability of model users to tailor model-based results for their own settings. Simulation models will become an additional tool to overcome evidence gaps and support accelerated action to reach global targets for children and adolescents living with HIV.

## Acknowledgments

**Funding:** None (AS, CR, MM, EA). CR and BW receive funding to develop methods for conducting value of information analyses in the context of HIV from the HIV modelling consortium which is funded by a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation to Imperial College London. AC: Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD079214). PP: National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (R37AI093269). IJC is supported by the Medical Research Council programme (MC\_UU\_12023/26)

**Conflicts of interest:** None (AC, CR, MM, EA). AS has received research and travel support to her institution from ViiV Healthcare.

We thank Alexander Bulteel for assistance in manuscript preparation.

## References

1. UNAIDS. [cited 2017 November 2] AIDSinfo Data Sheets 2017 Available from: <http://aidsinfo.unaids.org/>
2. Ciaranello AL, Doherty K, Penazzato M, Lindsey JC, Harrison L, Kelly K, et al. Cost-effectiveness of first-line antiretroviral therapy for HIV-infected African children less than 3 years of age. *AIDS* (London, England). 2015; 29(10):1247.
3. World Health Organization Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection 2013
4. Lilian RR, Johnson LF, Moolla H, Sherman GG. A mathematical model evaluating the timing of early diagnostic testing in HIV-exposed infants in South Africa. *JAIDS*. 2014; 67(3):341–8. [PubMed: 25118910]
5. Francke JA, Penazzato M, Hou T, Abrams EJ, MacLean RL, Myer L, et al. Clinical impact and cost-effectiveness of diagnosing HIV infection during early infancy in South Africa: test timing and frequency. *J Infect Dis*. 2016; 214(9):1319–28. [PubMed: 27540110]
6. Ciaranello A on behalf of the CEPAC-Pediatric Team Massachusetts General Hospital Strategies for early infant diagnosis (EID) among known HIV-exposed infants: model-based analyses. Web Supplement C WHO; 2016 guidelines
7. Dunning L, Francke JA, Mallampati D, MacLean RL, Penazzato M, Hou T, et al. The value of confirmatory testing in early infant HIV diagnosis programmes in South Africa: A cost-effectiveness analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2017; 14(11):e1002446. Epub 2017/11/22. PubMed PMID: 29161262. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002446 [PubMed: 29161262]
8. Stover J, Brown T, Puckett R, Peerapatanapokin W. Updates to the Spectrum/Estimations and Projections Package model for estimating trends and current values for key HIV indicators. *AIDS*. 2017; 31(Suppl 1):S5–S11. Epub 2017/03/16. PubMed PMID: 28296796. DOI: 10.1097/qad.0000000000001322 [PubMed: 28296796]
9. UNAIDS On the Fast-Track to an AIDS-free generation Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2016
10. Palumbo P, Lindsey JC, Hughes MD, Cotton MF, Bobat R, Meyers T, et al. Antiretroviral treatment for children with peripartum nevirapine exposure. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; 363(16):1510–20. Epub 2010/10/15. PubMed PMID: 20942667; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3021781. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1000931 [PubMed: 20942667]
11. Mahy M, Stover J, Kiragu K, Hayashi C, Akwara P, Luo C, et al. What will it take to achieve virtual elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV? An assessment of current progress and future needs. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*. 2010; 86(Suppl 2):ii48–ii55. DOI: 10.1136/sti.2010.045989 [PubMed: 21106515]
12. UNAIDS & WHO Modelling paediatric HIV and the need for antiretroviral therapy MaP UNAIDS Reference Group on Estimates, editor London, UK: 2017
13. World Health Organization Combined global demand forecasts for antiretroviral medicines and HIV diagnostics in low-and middle-income countries from 2015 to 2020 2016
14. Penazzato M, Palladino C, Sugandhi N. Prioritizing the most needed formulations to accelerate paediatric antiretroviral therapy scale-up. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2017; 12(4):369–76. [PubMed: 28570368]
15. Slogrove A, Leroy V, Judd A. Inequality in mortality and access to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents living with perinatally acquired HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: a Collaborative Initiative for Paediatric HIV Education and Research (CIPHER) cohort collaboration analysis. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017; 20:9–10.
16. International AIDS Society. [cited 2017 December 1] Collaborative Initiative for Paediatric HIV Education and Research (CIPHER) 2017 Available from: <https://www.iasociety.org/cipher>
17. Leroy V, Malateste K, Rabie H, Lumbiganon P, Ayaya S, Dicko F, et al. Outcomes of antiretroviral therapy in children in Asia and Africa: a comparative analysis of the IeDEA pediatric

multiregional collaboration. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013; 62(2):208–19. Epub 2012/11/29. PubMed PMID: 23187940; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3556242. DOI: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e31827b70bf [PubMed: 23187940]

18. Schomaker M, Davies MA, Malateste K, Renner L, Sawry S, N'Gbeche S, et al. Growth and mortality outcomes for different antiretroviral therapy initiation criteria in children ages 1–5 years: A causal modeling analysis. *Epidemiology*. 2016; 27(2):237–46. Epub 2015/10/20. PubMed PMID: 26479876; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5410645. DOI: 10.1097/ede.0000000000000412 [PubMed: 26479876]
19. Marston M, Becquet R, Zaba B, Moulton LH, Gray G, Coovadia H, et al. Net survival of perinatally and postnatally HIV-infected children: a pooled analysis of individual data from sub-Saharan Africa. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011; 40(2):385–96. Epub 2011/01/21. PubMed PMID: 21247884. DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyq255 [PubMed: 21247884]
20. Becquet R, Marston M, Dabis F, Moulton LH, Gray G, Coovadia HM, et al. Children who acquire HIV infection perinatally are at higher risk of early death than those acquiring infection through breastmilk: a meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7(2):e28510. Epub 2012/03/03. PubMed PMID: 22383946; PubMed Central PMCID: 3285615. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028510 [PubMed: 22383946]
21. Violari A, Cotton MF, Gibb DM, Babiker AG, Steyn J, Madhi SA, et al. Early antiretroviral therapy and mortality among HIV-infected infants. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 359(21):2233–44. Epub 2008/11/21. doi: 359/21/2233 [pii] 10.1056/NEJMoa0800971. PubMed PMID: 19020325; PubMed Central PMCID: 2950021. [PubMed: 19020325]
22. Davies MA, Tsondai P, Tiffin N, Eley B, Rabie H, Euvrard J, et al. Where do HIV-infected adolescents go after transfer? - Tracking transition/transfer of HIV-infected adolescents using linkage of cohort data to a health information system platform. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017; 20(Suppl 3):21668. Epub 2017/05/23. PubMed PMID: PMC28530037; PubMed Central PMCID: 5577779. doi: 10.7448/ias.20.4.21668 [PubMed: 28530037]
23. Neilan AM, Karalius B, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, Abzug MJ, Agwu AL, et al. Association of risk of viremia, immunosuppression, serious clinical events, and mortality with increasing age in perinatally human immunodeficiency virus-infected youth. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017; 171(5):450–60. Epub 2017/03/28. PubMed PMID: 28346597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5411314. DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.0141 [PubMed: 28346597]
24. Risk of triple-class virological failure in children with HIV: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2011; 377(9777):1580–7. PubMed PMID: 21511330. [PubMed: 21511330]
25. Collins IJ, Foster C, Tostevin A, Tookey P, Riordan A, Dunn D, et al. Clinical status of adolescents with perinatal HIV at transfer to adult care in the UK/Ireland. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017; 64(8):1105–12. Epub 2017/03/23. PubMed PMID: 28329212. DOI: 10.1093/cid/cix063 [PubMed: 28329212]
26. Judd A, Collins IJ, Parrott F, Hill T, Jose S, Ford D, et al. Growing up with perinatal HIV: changes in clinical outcomes before and after transfer to adult care in the UK. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017; 20(S3) PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5577702.
27. Leroy V, , Tanser F, , Vreeman, Takassi E, , Edmonds A, , Lumbiganon P, , Pinto J, , Malateste K, , Kariminia A, , Yotenbieng M, , Fatoumata F, , Wools-Kaloustian K, , Davies M, , Desmond S. Access to antiretroviral initiation among HIV-infected children aged 0–19 years in the International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) Global Network, 2004–2014 International AIDS 2016, poster abstract #TUEE486 for the International Epidemiologic Databases to Evaluate AIDS (IeDEA) Pediatric Working Group, editor Durban, South Africa: 2016 Jul 18–22.
28. World Health Organization Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV 2015
29. Mahy M, Penazzato M, Ciaranello A, Mofenson L, Yianoutsos CT, Davies MA, et al. Improving estimates of children living with HIV from the Spectrum AIDS Impact Model. *AIDS*. 2017; 31(Suppl 1):S13–S22. Epub 2017/03/17. PubMed PMID: 28301337; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5520992. DOI: 10.1097/qad.0000000000001306 [PubMed: 28301337]
30. Population-based HIV Impact Assessments. [cited 2017 November 28] Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health 2017 Available from: <http://icap.columbia.edu/global-initiatives/the-phia-project>

31. Eaton JW, Johnson LF, Salomon JA, Barnighausen T, Bendavid E, Bershteyn A, et al. HIV treatment as prevention: systematic comparison of mathematical models of the potential impact of antiretroviral therapy on HIV incidence in South Africa. *PLoS Med.* 2012; 9(7):e1001245. Epub 2012/07/18. PubMed PMID: 22802730; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3393664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001245 [PubMed: 22802730]
32. Rehle T, Johnson L, Hallett T, Mahy M, Kim A, Odido H, et al. A comparison of South African national HIV incidence estimates: A critical appraisal of different methods. *PLoS ONE.* 2015; 10(7):e0133255. Epub 2015/08/01. PubMed PMID: 26230949; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4521952. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0133255 [PubMed: 26230949]
33. Collins IJ, Cairns J, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Sirirungsri W, Leechanachai P, Le Coeur S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of early infant HIV diagnosis of HIV-exposed infants and immediate antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected children under 24 months in Thailand. *PLoS ONE.* 2014; 9(3):e91004. Epub 2014/03/19. PubMed PMID: 24632750; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3954590. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091004 [PubMed: 24632750]
34. Paltiel AD, Weinstein MC, Kimmel AD, Seage GR 3rd, Losina E, Zhang H, et al. Expanded screening for HIV in the United States - an analysis of cost-effectiveness. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 352(6):586–95. Epub 2005/02/11. doi: 352/6/586 [pii] 10.1056/NEJMsa042088. PubMed PMID: 15703423. [PubMed: 15703423]
35. Sanders GD, Bayoumi AM, Sundaram V, Bilir SP, Neukermans CP, Rydzak CE, et al. Cost-effectiveness of screening for HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 352(6):570–85. Epub 2005/02/11. PubMed PMID: 15703422. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMsa042657 [PubMed: 15703422]
36. Cohen JT, Neumann PJ, Wong JB. A call for open-source cost-effectiveness analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 167(6):432–3. Epub 2017/08/29. PubMed PMID: 28847014. DOI: 10.7326/m17-1153 [PubMed: 28847014]
37. Stevens GA, Alkema L, Black RE, Boerma JT, Collins GS, Ezzati M, et al. Guidelines for accurate and transparent health estimates reporting: the GATHER statement. *Lancet.* 2016; 388(10062):e19–e23. Epub 2016/07/03. PubMed PMID: 27371184. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30388-9 [PubMed: 27371184]
38. Padula WV, McQueen RB, Pronovost PJ. Finding resolution for the responsible transparency of economic models in health and medicine. *Med Care.* 2017; 55(11):915–7. Epub 2017/10/14. PubMed PMID: 29028755. DOI: 10.1097/mlr.0000000000000813 [PubMed: 29028755]
39. Cohen JT, Wong JB. Can economic model transparency improve provider interpretation of cost-effectiveness analysis? A response. *Med Care.* 2017; 55(11):912–4. Epub 2017/10/14. PubMed PMID: 29028754. DOI: 10.1097/mlr.0000000000000811 [PubMed: 29028754]
40. Padula WV, McQueen RB, Pronovost PJ. Can economic model transparency improve provider interpretation of cost-effectiveness analysis? Evaluating tradeoffs presented by the second panel on cost-effectiveness in health and medicine. *Med Care.* 2017; 55(11):909–11. Epub 2017/10/14. PubMed PMID: 29028753. DOI: 10.1097/mlr.0000000000000810 [PubMed: 29028753]
41. Cohen AB. Point-counterpoint: Cost-effectiveness analysis in medical care and the issue of economic model transparency. *Med Care.* 2017; 55(11):907–8. Epub 2017/10/14. PubMed PMID: 29028752. DOI: 10.1097/mlr.0000000000000812 [PubMed: 29028752]
42. Stover J, Brown T, Marston M. Updates to the Spectrum/Estimation and Projection Package (EPP) model to estimate HIV trends for adults and children. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2012; 88(Suppl 2):i11–i6. [PubMed: 23172341]
43. Mahy M, Brown T, Stover J, Walker N, Stanecki K, Kirungi W, et al. Producing HIV estimates: from global advocacy to country planning and impact measurement. *Glob Health Action.* 2017; 10(sup1):1291169. Epub 2017/05/24. PubMed PMID: 28532304. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2017.1291169 [PubMed: 28532304]
44. Ouattara EN, Robine M, Eholie SP, MacLean RL, Moh R, Losina E, et al. Laboratory monitoring of antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection: Cost-effectiveness and budget impact of current and novel strategies. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016; 62(11):1454–62. Epub 2016/03/05. PubMed PMID: 26936666; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4872286. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciw117 [PubMed: 26936666]

45. Yazdanpanah Y, Losina E, Anglaret X, Goldie SJ, Walensky RP, Weinstein MC, et al. Clinical impact and cost-effectiveness of co-trimoxazole prophylaxis in patients with HIV/AIDS in Côte d'Ivoire: a trial-based analysis. *AIDS*. 2005; 19(12):1299–308. PubMed PMID: 16052085. [PubMed: 16052085]
46. Mahy MRN, Stover J, Pillay Y, Kayode O, Azeez A. What will it take to reduce mother-to-child transmission rates below 5%? Washington, D.C.: 2012 Available from: <http://library.iasociety.org> [Accessed December 21, 2017]
47. World Health Organization Global guidance on criteria and processes for validation: elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV and syphilis 2014
48. Linda-Gail Bekker MD, editor IAS Paris: 2017 Mind the Gap: Filling Knowledge Gaps in Paediatric and Adolescent HIV for an AIDS FREE Generation. Available from: <http://programme.ias2017.org/Programme/Session/172>
49. Doherty K, Essajee S, Penazzato M, Holmes C, Resch S, Ciaranello AL. Estimating age-based antiretroviral therapy costs for HIV-infected children in resource-limited settings based on World Health Organization weight-based dosing recommendations. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014; 14(201)
50. Oakley JE. Decision-theoretic sensitivity analysis for complex computer models. *Technometrics*. 2009; 51(2):121–9.
51. Oakley JE. Modelling with deterministic computer models. *Simplicity, Complexity and Modelling*. 2011:51–67.
52. Stevenson MD, Oakley J, Chilcott JB. Gaussian process modeling in conjunction with individual patient simulation modeling: a case study describing the calculation of cost-effectiveness ratios for the treatment of established osteoporosis. *Med Decis Making*. 2004; 24(1):89–100. Epub 2004/03/10. PubMed PMID: 15005958. DOI: 10.1177/0272989x03261561 [PubMed: 15005958]
53. Rojnik K, Naversnik K. Gaussian process metamodeling in Bayesian value of information analysis: a case of the complex health economic model for breast cancer screening. *Value Health*. 2008; 11(2):240–50. Epub 2008/04/03. 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2007.00244.x VHE244 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 18380636. [PubMed: 18380636]
54. World Health Organization Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretrovirals for the treatment and prevention of HIV infection 2013
55. Lilian RR, Johnson LF, Moolla H, Sherman GG. A mathematical model evaluating the timing of early diagnostic testing in HIV-exposed infants in South Africa. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014; 67(3):341–8. PubMed PMID: 25118910. DOI: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000000307 [PubMed: 25118910]
56. Chiu A, Modi S, Rivadeneira ED, Koumans EH. Optimizing infant HIV diagnosis in resource-limited settings: modeling the impact of HIV DNA PCR testing at birth. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016; 73(4):454. [PubMed: 27792684]
57. World Health Organization Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services: 5Cs: consent, confidentiality, counselling, correct results and connection 2015
58. Schomaker M, Leroy V, Wolfs T, Technau K-G, Renner L, Judd A, et al. Optimal timing of antiretroviral treatment initiation in HIV-positive children and adolescents: a multiregional analysis from Southern Africa, West Africa and Europe. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016; 46(2):453–65.
59. Schomaker M, Egger M, Ndirangu J, Phiri S, Moultrie H, Technau K, et al. When to start antiretroviral therapy in children aged 2–5 years: a collaborative causal modelling analysis of cohort studies from southern Africa. *PLoS Medicine*. 2013; 10(11):e1001555. [PubMed: 24260029]
60. Judd A, Lodwick R, Noguera-Julian A, Gibb DM, Butler K, Costagliola D, et al. Higher rates of triple-class virological failure in perinatally HIV-infected teenagers compared with heterosexually infected young adults in Europe. *HIV Med*. 2017; 18(3):171–80. Epub 2016/09/15. PubMed PMID: 27625109; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5298034. DOI: 10.1111/hiv.12411 [PubMed: 27625109]
61. Van Dyke RB, Patel K, Kagan RM, Karalius B, Traite S, Meyer WA 3rd, et al. Antiretroviral drug resistance among children and youth in the United States with perinatal HIV. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016; 63(1):133–7. Epub 2016/04/09. PubMed PMID: 27056398; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4901868. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciw213 [PubMed: 27056398]

62. Rohr JK, Ive P, Horsburgh CR, Berhanu R, Shearer K, Maskew M, et al. Marginal structural models to assess delays in second-line HIV treatment initiation in South Africa. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(8):e0161469. Epub 2016/08/23. PubMed PMID: 27548695; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4993510. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161469 [PubMed: 27548695]
63. Agwu AL, Lee L, Fleishman JA, Voss C, Yehia BR, Althoff KN, et al. Aging and loss to follow-up among youth living with human immunodeficiency virus in the HIV Research Network. *J Adolesc Health*. 2015; 56(3):345–51. Epub 2015/02/24. PubMed PMID: 25703322; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4378241. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.11.009 [PubMed: 25703322]
64. Lamb MR, Fayorsey R, Nuwagaba-Biribonwoha H, Viola V, Mutabazi V, Alwar T, et al. High attrition before and after ART initiation among youth (15–24 years of age) enrolled in HIV care. *AIDS*. 2014; 28(4):559–68. Epub 2013/10/01. PubMed PMID: 24076661; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4517438. DOI: 10.1097/qad.000000000000054 [PubMed: 24076661]
65. Dahourou DL, Gautier-Lafaye C, Teasdale CA, Renner L, Yotebieng M, Desmonde S, et al. Transition from paediatric to adult care of adolescents living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: challenges, youth-friendly models, and outcomes. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017; 20(Suppl 3):21528. Epub 2017/05/23. PubMed PMID: 28530039. PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5577723. doi: 10.7448/ias.20.4.21528 [PubMed: 28530039]
66. Foster C, McDonald S, Frize G, Ayers S, Fidler S. "Payment by Results" - financial incentives and motivational interviewing, adherence interventions in young adults with perinatally acquired HIV-1 infection: a pilot program. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2014; 28(1):28–32. Epub 2014/01/17. PubMed PMID: 24428797. DOI: 10.1089/apc.2013.0262 [PubMed: 24428797]
67. Hansudewechakul R, Pongprapass S, Kongphonoi A, Denjanta S, Watanaporn S, Sohn AH. Transition of Thai HIV-infected adolescents to adult HIV care. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2015; 18:20651. Epub 2015/12/08. PubMed PMID: 26637523; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4670453. doi: 10.7448/ias.18.1.20651 [PubMed: 26637523]
68. Lam PK, Fidler S, Foster C. A review of transition experiences in perinatally and behaviourally acquired HIV-1 infection; same, same but different? *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017; 20(Suppl 3):21506. Epub 2017/05/23. PubMed PMID: 28530044; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5577725. doi: 10.7448/ias.20.4.21506 [PubMed: 28530044]
69. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017; 31(12):1745–54. Epub 2017/06/08. PubMed PMID: 28590327; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5508851. DOI: 10.1097/qad.0000000000001552 [PubMed: 28590327]
70. Jao J, Agwu A, Mhango G, Kim A, Park K, Posada R, et al. Growth patterns in the first year of life differ in infants born to perinatally vs. nonperinatally HIV-infected women. *AIDS*. 2015; 29(1): 111–6. Epub 2015/01/07. PubMed PMID: 25562495; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4326225. DOI: 10.1097/qad.0000000000000501 [PubMed: 25562495]
71. Jao J, Sigel KM, Chen KT, Rodriguez-Caprio G, Posada R, Shust G, et al. Small for gestational age birth outcomes in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS*. 2012; 26(7):855–9. Epub 2012/02/09. PubMed PMID: 22313958; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3912863. DOI: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e328351f6ef [PubMed: 22313958]

**Table 1**

Selected model-based analyses informing WHO guidelines for children and adolescents

<b>Policy question</b>	<b>Findings</b>	<b>Guideline recommendation</b>
What regimen to recommend for first-line ART in children <3 years of age?	First-line lopinavir/ritonavir, although more costly in the short term, leads to longer life expectancy and lower lifetime costs than first-line nevirapine <sup>2</sup>	First-line ART should be lopinavir/ritonavir-based for children <3 years old (2013). <sup>54</sup>
How and when should infants at risk for HIV be tested?	<p>Testing HIV-exposed infants with virologic (PCR-based) assays is cost-effective in South Africa and in Thailand.<sup>5,33</sup></p> <p>Testing at birth and at a second time point (6 or 10 weeks of age) identifies the greatest number of exposed infants and is cost-effective in South Africa<sup>5,55,56</sup></p> <p>Using a second virologic assay to confirm HIV diagnosis is cost-saving, due to care and ART costs averted by reducing false-positive results; ART should not be delayed while awaiting results of the second test.<sup>7</sup></p>	<p>All HIV-exposed infants should be tested with a virologic assay at 6 weeks of age (support for existing guideline; 2015).</p> <p>Programs with well-functioning 6-week testing programs should add birth testing (new recommendation; 2015).</p> <p>Following a positive result, a second virologic assay should be sent and ART should be immediately initiated (support for existing guideline; 2015).<sup>57</sup></p>
When should ART be initiated in children without severe immunosuppression or clinical evidence of AIDS?	Mortality and growth are improved with earlier ART initiation for children <10 years of age (g-formula modeling). <sup>58,59</sup>	ART should be initiated in all children <5 years of age (new recommendation; 2013) <sup>54</sup> and in all children of any age (new recommendation; 2015) <sup>28</sup>

**Table 2**

Key data about perinatally HIV-infected children and youth needed to inform epidemiologic model projections about numbers of children and youth living with HIV, receiving ART, and in need of ART

Survival with and without ART	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* May differ between PHIVY and NPHIVY (see text)</li> <li>* Separating perinatally from non-perinatally infected children and adolescents has been challenging in public health programs, because mode of infection is rarely documented. Recently, young age (&lt;10, 12, or 15 years) at entry into care has been used as a proxy for perinatal infection.</li> <li>* Needed data include: survival rates stratified by age and CD4 for PHIVY aged 0–24 and NPHIVY aged 15–24, impact of unascertained mortality on observed survival after loss to follow-up.</li> </ul>
Additional ART outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Rates of virologic suppression, CD4 changes on ART, development of drug resistance, retention in care</li> <li>* Studies from mature PHIVY cohorts, mainly in high-income countries, have reported higher risk of virological failure in PHIV than NPHIV young adults:<sup>60</sup> &gt;75% with antiretroviral drug resistance mutations to at least one drug class (75–82%), and 12–18% with triple-class resistance,<sup>25,61</sup> a higher prevalence than the adult HIV population. There are limited equivalent data in resource-limited settings (with less virological monitoring, resistance testing and fewer treatment options); the impact on risk of clinical progression remains unclear. Recent modelling studies in adults suggest prolonged exposure to a failing first line regimen and delayed switch to second line ART was associated with an increased mortality risk.<sup>62</sup></li> <li>* Retention in care for PHIVY remains a substantial challenge in all settings, suggesting the importance of youth-responsive services. Emerging data on these programs will inform model-based analyses of alternative health care delivery approaches.<sup>63–68</sup></li> <li>* Needed data include: trends in growth over time, retention and clinical outcomes after documented and silent transfers and transition into adult HIV care, risks of serious AIDS and non AIDS related events and comorbidities for children and youth on ART.</li> </ul>
Pregnancy incidence and outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* In the UK and US, PHIVY have recently been observed to have lower CD4 counts and higher viral loads in pregnancy than NPHIVY, although infant transmission rates were similar.<sup>69</sup> While PHIVY may represent a small proportion of the total number of HIV+ pregnant women, they are likely to have different treatment needs due to their complex treatment history and higher risk of existing resistance mutations.</li> <li>* In addition, little is known about the impact of maternal perinatal HIV-infection on HIV-exposed uninfected children, particularly given that the children may have been exposed to more uncommon regimens in pregnancy (including raltegravir in some settings) and the lack of data about the effect of PHIV itself on fetal growth.<sup>70,71</sup> Future modeling studies may need to consider these potential outcomes for pregnant PHIVY and their infected and uninfected infants.</li> <li>* Needed data include: fertility among PHIVY, ART regimens use (and treatment changes) and retention during pregnancy/post-partum period, maternal and infant outcomes.</li> </ul>



**Table 3**

## Value of information (VOI) analysis - technical details

Perfect information about all parameters in a modelled decision problem would eliminate uncertainty altogether and reduce the expected consequences of this uncertainty to zero. VOI analysis quantifies the impact of reducing uncertainty. Key concepts of VOI analysis include: <sup>50-53</sup>	
<u>Net health benefit (NHB)</u>	The consequences of uncertainty are quantified in units of net health benefit (NHB): for any given strategy, NHB = Health gained - (costs incurred/threshold of cost-effectiveness), i.e., the health gain expected from the strategy minus the health gain forgone elsewhere in other programmes by diverting resources to the strategy under consideration.
<u>Expected value of perfect information (EVPI)</u>	The "expected value of perfect information" (EVPI) is defined as the average expected NHB of decisions made under conditions of no uncertainty, minus the average expected NHB of decisions made under conditions of uncertainty.
<u>Expected value of partial perfect information (EVPPPI)</u>	The EVPI for when uncertainty is examined only for single parameter (or group of parameters) within the decision problem, rather than for all possible parameters, is called expected value of partial perfect information (EVPPPI). The EVPPPI can be used to identify those parameters where the elimination of uncertainty would have the most value. This can start to indicate the type of research study that is likely to be required, e.g., randomised controlled trial for treatment effects, or observational study/survey for information on prevalence.
<u>Expected value of sample information (EVSI)</u>	The collection of perfect information is an unrealistic target. The EVSI for a particular research design establishes the reduction in uncertainty that could occur with the collection of additional data from a sample of the relevant population and for a specific research design. The estimation of EVSI is based on updating the original probability distributions describing uncertainty in the input parameters by reducing the variation through improved precision due to the collection of additional data. The difference between the EVSI and the costs of collecting the additional sample information is the expected payoff to additional research.